

Appendix

Table A1: Summary Statistics: Election Monitoring, Counterterrorism, and Intellectual Property Regime Complexes

Regime Complex	Time Span	# IOs	Avg. IO Membership	Total Occurrences of Institutional Deference	Avg. Deference among IO dyads
Counter-Terrorism	1999-2013	16	48	740	0.073
Election Monitoring	1994-2013	6	178	110	0.069
Intellectual Property	1989-2013	10	49	426	0.036

Table A2: Summary Statistics: Member State Power and Functional Efficiency Variables

Variable	Level	Unit of Measurement	Sample Mean	Standard Deviation
Institutional Deference	Directed IO Dyad-Year	Proportion of Policy Documents	0.061	0.191
Great Power Difference	Directed IO Dyad-Year	Count of Great Power States	-0.307	3.000
Weighted Voting	IO Year	Indicator	0.102	0.303
Technical IO	IO	Indicator	0.478	0.500
Binding-Technical Pair	IO Dyad	Indicator	0.531	0.500
Decision-Making Difference	Directed IO Dyad	Ease of Decision-Making (IO B) - Ease of Decision-Making (IO A)	0.056	0.992

Table A3: Institutional Deference: Coding Scheme

Score	Type	Criteria	Example
1	Passing Reference	IO A refers to IO B's activities or rules on a matter not relevant to the issue area	Commonwealth Secretariat, 1995: “[We] welcomed the adoption by the Organization of African Unity of the Pelindaba Treaty on the Establishment of an African Nuclear Weapon Free Zone.”
2	Rule Reference	IO A refers to IO B's activities or rules in the issue area	Organization of American States, 2010: “The Commonwealth Secretariat also mounted a three-person [election monitoring] mission under the leadership of the Hon. Chris Carter, a former New Zealand Minister.”
3	Intent to Coordinate	IO A makes an attempt to coordinate its activities or rules with IO B	World Trade Organization, 1998: “The secretariat was asked to contact the FAO, the secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity and UPOV to request factual information on their activities.”
4	Cooperative Action and Rule Endorsement	IO A engages in a joint endeavor with IO B or endorses a set of rules or activities undertaken by IO B	World Trade Organization, 1999: “The secretariat cooperates with a number of inter-governmental organizations, notably with WIPO pursuant to the agreement between WIPO and the WTO...and the joint initiative on technical cooperation.”
5	Deference	IO A explicitly accepts IO B's authority on a particular issue	Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation, 2002: “[APEC members] are implementing the measures called for in relevant UN Security Council resolutions and are putting in place the legal and regulatory mechanisms to implement Resolution 1373.”

<p>Nuclear/ Transportation</p> <p>secur state nuclear organ materi develop work provide safeti aviat</p>	<p>Terrorism Finance 1</p> <p>requir institut regul inform bank compani include recommend effect implement</p>	<p>Criminalization of Terrorism</p> <p>act crimin crime case court police list unit secur investig</p>	<p>Terrorism Finance 2</p> <p>report transact legal amlcft issu custom finance complianc busi relat</p>	<p>Human Rights</p> <p>right nation human law terror protect unit counterterror intern also</p>
<p>Terrorism Finance 3</p> <p>money launder risk use organis provid account fund financi compani</p>	<p>Diplomacy 1</p> <p>author measur law appli section also conduct entiti foreign request</p>	<p>Diplomacy 2</p> <p>person articl provid shall offenc order may nation purpose state</p>	<p>Diplomacy 3</p> <p>requir oper terrorist servic activ institut can system inform countri</p>	<p>Multilateralism</p> <p>state member resolut council commite terror convent request intern legal</p>

Figure A1: *Topics Discussed by Counterterrorism IOs*: The figure displays the 10 highest probability words in each of the ten estimated topics. Topic labels are assigned by the author based upon the most common words. All words have been stemmed and converted to lower case.




Figure A2: *Substantive Effects of Power, Efficiency Variables on Institutional Deference:* The figure displays the change in the intensity of deference resulting from a one standard deviation increase in each variable (dichotomous variables are shifted from 0 to 1). 95% confidence intervals are calculated via 1,000 clustered bootstrap simulations of Model 3.