A. Bayes Nash Equilibrium Calculations (Online Appendix)

A.1. The Vickrey-Clark-Groves Mechanism

For $S \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, let v(S) indicate the maximum surplus that the coalition of players S can generate (where the seller is player 0). Then VCG profits for bidders i = 1, 2, 3 are

$$\pi_i^{\text{VCG}} = v(\bar{S}) - v(\bar{S} \setminus \{i\}) \tag{1}$$

where $\overline{S} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is the grand coalition and $\overline{S} \setminus \{i\}$ is the grand coalition without bidder *i*. Given these payoffs, it is a dominant strategy for the bidders (of any type) to report their valuations truthfully to the seller. The seller's revenue in the VCG auction is

$$R^{\text{VCG}} = V_{opt} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_i^{\text{VCG}}.$$

A.2. The First Price Auction

Since the X-type bidders only value a pair of item, we need only consider their bids for two items; denote the equilibrium bid function for X-type bidder $b : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and let $\phi(b) = b^{-1}(b)$ be its inverse for $b \in [0,\bar{b}]$ with the upper bound \bar{b} to be determined. Since the the Y-type bidders only value a package of three items, we need only consider her bids for three items; denote equilibrium bid function for type $Y \ B : [0,\alpha] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ for valuation and let $\Phi(b) = B^{-1}(b)$ be its inverse on $b \in [0,\bar{b}]$. As will be confirmed below for each environment, assume for now that the bidding functions are strictly increasing and their inverse functions are therefore well defined.

A.2.1. XXX Environment

Exactly two bidders will be awarded their desired packages in the auction. Therefore, each bidder wins if and only if she bids higher than the lowest of her two rivals. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_X(b, w)$ denote the expected payoff of a bidder with valuation w when she bids b. Payoffs are

$$\pi_X(b,w) = (w-b)(1-(1-\phi(b))^2)$$

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_X(b,w) = 0$ when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. This gives us the differential equation:

$$-(1-(1-\phi(b))^2) + 2(1-\phi(b))\phi'(b) = -(2-w)w - 2(1-w)(b(w)-w)/b'(w) = 0$$

together with terminal condition $b(1) = \overline{b}$. This has the solution $b(w) = \frac{w(3-2w)}{3(2-w)}$.

A.2.2. XXY Environment

Exactly two bidders will be awarded their desired packages in the auction. Therefore, each bidder wins if and only if she bids higher than the lowest of her two rivals. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_i(b, w)$ denote the expected payoff of the type *i* bidder with valuation *w* when she bids *b*. Payoffs are

$$\pi_X(b,w) = (w-b)(1-(1-\phi(b))(1-\Phi(b)/\alpha))$$

$$\pi_Y(b,W) = (W-b)(1-(1-\phi(b))^2)$$

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_i(b,w) = 0$ when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. This gives us two differential equations to satisfy:

$$\left(1-\phi(b)\right)\left(1-\frac{\Phi(b)}{\alpha}\right) - \left(1-\phi(b)\right)\left(\left(1-\frac{\Phi(b)}{\alpha}\right)\phi'(b) + \left(1-\phi(b)\right)\frac{\Phi'(b)}{\alpha}\right) - 1 = 0$$
(2)

$$(1 - \phi(b))^{2} + 2(1 - \phi(b))(\Phi(b) - b)\phi'(b) - 1 = 0$$
(3)

together with the terminal conditions $\phi(\bar{b}) = 1$ and $\Phi(\bar{b}) = \alpha$. We can solve equations (2) and (3) for $\Phi(b)$ as a function of $\phi(b)$ and b only:

$$\Phi(b) = \frac{\phi(b)((\alpha + b)\phi(b) - 2b(1 + \alpha))}{2(1 - \phi(b))(\phi(b) - b)}$$
(4)

We need $\Phi(\bar{b}) = \alpha$; then (4) implies $\bar{b} = \frac{\alpha}{2+2\alpha}$. Substituting this back into (2) or (3), we arrive at a single differential equation

$$\phi'(b) = \frac{(\phi(b) - b)(2 - \phi(b))\phi(b)}{(\alpha - b)(\phi(b)^2 + 2b\phi(b)) - 2b^2}.$$
(5)

Unfortunately, (5) does not admit a (clean) analytical solution but its numeric solution is simple to generate.

