Online Appendix for the "Article Embracing the Status Hierarchy: How Immigration Attitudes, Prejudice, and Sexism Shaped Non-White Support for Trump"

Jessica Geiger and Tyler Reny

Contents

A   Datasets

A.1   Nationscape

The Democracy Fund + UCLA Nationscape is a large-N public opinion survey that was conducted in partnership between Democracy Fund Voter Study Group and UCLA. It is one of the largest political public opinion survey projects ever conducted with respondents from every county, congressional district, and mid-sized city leading up to and during the 2020 election (2019-2021).

The survey was fielded by Lucid and has a grand total of nearly 500,000 survey respondents. We exclude three concurrent "parallel wave" surveys but include the remainder of the responses over the full field period of the survey.

A representativeness study run by the principle investigators indicates that the survey's sampling and weighting procedures yield surveys that closely match government benchmarks and are similar to highly respected surveys like Pew Research Center's non-probability internet samples (Holliday et al. 2021). For more, see https://www.voterstudygroup.org/data/nationscape

A.2   CES

The Cooperative Election Study (CES) is a large (N=50k+) national stratified sample survey administered by YouGov.

In election years, the survey consists of two waves. The pre-election wave, which consists of about two-thirds of the total content, is in the field from late September to late October. In the post-election wave, respondents answer the remaining third of the questionnaire, and is administered in November.

The sample comes from an online panel that uses a two-stage sampling frame of US citizens from the American Community Survey. The second frame matches members to that frame from a pool of online opt-in respondents on a variety of variables. The resulting sample looks as similar to that target sample as possible, approximating a representative sample of American adults (Ansolabehere and Rivers 2013).

A.3   CMPS

The Collaborative Multi-racial Post-Election Survey (CMPS) is a national survey of American adults on political and social issues run out of UCLA. The CMPS is one of the few surveys that includes sufficient samples of different racial and ethnic minority groups to conduct comparative subgroup analysis and which has been conducted in multiple languages and sampled to be as-close-to representative as possible.

The 2020 survey includes 14,988 completed interviews that were self-administered online from April 2, 2021 to August 25, 2021. The survey was available in English, Spanish, Chinese (traditional and simplified), Korean, Vietnamese, Arabic, Urdu, Farsi, and Haitian Creole. The sample includes 4,006 Latinos, 4,005 Black, 3,975 Asian, and 3,002 non-Hispanic White respondents. See https://cmpsurvey.org/2020-survey/ for more information.

A.4 VOTER Survey Panel Dataset

The Democracy Fund Voter Study Group partnered with YouGov to survey large subsets of the American electorate at repeated periods from 2011 to 2021. The original panels were part of the 2011-2012 Cooperative Campaign Analysis Project (CCAP) which has a sample drawn using YouGov's two-stage sampling procedures elaborated above. We draw from the baseline 2011-2012 and 2016 re-interviews which included 8,000 respondents from the 2011-2012 CCAP (77% recontact rates). For more details on the panel dataset see https://www.voterstudygroup.org/uploads/reports/Data/VOTER-Survey-Guide-2021Dec.pdf

# B Variables

B.1 Nationscape Studies

Figure B1: Support for Status Hierarchies Additive Scale Items Across Racial Groups

Note: Figure displays weighted means for each item used to create additive scales across racial/ethnic groups in the Nationscape Survey (2019-2021).

Immigration policy attitudes:

- Build Wall: "Build a wall on the Southern border"

- Dream Act: "Create a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants brought here as children "

- Path to Citizenship: "Create a path to citizenship for all undocumented immigrants"

Response categories include Agree (1), Disagree (0), and Not Sure (re-coded to NA). The three items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.71) and re-scaled to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.31, sd = 0.36).

Racial Resentment:
"Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with the following statements"

- Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

- Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Response categories include Strongly Agree, Somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree. The two items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.58) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.53, sd = 0.29).

White ethnocentrism:
"Here are the names of some groups that are in the news from time to time. How favorable is your impression of each group or haven't you heard enough to say?"

- Whites

- Blacks

- Latinos

- Asians

Response categories include very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable, and haven't heard enough to say (re-coded to NA). We subtracted favorability toward Whites from an average of marginalized out-group measures (not including the respondent's in group) for each respondent in the survey and then re-scaled the variable to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean= 0.50, sd = 0.16). For example, Black respondents' White ethnocentrism scale corresponds to White favorability minus an average of Asian and Latino group favorability, yielding a measure of preference for whiteness over the other groups.

Sexism:
"Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with the following statements"

- Increased opportunities for women have significantly improved the quality of life in the United States

- Women who complain about harassment often cause more problems than they solve

Response categories include Strongly Agree, Somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree. Certain items were reverse coded to maintain ideological ordering across items. These two items have been combined into a single additive scale and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.33, sd = 0.22).

B.2 CES

Immigration policy attitudes:

- Build Wall: "Increase spending on border security by \$25 billion, including building a wall between the U.S. and Mexico."

- Dream Act: "Provide permanent resident status to children of immigrants who were brought to the United States by their parents (also known as Dreamers). Provide these immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they meet the citizenship requirements"

- Path to Citizenship: "Grant legal status to all illegal immigrants who have held jobs and paid taxes for at least 3 years, and not been convicted of any felony crimes."

Response categories include Support (1), and Oppose (0). Certain items were reverse-coded to maintain ideological ordering across items such that larger values are associated with more support for conservative immigration policies. The three items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.73) and re-scaled to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.34, sd = 0.36).

Racial Resentment:
"Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?"

- Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

- Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Response categories include strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree. Certain items were reverse-coded to maintain ideological ordering across items such that larger values correspond to more racial resentment. The two items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.85) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.53, sd = 0.36).

Sexism:
"Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?"

- Women seek to gain power by getting control over men.

- Women are too easily offended.

Response categories include Strongly Agree, Somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree. Certain items were reverse coded to maintain ideological ordering across items. These four items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.79) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.42, sd = 0.29).

B.3 CMPS

Immigration policy attitudes:

- Border Security: "Increasing security at the U.S.-Mexico border should be a national priority even if it means that some migrants will die attempting to cross "

- Path to Citizenship: "This country should make it easier for immigrants to obtain visas and citizenship."

- Automatic Birthright: "Children born in the United States to non-citizen parents should not have automatic birthright citizenship"

Response categories include strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, and strongly disagree. The three items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.61) and re-scaled to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.45, sd = 0.26).

