SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Relationship between serum fatty acids and circulating triglyceride levels

To investigate the correlation between percent total fatty acid and circulating triglyceride levels, we use a Taylor-series expansion to derive an approximate distribution for joint distribution of these random quantities. This method uses a local linear approximation for non-linear quantities, which is also often referred to as error propagation in the life sciences.

Let X_1 denote the measured concentration of a fatty acid of interest, and X_2 denotes the sum of the measured concentrations of all other fatty acids. For these random variables, μ_1 denotes the population average concentration of the fatty acid of interest, μ_2 the average of the sum of the concentrations of all other fatty acids in the population, σ_1 and σ_2 the respective population standard deviations, and let ρ be the correlation between X_1 and X_2 . We further denote the measured level of a triglyceride with T. For this random variables, μ_T denotes the population average concentration, σ_T the population standard deviations, and ρ_1 and ρ_2 the correlations with X_1 and X_2 , respectively.

To derive the covariance between percent total fatty acid $X_1/(X_1 + X_2)$ and triglyceride levels T, we assume that the joint distribution of X_1 , X_2 and T is given by a trivariate distribution with the following mean vector μ and variance-covariance matrix Σ , respectively:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \rho_1\sigma_1\sigma_T \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 & \rho_2\sigma_2\sigma_T \\ \rho_1\sigma_1\sigma_T & \rho_2\sigma_2\sigma_T & \sigma_T^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the function $f(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_T) = \{\mu_1/(\mu_1 + \mu_2), \mu_T\}$ with partial derivatives

$$\frac{\delta f(\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_T)}{\delta \mu_1} = \left(\frac{\mu_2}{(\mu_1+\mu_2)^2},0\right), \quad \frac{\delta f(\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_T)}{\delta \mu_2} = \left(\frac{-\mu_1}{(\mu_1+\mu_2)^2},0\right), \quad \frac{\delta f(\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_T)}{\delta \mu_T} = (0,1),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}\left\{X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}),T\right\} &\approx \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & \frac{-\mu_{1}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} & \rho_{1}\sigma_{1}\sigma_{T}\\ \rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \rho_{2}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{T}\\ \rho_{1}\sigma_{1}\sigma_{T} & \rho_{2}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{T} & \sigma_{T}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & 0\\ -\frac{-\mu_{1}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}\mu_{2}^{2}-2\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}\mu_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\mu_{1}^{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{4}} & \frac{\sigma_{T}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} \left(\rho_{1}\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}-\rho_{2}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}\right)\\ \frac{\sigma_{T}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} \left(\rho_{1}\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}-\rho_{2}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}\right) & \sigma_{T}^{2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the covariance between percent total fatty acid $X_1/(X_1+X_2)$ and triglyceride levels T

$$\operatorname{Cov} \left\{ X_1 / (X_1 + X_2), T \right\} = \frac{\sigma_T}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2} \left(\rho_1 \sigma_1 \mu_2 - \rho_2 \sigma_2 \mu_1 \right).$$

can be positive or negative, depending on the population parameters. The correlation between percent of total and triglyceride levels T is given by the scaled covariance

$$\operatorname{Cor}\left\{X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}),T\right\} = \frac{\rho_{1}\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}-\rho_{2}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{(\sigma_{1}^{2}\mu_{2}^{2}-2\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}\mu_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\mu_{1}^{2})}}$$

Specifically, the correlation between triglyceride and percentage of total will be negative if

$$\operatorname{Cor} \{X_1/(X_1 + X_2), T\} < 0 \iff \rho_1 \sigma_1 \mu_2 - \rho_2 \sigma_2 \mu_1 < 0$$
$$\iff \rho_1 \sigma_1 \mu_2 < \rho_2 \sigma_2 \mu_1$$
$$\iff \rho_1 \sigma_1/\mu_1 < \rho_2 \sigma_2/\mu_2.$$