A.2.3. XYY Environment

For any set of bids, the seller will allocate two items to the X type bidder and three items to the highest Y type bidder. Therefore, $b(w) \equiv 0$ and a Y type bidder wins only if she out bids the other Y type bidder. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_Y(b, W)$ denote the expected payoff of the type Y bidder with valuation W when she bids b. Payoffs are

$$\pi_Y(b,W) = (W-b)\Phi(b)$$

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y(b,w) = 0$ whenever b > 0 evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. This gives us the differential equation

$$-\Phi(b) + (W - b)\Phi'(b) = -W + (W - B(W))/B'(W) = 0$$
(6)

together with the initial condition $B(\alpha) = \overline{b}$. This has solution $B(W) = \frac{W}{2}$.

A.2.4. YYY Environment

The seller will allocated three items to the bidder submitting the highest bid. Therefore, a type Y bidder wins if she out bids both of her rivals. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_Y(b, W)$ denote the expected payoff of the type Y bidder with valuation W when she bids b. Payoffs are

$$\pi_Y(b,W) = (W-b)\frac{\Phi(b)^2}{\alpha^2}$$

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y(b,w) = 0$ whenever b > 0 when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. After multiplying by $\frac{\alpha^2}{W}$, this gives us the differential equation

$$-\Phi(b)^{2} + 2(W - b)\Phi(b)\Phi'(b) = -W + 2(W - B(W))/B'(W) = 0$$
(7)

together with the terminal conditions $B(\alpha) = \overline{b}$. This has solution $B(W) = \frac{2W}{3}$.

A.3. The Simultaneous Multiple-Round Auction

Since the items within a package are substitutes for the bidders and they can freely switch demand between items throughout the auction, the price clocks will always display the same price. A bid function specifies the price level at which the bidder drops out of the auction; it will depend on the number and types of bidders still bidding in the auction. Beliefs are updated via Bayes rule and according to the equilibrium bid functions when a bidder observes a rival drop out of an auction.

A.3.1. XXX environment

Once any bidder stops bidding the auction ends; therefore, bidding functions depend only on the price level and the bidder's draw. A bidder wins if she outbids the lowest bid of her rivals.

Let $b: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ denote a bidder's equilibrium bidding function and let $\phi(b) = b^{-1}(b)$ be its inverse for $b \in [0, \bar{b}]$ with the upper bound \bar{b} to be determined. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_X(b, w)$ denote her expected payoff when she bids b and her draw is $w \in [0, 1]$. Equilibrium payoffs are

$$\hat{\pi}_X(b,w) = 2 \int_0^{\phi(b)} \int_y^1 \left(W - 2b(y) \right) dz dy - b(1 - \phi(b))^2.$$
(8)

The last term arises when the bidder drops out first at p = b and is forced to purchase one good. Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\hat{\pi}_X(b,w) = 0$ whenever b > 0 when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. This gives us the differential equation

$$2\phi'(b)(1-\phi(b))(w-2b) - (1-\phi(b))^2 + 2b(1-\phi(b)) = \frac{(1-w)}{b'(w)}(2(w-b(w)) - b'(w)(1-w)) = 0$$

together with the terminal conditions b(0) = 0. This gives $b(w) = w^2$.

A.3.2. XXY environment

Once any bidder stops bidding the auction ends; therefore, bidding functions depend only on the price level and the bidder's draw.

For a type X bidder with draw w, it is a dominant strategy to bid on two items if $p \leq \frac{w}{2}$ and otherwise to stop bidding on any items.²¹

Let B denote the Y type's equilibrium bidding function and let $\pi_Y(b, w)$ denote her expected payoff when she bids B and her draw is $w \in [0, \alpha]$. Given the X-types' strategy

$$\hat{\pi}_Y(b,W) = 2 \int_0^{2b} \int_w^1 \left(W - 3\frac{w}{2}\right) dz dw - b(1-2b)^2.$$
(9)

²¹The auction for a type X bidder is mathematically identical to a second price sealed bid auction; a type X bidder's dominant strategy is to bid her valuation.