Racial Resentment:

- Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

- Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Response categories include agree strongly, agree somewhat, Neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, and disagree strongly. The two items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.66) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.49, sd = 0.29).

White ethnocentrism:
"Here is a list of groups in society. For each group, please indicate if you think they support or threaten your vision of American society"

- White people

- Black people

- Hispanic people

- Asian people

Response categories include Strongly supports, Supports, Supports a little, Neither supports nor threatens, Threatens a little, Threatens, Strongly threatens. We subtracted support toward Whites from an average of marginalized out-group measures (not including the respondent's in group) for each respondent in the survey and then re-scaled the variable to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean= 0.47, sd = 0.14). For example, Black respondents' White ethnocentrism scale corresponds to White favorability minus an average of Asian and Latino group favorability, yielding a numeric measure of preference for whiteness over the other groups.

Sexism:

- Many women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist.

- Women seek to gain power by getting control over men.

Response categories include Agree strongly, Agree somewhat, Neither agree nor disagree, Disagree somewhat, and Disagree strongly. Items were reverse-coded to maintain ideological ordering across items. These two items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.67) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (weighted mean = 0.50, sd = 0.25).

B.4 VOTER Survey Panel Dataset

Immigration policy attitudes:

- Naturalized Citizenship: "Do you favor or oppose providing a legal way for illegal immigrants already in the United States to become U.S. citizens?"

Response categories for the Naturalized Citizenship item include Favor (1), Oppose (0), and Not Sure (recoded as 0.5). This item was rescaled to range between 0 and 1 (mean = 0.48, sd = 0.45).

Racial Resentment:

- Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

- Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Response categories include Strongly Agree, Agree, Don't Know, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. The two items have been combined into a single additive scale (α=0.72) and recoded to range between 0 and 1 (mean = 0.41, sd = 0.22).

White ethnocentrism:
"We'd like to get your feelings toward some groups who are in the news these days. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the

group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group. If we come to a group who you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that group. Click on the thermometer to give a rating."

- Whites

- Blacks

- Latinos

- Asians

We subtracted favorability toward Whites from an average of marginalized out-group measures (not including the respondent's in group) for each respondent in the survey and then re-scaled the variable to range between 0 and 1 (mean= 0.51, sd = 0.11). For example, Black respondents' White ethnocentrism scale corresponds to White favorability minus an average of Asian and Latino group favorability, yielding a numeric measure of preference for Whites over the other groups.

C Regression Tables, Robustness Checks, and Replications

Table C1: Trump Vote: Conservative Immigration Attitudes and Racial Resentment

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	2.933***	2.212***	2.389***	2.644***				
	(0.026)	(0.078)	(0.091)	(0.055)				
Racial Resentment					2.446***	1.604***	2.174***	2.126***
					(0.027)	(0.081)	(0.105)	(0.057)
College Education	−0.300***	0.116*	−0.246***	0.075*	−0.240***	0.161***	−0.122**	0.148***
	(0.019)	(0.064)	(0.067)	(0.040)	(0.015)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.032)
Household Income	0.011***	0.020***	0.004	0.030***	0.010***	0.021***	0.001	0.029***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Gender	−0.310***	−0.688***	−0.253***	−0.398***	−0.337***	−0.741***	−0.208***	−0.431***
	(0.017)	(0.054)	(0.061)	(0.035)	(0.013)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.027)
Age	−0.005***	−0.004*	0.002	0.005***	−0.004***	0.003**	0.003	0.007***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	0.807***	0.656***	0.691***	0.677***	0.845***	0.653***	0.722***	0.707***
	(0.005)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.009)	(0.004)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.008)
Ideology	0.413***	0.193***	0.271***	0.222***	0.453***	0.250***	0.269***	0.223***
	(0.010)	(0.026)	(0.035)	(0.018)	(0.008)	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.015)
Indian			0.489***				0.569***	
			(0.095)				(0.071)	
Other AAPI			0.368***				0.352***	
			(0.081)				(0.061)	
Korean			0.217				0.314***	
			(0.137)				(0.104)	
Cuban				0.105				0.220***
				(0.079)				(0.061)
Puerto Rican				−0.256**				−0.094
				(0.109)				(0.080)
Hispanic Other				0.016				0.072**
				(0.037)				(0.029)
Constant	−5.631***	−5.253***	−5.590***	−5.336***	−6.411***	−5.712***	−6.247***	−5.966***
	(0.041)	(0.117)	(0.163)	(0.078)	(0.034)	(0.098)	(0.136)	(0.068)
Observations	162,844	24,797	11,021	35,535	233,646	38,279	16,736	50,370

$^{***}p < 0.01$; $^{**}p < 0.05$; $^{*}p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on conservative immigration attitudes and racial resentment.

Table C2: Trump Vote: White Ethnocentrism and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
White Ethnocentrism	2.189***	2.386***	2.281***	2.426***				
	(0.058)	(0.156)	(0.184)	(0.104)				
Sexism					1.375***	1.394***	1.343***	1.110***
					(0.031)	(0.097)	(0.117)	(0.063)
College Education	−0.367***	0.070	−0.187***	0.053	−0.332***	0.128**	−0.123**	0.095***
	(0.017)	(0.058)	(0.057)	(0.036)	(0.014)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.031)
Household Income	0.005***	0.019***	0.003	0.026***	0.011***	0.022***	0.007**	0.032***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Gender	−0.335***	−0.656***	−0.190***	−0.435***	−0.270***	−0.655***	−0.157***	−0.367***
	(0.015)	(0.049)	(0.052)	(0.031)	(0.012)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.027)
Age	−0.0002	0.0001	0.005***	0.009***	0.002***	0.005***	0.008***	0.013***
	(0.0005)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	0.871***	0.676***	0.736***	0.727***	0.868***	0.672***	0.750***	0.739***
	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.009)	(0.004)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.008)
Indian	0.578***	0.290***	0.337***	0.293***	0.545***	0.278***	0.326***	0.280***
	(0.009)	(0.024)	(0.031)	(0.017)	(0.008)	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.014)
Other AAPI			0.583***				0.506***	
			(0.081)				(0.071)	
Korean			0.340***				0.333***	
			(0.070)				(0.061)	
Ideology			0.363***				0.247**	
			(0.115)				(0.102)	
Cuban				0.252***				0.184***
				(0.070)				(0.061)
Puerto Rican				−0.141				−0.144*
				(0.094)				(0.079)
Hispanic Other				0.046				0.037
				(0.034)				(0.029)
Constant	−6.647***	−6.182***	−6.490***	−6.245***	−6.098***	−5.832***	−6.102***	−5.782***
	(0.047)	(0.130)	(0.165)	(0.085)	(0.034)	(0.103)	(0.135)	(0.068)
Observations	172,686	27,096	12,955	37,438	233,444	38,141	16,664	50,180

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on White ethnocentrism and sexism using Nationscape data.

