

The impact of collocational proficiency features on expert ratings of L2 English learners' writing

Supplementary materials: Text versions assessed by examiners

Text ID: 1

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I show that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like positive school. but, their behavior is not good effect to them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for money I had social experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is very young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for future time. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I am very disagree that point. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, high frequency, low accuracy

Text ID: 2

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I see that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like excellent school. but, their behavior is not good effect on them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for money I had life experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is very young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for the future. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I must really disagree with that. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, high frequency, high accuracy

Text ID: 3

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are tremendous parents. because, I show that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like positive school. but, their behavior is not desired effect to them

On the flip side, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to acquire money, that could buy everything they want

For me personally, I work independently from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for money I had social experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I surprisingly saw children doing chores by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is fairly young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for future time. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I am very disagree with that. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, mid frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 4

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are tremendous parents. because, I see that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like excellent school. but, their behavior is not desired effect on them

On the flip side, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to acquire money, that could buy everything they want

For me personally, I work independently from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for money I had life experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing chores by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is fairly young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for the future. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I must really disagree with that. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, mid frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 5

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I show that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could purchasing things like positive school. but, their behavior is not good effect to them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are mature, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for wages I had social experience and I got a money for myself. nevertheless, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is very young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for future time. also, our tribe's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which teens got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I am very disagree with that. I suspect, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life subsequently they must be content.

NB. B1 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 6

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I see that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could purchasing things like excellent school. but, their behavior is not good effect on them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are mature, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for wages I had life experience and I got a money for myself. nevertheless, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is very young. They doing work for their pocket money and is good

they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for the future. also, our tribe's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which teens got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I must really disagree with that. I suspect, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life subsequently they must be content.

NB. B1 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 7

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are first-class parents. because, I show that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like positive school. but, their behavior is not anticipated effect to them

To corroborate this claim, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to hoard money, that could buy everything they want

Not to generalize, I start freelance from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a receptionist for money I had social experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I saw firsthand children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is comparatively young. They doing errands for their pocket money and is good they could their money buy something or safeguard for the future. that is good they could buy something. now and for future time. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I am very disagree with that. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they psychologically prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, low frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 8

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are first-class parents. because, I see that situation around me at our country parents. They want they had everything give to their children and also could buying things like excellent school. but, their behavior is not anticipated effect on them

To corroborate this claim, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are strong, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to hoard money, that could buy everything they want

Not to generalize, I start freelance from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a receptionist for money I had life experience and I got a money for myself. however, My age is late to work by children ages and I saw firsthand children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they 15, and it is comparatively young. They doing errands for their pocket money and is good they could their money buy something or safeguard for the future. that is good they could buy something. now and for the future. also, our country's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which persons got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I must really disagree with that. I think, if children had to work and they study at money, they psychologically prepared their adult life after they must be parents.

NB. B1 base text, low frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 9

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I show that situation around me at our country parents. They fantasize they had everything give to their children and also could procuring things like positive school. but, their behavior is not good effect to them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are resilient, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for tabloids I had social experience and I got a money for myself. unbelievably, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they high-school, and it is very young. They doing work for all their pastimes and is good
they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for future time. also, our locality's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which juveniles got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I am very disagree with that. I posit, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life logically they must be grown-up.

NB. B1 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 10

I disagree that point about children brought up in families are prepared their life and then are good parents. because, I see that situation around me at our country parents. They fantasize they had everything give to their children and also could procuring things like excellent school. but, their behavior is not good effect on them

On the other hand, children brought up by wealthy parents, they are resilient, that means they can be prepare with many problems of being adults. They working to have money, that could buy everything they want

In my case, I start work from 20 ages I start work My country and work as a journalist for tabloids I had life experience and I got a money for myself. unbelievably, My age is late to work by children ages and I heard about children doing work by another countries that countries had a culture about children They start work when they high-school, and it is very young. They doing work for all their pastimes and is good
they could their money buy something or entrance to the bank. that is good they could buy something. now and for the future. also, our locality's children do this. but, many children accept the money by their parents. which juveniles got a pocket money over the 20 ages. but I must really disagree with that. I posit, if children had to work and they study at money, they perfectly prepared their adult life logically they must be grown-up.

