SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Comparative Meta-analyses of Brain Structural and Functional Abnormalities during Cognitive Control in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder

Steve Lukito^{1*}, PhD, Luke Norman^{1,2,3}, PhD, Christina Carlisi^{1,4}, PhD, Joaquim Radua^{5,6,7}, MD, PhD, Heledd Hart¹, PhD, Emily Simonoff¹, MD & Katya Rubia¹, PhD

¹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience; King's College London; UK

² Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

³ The Social and Behavioral Research Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

⁴ Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London, UK

⁵ Department of Psychosis Studies; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience; King's College London; UK

⁶ Imaging of mood- and anxiety-related disorders (IMARD) group, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain

⁷ Centre for Psychiatric Research and Education, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

* Correspondence and reprints request:

Dr Steve Lukito

Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London, SE5 8AF, UK

Contents

Supplement 1: Literature Search and Methods	3
Seed-based <i>d</i> Mapping (SDM)	4
Figure S1. Flow Diagram of Literature Search and Study Selection Process	5
Supplement 2: Full Characteristic Tables and Summary Findings of ADHD and ASD Neuroimaging Studies	6
Table S2a. Sample Characteristics and Summary Findings of VBM and fMRI Cognitive Control Studies in ADHD	6
Table S2b. Sample Characteristics and Summary Findings of VBM and fMRI Cognitive Control Studies in ASD	.12
Supplement 3: Meta-analyses in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD Table S3a. Characteristics of Overall Pediatric Sample and Age-, Sex- and IQ- matched Subgrou	.16 Jps
Table S3b. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD Figure S3. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD	.16 .17 .19
Supplement 4: Meta-analyses in Adults with ADHD and ASD	.20
Table S4a. Characteristics of Adult Overall Sample and Subgroups Matched on Age, Sex and IQ Table S4b. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Adults with ADHD and ASD	≀20 .21 .24
Supplement 5: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Age	25
Correlational Analyses between Brain Abnormalities and Age	.25
Table S5. Spearman's Correlations between Disorder-Differentiating or Shared Abnormalities an Age	d .26
Additional Analyses Covarying for Age in the Sub-Meta-Analyses with Participants Matched on	27
	. 21
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure	.28
Age, Sex and Ig Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in	.28
Age, Sex and IQ Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD	.28
Age, Sex and IQ Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD	.28 .28 .29
Age, Sex and IQ Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD	.28 .28 .29 .30 .30
 Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD	.28 .28 .29 .30 .31 /e .32
Age, Sex and IQ Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control. Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit	.28 .29 .30 .31 'e .32 ion .33
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitive Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Notor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities	.28 .29 .30 .31 /e .32 ion .33 .34
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in Disorder-differentiating Cognitive Comparing Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitive Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitive Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitive Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies	.28 .28 .29 .30 .30 .31 /e .32 ion .33 .34 .34
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities	.28 .29 .30 .30 .31 (e .32 .32 .34 .34 .35 -1D .36
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings. Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies	.28 .29 .30 .30 .31 /e .32 .33 .34 .34 .35 -D .36 .37
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings . Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control. Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control. Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies. Table S8b. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ADI fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ASI fMRI Studies. Table S8e. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ASI fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ASI fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADI fMRI Studies.	.28 .28 .29 .30 .30 .31 /e .32 .32 .33 .34 .35 -D .36 D .37 .37
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings . Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies. Table S8b. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ADI fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies. Table S8e. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies. Table S8e. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibit	.28 .29 .30 .30 .31 /e .32 ion .33 .34 .35 HD .36 D .37 .38
Supplement 6: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Psychostimulant Exposure Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings . Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control. Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitiv Control. Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibit Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies. Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormalities among ASD VBM Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ADI fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies. Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies. Table S8f. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibit	.28 .29 .30 .31 /e .32 ion .33 .34 .35 HD .36 D .37 .38 .39 .40

Supplement 1: Literature Search and Methods

Literature Search

A comprehensive literature search was conducted by SL, LN, and CC in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and ScienceDirect to identify whole-brain functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or voxel-based morphometry (VBM) studies comparing individuals with ASD or ADHD against typical controls. The searches were conducted up to 30th November 2018 and used key words related to: (1) ASD, i.e., autism OR autistic OR Asperger OR ASD OR autism spectrum disorder OR pervasive developmental disorder; (2) ADHD, i.e., hyperkinetic OR ADHD or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; (3) VBM; (4) inhibitory function, i.e., inhibition OR stop OR Stroop OR flanker OR go/no-go OR Simon OR interference OR executive function OR switch; and (5) neuroimaging, i.e., fMRI OR MRI.

The following syntaxes were used on PubMed to search for (1) ADHD VBM studies: ("ADHD"[Title/Abstract] OR "attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder"[Title/Abstract] OR "hyperkinetic"[Title/Abstract]) AND ("VBM"[Title/Abstract] OR "voxel-based morphometry"[Title/Abstract]) and (2) ASD VBM studies: ("Autism"[Title/Abstract] OR "autistic"[Title/Abstract] OR "autism spectrum disorders"[Title/Abstract] OR "pervasive developmental disorders"[Title/Abstract] OR "ASD"[Title/Abstract] OR "PDD"[Title/Abstract] OR "Asperger"[Title/Abstract]) AND ("VBM"[Title/Abstract] OR "voxel-based morphometry"[Title/Abstract]).

The following syntaxes were used on PubMed to search for (1) ADHD fMRI studies: ((("ADHD"[Title/Abstract] OR "hyperkinetic"[Title/Abstract] OR "attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders"[Title/Abstract])) AND ("inhibition"[Title/Abstract] OR "stop" [Title/Abstract] OR "stroop"[Title/Abstract] OR "flanker "[Title/Abstract] OR " go/no-go "[Title/Abstract] OR " Simon"[Title/Abstract] OR "flanker "[Title/Abstract] OR " go/no-go "[Title/Abstract] OR " Simon"[Title/Abstract] OR "interference"[Title/Abstract] OR "executive function"[Title/Abstract] OR " switch"[Title/Abstract])) AND ("MRI"[Title/Abstract] OR "fMRI"[Title/Abstract]), and (2) ASD fMRI studies: ((("Autism"[Title/Abstract] OR "autistic"[Title/Abstract]] OR "autism spectrum disorders"[Title/Abstract]] OR "pervasive developmental disorders"[Title/Abstract]] OR "ASD"[Title/Abstract]] OR "PDD"[Title/Abstract]]

3

OR "Asperger"[Title/Abstract])) AND ("inhibition"[Title/Abstract] OR "stop" [Title/Abstract] OR "stroop"[Title/Abstract] OR "flanker "[Title/Abstract] OR " go/no-go "[Title/Abstract] OR " Simon"[Title/Abstract] OR "interference"[Title/Abstract] OR "executive function"[Title/Abstract] OR " switch"[Title/Abstract])) AND ("MRI"[Title/Abstract] OR "fMRI"[Title/Abstract]).

Only predefined contrasts comparing inhibitory control (i.e., stop, no-go, incongruent or switch trials) against a control condition (i.e., failed stop, go, oddball, congruent or repeated trials) were included in the fMRI meta-analysis. Data extracted included age, sex, IQ, current and historic exposure of psychostimulant medications and comorbidity of ASD and ADHD in the counterpart disorder groups. From the database searches, 2484 records were retrieved, and eight further records were identified from past meta-analyses. After duplicates were removed, 1290 records remained and were screened, yielding 243 full-text articles assessed for eligibility, and 140 included in the meta-analysis. Of the full-text articles excluded, 30 included samples already used in previous publications, eight included fewer than ten participants, 18 had no control participants, 26 were not whole-brain studies and 21 did not include eligible contrast.