Table C3: Trump Favorability: Conservative Immigration Attitudes and Racial Resentment

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	0.380***	0.310***	0.350***	0.400***				
	(0.002)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.006)				
Racial Resentment					0.282***	0.275***	0.303***	0.298***
					(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.005)
College Education	−0.022***	0.013***	−0.008	0.026***	−0.022***	0.015***	0.004	0.039***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.003)
Household Income	0.001***	0.001***	−0.002***	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	−0.002***	0.003***
	(0.0001)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)
Gender	−0.019***	−0.067***	−0.015***	−0.048***	−0.027***	−0.071***	−0.013***	−0.058***
	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.003)
Age	−0.001***	−0.001***	−0.0004**	0.0002	−0.001***	−0.001***	−0.001***	0.0001
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.00003)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Party ID	0.090***	0.059***	0.075***	0.072***	0.104***	0.062***	0.084***	0.081***
	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Ideology	0.037***	0.016***	0.030***	0.034***	0.042***	0.017***	0.026***	0.034***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Indian			0.096***				0.122***	
			(0.008)				(0.006)	
Other AAPI			0.062***				0.069***	
			(0.006)				(0.005)	
Korean			0.021**				0.033***	
			(0.010)				(0.009)	
Cuban				0.018**				0.037***
				(0.007)				(0.006)
Puerto Rican				−0.013				−0.005
				(0.008)				(0.007)
Hispanic Other				0.007**				0.016***
				(0.003)				(0.003)
Constant	−0.121***	0.005	−0.117***	−0.119***	−0.195***	−0.030***	−0.183***	−0.190***
	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.010)	(0.005)
Observations	201,301	29,517	13,358	41,948	284,046	44,441	19,639	58,206
Adjusted R^2	0.613	0.264	0.435	0.435	0.542	0.222	0.368	0.366

***$p<0.01$; **$p<0.05$; *$p<0.1$

Note: OLS regressions modeling Trump approval based on conservative immigration attitudes and racial resentment holding all else equal using Nationscape data.

Table C4: Trump Favorability: White Ethnocentrism and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
White Ethnocentrism	0.338***	0.385***	0.369***	0.400***				
	(0.005)	(0.010)	(0.016)	(0.009)				
Sexism					0.239***	0.234***	0.311***	0.266***
					(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.007)
College Education	−0.032***	0.012***	−0.004	0.032***	−0.034***	0.010***	0.005	0.031***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.003)
Household Income	0.001***	0.0001	−0.002***	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	−0.001***	0.004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)
Gender	−0.028***	−0.071***	−0.013***	−0.056***	−0.017***	−0.062***	0.001	−0.044***
	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.003)
Age	−0.001***	−0.001***	−0.0003	0.0004***	−0.0004***	−0.0004***	0.0001	0.001***
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.00003)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Party ID	0.109***	0.064***	0.089***	0.085***	0.110***	0.064***	0.088***	0.086***
	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0003)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Ideology	0.058***	0.022***	0.037***	0.042***	0.055***	0.021***	0.034***	0.042***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Indian			0.114***				0.115***	
			(0.007)				(0.006)	
Other AAPI			0.065***				0.065***	
			(0.006)				(0.005)	
Korean			0.022**				0.022**	
			(0.010)				(0.009)	
Cuban				0.029***				0.033***
				(0.007)				(0.006)
Puerto Rican				−0.015*				−0.012*
				(0.008)				(0.007)
Hispanic Other				0.004				0.013***
				(0.003)				(0.003)
Constant	−0.294***	−0.085***	−0.263***	−0.260***	−0.208***	−0.039***	−0.217***	−0.215***
	(0.003)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.006)	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.010)	(0.005)
Observations	216,892	33,008	15,841	45,303	283,774	44,297	19,575	58,001
Adjusted R^2	0.548	0.220	0.369	0.372	0.529	0.201	0.361	0.352

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: OLS regressions modeling Trump approval based on White ethnocentrism and sexism holding all else equal using Nationscape data.

Table C5: Trump Vote Model with all IVs

	All IVs
Immigration Policy	2.421***
	(0.027)
Racial Resentment	1.541***
	(0.035)
White Ethnocentrism	0.513***
	(0.062)
Sexism	0.683***
	(0.041)
College Education	−0.156***
	(0.019)
Household Income	0.014***
	(0.001)
Gender	−0.301***
	(0.017)
Age	−0.008***
	(0.001)
Party ID	0.739***
	(0.005)
Ideology	0.309***
	(0.009)
White	0.352***
	(0.028)
Black	−0.424***
	(0.042)
Cuban	0.382***
	(0.095)
Puerto Rican	−0.325**
	(0.137)
Hispanic Other	0.165***
	(0.043)
Indian	0.626***
	(0.109)
Other AAPI	0.593***
	(0.082)
Korean	0.287*
	(0.161)
Constant	−7.099***
	(0.093)
Observations	182,068

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Trump vote with all independent variables in a single logistic regression model using Nationscape data.

