

Are judges on *per curiam* courts ideological? Evidence from the European Court of Justice

Appendices

Table of Contents

Appendices	1
------------	---

Robustness Analyses

This supplementary appendix provides robustness checks for the primary analyses in the manuscript. Table A1 and A2 provides evidence that the main results hold both in OLS and logit specifications. Table A3 follows Garoupa, Gili and Gómez Pomar (2021), and models turnover as change in the prime minister – irrespective of whether the prime minister’s political party changed. Tables A4 and A5 models turnover as the absolute change in left-right ideology and EU ideology respectively.

Table A1: Hypothesis 1 Results Logit

	CJEU Agrees with Plaintiff						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Constant	-0.072 (0.051)		-2.04*** (0.073)	-0.435*** (0.089)	-2.53*** (0.264)		
JR Net Observations	0.878*** (0.213)	0.906*** (0.209)	0.900*** (0.251)	1.00*** (0.276)	0.844*** (0.271)	0.807*** (0.256)	
AG for Plaintiff			3.75*** (0.108)		3.25*** (0.117)	3.31*** (0.125)	
Commission Observation Plaintiff				2.13*** (0.133)	1.22*** (0.164)	1.22*** (0.168)	
Commission Observation Defendant					-1.05*** (0.140)	-1.03*** (0.186)	-1.00*** (0.192)
Commission is Plaintiff					1.81*** (0.116)	0.563 (0.422)	0.638 (0.435)
Commission is Defendant					-0.440*** (0.107)	-0.162 (0.173)	-0.034 (0.167)
Net Observations						0.318*** (0.071)	0.320*** (0.070)
Chamber Size						0.0007 (0.017)	-0.003 (0.019)
Government is Litigant						0.260 (0.173)	0.269 (0.174)
Infringement Case						0.755* (0.396)	0.774* (0.406)
Annulment Case						0.481*** (0.169)	0.438*** (0.166)
Failure to Act Case						-0.299 (0.785)	-0.428 (0.796)
Preliminary Reference Case						0.869*** (0.237)	0.936*** (0.222)
Staff Case						0.155 (0.255)	0.105 (0.251)
Observations	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434
JR fixed effects			✓				✓

Table A2: Hypothesis 2 Results Logit

	CJEU Agrees with Plaintiff					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	-0.115*		-2.11***	-0.447***	-2.58***	
	(0.059)		(0.088)	(0.083)	(0.271)	
JR Net Observations	1.22***	1.28***	0.971***	1.19***	1.02***	0.985***
	(0.282)	(0.294)	(0.267)	(0.397)	(0.311)	(0.297)
Turnover	0.102	0.185*	0.175*	0.031	0.134	0.077
	(0.093)	(0.108)	(0.102)	(0.080)	(0.082)	(0.108)
JR Net Observations × Turnover	-0.746*	-0.810**	-0.140	-0.381	-0.348	-0.351
	(0.383)	(0.376)	(0.472)	(0.507)	(0.582)	(0.563)
AG for Plaintiff			3.76***		3.25***	3.31***
			(0.110)		(0.119)	(0.126)
Commission Observation Plaintiff				2.13***	1.21***	1.23***
				(0.133)	(0.162)	(0.167)
Commission Observation Defendant				-1.05***	-1.04***	-0.997***
				(0.140)	(0.186)	(0.193)
Commission is Plaintiff				1.81***	0.566	0.634
				(0.117)	(0.420)	(0.436)
Commission is Defendant				-0.441***	-0.169	-0.032
				(0.107)	(0.170)	(0.166)
Net Observations					0.323***	0.326***
					(0.071)	(0.071)
Chamber Size					5.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	-0.003
					(0.017)	(0.019)
Government is Litigant					0.265	0.267
					(0.173)	(0.175)
Infringement Case					0.728*	0.769*
					(0.398)	(0.407)
Annulment Case					0.488***	0.434***
					(0.171)	(0.165)
Failure to Act Case					-0.286	-0.436
					(0.798)	(0.809)
Preliminary Reference Case					0.866***	0.931***
					(0.235)	(0.221)
Staff Case					0.151	0.106
					(0.256)	(0.251)
Observations	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434
JR fixed effects		✓				✓

