

1 **Validation of a body condition scoring system in Nili Ravi dairy buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*): Inter-**  
2 **and intra-assessor variability**

3

4 Sayyad H. Magsi<sup>1</sup>, Nisar Ahamed<sup>1</sup> Muhammad A. Rashid<sup>2</sup>, Musa Baha<sup>1,3</sup>, Maqsood Akhter<sup>4</sup>,  
5 and Muhammd Q. Shahid<sup>1\*</sup>

6

7

8 <sup>1</sup> Department of Livestock Management, and

9 <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore  
10 54000, Pakistan

11 <sup>3</sup>School of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of The Gambia

12 <sup>2</sup>Buffalo Research Institute, Pattoki 55300, Punjab, Pakistan

13

14 **Supplementary file**

15 **Material & Methods**

16 *Body condition scoring system*

17 The BCS system used was the Elanco Animal Health body condition scoring chart for dairy  
18 cattle [Elanco Animal Health, 1996), based on Wildman et al. (1982) and Ferguson et al.  
19 (1994)]. This is a 5-point BCS system ranging from 1 to 5 with 0.25 increments and based on  
20 only visual assessment of body parts. The mid-range scores (2.5 to 4.0) of this system  
21 accurately assess body fat reserves and are critical for making management decisions. The  
22 buffalo body sketch is presented in figure S1 and the BCS points and the brief description of  
23 corresponding body parts have been presented in table S1.

24 *BCS assessment methodology*

25 The assessors recorded the BCS values of buffaloes in two phases. During phase I, the  
26 assessors were given the printed BCS chart developed for dairy cattle by Elanco Animal  
27 Health Ltd. One of the authors explained the details of the BCS chart to the assessors using  
28 powerpoint presentation. The assessors were then asked to assign the BCS to the buffaloes  
29 while having chart printouts with them as per the study plan. During phase II, the assessors  
30 were trained on live buffaloes. One of the authors gave a practical demonstration of assigning  
31 BCS on restrained buffaloes. Each body point used in the BCS chart was physically touched  
32 and shown to the assessors for clarity and differentiation. After the demonstration, the  
33 assessors assigned BCS to the buffaloes enrolled in the study according to the plan. .

34 **Results**

35 The frequency distribution of body condition scores assigned to different buffaloes during the  
36 study is presented in table S2. The most frequently assessed score was 3.75 followed by 3.5,  
37 3.25, 4.0, 3.0 and 2.75. The assessed scores were within the functional mid-range of the BCS  
38 system.

39 **References**

- 40 Elanco Animal Health 1996 Body condition scoring. Bulletin AI 8478, Rev. 9/96. Elanco  
41 Animal Health, Indianapolis, IN. Avialable at  
42 [https://www.vet.cornell.edu/sites/default/files/1e\\_Elanco%20Cow%20Body\\_conditi](https://www.vet.cornell.edu/sites/default/files/1e_Elanco%20Cow%20Body_conditi)  
43 [on\\_scoring\\_V3.pdf](https://www.vet.cornell.edu/sites/default/files/1e_Elanco%20Cow%20Body_conditi)  
44 Ferguson JD, Galligan DT & Thomsen N 1994 Principal descriptors of body condition score  
45 in Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77** 2695-703.

46 Wildman, EE, Jones, GM, Wagner, PE, Boman, RL, Troutt Jr, H & Lesch, TN 1982 A dairy  
47 cow body condition scoring system and its relationship to selected production  
48 characteristics. *Journal of Dairy Science* **65** 495-501.

49

50 **Table S1.** Description of different body points for scoring system.

Body condition score	Parts description
Functional range of BCS 3 to < 2.0	
= 3	The line from pins to thurl to the hooks forms a flattened V and hooks are rounded
= 2.75	Hooks angular
= 2.5	Pins angular and palpable fat pad on points of pins
= 2.25	No fat pad on points of pins, corrugations visible 1/2 way between tip and spine of short ribs
= 2	Corrugations visible 3/4 way from tip to spine.
< 2	Thurl prominent and saw-toothed spine
Functional range of BCS 3.25 to 5.0	
= 3.25	Thurl line forms a crescent or flattened U, tail head and sacral ligaments visible
= 3.5	Tail head ligament barely visible, sacral ligament visible
= 3.75	Tail head ligament not visible, sacral ligament barely visible
= 4	Tail head and sacral ligament not visible and thurl flat
= 4.25	Thurl flat, tip of short ribs barely visible
= 4.5	Pins buried
= 4.75	Hooks barely visible
= 5	All bony prominences including pin and hook bones are well rounded

51

52 **Table S2.** Percentage of buffaloes assigned to each BCS point across the study.

Scoring time points <sup>1</sup>	N	BCS								
		2.5	2.75	3	3.25	3.5	3.75	4	4.25	4.5
Phase I										
Day 1	230		1.5	0.7	3.0	16.3	55.5	23.0		
Day 2	230			4.4	23.9	34.5	31.0	6.2		
Phase II <sup>1</sup>										
Day 1	220	2.0	4.5	5.0	20.5	34.3	31.9	1.8		
Day 2	220	1.5	5.0	2.4	24.0	24.8	39.1	2.0	1.2	

53 <sup>1</sup>The observations on day 2 were taken to assess intra-assessor agreement.

54 <sup>2</sup>In phase II, the number decreased due to culling.

55

56 **Figure S1:**

57 External body points of a buffalo are identified for the BCS system.

58

59

60

61

62