Table C6: Replication: 2020 CCES Conservative Immigration Policy and Racial Resentment

| | White | Black | AAPI | Latino | White | Black | AAPI | Latino |
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	4.195***	2.925***	3.851***	3.464***				
	(0.080)	(0.274)	(0.361)	(0.193)				
Racial Resentment					4.755***	3.191***	4.722***	4.106***
					(0.094)	(0.325)	(0.468)	(0.222)
College Education	−0.535***	0.072	−0.270	−0.263**	−0.357***	0.167	−0.228	−0.005
	(0.052)	(0.210)	(0.244)	(0.128)	(0.052)	(0.208)	(0.239)	(0.128)
Household Income	0.058	0.113	−0.029	−0.031	0.001	0.206	0.165	−0.094
	(0.054)	(0.226)	(0.253)	(0.132)	(0.053)	(0.227)	(0.247)	(0.132)
Household Income Missing	−0.023	0.007	0.197	−0.169	0.074	0.191	0.305	−0.099
	(0.086)	(0.358)	(0.343)	(0.245)	(0.083)	(0.367)	(0.334)	(0.235)
Gender	0.330***	−0.295	0.108	0.116	0.064	−0.372**	0.170	−0.113
	(0.049)	(0.188)	(0.225)	(0.122)	(0.048)	(0.186)	(0.219)	(0.121)
Age	0.007***	0.006	0.002	0.007*	−0.002	0.007	−0.001	−0.001
	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.004)	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.004)
Party ID	0.880***	0.963***	0.832***	0.883***	0.906***	0.998***	0.779***	0.884***
	(0.016)	(0.056)	(0.083)	(0.038)	(0.016)	(0.056)	(0.079)	(0.038)
Ideology	0.795***	0.680***	0.371**	0.493***	0.827***	0.708***	0.386**	0.421***
	(0.034)	(0.112)	(0.168)	(0.074)	(0.034)	(0.112)	(0.167)	(0.076)
Indian			0.057				0.124	
			(0.376)				(0.387)	
Other AAPI			0.197				0.046	
			(0.257)				(0.249)	
Korean			0.338				0.496	
			(0.461)				(0.435)	
Cuban				0.219				0.365
				(0.233)				(0.233)
Puerto Rican				−0.065				−0.055
				(0.175)				(0.176)
Hispanic Other				0.064				0.267**
				(0.136)				(0.135)
Constant	−8.167***	−8.925***	−6.866***	−6.881***	−9.267***	−9.445***	−7.921***	−7.638***
	(0.145)	(0.580)	(0.633)	(0.336)	(0.152)	(0.600)	(0.670)	(0.349)
Observations	33,847	4,115	1,212	4,198	33,880	4,128	1,214	4,213

*** $p < 0.01$; ** $p < 0.05$; * $p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on support for conservative immigration attitudes and racial resentment of respondents using CCES data.

Table C7: Replication: 2020 CCES Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sexism	2.723***	2.175***	2.952***	2.853***
	(0.086)	(0.334)	(0.427)	(0.216)
College Education	−0.548***	0.010	0.010	−0.059
	(0.047)	(0.201)	(0.223)	(0.120)
Household Income	0.009	0.128	0.080	−0.238*
	(0.049)	(0.217)	(0.232)	(0.125)
Household Income Missing	0.055	0.158	0.137	−0.210
	(0.076)	(0.349)	(0.311)	(0.226)
Gender	0.196***	−0.135	0.319	0.147
	(0.045)	(0.184)	(0.209)	(0.115)
Age	0.010***	0.007	0.010	0.015***
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.004)
Party ID	0.964***	1.010***	0.815***	0.937***
	(0.015)	(0.055)	(0.076)	(0.037)
Ideology	1.061***	0.770***	0.584***	0.609***
	(0.031)	(0.106)	(0.156)	(0.072)
Indian			−0.343	
			(0.364)	
Other AAPI			−0.049	
			(0.232)	
Korean			0.276	
			(0.395)	
Cuban				0.323
				(0.218)
Puerto Rican				−0.177
				(0.166)
Hispanic Other				0.240*
				(0.128)
Constant	−9.163***	−9.507***	−8.081***	−8.126***
	(0.140)	(0.583)	(0.638)	(0.345)
Observations	33,587	4,085	1,209	4,184

***p < 0.01; **p < 0.05; *p < 0.1

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on sexist attitudes of respondents using CCES data.

Table C8: Replication: 2016 CCES Conservative Immigration Policy and FIRE Scale

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	2.954***	2.120***	1.986***	2.571***				
	(0.060)	(0.254)	(0.294)	(0.157)				
FIRE Scale					5.552***	4.472***	5.369***	5.363***
					(0.120)	(0.483)	(0.639)	(0.316)
College Education	−0.572***	−0.066	−0.089	−0.175	−0.613***	−0.006	0.068	−0.180
	(0.041)	(0.171)	(0.225)	(0.107)	(0.041)	(0.172)	(0.230)	(0.110)
Household Income	0.005	−0.295*	−0.137	−0.090	0.060	−0.383**	−0.325	−0.081
	(0.042)	(0.173)	(0.236)	(0.110)	(0.041)	(0.177)	(0.239)	(0.112)
Household Income Missing	−0.038	−0.215	0.265	−0.117	0.066	−0.263	0.149	0.029
	(0.064)	(0.304)	(0.286)	(0.198)	(0.063)	(0.307)	(0.304)	(0.199)
Gender	−0.006	−0.765***	0.045	−0.251**	0.101***	−0.707***	−0.022	−0.205**
	(0.038)	(0.160)	(0.187)	(0.102)	(0.038)	(0.161)	(0.192)	(0.104)
Age	0.012***	−0.007	0.007	0.009***	0.016***	0.001	0.008	0.015***
	(0.001)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.004)
Party ID	0.750***	0.696***	0.730***	0.629***	0.755***	0.701***	0.739***	0.664***
	(0.012)	(0.045)	(0.059)	(0.028)	(0.012)	(0.045)	(0.060)	(0.029)
Ideology	0.713***	0.582***	0.486***	0.627***	0.702***	0.628***	0.487***	0.579***
	(0.027)	(0.093)	(0.132)	(0.063)	(0.026)	(0.093)	(0.134)	(0.065)
Indian			0.573*				0.354	
			(0.320)				(0.328)	
Other AAPI			0.314				0.141	
			(0.209)				(0.215)	
Korean			0.122				−0.111	
			(0.366)				(0.369)	
Cuban				0.179				0.011
				(0.205)				(0.211)
Puerto Rican				−0.232				0.012
				(0.150)				(0.155)
Hispanic Other				0.194*				0.216*
				(0.115)				(0.117)
Constant	−7.324***	−6.354***	−6.855***	−6.464***	−7.905***	−6.917***	−7.429***	−7.296***
	(0.116)	(0.435)	(0.583)	(0.284)	(0.119)	(0.454)	(0.621)	(0.305)
Observations	33,583	4,047	1,190	4,160	33,392	4,020	1,183	4,127

***$p<0.01$; **$p<0.05$; *$p<0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on immigration attitudes and racial attitudes as measured by the FIRE scale using CCES 2016 data.

