

Supplementary material for ‘The instability of a helical vortex filament under a free surface’

Cheng Li, Yuming Liu, Minping Wan, Shiyi Chen, Dick K.P. Yue

For a single horizontal helical vortex of infinite extent in the unbounded ideal fluid, the translation speed U_0 and rotational speed Ω_0 due to self-induction are given by

$$U_0 = \int_0^\infty a^2(1 - \cos \lambda) J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda, \quad \Omega_0 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos \lambda - \lambda \sin \lambda) J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda, \quad (1)$$

where $J_0 = \lambda^2 + 2a^2(1 - \cos \lambda) + (ae_r)^2$ and $e_r = e_0 e^{-3/4}$. The corresponding components of the self-induced velocity in FrenetSerret frame $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}\}$ take the form

$$U_T = \frac{U_0 + a^2 \Omega_0}{\sqrt{1 + a^2}}, \quad U_N = 0, \quad U_B = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 + a^2}}(U_0 - \Omega_0), \quad (2)$$

indicating that the single helical vortex does not possess any self-induced motion in the normal direction \mathbf{N} . In following contexts, we first drive the asymptotic behaviors of U_0 and Ω_0 for both small and large a cases, and then show the inequality $U_B > 0$.

1 Asymptotic analysis of U_0 and Ω_0

First, we analyze the behavior of integral $f(a, e_r) = \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos \lambda) J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda$ when $e_r \rightarrow 0$. For any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we split f into two parts $f_1 = \int_0^\delta$ and $f_2 = \int_\delta^\infty$. For small λ , we have following expansions

$$J_0 = \lambda^2 + a^2 \lambda^2 + a^2 e_r^2 + O(\lambda^4), \quad 1 - \cos \lambda = \lambda^2/2 + O(\lambda^4). \quad (3)$$

Using these small λ expansions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\delta [\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^4)] [(1 + a^2)\lambda^2 + a^2 e_r^2]^{-3/2} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^\delta \lambda^2 (\lambda^2 + \nu^2)^{-3/2} d\lambda + \int_0^\delta O(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \left[\ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta^2}{\nu^2} + 1} + \frac{\delta}{\nu} \right) - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + \nu^2}} \right] + O(\delta^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\delta}{e_r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + a^{-2}) - 1 \right] + O(\delta^2) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\nu = e_r(1 + a^{-2})^{-1/2} \ll 1$, and $o(1)$ represents the terms that tend to 0 as $e_r \rightarrow 0$.

As for f_2 , since the integrand is not singular when $e_r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$f_2 = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} (1 - \cos \lambda) [\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1 - \cos \lambda)]^{-3/2} d\lambda + o(1). \quad (5)$$

When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have $(1 - \cos \lambda) [\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1 - \cos \lambda)]^{-3/2} = (1 + a^2)^{-3/2}/(2\lambda) + O(\lambda)$. Using this small λ expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 - \cos \lambda}{[\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1 - \cos \lambda)]^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \frac{H(1 - \lambda)}{\lambda} \right\} d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \int_{\delta}^1 \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda + o(1) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 - \cos \lambda}{[\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1 - \cos \lambda)]^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \frac{H(1 - \lambda)}{\lambda} \right\} d\lambda + O(\delta^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \ln \delta + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2a^3} W(a^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \ln \delta + O(\delta^2) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in which $H(x)$ denotes the unit step function, and function $W(x)$ is defined as

$$W(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\sin^2 t}{(x^2 t^2 + \sin^2 t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{(1 + x^2)^{3/2}} \frac{H(1/2 - t)}{t} \right\} dt. \quad (7)$$

Combining the results of f_1, f_2 and letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$f = \frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2}{e_r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + a^{-2}) - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{2a^3} W(a^{-1}) + o(1), \quad e_r \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

The asymptotic behaviors of $W(x)$ for small and large x have been studied in [1]. They are expressed in the form

$$W(x) = (3/2 - \gamma)x^{-3} + O(x^{-5}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

$$W(x) = x^{-1} + (1 + x^2)^{-3/2} \ln(x/2) + 1 + O(x^2), \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577$ is the Euler constant. Using above formulae, after some algebraic manipulations, the asymptotic solutions of $f(a, e_r)$ are obtained to be given by

$$f = -\frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + \frac{1}{4}(1 - 2\gamma + \ln 4) + O(a^2), \quad a \rightarrow 0, \quad (11)$$

$$f = -\frac{1}{2(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + \frac{1}{2a^2} + O(a^{-5}), \quad a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (12)$$

The asymptotic solutions of translation velocity $U_0 = a^2 f$ take the form

$$U_0 = -\frac{a^2}{2(1+a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + O(a^2), \quad a \rightarrow 0, \quad (13)$$

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a^2}{2(1+a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + O(a^{-3}), \quad a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

For rotational velocity Ω_0 , since $2(1-\cos\lambda) - \lambda \sin\lambda = O(\lambda^4)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, there is no singularity in $f + \Omega_0$ when $e_r = 0$. Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f + \Omega_0 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2(1-\cos\lambda) - \lambda \sin\lambda}{[\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1-\cos\lambda)]^{3/2}} d\lambda + o(1) \\ &= \int_0^\infty a^{-2} d \left\{ \lambda [\lambda^2 + 2a^2(1-\cos\lambda)]^{-1/2} \right\} + o(1) \\ &= a^{-2} [1 - (1+a^2)^{-1/2}] + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the asymptotic solutions of Ω_0 are

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1}{2(1+a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + \frac{1}{4}(1+2\gamma - \ln 4) + O(a^2), \quad a \rightarrow 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1}{2a^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+a^2)^{3/2}} \ln(ae_r) + O(a^{-3}), \quad a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

2 Proof of inequality $U_B > 0$

In this section, we introduce β for simplicity in expressions by letting $a = \tan\beta$, where $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$.