The last term arises when the Y type drops out at p = b and is forced to purchase one item. Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\hat{\pi}_Y(b,w) = 0$ when evaluated at b = B(W) whenever B(W) > 0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y(b,w) \leq 0$ when evaluated at b = B(W) whenever B(W) = 0. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y(B(W), W) = \left(4(W - 2B(W)) - (1 - 2B(W))\right) (1 - 2B(W)) = (4W - 1 - 6B(W)) (1 - 2B(W)) \ge 0$$

if and only if $W \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$B(W) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \le W < \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} \left(2W - \frac{1}{2} \right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \le W \le \frac{3}{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \le W \le \alpha \end{cases}$$

The second panel of the left hand side of Figure ? plots this bid function and the type X bid function.

A.3.3. XYY environment

For a type X bidder with draw w, it is a dominant strategy to bid on two items if $p \leq \frac{w}{2}$ and otherwise to stop bidding on any items.

The auction ends only after a Y type drops out; therefore, a bidding functions for the Y type bidder will one her draw, the price level, and who remains in the auction – i.e. whether or not the X type bidder had dropped out. A Y can win if the type X bidder drops out *then* the rival type Y bidder drops out, or if the rival type Y bidder drops out while the type X type is still actively bidding.

Proceeding by backward induction, let $B^Y(W, p)$ denote the price level in equilibrium at which they type Y bidder drops out when her draw is W and the X type bidder has dropped out at the price level p and define $\Phi^Y(b, p)$ such that $B^Y(\Phi^Y(b, p), p) = b$. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi^Y_Y(b, W)$ denote a Y type bidder's expected payoff when she bids drops out at price level b and her draw is $W \in [0, \alpha]$. Equilibrium payoffs are

$$\pi_Y^Y(b, p, W) = \int_0^{\Phi^Y(b, p)} \left(W - 3B^Y(V, p) \right) \frac{dV}{\alpha} - 2b \left(1 - \frac{\Phi(b, p)}{\alpha} \right)$$
(10)

The last term arises when the bidder drops out at p = b and is forced to purchase two items. Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y(b, p, W) = 0$ whenever b > 0 when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. This gives us the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^{Y}(b,p)}{\partial b} \left(W - 3b \right) - 2\left(1 - \frac{\Phi(b,p)}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{2b}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \Phi^{Y}(b,p)}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial B^{Y}(W,p)}{\partial W}} \left(W - B^{Y}(W,p) \right) - 2\left(1 - \frac{W}{\alpha} \right)$$

together with the terminal conditions $B(\alpha) = \bar{b}$. This gives

$$B^{Y}(W,p) = W - 2\sqrt{\alpha - W} \left(\sqrt{\alpha - p} - \sqrt{\alpha - W}\right).$$

Expected equilibrium profits for at Y type bidder with a draw of W in this stage – i.e. supposing that the X type bidder dropped out at p – are

$$\pi_Y^Y(p, W) = \pi_Y^Y(B^Y(W, p), p, W) = \frac{(W - p)^2}{2(\alpha - p)} - 2p$$

Let $B^{XY}(W)$ denote the price level in equilibrium at which they type Y bidder drops out when her draw is W and neither rival has dropped out and define $\Phi^{XY}(b)$ such that $B^Y(\Phi^{XY}(b)) = b$. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_Y^{XY}(b, w)$ denote a Y type bidder's expected payoff when she bids drops out at price level b, neither rival has dropped out and her draw is $W \in [0, \alpha]$. Payoffs are

$$\pi_Y^Y(b, p, W) = \int_0^{\Phi^Y(b)} \int_{2b}^1 \left(W - 3B^{XY}(V) \right) dy \frac{dV}{\alpha} - \int_{\Phi^Y(b, \frac{v}{2})}^\alpha \int_{2b}^1 \pi_Y^Y \left(\Phi^{XY}(b, \frac{y}{2}), \frac{y}{2}, W \right) dv \frac{dV}{\alpha}$$
(11)

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y^{XY}(b, p, W) = 0$ whenever b > 0 when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. After some manipulation, this gives us the differential equation

$$\left(W - 3B^{XY}(W)\right)\left(1 - 2B^{XY}(W)\right) - 4\frac{\partial B^{XY}(W)}{\partial W}B^{XY}(W)\left(\alpha - W\right) = 0$$

together with the terminal conditions $B(\alpha) = \overline{b}$. This equation has no simple analytical solution. Its numeric solution is display in the fourth panel of the left-hand side of Figure ?? for the case where the X type bidder drops out at price \hat{p} .