Seed-based d Mapping (SDM)

The anisotropic seed-based *d* Mapping AES-SDM (<u>www.sdmproject.com</u>) is meta-analytic software for neuroimaging data. The software takes both statistical parametric maps of MRI/fMRI contrasts (Radua et al., 2012), and the conventional peak coordinates and effect sizes (*t*-scores) as input data. When provided with the latter type of data, AES-SDM estimates signed (positive/negative) effect size and variance maps that represent the difference of brain activations or gray matter structures between patient and control groups. These maps are computed by convolving an anisotropic non-normalized Gaussian kernel with Hedges effect size of each peak. Thus, voxels correlated with peaks are assigned higher effect sizes. Combinations of maps between studies are based on a random-effects model, accounting for sample size, within-study variability and between-study heterogeneity. An update of AES-SDM software also allows adjustment for correlated datasets, accounting

for shared variance of brain activations or structure across datasets (Norman et al., 2016), e.g., when pairs of datasets investigating two inhibitory tasks take places in the same or largely overlapping sample.



Figure S1. Flow Diagram of Literature Search and Study Selection Process

Flow diagram describing the literature search, which included the databases PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus and Web of Knowledge

Table S2a. Sample Characteristics and Summary Findings of VBM and fMRI Cognitive Control Studies in ADHD

Source	Age	Task			Patien	ts				Controls		Summary findings
	group		N (% male)	Age, Range , y	IQ	Life Sti m %	Cur Sti m %	Co m AS D %	N (% male)	Age, y Range , y	IQ	-
(A) VBM studies in ADHD												
Ahrendts et al. (2011)	Adult		31 (65)	31.2 (18-55)	n/a	3	0	n/a	31 (65)	31.5 (19-52)	n/a	ADHD <td: l="" lobe<="" occipital="" r="" td=""></td:>
Montes et al. (2010)	Adult		20 (50)	29.0 (25-35)	102.9	0	0	n/a	20 (50)	27.6 (25-35)	100.2	ADHD <td: caudate<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Amico, Stauber, Koutsouleris, and FrodI (2011)	Adult		20 (75)	33.6 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	30	n/a	20 (75)	34.7 (n/a)	n/a	
Bonath, Tegelbeckers, Wilke, Flechtner, and Krauel (2016)	Pediat.		18 (100)	13.6 (11-17)	106.8	78	56	n/a	18 (100)	14.1 (11-17)	108.1	ADHD <td: acc<="" amygdala="" cerebellum,="" complex,="" cortex,="" hippocampus="" l="" occipital="" r="" td=""></td:>
Bralten et al. (2016)	Mixed		307 (68)	17.1 (8-30)	97.1	89	n/a	n/a	196 (49)	16.7 (8-30)	106.6	ADHD <td: bilateral="" cingulate="" cortex="" cortices<="" fg="" frontal="" l="" medial="" ofc,="" paracingulate="" pole,="" pre-cg,="" r="" subcallosal="" td=""></td:>
Brieber et al. (2007)	Pediat.		15 (100)	13.1 (10-16)	104.1	n/a	67	0	15 (100)	13.3 (10-16)	107.7	ADHD <td: caudate="" hippocampus,="" l="" nucleus,="" r="" r<br="">MFG, L insula, L STG, L MOG; ADHD>TD: L/R SPL, post- CG, L middle cingulate, precuneus, L IPL</td:>
Carmona et al. (2005)	Pediat.		25 (84)	10.8 (6-16)	n/a	100	100	n/a	25 (84)	11.2 (6-16)	n/a	ADHD <td: and="" cerebellum<="" frontal,="" gyrus,="" inferior="" l="" lobule,="" orbital="" paracentral="" post-cg,="" pre-="" r="" rectal="" superior="" td=""></td:>
Depue, Burgess, Bidwell, Willcutt, and Banich (2010)	Adult		31 (61)	20.0 (n/a)	114.2	77	77	n/a	21 (65)	19.3 (n/a)	112.6	
Gehricke et al. (2017)	Adult		32 (81)	25.3 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	25	n/a	40 (83)	23.9 (n/a)	n/a	ADHD <td: caudate="" head,="" ifg,="" l="" m="" mtg,="" phg<="" r="" s="" td=""></td:>
He et al. (2015)	Pediat.		37 (100)	9.9 (7-16)	n/a	0	0	n/a	35 (100)	10.7 (8-15)	n/a	ADHD <td: cortex<="" l="" midcingulate="" ofc,="" pcc,="" pmc,="" posterior="" r="" td=""></td:>
lannaccone, Hauser, Ball, et al. (2015)	Pediat.		18 (50)	14.5 (12-16)	114.5	72	72	n/a	18 (61)	14.8 (12-16)	108.5	ADHD <td: acc="" adhd="" cerebellum;="" cingulate="" gyrus,="" l="" medial="" r="" sfg="" sfg,="" sma="">TD: R pre- and post-CG</td:>
Jagger-Rickels, Kibby, and Constance (2018)	Pediat.		41 (44)	9.6 (8-12)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	32 (56)	9.7 (8-12)	n/a	ADHD <td: caudate="" l="" mtg="" putamen,<br="" r="" sts="" sts,="">L/R SFG, L/R insula, L/R thalamus, R medial OFC, L MFG, L/R occipital lobe, L medial SFG, L pre-CG, R post cingulate/anterior lingual, R calcarine/cuneus, L supramarginal gyrus</td:>