Table C9: Replication: 2020 CMPS Conservative Immigration Policy and Racial Resentment

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	4.022***	2.221***	3.955***	2.938***				
	(0.294)	(0.323)	(0.279)	(0.241)				
Racial Resentment					3.317***	2.040***	3.210***	2.441***
					(0.269)	(0.281)	(0.243)	(0.229)
College Education	−0.410***	−0.164	−0.375***	−0.230*	−0.231*	−0.142	−0.264**	−0.142
	(0.131)	(0.160)	(0.130)	(0.118)	(0.128)	(0.161)	(0.127)	(0.117)
Household Income	0.001	−0.004	−0.0002	−0.001	0.002	−0.004	−0.0002	−0.001
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Gender	0.324**	−0.686***	0.230**	−0.123	0.200	−0.687***	0.207*	−0.181*
	(0.127)	(0.145)	(0.113)	(0.106)	(0.125)	(0.146)	(0.112)	(0.105)
Age	−0.016***	−0.018***	−0.009**	−0.005	−0.014***	−0.011**	−0.005	−0.005
	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Party ID	0.948***	0.758***	0.918***	0.801***	0.963***	0.753***	0.909***	0.821***
	(0.045)	(0.041)	(0.039)	(0.033)	(0.044)	(0.041)	(0.039)	(0.032)
Ideology	0.629***	0.353***	0.493***	0.493***	0.621***	0.321***	0.486***	0.475***
	(0.074)	(0.072)	(0.074)	(0.058)	(0.073)	(0.072)	(0.074)	(0.058)
Indian			0.319*				0.235	
			(0.180)				(0.179)	
Other AAPI			0.182				0.140	
			(0.141)				(0.139)	
Korean			0.060				0.033	
			(0.210)				(0.210)	
Cuban				0.338				0.429**
				(0.213)				(0.213)
Puerto Rican				−0.206				−0.096
				(0.165)				(0.165)
Hispanic Other				0.132				0.189
				(0.119)				(0.117)
Constant	−7.951***	−5.776***	−8.221***	−6.784***	−7.959***	−5.779***	−8.070***	−6.860***
	(0.359)	(0.322)	(0.365)	(0.269)	(0.354)	(0.319)	(0.358)	(0.270)
Observations	2,999	4,005	3,974	4,006	2,999	4,005	3,974	4,006

***$p<0.01$; **$p<0.05$; *$p<0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on support for conservative immigration attitudes and racial resentment of respondents using CMPS data.

Table C10: Replication: 2020 CMPS White Ethnocentrism and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
White Ethnocentrism	3.501***	2.365***	5.212***	3.670***				
	(0.632)	(0.563)	(0.553)	(0.462)				
Sexism					1.143***	1.214***	1.764***	1.336***
					(0.273)	(0.293)	(0.267)	(0.231)
College Education	−0.284**	−0.171	−0.312**	−0.169	−0.330***	−0.157	−0.185	−0.161
	(0.123)	(0.158)	(0.125)	(0.115)	(0.122)	(0.159)	(0.124)	(0.114)
Household Income	0.001	−0.004	−0.001	−0.001	0.001	−0.003	−0.00004	−0.0004
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Gender	0.226*	−0.709***	0.165	−0.183*	0.266**	−0.618***	0.245**	−0.105
	(0.120)	(0.143)	(0.110)	(0.103)	(0.120)	(0.145)	(0.109)	(0.104)
Age	−0.006*	−0.012***	0.00004	0.001	−0.005	−0.012***	0.001	0.002
	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)
Party ID	0.982***	0.767***	0.911***	0.842***	0.985***	0.780***	0.932***	0.853***
	(0.043)	(0.041)	(0.038)	(0.032)	(0.043)	(0.041)	(0.037)	(0.032)
Ideology	0.762***	0.378***	0.541***	0.534***	0.788***	0.384***	0.585***	0.564***
	(0.070)	(0.072)	(0.072)	(0.057)	(0.070)	(0.071)	(0.071)	(0.056)
Indian			0.167				0.058	
			(0.176)				(0.175)	
Other AAPI			0.198				0.117	
			(0.136)				(0.134)	
Korean			−0.019				−0.137	
			(0.206)				(0.204)	
Cuban				0.361*				0.431**
				(0.210)				(0.209)
Puerto Rican				−0.131				−0.143
				(0.162)				(0.160)
Hispanic Other				0.115				0.154
				(0.116)				(0.115)
Constant	−8.596***	−6.163***	−9.228***	−7.787***	−7.515***	−5.861***	−7.814***	−6.921***
	(0.446)	(0.394)	(0.424)	(0.338)	(0.347)	(0.352)	(0.353)	(0.287)
Observations	2,999	4,005	3,974	4,006	2,999	4,005	3,974	4,006

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on support for White ethnocentrism and sexist attitudes of respondents using CMPS data.

Table C11: Attention, Status Hierarchy, and Support for Trump: Immigration and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	2.512***	2.070***	2.182***	2.406***				
	(0.033)	(0.102)	(0.111)	(0.070)				
Sexism					0.995***	1.314***	1.217***	0.845***
					(0.039)	(0.128)	(0.146)	(0.080)
Attention	−0.154***	0.210***	0.149	0.197***	−0.049*	0.345***	0.219**	0.187***
	(0.026)	(0.080)	(0.098)	(0.051)	(0.025)	(0.085)	(0.102)	(0.054)
College Education	−0.328***	0.086	−0.263***	0.037	−0.370***	0.089*	−0.148***	0.043
	(0.019)	(0.065)	(0.068)	(0.041)	(0.015)	(0.051)	(0.050)	(0.032)
Household Income	0.009***	0.018***	0.002	0.026***	0.008***	0.018***	0.005	0.028***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Gender	−0.285***	−0.672***	−0.239***	−0.369***	−0.227***	−0.628***	−0.129***	−0.326***
	(0.017)	(0.054)	(0.061)	(0.035)	(0.013)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.027)
Age	−0.006***	−0.005***	0.001	0.003**	−0.0003	0.002	0.006***	0.011***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	0.804***	0.654***	0.692***	0.676***	0.865***	0.666***	0.746***	0.735***
	(0.005)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.009)	(0.004)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.008)
Ideology	0.405***	0.197***	0.273***	0.230***	0.549***	0.285***	0.333***	0.291***
	(0.010)	(0.026)	(0.035)	(0.018)	(0.008)	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.015)
Indian			0.481***				0.501***	
			(0.096)				(0.071)	
Other AAPI			0.376***				0.335***	
			(0.082)				(0.061)	
Korean			0.200				0.247**	
			(0.138)				(0.103)	
Cuban				0.103				0.173***
				(0.079)				(0.061)
Puerto Rican				−0.257**				−0.150*
				(0.110)				(0.079)
Hispanic Other				0.020				0.036
				(0.038)				(0.029)
Immigration Policy*Attention	1.118***	0.313**	0.599***	0.583***				
	(0.054)	(0.157)	(0.191)	(0.114)				
Sexism*Attention					1.180***	0.366*	0.669***	0.881***
					(0.063)	(0.192)	(0.246)	(0.129)
Constant	−5.481***	−5.247***	−5.606***	−5.338***	−5.972***	−5.850***	−6.132***	−5.755***
	(0.042)	(0.120)	(0.167)	(0.080)	(0.035)	(0.109)	(0.141)	(0.071)
Observations	162,751	24,772	11,003	35,500	233,293	38,098	16,637	50,122