A.3.4. YYY environment

The auction ends only after two Y types drop out; therefore, a bidding functions for a Y type bidder will depend both on her draw, and how many bidders remains in the auction.

Proceeding by backward induction, let $B^Y(W)$ denote the price level in equilibrium at which they type Y bidder drops out when her draw is W and only one Y type bidder remains active in the auction. Define $\Phi^Y(b)$ such that $B^Y(\Phi^Y(b)) = b$. This is strategically identical to the stage in the XYY environment after the X type has dropped out. Therefore, as derived above,

$$B^{Y}(W,p) = W - 2\sqrt{\alpha - W} \left(\sqrt{\alpha - p} - \sqrt{\alpha - W}\right)$$

and expected equilibrium profits for a Y type bidder with a draw of W in this stage – i.e. supposing that first bidder dropped out at price p – are

$$\pi_Y^Y(p, W) = \pi_Y^Y(B^Y(W), p, W) = \frac{(W-p)^2}{2(\alpha - p)} - 2p$$

Let $B^{YY}(W, p)$ denote the price level in equilibrium at which the type Y bidder drops out when her draw is W and neither rival has dropped out and define $\Phi^{YY}(b)$ such that $B^{YY}(\Phi^{YY}(b)) = b$. Supposing her rivals play according to their equilibrium strategies, let $\pi_Y^{XY}(b, W)$ denote a Y type bidder's expected payoff when she bids drops out at price level b, neither rival has dropped out and her draw is $W \in [0, \alpha]$. Payoffs are

$$\pi_Y^Y(b, p, W) = \int_0^{\Phi^Y(b, p)} \int_V^W \pi_Y^Y(B^{YY}(V), W) \frac{dZ}{\alpha} \frac{dV}{\alpha}$$
(12)

Equilibrium requires that $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\pi_Y^{YY}(b, p, W) = 0$ whenever b > 0 when evaluated at the equilibrium strategies. After some manipulation, this gives us the equation

$$-2(\alpha - W)B(W) = 0.$$

But this is negative whenever B(W) > 0. Thus, there is no symmetric equilibrium (in pure strategies) wherein all three Y type bidders bid above zero in the auction. Instead, we assume one bidder randomly drops out at any price $p \ge 0$. The remaining two bidders play the equilibrium strategy $B^Y(W, p)$ defined above.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2
SMRA	.008	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.312	.008	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.008	.109	.008	-	-
FPSB-2	.023	.250	.016	.195	-
SMRA-2	.008	.383	.008	.312	.250

B. Regressions and statistical test results (Online Appendix)

Table 5: p-values for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test where H_0 : mean efficiency_i = mean efficiency_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average. For efficiency, the VCG mechanism achieves the theoretical maximum and therefore non-parametric statistical tests will always reject the null-hypothesis.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2	SMRA-2
SMRA	.109	-	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.312	.016	-	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.016	.945	.023	-	-	-
FPSB-2	.195	.148	.078	.312	-	-
SMRA-2	.312	.078	.742	.008	.148	-
VCG	.312	.109	.055	.078	.844	.312

Table 6: p-values for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test where H_0 : mean revenue_i = mean revenue_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}, \text{VCG}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2	SMRA-2
SMRA	.945	-	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.250	.641	-	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.383	.641	.383	-	-	-
FPSB-2	.742	.742	.742	.547	-	-
SMRA-2	.055	.195	.148	.008	.055	-
VCG	.008	.250	.008	.945	.023	.039

Table 7: p-values for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test where H_0 : mean earnings_i = mean earnings_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}, \text{VCG}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2
SMRA	.000	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.878	.000	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.000	.161	.000	-	-
FPSB-2	.002	.105	.003	.195	-
SMRA-2	.000	.442	.000	.234	.105