Johnston et al. (2014)	Pediat.	 34 (100)	12.5 (n/a)	99.8	n/a	29	n/a	34 (100)	13.2 (n/a)	103.7	ADHD <td: brainstem,="" putamen<="" td=""></td:>
Kappel et al. (2015)	Pediat.	 14 (71)	9.8 (8-12)	104.6	0	0	n/a	10 (80)	11.0 (8-12)	111.9	ADHD <td: gyrus,="" heschl's="" r="" rolandic<br="" stg,="">operculum; ADHD>TD: L paracentral lobule, L/R middle orbital gyrus, R FFG, L rectal gyrus</td:>
	Adult	 16 (94)	23.5 (19-31)	97.8	38	0	n/a	20 (100)	23.7 (19-31)	108.4	ADHD <td: gyrus,="" l="" ofg,<br="" precuneus,="" r="" supramarginal="">R hippocampus, L rectal gyrus</td:>
Kaya et al. (2018)	Pediat.	 19 (74)	10.3 (7-14)	113.5	0	0	n/a	18 (67)	10.2 (6-14)	119.7	ADHD>TD: L/R SFG, R MFG, L/R SMA, L/R pre-CG, L post-CG, R MOG, L cuneus
Kobel et al. (2010)	Pediat.	 14 (100)	10.4 (9-13)	n/a	100	100	n/a	12 (100)	10.9 (9-13)	n/a	ADHD <td: mtg<="" r="" s="" td=""></td:>
Kumar, Arya, and Agarwal (2017)	Pediat.	 18 (100)	9.6 (7-13)	92.1	n/a	0	0	18 (100)	9.7 (7-13)	109.7	ADHD <td: cerebellum<="" dipfc,="" l="" mfg="" mtg,="" ofc,="" td=""></td:>
Li et al. (2015)	Pediat.	 30 (100)	10.3 (8-14)	121.7	0	0	n/a	30 (100)	10.3 (8-14)	107.1	ADHD <td: insula,="" ofc<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Lim et al. (2015)	Pediat.	 44 (100)	13.6 (10-18)	92.2	18	14	0	33 (100)	14.3 (10-18)	110	ADHD <td: cerebellum,="" ipl,="" l="" post-cg<="" pre-="" r="" td=""></td:>
Maier et al. (2015)	Adult	 131 (48)	34.5 (18-58)	113.1	27	0	0	95 (47)	37.7 (n/a)	121	
McAlonan et al. (2007)	Pediat.	 28 (100)	9.9 (6-13)	109.9	100	100	n/a	31 (100)	9.6 (6-13)	116.5	ADHD <td: globus<br="" ifg,="" l="" mfg,="" r="" sfg="">pallidus/putamen/ thalamus, precuneus, L IPL, L SOG, R cerebellum vermis</td:>
Moreno-Alcázar et al. (2016)	Adult	 44 (66)	31.6 (18-54)	105.0	66	66	n/a	44 (66)	32.6 (18-54)	106.0	ADHD <td: acc,="" dlpfc<="" r="" sfg,="" sma="" subgenual="" td=""></td:>
Onnink et al. (2014)	Adult	 119 (39)	36.3, (n/a)	107.5	80	69	n/a	107 (42)	36.9 (n/a)	110.2	
Overmeyer et al. (2001)	Pediat.	 18 (83)	10.4 (8-13)	99	94	94	n/a	16 (94)	10.3 (7-14)	n/a	ADHD <td: globus="" l="" pallidus<="" pcc,="" putamen="" r="" sfg,="" td=""></td:>
Ramesh and Rai (2013)	Pediat.	 15 (26)	16.8 (11-20)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15 (26)	16.7 (11-20)	n/a	ADHD <td: cingulate="" gyrus,="" l="" l<br="" mid-cingulate="" r="">SFG, L/R medial SFG, L temporal lobe, L MOG, L cuneus, L STG, L supramarginal gyrus</td:>
Roman-Urrestarazu et al. (2016)	Adult	 49 (76)	22.2 (20-24)	96.6	2	2	0	34 (50)	22.9 (20-24)	112.2	ADHD <td: caudate<="" l="" r="" td=""></td:>
Saad et al. (2017)	Pediat.	 16 (75)	12.8 (8-17)	n/a	38	38	n/a	28 (68)	13.1 (8–17)	n/a	
	Pediat.	 18 (72)	13.7 (8-17)	n/a			n/a	28 (68)	13.1 (8–17)	n/a	
Sasayama et al. (2010)	Pediat.	 18 (72)	10.6 (6-16)	90	83	72	0	17 (71)	10.0 (6-14)	n/a	ADHD <td: amygdala="" l="" ofc,="" pole="" r="" r<br="" temporal="">occipital cortex, R STS, L parietal cortex, L MFG, L temporal pole, R rectal gyrus, L PHG</td:>

Seidman et al. (2011)	Adult		74 (51)	37.3 (18-59)	116	69	28	n/a	54 (46)	34.3 (18-59)	115.8	
Sethi et al. (2017)	Adult		30 (63)	33.7 (18-65)	109.0	100	100	n/a	30 (63)	32.6 (18-65)	110.1	ADHD <td: ipc<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Shimada et al. (2015)	Pediat.		17 (88)	10.3 (n/a)	95.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	15 (73)	12.8 (n/a)	104.1	ADHD <td: l="" putamen<="" td=""></td:>
Stevens and Haney-Caron (2012)	Pediat.		24 (67)	15.7 (12-18)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24 (70)	16.0 (12-18)	n/a	
van Wingen et al. (2013)	Adult		14 (100)	32.0 (22-50)	104	0	0	n/a	15 (100)	37.0 (22-50)	99	ADHD <td: adhd="" cerebellum;="" putamen,="" r="">TD: L/R midbrain, R pre-CG</td:>
Vilgis, Sun, Chen, Silk, and Vance (2016)	Pediat.		48 (100)	12.6 (8-17)	92.2	25	25	n/a	31 (100)	12.8 (8-17)	109.6	ADHD <td: fg,="" ipl,="" l="" medial="" mfg,="" mtg<="" r="" s="" spl,="" td=""></td:>
Villemonteix et al. (2015)	Pediat.		33 (55)	10.3 (7-13)	105.6	0	0	n/a	24 (50)	10 (7-13)	109.7	ADHD <td: insula,="" mtg,<="" r="" td=""></td:>
	Pediat.		20 (80)	10.4 (7-13)	107.4	100	100	n/a	24 (50)	10 (7-13)	109.7	ADHD <td: mfg,="" pre-cg<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Wang, Jiang, Cao, and Wang (2007)	Pediat.		12 (100)	13.4 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	0	n/a	12 (100)	13.5 (n/a)	n/a	ADHD <td: adhd="" bg;="" l="" mfg,="" mtg,="" r="" spl,="">TD: R occipital lobe</td:>
P. Yang et al. (2008)	Pediat.		57 (61)	11.1 (7-17)	97.9	n/a	86	n/a	57 (60)	11.7 (7-17)	n/a	ADHD <td: calcarine="" caudate,="" cerebellum,="" cuneus<="" l="" r="" sulcus,="" td=""></td:>
(B) fMRI cognitive control st	udies in <i>l</i>	ADHD										
Banich et al. (2009)	Adult	Stroop	23 (61)	20.0 (n/a)	116	87	61	n/a	23 (57)	19.0 (n/a)	113	ADHD>TD: R MFG
Bhaijiwala, Chevrier, and Schachar (2014)	Pediat.	Stop	12 (58)	13.8 (9-18)	n/a	100	100	0	12 (50)	15.4 (9-18)	n/a	ADHD <td: adhd="" cerebellum;="" l="" mfg,="" mtg,="" r="">TD: R MFG/SFG</td:>
Booth et al. (2005)	Pediat.	GNG	12 (67)	11.0 (9-12)	n/a	100	100	n/a	12 (58)	11.7 (9-12)	n/a	ADHD <td: caudate="" caudate,="" head,="" ifg,="" l="" pre-cg,="" r="" td="" thalamus.<=""></td:>
Carmona et al. (2012)	Adult	GNG	19 (100)	33.6 (n/a)	110.9	0	0	n/a	19 (100)	29.4 (n/a)	111.7	ADHD <td: r="" stg<="" td=""></td:>
Chantiluke, Barrett, Giampietro, Santosh, et al. (2015)	Pediat.	Stop	18 (100)	14.3 (10-17)	95	78	61	0	25 (100)	13.4 (10-17)	109	ADHD <td:l gp,="" ipl<="" l="" ofc="" putamen="" stl="" td=""></td:l>
Chen et al. (2015)	Adult	GNG	29 (100)	24.9 (n/a)	n/a	0	0	n/a	25 (100)	25.6 (n/a)	n/a	
Chou, Chia, Shang, and Gau (2015)	Pediat.	Stroop	42 (81)	10.5 (7-17)	108.5	0	0	n/a	20 (80)	12.0 (8-17)	106.5	ADHD <td: (atomoxetine="" group="" ifg="" mfg="" pre-<br="" r="">treatment), L IFG, L SPL, R medial FG (methylphenidate group pre-treatment); ADHD>TD: L/R SPL, pre-CG, L dIPFC, L dACC (atomoxetine group pre-treatment), post- CG (methylphenidate group pre-treatment)</td:>
Congdon et al. (2010)	Adult	Stop	35 (54)	30.9 (21-50)	n/a	n/a	29	n/a	62 (45)	30.8 (21-50)	n/a	