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling the interaction between attention to politics and self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on immigration policy and sexism using Nationscape data.

20

Table C12: Attention, Status Hierarchy, and Support for Trump: Racial Resentment and White Ethnocentrism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Racial Resentment	2.213***	1.444***	2.073***	1.980***				
	(0.034)	(0.108)	(0.129)	(0.073)				
White Ethnocentrism					1.961***	2.223***	1.948***	2.345***
					(0.075)	(0.203)	(0.228)	(0.133)
Attention	−0.043	0.285***	0.266**	0.262***	−0.088	0.196	−0.133	0.286***
	(0.035)	(0.082)	(0.130)	(0.070)	(0.064)	(0.162)	(0.203)	(0.110)
College Education	−0.275***	0.123**	−0.145***	0.099***	−0.395***	0.040	−0.209***	0.010
	(0.015)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.032)	(0.017)	(0.058)	(0.058)	(0.036)
Household Income	0.007***	0.018***	−0.001	0.025***	0.003**	0.016***	0.001	0.022***
	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.002)
Gender	−0.295***	−0.717***	−0.184***	−0.391***	−0.300***	−0.637***	−0.169***	−0.400***
	(0.013)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.027)	(0.015)	(0.049)	(0.052)	(0.031)
Age	−0.006***	0.0003	0.002	0.004***	−0.002***	−0.003	0.004**	0.007***
	(0.0004)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	0.843***	0.647***	0.720***	0.704***	0.871***	0.671***	0.737***	0.725***
	(0.004)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.008)	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.009)
Ideology	0.451***	0.257***	0.274***	0.232***	0.583***	0.297***	0.344***	0.302***
	(0.008)	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.015)	(0.009)	(0.024)	(0.031)	(0.017)
Indian			0.558***				0.563***	
			(0.072)				(0.081)	
Other AAPI			0.351***				0.339***	
			(0.062)				(0.070)	
Korean			0.312***				0.357***	
			(0.104)				(0.115)	
Cuban				0.207***				0.249***
				(0.062)				(0.070)
Puerto Rican				−0.101				−0.151
				(0.081)				(0.094)
Hispanic Other				0.069**				0.044
				(0.029)				(0.034)
Racial Resentment*Attention	0.643***	0.450***	0.289	0.415***				
	(0.054)	(0.162)	(0.213)	(0.114)				
White Ethnocentrism*Attention					0.704***	0.374	1.081***	0.330
					(0.118)	(0.316)	(0.385)	(0.213)
Constant	−6.270***	−5.693***	−6.257***	−5.944***	−6.556***	−6.146***	−6.392***	−6.271***
	(0.037)	(0.104)	(0.144)	(0.073)	(0.053)	(0.145)	(0.181)	(0.095)
Observations	233,490	38,236	16,706	50,308	172,571	27,064	12,933	37,394

$^{***}p<0.01$; $^{**}p<0.05$; $^{*}p<0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling the interaction between attention to politics and self-reported vote for Donald Trump based on Racial Resentment and White Ethnocentrism using Nationscape data.

Table C13: Robustness Checks: Support for Romney as a Function of Conservative Immigration Attitudes and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	0.713***	1.526***	1.229**	1.135***				
	(0.096)	(0.505)	(0.599)	(0.284)				
Sexism					0.242*	0.300	−0.016	0.846**
					(0.130)	(0.702)	(0.841)	(0.371)
College Education	0.305***	0.235	0.462	0.293	0.322***	0.452	0.270	0.209
	(0.069)	(0.393)	(0.420)	(0.208)	(0.058)	(0.350)	(0.336)	(0.172)
Household Income	0.026***	0.058**	0.061**	0.034**	0.026***	0.058**	0.065***	0.049***
	(0.005)	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.015)	(0.004)	(0.024)	(0.023)	(0.013)
Female	−0.018	−0.683*	−0.212	−0.344*	−0.055	−0.576	−0.160	−0.094
	(0.063)	(0.391)	(0.383)	(0.194)	(0.053)	(0.351)	(0.309)	(0.161)
Age	0.013***	0.021*	0.044***	0.007	0.017***	0.025**	0.035***	0.016***
	(0.002)	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.008)	(0.002)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.006)
Party ID	0.576***	0.572***	0.805***	0.609***	0.632***	0.733***	0.952***	0.667***
	(0.020)	(0.091)	(0.138)	(0.055)	(0.017)	(0.084)	(0.113)	(0.045)
Ideology	0.583***	0.392**	0.417**	0.216**	0.645***	0.402***	0.344**	0.253***
	(0.037)	(0.172)	(0.191)	(0.089)	(0.032)	(0.151)	(0.168)	(0.077)
Indian			−0.141				−1.130**	
			(0.682)				(0.537)	
Other AAPI			0.135				−0.444	
			(0.527)				(0.396)	
Korean			1.005				−0.061	
			(0.795)				(0.670)	
Cuban				0.492				0.305
				(0.431)				(0.347)
Puerto Rican				−1.298				−1.743*
				(1.069)				(1.051)
Hispanic Other				0.254				0.273*
				(0.200)				(0.165)
Constant	−6.508***	−8.331***	−10.094***	−6.147***	−6.985***	−9.090***	−9.079***	−6.992***
	(0.179)	(0.851)	(1.365)	(0.473)	(0.159)	(0.835)	(1.069)	(0.437)
Observations	8,207	1,281	379	1,376	11,311	1,858	522	1,880

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Mitt Romney based on immigration policy and sexism using Nationscape data.