Table 8: p-values for the Mann-Whitney U test where H_0 : mean efficiency_i = mean efficiency_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average. For efficiency, the VCG mechanism achieves the theoretical maximum and therefore non-parametric statistical tests will always reject the null-hypothesis.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2	SMRA-2
SMRA	.028	-	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.505	.038	-	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.003	.367	.027	-	-	-
FPSB-2	.574	.137	.382	.185	-	-
SMRA-2	.442	.038	.721	.078	.234	-
VCG	.279	.065	.234	.099	1.00	.246

Table 9: p-values for the Mann-Whitney U test where H_0 : mean revenue_i = mean revenue_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}, \text{VCG}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average.

	FPSB	SMRA	FPSB-U	SMRA-U	FPSB-2	SMRA-2
SMRA	.442	-	-	-	-	-
FPSB-U	.382	.505	-	-	-	-
SMRA-U	.279	.959	.234	-	-	-
FPSB-2	.505	.382	.878	.130	-	-
SMRA-2	.382	.083	.279	.130	.279	-
VCG	.078	.959	.065	.798	.069	.130

Table 10: p-values for the Mann-Whitney U test where H_0 : mean earnings_i = mean earnings_j for $i, j \in \{\text{FPSB}, \text{SMRA}, \text{FPSB-U}, \text{SMRA-U}, \text{FPSB-2 SMRA-2}, \text{VCG}\}$. For each treatment we have eight independent observations, one for each group average.

1 00 0								
	Info	rmed	Uninf	formed	1-stage	e Pooled	2-s	tage
	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15
SMRA=1	-0.301***	-0.254^{***}	-0.205***	-0.222***	-0.253***	-0.238***	-0.066	-0.025
	(0.010)	(0.046)	(0.053)	(0.051)	(0.036)	(0.034)	(0.057)	(0.044)
XXY	-0.030**	-0.007	0.045^{*}	0.063***	0.008	0.028	-0.280	-0.253
	(0.014)	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.011)	(0.023)	(0.017)	(0.229)	(0.226)
XYY	-0.073	-0.041	-0.046*	-0.022	-0.059**	-0.032	-0.017	0.039
	(0.050)	(0.030)	(0.023)	(0.034)	(0.028)	(0.023)	(0.042)	(0.043)
VVV	0.022	0 022	0.011	0.012	0.017	0.017	-0.080	-0.028
	(0.013)	(0.022)	(0.033)	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.020)	(0.049)	(0.020)
$SMBA-1 \times XXV$	0.005	-0.034	0.012	0.078	0 009	0 022	0 196	0.214
	(0.126)	(0.158)	(0.012) (0.074)	(0.052)	(0.090)	(0.022) (0.095)	(0.237)	(0.234)
$SMBA-1 \times XVV$	-0.000	-0 250***	-0.014	0.067	-0.056	-0.006	-0 262***	_0.9/9***
$SMRA-1 \land A11$	(0.080)	(0.064)	(0.065)	(0.007)	(0.077)	(0.103)	(0.072)	(0.065)
$SMD \Lambda = 1 \times VVV$	0.046	0.005	0.119	0.077	0 022	0.026	0.000	0.149*
SMRA=1 × 1 1 1	(0.028)	-0.003	-0.113	(0.077)	-0.055	(0.050)	-0.099	-0.142
	(0.058)	(0.109)	(0.074)	(0.057)	(0.051)	(0.004)	(0.080)	(0.080)
Constant	0.936***	0.958^{***}	0.936***	0.932***	0.936***	0.945^{***}	0.865***	0.838***
	(0.002)	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.004)	(0.011)
Observations	240	160	240	160	480	320	240	160
R^2	0.226	0.266	0.192	0.180	0.192	0.197	0.132	0.114

Dept. Variable: *Efficiency*

Robust standard errors, clustered at the group level, in parentheses

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 11: Regression comparing the effect of using an SMRA format on efficiency, controlling for the value type composition of groups.