Cubillo et al. (2010) ^a	Adult	Stop, Switch	11 (100)	29.0 (26-30)	92	0	0	n/a	14 (100)	28.0 (26-30)	106	ADHD <td: <br="" ai="" caudate="" ifc="" l="" pmc,="" r="" thalamus="">putamen/PMC, L/R SMA/ACC (stop), R IFG/insula/caudate/ putamen L IFG/insula/ putamen/pre- /post-CG/inferior parietal (switch)</td:>
Cubillo et al. (2014)	Pediat.	Stop	19 (100)	13.1 (10-17)	92	0	0	0	29 (100)	13.8 (10-17)	110	ADHD <td: gyri,="" ifg,="" itg="" l="" m="" parietal="" r="" r<br="">cerebellum/FFG; ADHD>TD: L cerebellum, L/R PCC/occipital gyri, R STG/post-CG/posterior insula/ putamen</td:>
Cubillo, Halari, Giampietro, Taylor, and Rubia (2011) ^a	Adult	Simon	11 (100)	29.0 (26-30)	92	0	0	n/a	15 (100)	28.0 (26-30)	112	ADHD <td: acc="" caudate="" ifc="" l="" mpfc="" ofc="" pmc<="" td=""></td:>
Dibbets, Evers, Hurks, Marchetta, and Jolles (2009) ^b	Adult	GNG	16 (100)	28.9 (21-42)	n/a	88	88	n/a	13 (100)	28.1 (21-41)	n/a	
Dibbets, Evers, Hurks, Bakker, and Jolles (2010) ^b	Adult	Switch	15 (100)	28.8 (21-42)	n/a	80	80	n/a	14 (100)	28.6 (21-41)	n/a	ADHD <td: adhd="" cg,="" claustrum="" dorsal="" l="" mpfc,="" ofc="" post-cg;="" posterior="" putamen,="" r="" thalamus,="">TD: R MTG, R dACC, R precuneus, R lingual gyrus, L pre-CG/SMA, L insula</td:>
Durston, Mulder, Casey, Ziermans, and van Engeland (2006)	Pediat.	GNG	11 (100)	14.0 (8-20)	100	82	55	n/a	11 (100)	15.3 (8-20)	106	
L. Y. Fan, Chou, and Gau (2017) $^{\circ}$	Adult	Stroop	12 (42)	28.9 (n/a)	115.8	0	0	n/a	12 (42)	30.3 (n/a)	118.3	ADHD>TD: R IFG, RACC
	Adult	Stroop	12 (42)	32.5 (n/a)	119.9	0	0	n/a	12 (42)	30.3 (n/a)	118.3	ADHD>TD: R IFG
L. Y. Fan, Shang, Tseng, Gau, and Chou (2018)	Pediat.	Stroop	27 (89)	12.1 (9-15)	105.2	0	0	n/a	27 (78)	11.8 (9-16)	110.4	ADHD < TD: R MFG, R post-CG, R SPL
Hwang et al. (2015)	Pediat.	Stroop	26 (65)	13.9 (n/a)	106.4	n/a	42	0	35 (51)	14.5 (n/a)	105.1	ADHD < TD: Medial FG, cerebellar tonsil
lannaccone, Hauser, Staempfli, et al. (2015)	Pediat.	GNG/ Flanke r	18 (50)	14.5 (12-16)	108.5	72	72	n/a	18 (61)	14.8 (12-16)	114.4	ADHD <td: adhd="" dipfc="" mfg="" r="" sfg;="">TD: L/R MTG/STG, L insula/putamen/pre-CG, L ITG/FFG/PHG/MTG, L pons, L amygdala/ hippocampus, L FFG/ lingual gyrus, L post-CG/IPG, R brainstem/ pons/cerebellum</td:>
Janssen, Heslenfeld, Mourik, Logan, and Oosterlaan (2015)	Pediat.	Stop	21 (90)	10.6 (8-13)	98.6	90	90	n/a	17 (76)	10.3 (8-13)	108.7	ADHD <td: acc,<br="" ifg,="" l="" mfg,="" mpfc,="" pre-cg,="" r="">L SFG; ADHD>TD: L/R ACC, L/R MFG, R mPFC, R PCC, R cuneus, L IFG, L post-CG, L pre-CG.</td:>
Konrad, Neufang, Hanisch, Fink, and Herpertz-Dahlmann (2006)	Pediat.	Flanke r	16 (100)	10.2 (8-12)	103	0	0	0	16 (100)	10.3 (8-12)	105	ADHD <td: adhd="" l="" pre-cg,="" putamen;="" r="">TD: L medial SPL</td:>
Kooistra et al. (2010)	Adult	GNG	11 (100)	21.5 (18-25)	110	100	0	n/a	11 (100)	10.1 (18-25)	125	ADHD>TD: L/R ACC, R supramarginal gyrus, L angular gyrus, L/R supramarginal gyrus, R occipital gyrus, L frontal pole, L/R MFG, L/R IFG, L SFG, paracingulate gyrus, medial thalamus, L caudate nucleus, L posterior putamen,

