Data supplement to Fairweather-Schmidt & Wade. Weight-related peer-teasing, genetic and environmental risk and disordered eating: twin study. British Journal of Psychiatry doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.116.184648

Authors	<i>n</i> twins	Disordered eating	Environmental	Summary of results
	age	indicator	measure	
Racine, Burt, Iacono,	1678	Self-report binge	Self-report dietary	Genetic and non-shared
McGue, & Klump, 2011 ⁵	11 to 29 years	eating from	restraint: Eating	environmental factors for binge
		Minnesota Eating	Disorder	eating significantly increased at
		Behavior Survey	Examination	higher levels of dietary restraint
		(MEBS)	Questionnaire	
Suisman, Thompson, Keel,	1064	Self-report	Self-report puberty:	Influence of genetic versus
Burt, Neale, Boker, Sisk,	8 to 25 years	internalisation of the	Pubertal	environmental risk did not differ
& Klump, 2014 ⁶		thin ideal:	Development Scale	significantly across age or
		Sociocultural		pubertal groups
		Attitudes		
		Toward Appearance		
		Questionnaire-3		
Klump, Burt, McGue, &	510	Self-report	Self-report puberty:	Significant increases in genetic
Iacono, 2007 ⁷	13 to 16 years	disordered eating:	Pubertal	influence on disordered eating
		Total Score from the	Development Scale	with advancing pubertal
		MEBS		development
Suisman, Burt, McGue,	1810	Self-report	Self-report parental	Significantly higher heritability
Iacono, & Klump, 2011 ⁸	14 to 28 years	disordered eating:	divorce	of body dissatisfaction subscale
		MEBS		of MEBS only in twins from
				divorced versus intact families
O'Connor, Klump,	1534	Self-report body	Self-report parental	Non-shared environmental
VanHuysse, McGue, &	16 to 20 years	dissatisfaction: Body	divorce	influences but not heritability
Iacono, 2016 ⁹		Rating Scale		estimates in the divorced group
				were significantly greater than
				estimates in the intact group

Table DS1 Summary of previous studies to address G–E interplay related to disordered eating in twin samples