Dept.	Variable:	Revenue	
Dopo	, ar labio.	1000000000	

	Infor	rmed	Uninfe	ormed	1-stage	Pooled	2-st	age
	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15
SMRA=1	-43.267^{**}	-58.000***	-26.333***	-25.000^{***}	-34.800***	-41.500^{***}	-16.133	-19.600
	(17.217)	(17.695)	(5.836)	(7.331)	(9.865)	(12.225)	(10.345)	(12.734)
XXY	-3.367	1.750	12.133	16.100^{*}	4.383	8.925^{*}	-27.767	-27.550
	(4.282)	(3.438)	(7.101)	(7.895)	(5.649)	(5.247)	(21.449)	(26.751)
XYY	-8.633*	-16.050***	-15.833	-18.200	-12.233	-17.125**	-17.600	-23.850
	(4.611)	(3.775)	(14.448)	(15.602)	(7.636)	(7.909)	(14.119)	(13.772)
YYY	-12.900*	-12.950**	-7.500	-8.600	-10.200**	-10.775*	-21.867**	-17.750*
	(6.098)	(5.805)	(6.976)	(9.068)	(4.722)	(5.295)	(9.872)	(8.977)
$SMRA=1 \times XXY$	53.200**	58.000***	-16.967	-20.600*	18.117	18.700	55.367**	72.250**
	(18.378)	(19.214)	(14.144)	(11.185)	(15.999)	(16.226)	(24.393)	(28.673)
$SMRA=1 \times XYY$	17.467	21.800	14.500	27.200	15.983	24.500	21.100	3.900
	(26.644)	(25.509)	(16.968)	(20.626)	(16.210)	(19.868)	(22.454)	(30.369)
$SMRA=1 \times YYY$	17.400	12.950	10.333	3.100	13.867	8.025	71.167***	80.150**
	(17.773)	(18.523)	(14.297)	(9.503)	(12.628)	(14.407)	(19.720)	(33.574)
Constant	200.767***	203.750***	200.667***	201.500***	200.717***	202.625***	201.100***	205.100***
	(4.274)	(3.399)	(5.831)	(7.245)	(3.530)	(3.934)	(9.809)	(8.739)
Observations	240	160	240	160	480	320	240	160
R^2	0.128	0.276	0.112	0.131	0.088	0.160	0.112	0.197

Robust standard errors, clustered at the group level, in parentheses

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 12: Regression comparing the effect of using an SMRA format on seller's revenue, controlling for the value type composition of groups.

	Dept.	Variable:	Pro	fits
--	-------	-----------	-----	------

	Info	rmed	Uninf	formed	1-stage	Pooled	2-st	tage
	$all \ rnds$	rnds 6-15	$all \ rnds$	rnds 6-15	all rnds	rnds 6-15	$all\ rnds$	rnds 6-15
SMRA=1	24.983	42.425^{**}	13.483**	11.000	19.233**	26.713^{**}	12.650	18.675^{***}
	(17.462)	(18.076)	(5.356)	(8.212)	(9.398)	(12.490)	(8.636)	(6.326)
XXY	3.983	0.625	-5.617	-8.725	-0.817	-4.050	8.683	9.675
	(5.746)	(2.687)	(7.941)	(5.838)	(5.391)	(3.856)	(9.720)	(10.532)
XYY	-1.617	5.150***	7.283	8.350	2.833	6.750	10.117	16.875^{*}
	(5.366)	(1.189)	(11.466)	(11.315)	(6.578)	(5.619)	(9.961)	(8.303)
YYY	-9.050*	-10.350***	-15.183**	-15.675**	-12.117^{***}	-13.012***	-8.017	-9.950
	(4.252)	(2.282)	(5.892)	(6.486)	(3.890)	(3.562)	(9.209)	(6.484)
$SMRA=1 \times XXY$	-56.483***	-63.175***	15.167	23.525^{*}	-20.658	-19.825	-41.333**	-56.725***
	(18.860)	(18.857)	(10.373)	(11.264)	(17.089)	(18.017)	(18.369)	(14.290)
$SMRA=1 \times XYY$	-33.550	-49.250**	-18.967	-25.275	-26.258^{*}	-37.263**	-45.950**	-24.375
	(22.166)	(23.078)	(18.221)	(16.777)	(14.811)	(16.035)	(20.760)	(30.060)
$SMRA=1 \times YYY$	-17.817	-16.250	-21.200	0.175	-19.508	-8.038	-79.800***	-90.925**
	(17.630)	(18.354)	(16.938)	(10.809)	(13.528)	(14.047)	(20.466)	(31.217)
Constant	18.383***	17.850***	18.283***	18.425***	18.333***	18.137***	13.433	8.975^{*}
	(3.546)	(0.822)	(3.719)	(3.455)	(2.508)	(1.733)	(8.598)	(4.874)
Observations	240	160	240	160	480	320	240	160
R^2	0.070	0.148	0.088	0.083	0.035	0.060	0.196	0.262

