**Appendix**

This appendix includes the results of an extended analysis concerning the impact of regional mediation efforts in the Middle East and South America. This extended analysis focuses on mediation efforts by regional third-parties in the Middle East and Latin America, because these are both regions where regional third-parties with a high degree of third-party capacity are largely absent, as opposed to potential regional third parties in Europe and Asia. This makes the Middle East and Latin America regions suitable for a comparison to Africa. I draw on data from Svensson to code whether a mediation effort took place in a given conflict dyad-year in non-African conflicts in these regions between 1975 and 2015.[[1]](#footnote-1)

Table A-1 below shows that both regional and non-regional mediation efforts in the Middle East and Latin America have a positive impact on the likelihood that a negotiated settlement is concluded, but only the impact of non-regional mediation efforts is statistically significant. This effect is significant at the 5 percent level. This suggest that regional third parties are less effective than non-regional third parties in the Middle East and South America.

This could mean that the African solution norm bestows legitimacy onto African third-parties that not only non-African third-parties do not get, but also that regional mediators in other regions do not get.

*Table A-1: Logit Estimates on the Likelihood of Negotiated Settlements in Civil Wars in the Middle East and South America, 1975-2015*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| VARIABLES | (1) |
|  |  |
| Regional Mediation | 0.647 |
|  | (0.485) |
| Non-Regional Mediation | 2.190\*\* |
|  | (0.974) |
| War Support | omitted |
|  |  |
| Conflict Intensity | -0.968 |
|  | (1.112) |
| Duration | 0.0522\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0136) |
| Territorial Issue | -0.307 |
|  | (0.630) |
| Time Since Last Negotiated Settlement | -2.040\*\* |
|  | (0.822) |
| Spline1 | -0.446\* |
|  | (0.269) |
| Spline2 | 0.157 |
|  | (0.116) |
| Spline3 | -0.00189 |
|  | (0.0114) |
| Constant | -3.005\*\*\* |
|  | (0.528) |
| Pseudo-R2 | 0.2919 |
| Likelihood ratio chi-square | 491.93\*\*\* |
| Observations | 423 |

Note: Conflict-dyad-years with no mediation is the reference category. Robust standard errors, clustered on the conflict level, are in parentheses. \*significant at 10 percent; \*\*significant at 5 percent; \*\*\*significant at 1 percent.
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