												L lateral occipital gyrus, L/R pre-CG, R post-CG, R mPFC, L PCC, L STG
J. Ma et al. (2012)	Pediat.	GNG	15 (53)	9.8 (8-12)	100.2	27	0	n/a	15 (53)	22.3 (8-12)	102.6	ADHD>TD: R ITF, R midbrain, R pre-CG, R calcarine, R IOG, R MOG, L/R cerebellum, L post-CG, L IFG, R hippocampus
I. Ma et al. (2016)	Pediat.	Stroop	25 (76)	15.4 (14-17)	98.3	n/a	60	n/a	33 (67)	15.3 (14-17)	108.9	
Massat et al. (2018)	Pediat.	Stop	18 (44)	10.0 (8-12)	106.8	0	0	n/a	19 (47)	10.6 (8-12)	114.4	ADHD>TD: L caudate body, L ventral putamen R dorsal putamen, R insula, L caudate tail, R middle CC, R ACC
Passarotti et al. (2010)	Pediat.	Stop	11 (55)	13.1 (10-18)	107.6	48	0	n/a	15 (48)	9.9 (10-18)	101.2	ADHD <td: i="" l="" mfg,="" mpfc,="" r="" sfg,="" stg;<br="">ADHD>TD: R caudate tail, L caudate, L anterior cerebellar vermis</td:>
Peterson et al. (2009)	Pediat.	Stroop	16 (81)	14.1 (7-18)	101.2	100	0	n/a	20 (60)	14.1 (7-18)	118.5	ADHD <td: acc,="" adhd="" caudate;="" insula="" l="" precuneus,="" r,="" thalamus,="">TD: R SFG, hippocampus, L ACC</td:>
Rasmussen et al. (2016)	Adult	GNG	50 (82)	24.8 (n/a)	102.1	n/a	4	n/a	23 (70)	24.1 (n/a)	109.2	ADHD <td: gyrus,="" parietal,<br="" r="" superior="" supramarginal="">angular gyrus, L/R MFG. R frontal pole, L/R SPL, L/R IFG, L/R pre-/post-CG, R caudate/ accumbens, L/R precuneus, R OFC, R posterior cingulate gyrus, R insula, R thalamus</td:>
Rubia, Smith, Brammer, Toone, and Taylor (2005)	Pediat.	Stop	16 (100)	13.2 (9-16)	100	0	0	n/a	21 (100)	13.4 (9-16)	95	ADHD <td: ifc,="" ofc,="" pre-cg,="" r="" stl<="" td=""></td:>
Rubia, Halari, Cubillo, et al. (2011) ^d	Pediat.	Simon	12 (100)	13.0 (10-15)	90	0	0	0	13 (100)	14.0 (11-16)	102	ADHD <td: acc="" bg="" ifc="" inferior="" l="" mtl="" occipital<="" parietal,="" pcc="" r="" sma="" spl,="" stl="" td="" thalamus,="" vmpfc=""></td:>
Rubia, Halari, Mohammad, Taylor, and Brammer (2011) ^d	Pediat.	Stop	12 (100)	13.0 (10-15)	90	0	0	0	13 (100)	13.0 (11-16)	102	ADHD <td: acc,="" b="" ifc,="" insula,="" pre-sma;="" r<br="" thalamus;="">MTL, occipital, IPL, precuneus, PCC, cerebellum</td:>
Schulz et al. (2004)	Pediat.	GNG	10 (100)	17.9 (15-19)	88.4	70	0	0	9 (100)	17.5 (16-19)	91.9	ADHD <td: hippocampus,="" itg,="" l="" lingual<br="" pre-cg,="" r="">gyrus, L/R cerebellum; ADHD>TD: L/R MFG, L/R IFG, L medial FG, L ACC, L/R IPL, R precuneus</td:>
Schulz et al. (2014)	Adult	GNG	14 (100)	23.3 (19-27)	n/a	71	0	0	14 (100)	22.8 (18-26)	n/a	
Schulz et al. (2017)	Adult	Stroop	27 (89)	24.2 (21-28)	n/a	74	0	0	28 (86)	24.6 (21-28)	n/a	ADHD <td: cerebellum,="" gyrus,="" l="" lingual="" mtg,="" r="" r<br="">IFG, R MTG, R OFG, R SOG, R precuneus, R IPL. R MOG</td:>
Sebastian et al. (2012) ^e	Adult	GNG Stroop Stop	20 (55)	33.3 (n/a)	115.3	0	0	n/a	24 (46)	17.5 (n/a)	115.7	ADHD <td: (gng),="" (stop),="" (stroop)<="" caudate="" gp="" l="" lobule="" mid-cc,="" mtg,="" para-central="" pole,="" post-cg,="" r="" stg="" td="" temporal=""></td:>
Shang, Sheng, Yang, Chou, and Gau (2018) ^f	Adult	Stroop	25 (48)	29.1 (17-44)	112.8	0	0	n/a	30 (50)	28.2 (20-42)	115.4	ADHD <td: caudate="" ifg,="" l="" nucleus<="" r="" td=""></td:>
	Adult	Stroop	25 (56)	28.5 (17-50)	113.1	0	0	n/a	30 (50)	28.2 (20-42)	115.4	ADHD <td: caudate="" ifg,="" l="" nucleus,="" spl<="" td=""></td:>
Siniatchkin et al. (2012)	Pediat.	GNG	12 (83)	9.3 (7- 13)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12 (75)	30.3 (7-13)	n/a	ADHD <td: acc,="" caudate="" dipfc,="" nucleus<="" r="" td=""></td:>

Smith, Taylor, Brammer, Toone, and Rubia (2006) ^g	Pediat.	GNG	17(100)	12.8 (n/a)	n/a	0	0	0	18 (100)	9.3 (n/a)	n/a	ADHD <td: l="" mfg<="" td=""></td:>
		Stroop	19 (100)	12.9 (n/a)	n/a	0	0	0	24 (100)	12.8 (n/a)	n/a	
		Switch	14 (100)	13.3 (n/a)	n/a	0	0	0	27 (100)	12.9 (n/a)	n/a	ADHD <td: ifg="" ifg,<br="" insula,="" l="" mtg="" pre-cg="" r="" stg="">IPL/STG</td:>
Spinelli et al. (2011)	Pediat.	GNG	13 (69)	10.6 (8-13)	109.2	n/a	15	n/a	17 (47)	13.3 (8-13)	108.8	ADHD>TD: R pre-CG, MFG
Szekely et al. (2018)	Adult	Stop	64 (56)	24.0 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	62.5	n/a	84 (57)	24.5 (n/a)	n/a	
Tamm, Menon, Ringel, and Reiss (2004)	Pediat.	GNG	10 (100)	16.0 (14-18)	109.2	n/a	50	n/a	12 (100)	10.6 (14-16)	111.6	ADHD <td: acc="" adhd="" mfg;="" r="" sma="">TD: L M/I/STG</td:>
Thornton, Bray, Langevin, and Dewey (2018)	Pediat.	GNG	20 (90)	12.4 (8-17)	109.4	n/a	n/a	0	20 (40)	10.6 (8-17)	112.6	
van Hulst, de Zeeuw, Rijks, Neggers, and Durston (2017)	Pediat.	GNG	24 (100)	11.2 (8-12)	105.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	26 (100)	10.5 (8-12)	117.3	
van Rooij et al. (2015)	Pediat.	Stop	108 (64)	15.1 (8-17)	92.7	75	n/a	n/a	77 (49)	16.0 (9-17)	109.2	ADHD <td: adhd="" l="" pre-cg;="" stg,="">TD: L SMA</td:>
	Adult	Stop	77 (78)	20.3 (18-25)	99.1	79	n/a	n/a	45 (33)	14.6 (18-23)	106.4	ADHD <td: gyrus<="" hippocampal="" l="" r="" sfg,="" td=""></td:>
Zamorano et al. (2017)	Pediat.	Stroop	17 (100)	11.6 (10-12)	104.2	100	100	n/a	17 (100)	11.7 (10-12)	109.8	ADHD>TD: R medial/IFG

Abbreviations. N=sample size, y=year, pediat.=pediatric (child/adolescent) sample, life/cur stim exp=lifetime/current stimulant exposure, com ASD=comorbid autism spectrum disorder based on explicit reporting or study exclusion criteria, ADHD=attentional-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, TD=typically developing controls, L/R=Left/Right, GNG = Go/No-Go. Brain region (in alphabetical order): ACC=anterior cingulate cortex, BG=basal ganglia, dACC=dorsal ACC, dIPFC=dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, FFG=fusiform gyrus,

I/M/medial/SFG=inferior/middle/medial/superior frontal gyrus, I/M/SOG=inferior/middle/superior occipital gyrus, I/M/STG=inferior/middle/superior temporal gyrus, I/SPL=inferior/superior parietal lobe, mPFC=medial prefrontal cortex, OFC=orbital frontal cortex, OFG=orbital frontal gyrus, PCC=posterior cingulate cortex, pre-/post-CG=pre-/post-central gyrus, PHG=parahippocampal gyrus, PMC=premotor cortex, SMA=supplementary motor area, STS=superior temporal sulcus