Robust standard errors, clustered at the group level, in parentheses

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 13: Regression comparing the effect of using an SMRA format on beddiers' profits, controlling for the value type composition of groups.

C. Istructions (Online Appendix)

C.1. Instructions for SMRA



The Experiment
The experiment you will be participating in today will involve a series of auctions. At the end of the experiment you will be paid in cash for your participation. Each of you may earn different amounts. The amount you earn depends on your decisions, chance, and on the decisions of others.
You will be using the computer for the entire experiment, and all interaction between you and others will be through computer terminals. Please DO NOT socialize or talk during the experiment.
If you have any questions, raise your hand and your question will be answered so everyone can hear.





		••
he value of winning c	onsecutive items, e	.g. AB or CDE (but not A
r AOE), is higher than		
or type X:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 consecutive items	10 + 1.5 R	10 + 3 R
3 consecutive items	10 + 3.5 R	10 + 4 R
or type V:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 consecutive items	10 + 0.5 R	10 + R

		63
<u>=xample:</u> If R =	30, the tables beco	ne
Гуре Х:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 consecutive items	55	100
3 consecutive items	115	130
Type Y:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 consecutive items	25	40
	100	160



























The Experiment	
The experiment you will be participating in today will involve a series of auctions. At the end of the experiment you will be paid in cash for your participation. Each of you may earn different amounts. The amount you earn depends on your decisions, chance, and on the decisions of others.	
You will be using the computer for the entire experiment, and all interaction between you and others will be through computer terminals. Please DO NOT socialize or talk during the experiment.	
If you have any questions, raise your hand and your question will be answered so everyone can hear.	





n each period, you w one shown below. quite different from illustrative purpose You will not know the	ill be shown a table w The numbers used in the ones below, whites only. values of the other bi	vith your values like the n the experiment will be ch are shown for idders.
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	1	2
2 items	4	7
	0	0



# of Items	value WITH Item A	value WITHOUT Item A
1 Item		
2 Items		
3 Items		
place 6 bids in to	tal: for 1, 2, and 3 items	with or without item A.









	The Experiment
The expe	riment you will be participating in today will involve a
series	of auctions. At the end of the experiment you will be
paid in	cash for your participation. Each of you may earn
differe	nt amounts. The amount you earn depends on your
decisio	ons, chance, and on the decisions of others.
You will b	e using the computer for the entire experiment, and all
interac	tion between you and others will be through computer
termina	als. Please DO NOT socialize or talk during the
experii	ment.
lf you hav be ans	e any questions, raise your hand and your question will wered so everyone can hear.





For type X:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 items	10 + 1.5 R	10 + 3 R
3 items	10 + 3.5 R	10 + 4 R
For type Y:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 items	10 + 0.5 R	10 + R
	10 + 3 R	10 + 5 R
2 items	10 + 0.5 R 10 + 3 R	10 + R 10 + 5 R

	Bidder Valu	es
<u>xample:</u> If R	= 30, the tables beco	me
ype X:		
# of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 item	5	10
2 items	55	100
3 items	115	130
ype Y: # of items	Value WITH item A	Value WITHOUT item A
1 itom	5	10
T item	25	40
2 items	20	





U	
Bidding : Current Round Price Per	item 5
Bid Status	Activity Limit
1 litem	3
2 Items	Current Activity
3 items	0