Relationship between alternative forms for fatty acid measurement

To investigate the correlation between percent total fatty acid and total fatty acid concentration, we again let X_1 denote the measured concentration of a *fatty acid of interest*, and X_2 denotes the *sum of the measured concentrations of all other fatty acids*. For these random variables, μ_1 denotes the population average concentration of the fatty acid of interest, μ_2 the average of the sum of the concentrations of

all other fatty acids in the population, σ_1 and σ_2 the respective population standard deviations, and let ρ be the correlation between X_1 and X_2 . We assume that the joint distribution of X_1 and X_2 is given by a bivariate distribution with the following mean vector μ and variance-covariance matrix Σ , respectively:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are interested in the correlation between percent total fatty acid $X_1/(X_1 + X_2)$ and total fatty acid $X_1 + X_2$ concentrations. We therefore use the function $f(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \{\mu_1/(\mu_1 + \mu_2), \mu_1 + \mu_2\}$ to approximate

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var} \left\{ X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}), X_{1}+X_{2} \right\} \\ \approx \quad \left\{ \frac{\delta f(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})}{\delta \mu_{1}}, \frac{\delta f(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})}{\delta \mu_{2}} \right\}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \\ \rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{\delta f(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})}{\delta \mu_{1}}, \frac{\delta f(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})}{\delta \mu_{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The partial derivatives are

$$\frac{\delta f(\mu_1,\mu_2)}{\delta \mu_1} = \left(\frac{\mu_2}{(\mu_1+\mu_2)^2} \ , \ 1\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\delta f(\mu_1,\mu_2)}{\delta \mu_2} = \left(\frac{-\mu_1}{(\mu_1+\mu_2)^2} \ , \ 1\right),$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}\left\{X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}), X_{1}+X_{2}\right\} &\approx \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & \frac{-\mu_{1}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} \\ \rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & 1 \\ \frac{-\mu_{1}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}\mu_{2}^{2}-2\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}\mu_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\mu_{1}^{2}}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{4}} & \frac{\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}(\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2})-\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}(\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1})}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} \\ \frac{\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}(\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2})-\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}(\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1})}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}} & \sigma_{1}^{2}+2\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the covariance between fatty acid concentrations and total fatty acid

$$\mathsf{Cov} \{ X_1, X_1 + X_2 \} = \sigma_1(\sigma_1 + \rho \sigma_2)$$

is positive as long as $\rho \ge 0$ (which is expected due to between subject variability of fatty acid concentrations), while the covariance between percent of total and total

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left\{X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}), X_{1}+X_{2}\right\} = \frac{\sigma_{1}\mu_{2}(\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2}) - \sigma_{2}\mu_{1}(\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1})}{(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})^{2}}$$

can be positive or negative, depending on the population parameters.

The correlation between percent of total and total is given by the scaled covariance

$$\operatorname{Cor}\left\{X_1/(X_1+X_2), X_1+X_2\right\} = \frac{\sigma_1\mu_2(\sigma_1+\rho\sigma_2)-\sigma_2\mu_1(\sigma_2+\rho\sigma_1)}{\sqrt{(\sigma_1^2\mu_2^2-2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2\mu_1\mu_2+\sigma_2^2\mu_1^2)(\sigma_1^2+2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2+\sigma_2^2)}}.$$

The correlation between total fatty acid and percentage of total fatty acid will be negative if

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cor}\left\{X_{1}/(X_{1}+X_{2}), X_{1}+X_{2}\right\} < 0 &\iff \sigma_{1}\mu_{2}(\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2})-\sigma_{2}\mu_{1}(\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1}) < 0 \\ &\iff \sigma_{1}\mu_{2}(\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2}) < \sigma_{2}\mu_{1}(\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1}) \\ &\iff (\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2})\sigma_{1}/\mu_{1} < (\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1})\sigma_{2}/\mu_{2} \\ &\iff \frac{\sigma_{1}/\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{2}/\mu_{2}} < \frac{\sigma_{2}+\rho\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}+\rho\sigma_{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sign of the correlation depends on the magnitude of the ratio of the coefficients of variation, with the exact threshold also depending on ρ . For the extremes, $\rho = 1$ implies that the the ratio of the coefficients of variation has to be less than one (since $\sigma_2 + \rho\sigma_1 = \sigma_1 + \rho\sigma_2 = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$), and $\rho = 0$ implies that the the ratio of the coefficients of variation has to be less than the ratio of standard deviations σ_2/σ_1 .