Table C14: Robustness Checks: Support for Romney as a Function of Racial Resentment and White Ethnocentrism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Racial Resentment	0.895***	1.798***	1.210*	1.919***				
	(0.110)	(0.659)	(0.697)	(0.341)				
White Ethnocentrism					−0.075	0.212	1.020	0.661
					(0.234)	(1.240)	(1.254)	(0.591)
College Education	0.360***	0.490	0.313	0.296*	0.329***	0.370	0.510	0.213
	(0.058)	(0.355)	(0.337)	(0.176)	(0.067)	(0.376)	(0.402)	(0.193)
Household Income	0.027***	0.043*	0.061***	0.049***	0.020***	0.059**	0.063**	0.044***
	(0.004)	(0.025)	(0.023)	(0.013)	(0.005)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.014)
Female	−0.073	−0.718**	−0.061	−0.144	−0.026	−0.565	−0.205	0.001
	(0.052)	(0.357)	(0.312)	(0.161)	(0.061)	(0.385)	(0.367)	(0.182)
Age	0.016***	0.022*	0.031**	0.009	0.016***	0.019	0.036***	0.010
	(0.002)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.002)	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.007)
Party ID	0.615***	0.678***	0.897***	0.625***	0.643***	0.719***	0.960***	0.678***
	(0.016)	(0.086)	(0.112)	(0.046)	(0.019)	(0.091)	(0.130)	(0.052)
Ideology	0.600***	0.318**	0.345**	0.195**	0.653***	0.341**	0.416**	0.229***
	(0.032)	(0.152)	(0.168)	(0.077)	(0.037)	(0.161)	(0.194)	(0.085)
Indian			−1.104**				−0.712	
			(0.542)				(0.623)	
Other AAPI			−0.427				−0.334	
			(0.403)				(0.459)	
Korean			−0.017				0.067	
			(0.667)				(0.796)	
Cuban				0.463				0.485
				(0.349)				(0.383)
Puerto Rican				−1.619				−1.494
				(1.046)				(1.064)
Hispanic Other				0.343**				0.208
				(0.167)				(0.188)
Constant	−7.196***	−8.985***	−9.354***	−7.195***	−6.787***	−8.326***	−10.074***	−6.659***
	(0.162)	(0.787)	(1.073)	(0.430)	(0.207)	(0.921)	(1.382)	(0.503)
Observations	11,314	1,862	521	1,882	8,472	1,312	409	1,419

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for Mitt Romney based on Racial Resentment and White ethnocentrism using Nationscape data.

Table C15: Robustness Checks: Support for House Republicans as a Function of Conservative Immigration Attitudes and Sexism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Immigration Policy	1.961***	1.898***	1.811***	2.133***				
	(0.026)	(0.083)	(0.097)	(0.058)				
Sexism					0.734***	1.143***	0.750***	0.695***
					(0.033)	(0.101)	(0.130)	(0.069)
College Education	0.047**	0.108	−0.103	0.274***	0.034**	0.145***	0.020	0.303***
	(0.020)	(0.068)	(0.073)	(0.043)	(0.016)	(0.053)	(0.055)	(0.034)
Household Income	0.026***	0.021***	0.010**	0.031***	0.025***	0.017***	0.014***	0.034***
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.002)
Gender	−0.268***	−0.422***	−0.121*	−0.338***	−0.315***	−0.371***	−0.129**	−0.331***
	(0.017)	(0.057)	(0.066)	(0.037)	(0.013)	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.029)
Age	0.003***	−0.004**	0.003	0.007***	0.008***	0.0004	0.006***	0.012***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.0004)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	1.137***	0.915***	1.056***	0.950***	1.214***	0.924***	1.113***	1.001***
	(0.006)	(0.016)	(0.022)	(0.011)	(0.005)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.009)
Ideology	0.437***	0.073***	0.264***	0.126***	0.543***	0.144***	0.341***	0.206***
	(0.010)	(0.028)	(0.039)	(0.020)	(0.008)	(0.022)	(0.031)	(0.016)
Indian			0.162				0.189**	
			(0.103)				(0.079)	
Other AAPI			0.227***				0.202***	
			(0.086)				(0.066)	
Korean			0.076				0.021	
			(0.145)				(0.112)	
Cuban				0.265***				0.284***
				(0.085)				(0.065)
Puerto Rican				−0.263**				−0.259***
				(0.113)				(0.085)
Hispanic Other				−0.102**				−0.055*
				(0.040)				(0.031)
Constant	−7.919***	−6.015***	−7.216***	−6.446***	−8.468***	−6.407***	−7.778***	−6.874***
	(0.049)	(0.126)	(0.190)	(0.088)	(0.041)	(0.110)	(0.161)	(0.077)
Observations	181,061	27,242	12,187	38,782	260,004	42,151	18,406	54,886

$^{***}p < 0.01$; $^{**}p < 0.05$; $^{*}p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for House Republicans based on conservative immigration attitudes and sexism using Nationscape data.

Table C16: Robustness Checks: Support for House Republicans as a Function of Racial Resentment and White Ethnocentrism

	White	Black	AAPI	Latino	White	Black	AAPI	Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Racial Resentment	1.817***	1.830***	1.824***	1.944***				
	(0.028)	(0.087)	(0.115)	(0.061)				
White Ethnocentrism					1.656***	1.986***	1.635***	1.827***
					(0.062)	(0.159)	(0.204)	(0.109)
College Education	0.120***	0.175***	0.022	0.356***	−0.024	0.127**	−0.053	0.232***
	(0.016)	(0.054)	(0.056)	(0.035)	(0.018)	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.039)
Household Income	0.025***	0.017***	0.009**	0.031***	0.021***	0.013***	0.009**	0.028***
	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)
Gender	−0.341***	−0.426***	−0.157***	−0.374***	−0.296***	−0.399***	−0.103*	−0.339***
	(0.013)	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.029)	(0.016)	(0.050)	(0.058)	(0.034)
Age	0.004***	−0.001	0.002	0.007***	0.006***	−0.004**	0.005***	0.009***
	(0.0004)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Party ID	1.191***	0.894***	1.090***	0.969***	1.190***	0.918***	1.104***	0.977***
	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.009)	(0.006)	(0.014)	(0.020)	(0.010)
Ideology	0.467***	0.113***	0.280***	0.145***	0.568***	0.128***	0.344***	0.211***
	(0.008)	(0.023)	(0.032)	(0.016)	(0.010)	(0.025)	(0.035)	(0.018)
Indian			0.236***				0.150*	
			(0.079)				(0.090)	
Other AAPI			0.216***				0.142*	
			(0.067)				(0.076)	
Korean			0.090				−0.080	
			(0.113)				(0.127)	
Cuban				0.324***				0.198***
				(0.066)				(0.075)
Puerto Rican				−0.233***				−0.308***
				(0.087)				(0.100)
Hispanic Other				−0.019				−0.070*
				(0.032)				(0.036)
Constant	−8.800***	−6.443***	−8.001***	−7.130***	−8.801***	−6.467***	−7.995***	−7.118***
	(0.042)	(0.106)	(0.162)	(0.077)	(0.055)	(0.133)	(0.193)	(0.094)
Observations	260,283	42,327	18,482	55,100	192,859	29,980	14,334	41,083