 Table S2b. Sample Characteristics and Summary Findings of VBM and fMRI Cognitive Control Studies in ASD

Source	Age group	Task		Patie	nts			Control	6	Summary findings
	group		N (% male)	Age (Rang e), y	IQ	Com ADH D %	N (% male)	Age (Rang e), y	IQ	-
(A) VBM studies in ASD	·	*	·			· · ·		· · ·		
Abell et al. (1999)	Adult		15 (80)	28.8 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	15 (80)	25.3 (n/a)	n/a	ASD <td: asd="" ifg,="" junction;="" l="" occipito-temporal="" paracingulate,="" r="">TD: L amygdala, L/R anterior cerebellum, L MTG, R ITG</td:>
Boddaert et al. (2004)	Pediat.		21 (76)	9.3 (7-15)	n/a	n/a	12 (58)	10.8 (7-15)	n/a	ASD <td: l="" r="" sts<="" td=""></td:>
Bonilha et al. (2008)	Pediat.		12 (100)	12.4 (8-15)	n/a	n/a	16 (100)	13.2 (n/a)	n/a	ASD>TD: L/R IFG, L/R cuneus, L/R ACC, R PCC, claustrum, L/R precuneus, L/R STG, L/R MTG, L ITG, thalamus (pulvinar), L/R SFG, L/R SPL, L insula, L putamen, R caudate, L/R FFG, L/R occipital gyrus, L lingual gyrus, L pre-CG, L post-CG, L/R thalamus, L/R PHG, L/R mPFC, R IPL
Brieber et al. (2007)	Pediat.		15 (100)	14.2 (10-16)	106.8	0	15 (100)	13.3 (10-16)	107.7	ASD <td: amygdala,="" asd="" hippocampus="" hippocampus;="" itg="" l="" mog,="" pmc,="" r="">TD: R supramarginal gyrus, L post-CG</td:>
Cai et al. (2018)	Pediat.		38 (84)	9.6 (5-16)	75.8	n/a	27 (96)	8.3 (5-14)	98.6	ASD <td: angular="" anterior="" cerebellum="" gyrus;<br="" lobe,="" precuneus,="" r="">ASD>TD: L ITG, L/R MTG</td:>
Cheng, Chou, Fan, and Lin (2011)	Pediat.		25 (100)	13.7 (10-18)	101.6	n/a	25 (100)	13.5 (11-18)	109.0	ASD <td: asd="" cuneus,="" gyrus,="" ifg,="" l="" lingual="" post-cg,="" pre-cg,="" r="" stg;="" thalamus,="">TD: ACC, paracentral lobule, SPL, precuneus, MFG, FFG, subcallosal gyrus</td:>
Contarino, Bulgheroni, Annunziata, Erbetta, and Riva (2016)	Pediat.		25 (88)	6.1 (2-12)	56	n/a	25 (65)	6.1 (2-12)	103	
M. Craig et al. (2007)	Adult		14 (0)	37.9 (n/a)	103.4	n/a	19 (0)	35.0 (n/a)	111.2	ASD <td: acc<="" cuneus,="" itg="" l="" mtg,="" r="" stg,="" td=""></td:>
D'Mello, Crocetti, Mostofsky, and Stoodley (2015)	Pediat.		35 (86)	10.4 (8-13)	n/a	n/a	35 (60)	10.4 (8-13)	n/a	ASD <td: angular="" asd="" cerebellum,="" gyrus,="" gyrus;="" lingual="" r="">TD: L PCC/precuneus, R SFG, L MOG</td:>
Ecker et al. (2012)	Adult		89 (100)	27.0 (18-43)	110	n/a	89 (100)	28.0 (18-43)	113.0	ASD <td: and="" asd="" cerebellum,="" cuneus,="" ffg,="" gyrus,="" inferior="" itg="" l="" lingual="" mtg,="" occipital="" pcc;="" posterior="" precuneus,="" r="" sog,="">TD: L /R ITG/MTG/STG/ L/R FFG/PHG/insula, L IFG, L putamen/caudate, L thalamus, L/R dIPFC/ MFG/pre-/post-CG, R IPL</td:>
Foster et al. (2015)	Pediat.		38 (100)	12.4 (6-17)	102.5	n/a	46 (100)	12.6 (7-17)	113.1	ASD <td: asd="" cerebellum;="" gyrus,="" l="" r="" stg,="" supramarginal="">TD: R central sulcus, L medial FG, L/R IFG, L/R pre-CG, L MFG, L pre-SMA, R SFG, L ACC, L OFC, L ITG/STG. L/R MTG, L Heschl's gyrus, R lingual gyrus, L FFG, L post-CG, L PCC, L precuneus, R supramarginal/angular gyrus, L IOG, L/R cuneus, L putamen, L caudate</td:>
Freitag et al. (2008)	Mixed		15 (87)	17.5 (n/a)	101.2	n/a	15 (87)	18.6 (n/a)	112.1	ASD <td: intraparietal="" r="" sulcus<="" td=""></td:>