Lemma 1. *For $0 \leq e_r < 1$, we have $U_T \leq U_T(e_r = 0) = \cos\beta(1 - \cos\beta)$*

Proof. When $e_r = 0$ we obtain from formula (15) that $U_0 + a^2\Omega_0 = 1 - (1+a^2)^{-1/2} = 1 - \cos\beta$, and then $U_T(e_r = 0) = \cos\beta(1 - \cos\beta)$. When $e_r > 0$, considering that

$$J_0 \leq (1+a^2)\lambda^2 + a^2e_r^2, \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} [\lambda J_0^{-1/2}] = a^2 [2(1-\cos\lambda) - \lambda \sin\lambda + e_r^2] J_0^{-3/2}, \quad (18)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 + a^2\Omega_0 &= \int_0^\infty d [\lambda J_0^{-1/2}] - a^2e_r^2 \int_0^\infty J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda = 1 - a^2e_r^2 \int_0^\infty J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda \\ &\leq 1 - a^2e_r^2 \int_0^\infty [(1+a^2)\lambda^2 + a^2e_r^2]^{-3/2} d\lambda = 1 - \cos\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the inequality $U_T \leq U_T(e_r = 0) = \cos\beta(1 - \cos\beta)$ must hold. \square

Lemma 2. $1 - \sin\beta K_1(\sin\beta) > \cos\beta(1 - \cos\beta)$ holds for all $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$, where $K_1(x)$ is the modified Bessel function.

Proof. For arbitrary $z \in (0, 1)$, we have [2]:

$$I_1(z) = \frac{z}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{4}z^2)^k}{k!(k+1)!} > \frac{z}{2}, \quad (20)$$

$$K_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) I_1(z) - \frac{z}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\psi(k+1) + \psi(k+2)] \frac{(\frac{1}{4}z^2)^k}{k!(k+1)!}, \quad (21)$$

where $\psi(z)$ is the digamma function that satisfies $\psi(n+1) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} - \gamma$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have:

$$K_1(z) < \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z}{2} \ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{z}{4} [\psi(1) + \psi(2)] = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z}{2} \ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{z}{4}(1 - 2\gamma), \quad (22)$$

$$1 - zK_1(z) > -\frac{1}{2}z^2 \ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}(1 - 2\gamma)z^2 = \frac{1}{4} [1 - 2\gamma + \ln 4 - \ln(z^2)] z^2. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, by inequality (23), we only need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} [1 - 2\gamma + \ln 4 - \ln(\sin^2 \beta)] \sin^2 \beta > \cos \beta (1 - \cos \beta) \\ \iff & 1 - 2\gamma + \ln 4 > \ln(\sin^2 \beta) + \frac{4 \cos \beta}{1 + \cos \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

For function $f(x) = \ln(1 - x^2) + 4x/(1 + x)$, its maximum on interval $(0, 1)$ is

$$f_{\max} = f\left(\frac{\sqrt{17} - 3}{2}\right) \approx 1.06 < 1.23 \approx 1 - 2\gamma + \ln 4. \quad (25)$$

Therefore, inequality (24) holds for all $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and the lemma is then proved. \square

Theorem 1. *Bi-normal velocity $U_B > 0$ and $U_0 > \Omega_0$.*

Proof. By Basset's integral [2]

$$K_1(z) = z \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos t}{(t^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} dt. \quad (26)$$

Using this and the fact $J_0 < (1 + a^2)\lambda^2 + a^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= \int_0^\infty a^2(1 - \cos \lambda) J_0^{-3/2} d\lambda > \int_0^\infty a^2(1 - \cos \lambda) [(1 + a^2)\lambda^2 + a^2]^{-3/2} d\lambda \\ &= \cos \beta [1 - \sin \beta \cdot K_1(\sin \beta)] > \cos^2 \beta (1 - \cos \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the last step is based on lemma 2. Also, by inequality (19), we have

$$\Omega_0 \leq a^{-2} (1 - \cos \beta - U_0) < \tan^{-2} \beta (1 - \cos \beta) (1 - \cos^2 \beta) = (1 - \cos \beta) \cos^2 \beta. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, the result of $U_0 > (1 - \cos \beta) \cos^2 \beta > \Omega_0$ holds for any helical vortex filament. \square

References

- [1] J Boersma and DH Wood. On the self-induced motion of a helical vortex. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 384:263–279, 1999.
- [2] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.1 of 2021-03-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.