$^{***}p < 0.01$; $^{**}p < 0.05$; $^{*}p < 0.1$

Note: Logistic regressions modeling self-reported vote for House Republicans based on Racial Resentment and White ethnocentrism using Nationscape data.

Table C17: Robustness Checks: 2011 Immigration Policy Support Predicting 2016 Trump and 2012 Romney Vote

	Romney 12 White	Trump 16 White	Romney 12 Black	Trump 16 Black	Romney 12 Latino	Trump 16 Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Immigration Policy	0.136***	0.202***	0.069***	0.072**	0.169***	0.284***
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.024)	(0.030)	(0.052)	(0.059)
College Education	−0.015	−0.081***	0.002	−0.029	−0.001	−0.036
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.039)	(0.044)
Household Income	0.010***	0.001	−0.001	−0.001	0.004	0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Gender	−0.020**	−0.007	−0.033*	−0.010	−0.042	−0.011
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.037)	(0.041)
Age	0.00000	−0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.004**	0.002
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Party ID	0.117***	0.102***	0.066***	0.072***	0.106***	0.089***
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.015)
Ideology	0.090***	0.102***	0.032***	0.044***	0.081***	0.078**
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.026)	(0.031)
Constant	−0.408***	−0.298***	−0.156***	−0.187***	−0.541***	−0.390***
	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.095)	(0.105)
Observations	5,122	4,871	573	535	320	308
Adjusted R^2	0.518	0.521	0.261	0.268	0.511	0.460

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Linear probability models with heteroskedastic-robust standard errors predicting self-reported 2016 vote for Donald Trump in 2016 and Mitt Romney in 2012 based on 2011 status hierarchy attitudes. Data from the VOTER Survey panel.

Table C18: Robustness Checks: 2011 Racial Resentment Predicting 2016 Trump and 2012 Romney Vote

	Romney 12 White	Trump 16 White	Romney 12 Black	Trump 16 Black	Romney 12 Latino	Trump 16 Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Racial Resentment	0.276***	0.373***	0.123***	0.132***	0.320***	0.460***
	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.037)	(0.043)	(0.071)	(0.089)
College Education	−0.001	−0.062***	0.004	−0.022	0.020	−0.008
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.039)	(0.045)
Household Income	0.009***	−0.001	−0.0002	−0.001	0.003	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.007)
Gender	−0.025**	−0.015	−0.036*	−0.011	−0.034	0.009
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.037)	(0.041)
Age	0.00000	−0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.004**	0.002
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Party ID	0.114***	0.099***	0.062***	0.071***	0.106***	0.091***
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.015)
Ideology	0.079***	0.088***	0.033***	0.046***	0.061**	0.053
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.012)	(0.026)	(0.034)
Constant	−0.455***	−0.356***	−0.168***	−0.210***	−0.608***	−0.495***
	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.041)	(0.045)	(0.096)	(0.107)
Observations	5,132	4,881	570	532	321	309
Adjusted R^2	0.523	0.526	0.255	0.275	0.521	0.465

***$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.1$

Note: Linear probability models with heteroskedastic-robust standard errors predicting self-reported 2016 vote for Donald Trump in 2016 and Mitt Romney in 2012 based on 2011 status hierarchy attitudes. Data from the VOTER Survey panel.

Table C19: Robustness Checks: 2011 White Ethnocentrism Support Predicting 2016 Trump and 2012 Romney Vote

	Romney 12 White	Trump 16 White	Romney 12 Black	Trump 16 Black	Romney 12 Latino	Trump 16 Latino
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
White Ethnocentrism	0.276***	0.470***	0.090	0.115	0.356	0.685***
	(0.054)	(0.052)	(0.076)	(0.110)	(0.252)	(0.252)
College Education	−0.017	−0.087***	0.005	−0.027	−0.027	−0.054
	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.042)	(0.047)
Household Income	0.008***	−0.0002	−0.001	−0.004	−0.0001	−0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.008)
Gender	−0.020*	−0.016	−0.032	−0.009	−0.034	0.006
	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.020)	(0.021)	(0.040)	(0.044)
Age	−0.00000	−0.00002	0.00001	0.00001	0.004**	0.002
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Party ID	0.122***	0.110***	0.069***	0.076***	0.114***	0.104***
	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.014)
Ideology	0.106***	0.117***	0.038***	0.048***	0.090***	0.089***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.028)	(0.032)
Constant	−0.540***	−0.518***	−0.200***	−0.229***	−0.682***	−0.665***
	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.054)	(0.071)	(0.133)	(0.148)
Observations	4,702	4,486	496	463	297	286
Adjusted R^2	0.522	0.511	0.258	0.272	0.502	0.435

$^{***}p < 0.01$; $^{**}p < 0.05$; $^{*}p < 0.1$

Note: Linear probability models with heteroskedastic-robust standard errors predicting self-reported 2016 vote for Donald Trump in 2016 and Mitt Romney in 2012 based on 2011 status hierarchy attitudes. Data from the VOTER Survey panel.

References

Ansolabehere, Stephen, and Douglas Rivers. 2013. "Cooperative survey research." *Annual Review of Political Science* 16 (May): 307–329. ISSN: 10942939. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisc

Holliday, Derek, Tyler Reny, Alex Rossell Hayes, Aaron Rudkin, Chris Tausanovitch, and Lynn Vavreck. 2021. "Democracy Fund and UCLA Nationscape Methodology and Representativeness Assessment."