Camacho et al - Supplementary material

It was possible to calculate utilities for the majority (69-95%) of participants at all time points and there was little difference in the proportion with complete and partial data. For costs however, it is clear that for a number of participants only partial data was reported, which is particularly notable at 4 months. At 24 months a similar proportion of participants had complete data for the different categories but at four months more participants had complete data for inpatient and emergency admissions than outpatient and primary care visits.

Overall, utility data were more complete than cost data. The proportion of participants with data for both costs and utility was somewhat lower than for either variable alone.

Table S1 Summary of available cost and utility data

		N (%)		
		[% partial data] ^a		
	Cost	Utility	Cost and utility	
Study time point				
Baseline	n/a	366 (95) [99]	n/a	
4 months	227 (59) [84]	316 (82) [83]	225 (58)	
24 months	216 (56) [68]	266 (69) [69]	215 (56)	
All	142 (37)	229 (59)	134 (35)	

Participants with complete cost data by healthcare category

		N (%)
	4 months	24 months
Primary and community	276 (71)	248 (64)
Outpatient	269 (70)	260 (67)
Hospital day case	309 (80)	237 (61)
Inpatient	324 (84)	264 (68)
Emergency	323 (83)	256 (66)

^aResponse to at least one domain on EQ-5D and cost recorded for at least one category of resource use

Table S2 Baseline characteristics of participants with and without complete cost and utility data

Complete cases Incomplete cases p-value		
(N=134)	(N=253)	difference
58 (11.6)	59 (11.7)	0.37
42%	36%	0.26
21%	10%	0.006*
	n=249	
30%	23%	0.17
6 (3)	6 (3)	0.40
2.29 (0.77)	2.38 (0.76)	0.25
0.562 (0.292)	0.517 (0.291)	0.16
	N=232	
54%	49%	0.38
	(N=134) 58 (11.6) 42% 21% 30% 6 (3) 2.29 (0.77) 0.562 (0.292)	(N=134) (N=253) 58 (11.6) 59 (11.7) 42% 36% 21% 10% n=249 30% 23% 6 (3) 6 (3) 2.29 (0.77) 2.38 (0.76) 0.562 (0.292) 0.517 (0.291) N=232

^{*}statistically significant difference at 0.05 level

p-values derived using unadjusted logistic regression with completeness as a binary dependent variable

Table S3 Costs of healthcare resources used over 24 months and health state index, unadjusted values

	Collaborative care		Usual care	
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)
Primary & community care	85	£1610 (2094)	110	£1401 (2720)
Hospital outpatient visits	94	£1195 (2840)	108	£920 (1362)
Hospital day case visits	95	£738 (1823)	111	£788 (1906)
A & E visits	105	£163 (375)	127	£179 (303)
Hospital inpatient care	109	£3564 (9944)	133	£2697 (8925)
Intervention cost (including training)	£321	(168)	n/a	
Training cost	£130		n/a	
All costs	64	£8052 (14,398)	78	£4866 (8530)
Difference in total cost		31	.86	
(95% CI; p-value)		(-664, 70	35; 0.10	04)
Health state (EQ-5D) index	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)
Baseline	181	0.548 (0.287)	185	0.519 (0.296)
4 months	152	0.603 (0.295)	164	0.557 (0.298)
24 months	121	0.609 (0.290)	145	0.500 (0.341)
QALYs	105	1.199 (0.553)	124	1.054 (0.585)
(between baseline and 24 months)				
Difference in QALYs		0.1	144	
(95% CI; p-value)		(-0.005, 0.	294; 0.	058)

N= number of participants using a particular service; mean cost calculated for those using the respective service only (i.e. zeros are excluded)

Costs reported as GBP (\pounds) standardised to single price year (2015-16)

QALY = quality adjusted life year

CONSORT checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	3
Introduction Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	4
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	4
Methods Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	4
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	n/a
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	4
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	4
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	5
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	5
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	n/a
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	4
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	n/a
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	4
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as	4

blocking and block size)

Allocation concealment mechanism	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	4
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	4
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how	n/a
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	n/a
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	6
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	6
Results Participant flow (a diagram is strongly recommended)	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome	7
	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	Fig S1
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	7
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	as planned
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	Table 1
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups	All tables
Outcomes and estimation	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	7-9; Table 2
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	n/a
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing	

pre-specified from exploratory

Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	Table 2
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	9-10
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	9-10
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	11
Other information			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	4
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	4
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	11

$CHEERS\ checklist\\--Items\ to\ include\ when\ reporting\ economic\ evaluations\ of\ health\ interventions$

Cootion /t	Item	Decommon detion	Domos:4-3
Section/item	No	Recommendation	Reported on
Title and abstract Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	Page 1
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	Page 3
Introduction			
Background and objectives	3	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study.	Page 4
		Present the study question and its relevance for health policy or practice decisions.	Page 4
Methods			
Target population and subgroups	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen.	Page 5
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s) need(s) to be made.	Pages 4-5
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated.	Page 6
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen.	Page 5
Time horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate.	Page 5
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate.	Page 5
Choice of health outcomes	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.	Page 5
Measurement of effectiveness	11a	Single study-based estimates: Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.	Pages 4-6
	11b	Synthesis-based estimates: Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical effectiveness data.	n/a
Measurement and valuation of preference based	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit preferences for outcomes.	II/ a
outcomes			n/a
Estimating resources and costs	13	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative interventions. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity costs.	Page 5

Saction litem	Item	Decommendation	Donouted on
Section/item	No	Recommendation Papert the dates of the estimated resource quantities and	Reported or
Currency, price date, and	14	Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit	Page 7
conversion		costs to the year reported costs if necessary. Describe	(dates)
		methods for converting costs into a common currency base	Page 6
		and the exchange rate.	(methods)
Choice of model	15	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision-	
		analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model structure is strongly recommended.	n /o
Assumptions	16	Ç.,	n/a
Assumptions	16	Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the decision-analytical model.	n/a
Analytical methods	17	Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation.	
		This could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or censored data; extrapolation methods; methods	
		for pooling data; approaches to validate or make	
		adjustments (such as half cycle corrections) to a model; and	
		methods for handling population heterogeneity and	D 67
D 1/		uncertainty.	Pages 6-7
Results	10		
Study parameters	18	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used, probability distributions for all parameters. Report reasons	
		or sources for distributions used to represent uncertainty	
		where appropriate. Providing a table to show the input	
		values is strongly recommended.	n/a
Incremental costs	19	For each intervention, report mean values for the main	
and outcomes		categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as	
		well as mean differences between the comparator groups. If	Page 16
Characterising	20	applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.	Tables 3,S3
Characterising uncertainty	20	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental	
ancertainty		cost and incremental effectiveness parameters, together with	
		the impact of methodological assumptions (such as discount	
		rate, study perspective).	Table 3
Characterising	21	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost-	
heterogeneity		effectiveness that can be explained by variations between	
		subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or other observed variability in effects that are not reducible	
		by more information.	n/a
Discussion			
Study findings,	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they	
Study Illianigs,		support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the	
limitations,			
limitations, generalisability, and		generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with	D 0 11
limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge		generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge.	Pages 9-11
limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge Other		current knowledge.	Pages 9-11
limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge Other	23	current knowledge. Describe how the study was funded and the role of the	Pages 9-11
limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge		current knowledge. Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting	
limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge Other	23	current knowledge. Describe how the study was funded and the role of the	Pages 9-11 Page 11