NB. B1 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 11

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain psychological values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are well-trained to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked very hard just to put food in the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, set their mind that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a family love life. Commonly wealthy parents express love by money, so they bought them cars, expensive clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, high frequency, low accuracy

Text ID: 12

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain ethical values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are equipped to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked very hard just to put food on the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, decide for themselves that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a happy home life. Commonly wealthy parents express love through money, so they bought them cars, expensive clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, high frequency, high accuracy

Text ID: 13

I greatly endorse the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain psychological values. Such as the virtue of hard work, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money isn't readily obtained. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to possess wealth without a doubt. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are well-trained to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked incredibly hard just to put food in the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, set their mind that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a family love life. Commonly wealthy parents express love by money, so they bought them cars, designer clothes, toys, but they are seldom home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered the necessity for money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will be impressed with the sense of gratitude for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, mid frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 14

I greatly endorse the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain ethical values. Such as the virtue of hard work, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money isn't readily obtained. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to possess wealth without a doubt. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are equipped to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked incredibly hard just to put food on the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, decide for themselves that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a happy home life. Commonly wealthy parents express love through money, so they bought them cars, designer clothes, toys, but they are seldom home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered the necessity for money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from will families that do not have large amount of money be impressed with the sense of gratitude for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, mid frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 15

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain psychological values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to endure in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a teen who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want luxuries, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are well-trained to face adulthood. They perceived their parent every day worked very hard just to put food in the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, set their mind that they likewise have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent possesses. They also have a disadvantage of a family love life. Commonly wealthy parents express love by money, so they bought them electronics, expensive clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and presume that money is vital, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to attain it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to acquired the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for prosperity, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 16

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain ethical values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to endure in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a teen who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want luxuries, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are equipped to face adulthood. They perceived their parent every day worked very hard just to put food on the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, decide for themselves that they likewise have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent possesses. They also have a disadvantage of a happy home life. Commonly wealthy parents express love through money, so they bought them electronics, expensive clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and presume that money is vital, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to attain it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to acquired the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for prosperity, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 17

I greatly commend the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain psychological values. Such as the virtues of being diligent, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money isn't freely bestowed. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to unimaginable wealth as a constant. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are well-trained to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked very hard just to put food in the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, set their mind that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are dazzled by the allure of wealth, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a family love life. Commonly wealthy parents express love by money, so they bought them cars, trendy clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the path to fulfillment is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered a knack for money making, only money spending. In startling contrast, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, low frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 18

I greatly commend the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain ethical values. Such as the virtues of being diligent, discipline, they are used to be in the condition where money isn't freely bestowed. Oppose to it, a child who comes from a wealthy family is used to unimaginable wealth as a constant. Whenever they want something, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are equipped to face adulthood. They watched their parent every day worked very hard just to put food on the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, decide for themselves that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are dazzled by the allure of wealth, that their parent has. They also have a disadvantage of a happy home life. Commonly wealthy parents express love through money, so they bought them cars, trendy clothes, toys, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and think that money is everything, that the path to fulfillment is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been spoiled in every time, so doesn't have the time to discovered a knack for money making, only money spending. In startling contrast, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for money, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, low frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 19

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain psychological values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to hustle in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a juvenile who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want gratification, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are well-trained to face adulthood. They scrutinized their parent every day worked very hard just to put food in the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, set their mind that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent flaunts. They also have a disadvantage of a family love life. Ordinarily wealthy parents express love by money, so they bought them cars, expensive clothes, belongings, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and surmise that money is paramount, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been pampered in every time, so doesn't have the time to instilled the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for perseverance, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 20

I greatly support the idea about children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are raised in a certain ethical values. Such as the value of hard work, discipline, they are used to hustle in the condition where money doesn't come easily. Oppose to it, a juvenile who comes from a wealthy family is used to have money all the time. Whenever they want gratification, the money is easily gave to them.

Children who are brought up in families that do not have large amounts of money are equipped to face adulthood. They scrutinized their parent every day worked very hard just to put food on the table. They have the advantage to see the reality and embrace it, decide for themselves that they too have work hard for their future. A child that came from a wealthy family doesn't always have the advantage. This is because their eyes are blinded by the power of money, that their parent flaunts. They also have a disadvantage of a happy home life. Ordinarily wealthy parents express love through money, so they bought them cars, expensive clothes, belongings, but they are never home. The impact to a child is that they will grow up and surmise that money is paramount, that the source of happiness is money. The obstacle is they don't know how to get it, they've been pampered in every time, so doesn't have the time to instilled the art of money making, only money spending. On the contrary, children from families that do not have large amount of money will grow up with the sense of respect for perseverance, they do know how to face adult life problems because they've been observing since they were a child.

NB. B2 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 21

I do agree to the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of poor parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own struggles serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work in the weekends to either collect some pocket money or even contribute to their families' income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a straight contribution to their families in terms of labor or income.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest computer organization.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

In summing up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, high frequency, low accuracy

Text ID: 22

I do agree with the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of poor parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own struggles serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work on the weekend to either earn some pocket money or even contribute to their families' income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a direct contribution to their families in terms of labor or income.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest technology company.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

To sum up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, high frequency, high accuracy

Text ID: 23

I do agree to the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of humble parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to cope on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or surrounding environment. Their parent's own struggles act as an incentive to them.