Table A3: Hypothesis 2 Results Prime Minister Turnover

	CJEU Agrees with Plaintiff					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	0.460*** (0.017)		0.095*** (0.009)	0.381*** (0.017)	0.063** (0.029)	
JR Net Observations	0.279*** (0.046)	0.290*** (0.045)	0.123*** (0.033)	0.121** (0.051)	0.072** (0.034)	0.070** (0.033)
PM Turnover	0.032 (0.021)	0.061** (0.024)	0.032*** (0.011)	0.016 (0.013)	0.024*** (0.007)	0.014 (0.008)
JR Net Observations × PM Turnover	-0.115* (0.067)	-0.126* (0.064)	-0.035 (0.043)	0.024 (0.060)	0.005 (0.047)	0.009 (0.046)
AG for Plaintiff			0.729*** (0.013)		0.593*** (0.019)	0.594*** (0.019)
Commission Observation Plaintiff				0.449*** (0.025)	0.156*** (0.021)	0.155*** (0.022)
Commission Observation Defendant				-0.205*** (0.027)	-0.130*** (0.023)	-0.126*** (0.023)
Commission is Plaintiff				0.406*** (0.024)	0.102* (0.058)	0.106* (0.057)
Commission is Defendant				-0.098*** (0.024)	-0.022 (0.020)	-0.009 (0.018)
Net Observations					0.027*** (0.006)	0.028*** (0.006)
Chamber Size					-7.26 × 10 ⁻⁵ (0.002)	-4.74 × 10 ⁻⁵ (0.002)
Government is Litigant					0.030* (0.017)	0.030* (0.018)
Infringement Case					0.098* (0.055)	0.099* (0.055)
Annulment Case					0.060*** (0.020)	0.054*** (0.020)
Failure to Act Case					-0.022 (0.062)	-0.033 (0.060)
Preliminary Reference Case					0.115*** (0.028)	0.120*** (0.026)
Staff Case					0.018 (0.030)	0.012 (0.029)
Observations	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434	5,434
JR fixed effects			✓			✓

Standard errors clustered by JR are in parentheses *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table A4: Hypothesis 2 Results Change in Left-Right Ideology

	CJEU Agrees with Plaintiff					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	0.478*** (0.014)		0.112*** (0.008)	0.398*** (0.021)	0.081** (0.031)	
JR Net Observations	0.252*** (0.051)	0.256*** (0.049)	0.093*** (0.025)	0.148*** (0.048)	0.070** (0.027)	0.071*** (0.026)
Difference Ideology	-0.001 (0.009)	0.013* (0.008)	0.002 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.005)	0.0009 (0.004)	0.0005 (0.004)
JR Net Observations × Difference Ideology	-0.079*** (0.022)	-0.081*** (0.021)	-0.006 (0.021)	-0.020 (0.025)	0.0010 (0.022)	0.0009 (0.022)
AG for Plaintiff			0.727*** (0.014)		0.590*** (0.021)	0.592*** (0.021)
Commission Observation Plaintiff				0.445*** (0.026)	0.154*** (0.024)	0.154*** (0.024)
Commission Observation Defendant				-0.210*** (0.028)	-0.136*** (0.024)	-0.131*** (0.025)
Commission is Plaintiff				0.401*** (0.027)	0.083 (0.062)	0.093 (0.062)
Commission is Defendant				-0.101*** (0.025)	-0.022 (0.022)	-0.009 (0.020)
Net Observations					0.028*** (0.007)	0.029*** (0.007)
Chamber Size					0.0003 (0.002)	-4.74×10^{-5} (0.002)
Government is Litigant					0.025 (0.019)	0.026 (0.019)
Infringement Case					0.116* (0.061)	0.112* (0.062)
Annulment Case					0.061*** (0.022)	0.051** (0.022)
Failure to Act Case					-0.036 (0.065)	-0.050 (0.064)
Preliminary Reference Case					0.114*** (0.032)	0.117*** (0.029)
Staff Case					0.015 (0.032)	0.006 (0.031)
Observations	4,987	4,987	4,987	4,987	4,987	4,987
JR fixed effects			✓			✓

Standard errors clustered by JR are in parentheses *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table A5: Hypothesis 2 Results Change in EU Ideology

	CJEU Agrees with Plaintiff						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Constant	0.475*** (0.015)		0.108*** (0.009)	0.372*** (0.019)	0.065** (0.030)		
JR Net Observations	0.216*** (0.045)	0.219*** (0.044)	0.107*** (0.027)	0.157*** (0.044)	0.090*** (0.029)	0.091*** (0.028)	
Difference EU Ideology	0.005 (0.007)	0.018*** (0.004)	0.008 (0.005)	0.003 (0.003)	0.008** (0.003)	0.007** (0.003)	
JR Net Observations × Difference EU Ideology	-0.059** (0.023)	-0.060** (0.022)	-0.039*** (0.007)	-0.049*** (0.014)	-0.038*** (0.008)	-0.039*** (0.008)	
AG for Plaintiff			0.732*** (0.016)		0.595*** (0.024)	0.596*** (0.025)	
Commission Observation Plaintiff				0.475*** (0.021)	0.172*** (0.022)	0.173*** (0.022)	
Commission Observation Defendant					-0.185*** (0.025)	-0.116*** (0.024)	-0.110*** (0.024)
Commission is Plaintiff					0.417*** (0.029)	0.093 (0.070)	0.098 (0.070)
Commission is Defendant					-0.081*** (0.024)	-0.004 (0.022)	0.005 (0.020)
Net Observations						0.028*** (0.008)	0.028*** (0.008)
Chamber Size						-0.0008 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
Government is Litigant						0.011 (0.017)	0.012 (0.017)
Infringement Case						0.138** (0.063)	0.133** (0.064)
Annulment Case						0.063*** (0.023)	0.053** (0.022)
Failure to Act Case						-0.029 (0.079)	-0.050 (0.078)
Preliminary Reference Case						0.119*** (0.033)	0.113*** (0.034)
Staff Case						0.018 (0.034)	0.006 (0.034)
Observations	4,379	4,379	4,379	4,379	4,379	4,379	4,379
JR fixed effects			✓			✓	

Standard errors clustered by JR are in parentheses *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01