Greimel et al. (2013)	Mixed	 47 (100)	18.3 (10-50)	107.5	13	51 (100)	21.4 (8-47)	112.5	ASD <td: acc,="" l="" mtg<="" posterior="" r="" sts,="" td=""></td:>
Groen, Buitelaar, van der Gaag, and Zwiers (2011)	Pediat.	 17 (82)	14.4 (12-18)	98.0	n/a	25 (88)	15.5 (12-18)	105.0	
Hyde, Samson, Evans, and Mottron (2010)	Mixed	 15 (100)	22.7 (14-33)	100.4	n/a	15 (100)	19.2 (14-34)	106.6	ASD <td: asd="" l="" post-cg,="" pre-cg;="" r="">TD: Brainstem/midbrain, reticular, medial FG/OFC, L/R MFG</td:>
Itahashi et al. (2015)	Adult	 46 (100)	30.2 (19-50)	106.0	n/a	46 (100)	30.5 (19-47)	109.2	-
Katz et al. (2016)	Adult	 23 (100)	26.6 (18-45)	n/a	n/a	32 (100)	29.8 (19-48)	n/a	ASD>TD: L/R OFC, ACC
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	Pediat.	 10 (80)	14.7 (n/a)	102.3	n/a	10 (80)	13.8 (n/a)	109.5	ASD <td: asd="" lateral="" of="" portion="" precuneus;="" r="">TD: L medial FG, R precuneus</td:>
Ke et al. (2008)	Pediat.	 17 (82)	8.9 (6-14)	108.8	n/a	15 (80)	9.7 (6-14)	109.8	ASD <td: asd="" phg;="" r="">TD: L/R supramarginal gyrus, post-CG, R MFG, R cerebellum</td:>
Kosaka et al. (2010)	Adult	 32 (100)	23.8 (17-32)	101.6	n/a	40 (100)	22.5 (18-34)	109.7	ASD <td: ifg,="" insula,="" ipl<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Kurth et al. (2011)	Pediat.	 52 (73)	11.2 (5-20)	102.2	n/a	52 (73)	11.1 (6-19)	106.0	ASD <td: hypothalamus<="" td=""></td:>
Kwon, Ow, Pedatella, Lotspeich, and Reiss (2004)	Pediat.	 20 (100)	13.5 (10-18)	n/a	n/a	13 (100)	13.6 (10-18)	n/a	ASD <td: cortex,="" entorhinal="" ffg<="" itg,="" r="" td=""></td:>
Langen et al. (2009)	Pediat.	 99 (92)	12.9 (7-24)	107.6	n/a	89 (92)	12.4 (6-24)	110.0	
Lim et al. (2015)	Pediat.	 19 (100)	14.9 (11-17)	113.0	0	33 (100)	14.9 (11-17)	110.0	ASD>TD: L MTG/STG, L medial FG
Lin, Ni, Lai, Tseng, and Gau (2015)	Pediat. (Child)	 28 (100)	10.7 (7-12)	106.9	9	43 (100)	10.6 (7-12)	115.2	ASD <td: asd="" l="" mog;="" post-cg,="" precuneus,="" r="">TD: L subcallosal gyrus, L/R sublobar areas</td:>
	Pediat. (Adole scent)	 40 (100)	14.7 (13-17)	101.5		18 (100)	15.5 (13-17)	108.7	
	Adult	 18 (100)	22.2 (18-29)	99.6		29 (100)	23.4 (18-29)	116.8	ASD>TD: L/R SFG, L MFG
Lin, Tseng, Lai, Chang, and Gau (2017)	Pediat.	 20 (100)	13.5 (8-19)	103.8	0	54 (100)	12.8 (8-19)	112.5	ASD>TD: L cerebellum crus I
McAlonan et al. (2002)	Adult	 17 (90)	32.0 (18-49)	96.0	n/a	24 (92)	33.0 (18-49)	114.0	ASD <td: cerebellum,="" cg,="" l="" lenticular="" mfg,="" nucleus,="" precuneus<="" r="" sfg,="" td=""></td:>
McAlonan et al. (2008)	Pediat.	 33 (82)	11.6 (7-16)	113.2	0	55 (86)	10.7 (7-16)	117.1	ASD <td: bg,="" cerebellar="" cortices<="" dipfc,="" inferior="" l="" parietal="" posterior="" r="" sts,="" td="" vermis,=""></td:>
Mengotti et al. (2011)	Pediat.	 20 (90)	7.0 (4-14)	n/a	0	22 (91)	7.7 (4-11)	n/a	ASD <td: asd="" ifg;="" l="" r="" sma,="">TD: R IPL, L/R ITG, L SPL, R SOG, precuneus</td:>
Mueller et al. (2013)	Adult	 12 (75)	35.5 (n/a)	111.3	n/a	12 (67)	33.3 (n/a)	110.8	ASD <td: frontal="" gyrus<="" ifg,="" l="" lobe,="" mfg,="" mpfc,="" paracingulate="" pole,="" r="" td="" temporal="" tpj,=""></td:>

Ni et al. (2018)	Pediat.		81 (100)	12.6 (7-17)	107.4	14.8	61 (100)	12.4 (7-17)	112.0	ASD <td: cortex,="" frontal="" l="" lateral="" occipital="" parietal="" pole<="" superior="" td=""></td:>
Pereira et al. (2018)	Mixed		19 (82)	17.4 (14-25)	99.8	n/a	25 (66)	18.5 (14-25)	105.8	ASD <td: anterior="" cerebellum="" cerebellum,="" l="" l<br="" lobe,="" posterior="" r="">FFG, L/R CG, L paracentral lobule, R MFG, L claustrum, R medial FG, L PHG L amygdala, L post-CG, R SFG; ASD>TD: L/R brainstem, R cerebellum, posterior lobe</td:>
Poulin-Lord et al. (2014)	Mixed		23 (87)	19.8 (14-30)	100.3	n/a	22 (86)	22.6 (15-35)	107.3	
Poustka et al. (2012)	Pediat.		18 (89)	9.7 (6-12)	111.0	n/a	18 (89)	9.7 (6-12)	112.8	
Radeloff et al. (2014)	Mixed		34 (91)	19.1 (14-33)	105.7	n/a	26 (85)	19.5 (14-27)	107.8	
Retico et al. (2016)	Pediat.		76 (50)	4.6 (3-7)	71	n/a	76 (50)	4.6 (2-7)	73	ASD <td: asd="" cerebellum,="" l="" mtg,="" pole;="" posterior="" r="" temporal="">TD: L precuneus, L PCC, R posterior STG, R MTG/ITG, R mPFC, L SPL, mid-CC</td:>
Riedel et al. (2014)	Adult		30 (63.3)	35.4 (21-52)	124.5	n/a	30 (63)	35.5 (22-53)	123.6	
Riva et al. (2013)	Pediat.		26 (89)	5.8 (2-10)	51.6	n/a	21 (62)	6.8 (3-10)	n/a	ASD <td: 8="" 9,="" cerebellum="" crus="" hippocampus,="" ifg,="" ii,="" iog,="" itg,="" l="" mog="" post-cg<="" r="" sfg.="" sog,="" td="" vermis=""></td:>
Rojas et al. (2006)	Mixed		24 (100)	20.8 (7-44)	94.8	n/a	23 (100)	21.4 (7-44)	118.7	
Sato et al. (2017)	Adult		36 (69.4)	27.0 (18-53)	110.4	n/a	36 (69)	24.9 (20-43)	n/a	ASD <td: acc,="" amygdala,="" cg,="" ffg,="" iog="" itg="" l="" medial="" mfg,="" mtg,="" ofg<="" phg,="" r="" sfg,="" sma,="" td=""></td:>
Schmitz et al. (2006)	Adult		10 (100)	38.0 (18-52)	105.0	0	12 (100)	39.0 (18-52)	106	ASD>TD: L IFG, ACC, R SFG, L/R MFG
Toal et al. (2010)	Adult		65 (88)	31.0 (16-59)	98.0	n/a	33 (91)	32.0 (19-58)	105	ASD <td: cerebellum="" cerebellum<="" ffg="" ffg,="" itg="" l="" phg="" r="" stg="" td="" tg=""></td:>
Waiter et al. (2004)	Pediat.		16 (100)	15.4 (12-20)	100.4	n/a	16 (100)	15.5 (12-20)	99.7	ASD <td: asd="" r="" thalamus;="">TD: L SFG, R FFG, R mPFC, L MTG, R PCC, L/R STG, L lingual gyrus, L IFG, L MFG, L IOG, L PHG</td:>
Wang et al. (2017)	Pediat.		31 (100)	4.8 (3-7)	62.5	n/a	31 (100)	4.8 (3-6)	97.1	ASD>TD: L STG, L post-CG
Wilson, Tregellas, Hagerman, Rogers, and Rojas (2009)	Adult		10 (80)	30.1 (22-47)	91.5	n/a	10 (70)	29.4 (21-43)	127.2	
Q. Yang et al. (2018)	Pediat.		16 (63)	10.4 (6-15)	45.3	n/a	16 (63)	10.5 (6-15)	97.5	ASD <td: caudate<="" cerebellum,="" l="" td=""></td:>
(B) fMRI studies in ASD										
Ambrosino et al. (2014)	Pediat.	GNG	19 (100)	11.5 (9-12)	112	n/a	19 (100)	11.1 (9-14)	120	
Chantiluke, Barrett, Giampietro, Santosh, et al. (2015)	Pediat.	Stop	19 (100)	14.7 (10-17)	112	0	25 (100)	13.4 (10-17)	109	ASD <td: asd="" ipl;="" l="">TD: R IFC, L IFG/MFG</td:>