These children are taught fundamental skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work in the weekends to either collect some pocket money or even occasionally supplement their families' income. A concrete example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a straight contribution to their families with regard to labor or income.

Children of poor families also are truly inspired. They tend to set ambitious goals to upgrade their economic & social status. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had a modest background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest computer organization.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

In summing up, children with modest backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, mid frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 24

I do agree with the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of humble parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to cope on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or surrounding environment. Their parent's own struggles act as an incentive to them.

These children are taught fundamental skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work on the weekend to either earn some pocket money or even occasionally supplement their families' income. A concrete example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a direct contribution to their families with regard to labor or income.

Children of poor families also are truly inspired. They tend to set ambitious goals to upgrade their economic & social status. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had a modest background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest technology company.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

To sum up, children with modest backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, mid frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 25

I do agree to the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Offspring of poor parents are prematurely exposed to obstacles of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to witness the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own struggles serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Numerous children eg labor in the weekends to potentially collect some pocket money or even contribute to their household's income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a straight contribution to their families in terms of labor or earnings.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his expertise and motivation to set up the worlds ultimate computer organization.

Nevertheless, there are some setbacks that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

In summing up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 26

I do agree with the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Offspring of poor parents are prematurely exposed to obstacles of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to witness the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own struggles serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Numerous children eg labor on the weekend to potentially earn some pocket money or even contribute to their household's income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a direct contribution to their families in terms of labor or earnings.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his expertise and motivation to set up the worlds ultimate technology company.

Nevertheless, there are some setbacks that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

To sum up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, mid frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 27

I do agree to the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of working-class parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and shun luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or sociocultural environment. Their parent's own struggles provide an initial impetus to them.

These children are taught requisite skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work in the weekends to either collect some pocket money or even potentially augment their families' income. A quintessential example is the many children who accompany their parents to peddle merchandise at the market. They are making a straight contribution to their families in terms of labor or income.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to remedy their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest computer organization.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel victimized. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

In summing up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of first-hand experience, family role models and steady resolve.

NB. C1 base text, low frequency (collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 28

I do agree with the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of working-class parents are prematurely exposed to problems of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and shun luxuries for essential items. These children began to see the 'realities' of life in their home or sociocultural environment. Their parent's own struggles provide an initial impetus to them.

These children are taught requisite skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Many children eg work on the weekend to either earn some pocket money or even potentially augment their families' income. A quintessential example is the many children who accompany their parents to peddle merchandise at the market. They are making a direct contribution to their families in terms of labor or income.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to remedy their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his talent and motivation to set up the worlds largest technology company.

However, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds encounter. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel victimized. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

To sum up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of first-hand experience, family role models and steady resolve.

NB. C1 base text, low frequency (collocational), high accuracy

Text ID: 29

I do agree to the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of poor parents are prematurely exposed to predicaments of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to glimpse the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own strife serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Innumerable children eg hustle in the weekends to conceivably collect some pocket money or even contribute to their families' income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a straight contribution to their families in terms of labor or subsistence.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his ingenuity and perseverance to set up the worlds pre-eminent computer organization.

Notwithstanding, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds weather. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

In summing up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), low accuracy

Text ID: 30

I do agree with the statement that children brought up in poor families are better prepared to deal with the problems of adult life than children brought up by wealthy parents.

Children of poor parents are prematurely exposed to predicaments of adult life e.g. learning to survive on a low family income and sacrificing luxuries for essential items. These children began to glimpse the 'realities' of life in their home or social environment. Their parent's own strife serve as an example to them.

These children are taught necessary skills for survival as an adult from a very early age. Innumerable children eg hustle on the weekend to conceivably earn some pocket money or even contribute to their families' income. A good example is the many children who accompany their parents to sell produce at the market. They are making a direct contribution to their families in terms of labor or subsistence.

Children of poor families also are highly motivated. They tend to set high goals to improve their economic & social situation. A relevant example would be Mr Bill Gates (founder of Microsoft Corporation) He had an impoverished background but he used his ingenuity and perseverance to set up the worlds pre-eminent technology company.

Notwithstanding, there are some problems that children from poor backgrounds weather. Many of these children who are 'robbed' of their childhood eg while working, may feel cheated. They often turn to crime. This however, is a small group.

To sum up, children with impoverished backgrounds are able to deal with problems of adult life because of early exposure, family role models and sheer motivation.

NB. C1 base text, low frequency (non-collocational), high accuracy