Daly et al. (2014)	Adult	GNG	14 (100)	31 (n/a)	115	n/a	14 (100)	31 (n/a)	123	ASD <td: asd="" ifg,="" l="" r="" thalamus;="">TD: R caudate, R cerebellum</td:>
Denisova et al. (2017)	Mixed	Simon	20 (95)	22.7 (n/a)	n/a	n/a	20 (95)	23.2 (n/a)	n/a	ASD>TD: R lingual gyrus, R cerebellum, R ITG
Duerden et al. (2013)	Adult	GNG	16 (69)	27.2 (19-39)	112	0	17 (71)	30.7 (20-43)	114	ASD <td: asd="" mfg;="" r="">TD: R IFG, R FFG</td:>
J. Fan et al. (2012)	Adult	Flanke r	12 (75)	30 (n/a)	115	n/a	12 (83)	28 (n/a)	120	ASD <td: acc<="" td=""></td:>
Gooskens et al. (2018)	Pediat.	Stop	26 (35)	11.3 (8-12)	108.9	n/a	53 (45)	10.8 (8-12)	111.9	
Kana, Keller, Minshew, and Just (2007)	Adult	GNG	12 (92)	26.8 (n/a)	110	n/a	12 (92)	22.5 (n/a)	117	ASD <td: calcarine="" gyrus<="" ifg,="" insula="" itg,="" l="" lingual="" mid-cc,="" phg,="" pmc,="" post-cg,="" r="" sulcus,="" td=""></td:>
Kennedy et al. (2006)	Adult	Stroop	15 (100)	25.5 (15-44)	96	n/a	14 (100)	26.1 (n/a)	n/a	ASD>TD: mPFC, precuneus
Prat, Stocco, Neuhaus, and Kleinhans (2016)	Adult	GNG	16 (63)	25.3 (18-35)	107.4	n/a	17 (65)	25.6 (19-44)	111.0	
Schmitz et al. (2006)	Adult	GNG, Switch, Stroop	10 (100)	38 (18-52)	105	0	12 (100)	39 (18-52)	106	ASD>TD: L M/IFG, OFC (GNG), insula (Stroop), and IPL, mesial parietal lobe (Switch)
Shafritz, Dichter, Baranek, and Belger (2008)	Adult	Switch	18 (89)	22.3 (n/a)	103	n/a	15 (87)	24.3 (n/a)	111	ASD <td: acc,="" bg,="" dipfc,="" insula<="" intraparietal="" l="" sulcus,="" td=""></td:>
Shafritz, Bregman, Ikuta, and Szeszko (2015)	Mixed	GNG	15 (80)	18.1 (13-23)	102	0	15 (80)	18.4 (12-23)	115	ASD <td: ifg="" insula<="" r="" td=""></td:>
Solomon et al. (2014)	Pediat.	Switch	27 (19)	15.4 (12-18)	108	55	27 (19)	16.1 (12-18)	113	ASD <td: gyrus,="" l="" lingual="" mog<="" pcc,="" td=""></td:>
Vaidya et al. (2011)	Pediat.	Stroop	11 (100)	10.8 (7-12)	114	n/a	14 (100)	11.0 (n/a)	119	ASD <td: acc,="" caudate<="" l="" mfg,="" r="" td=""></td:>
van Hulst et al. (2017)	Pediat.	GNG	26 (100)	10.8 (8-12)	109.6	n/a	26 (100)	10.5 (8-12)	117.3	
Velasquez et al. (2017)	Adult	GNG	19 (68)	25.8 (18-35)	111	0	22 (73)	29.0 (20-46)	112	ASD <td: acc,="" cortex<="" lateral="" occipital="" post-cg,="" r="" td=""></td:>
Yerys et al. (2015)	Pediat.	Switch	20 (80)	11.3 (7-14)	115	n/a	19 (68)	11.4 (7-13)	120	ASD>TD: L MFG/ pre-CG, L SFG/ dACC, R IFG

Abbreviations. N=sample size, y=year, pediat.=pediatric (child/adolescent) sample, com ADHD=comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder based on explicit reporting or study exclusion criteria, ASD=autism spectrum disorder, TD=typically developing controls, L/R=Left/Right, GNG = Go/No-Go. Brain region (in alphabetical order): ACC=anterior cingulate cortex, BG=basal ganglia, dACC=dorsal ACC, dIPFC=dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, FFG=fusiform gyrus, I/M/medial/SFG=inferior/middle/medial/superior frontal gyrus, I/M/STG=inferior/middle/superior temporal gyrus, I/SPL=inferior/superior parietal lobe, mPFC=medial prefrontal cortex, OFC=orbital frontal gyrus, PCC=posterior cingulate cortex, pre-/post-CG=pre-/post-central gyrus, PHG=parahippocampal gyrus, PMC=premotor cortex, SMA=supplementary motor area, STS=superior temporal sulcus

Supplement 3: Meta-analyses in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD

	ADHD	ASD												
(A) Overall Sample														
VBM														
Ν	615	848	568	869										
% males	81	87	82	86										
Mean age (range), y	11.6 (6-20)	10.8 (2-24 ^a)	12.0 (6-20)	10.8 (2-24 ^a)										
Mean FSIQ (SD)	102 (9.7)	94 (18.4)	110 (5.0)	106 (11.1)										
fMRI during Cognitive	Control													
Ν	568		572											
% males	79		73											
Mean age (range), y	13.0 (7-20)		13.1 (7-20)											
Mean FSIQ (SD)	101 (6.7)		109 (5.5)											
fMRI during Motor Res	sponse Inhibitio	on												
Ν	389		395											
% males	77		72											
Mean age (range), y	13.1 (7-20)		13.0 (7-20)											
Mean FSIQ (SD)	99 (7.1)		109 (5.5)											

Table S3a. Characteristics of Overall Pediatric Sample and Age-, Sex- and IQ matched Subgroups

(B) Matched Subgroups

VBM				
Ν	596	741	550	765
% males	82	86	82	84
Mean age (range), y	11.7 (6-20)	11.0 (2-24 ^a)	12.0 (6-20)	11.0 (2-24 ^a)
Mean FSIQ (SD)	101 (2.4)	96 (4.7)	109 (1.1)	106 (4.1)

Abbreviations: N = overall number of subjects, TD_{ASD} = typically developing controls in the ASD studies, TD_{ADHD} = typically developing controls in the ADHD studies, VBM = voxel-based morphometry, fMRI = functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging, % males = proportion of males among the samples, y = year, FSIQ = full scale IQ, and SD = standard deviation. The demographic characteristics presented here were calculated from the independent datasets with non-overlapping participants. Due to insufficient number of independent datasets, no fMRI meta-analysis in pediatric groups were carried out and no demographic information is reported here. ^aincluded one study primarily in adolescents with upper age limit of 24 years.

Contrasts	MNI coord.	SDM	p-value	Voxels	BA	
	x, y, z	Z		No		
(A) Struc	tural Abnorm	nalities	5			
(i) .	ADHD <i>vs</i> . TD					
ADHD < TD						
R STG/putamen/posterior insula*	56,-14,8	2.47	.0003	1709	48/22/38	
rACC/vmPFC/vmOFC*	6,50,8	2.61	.0001	1223	32/10/11	
R caudate*	18,12,10	2.44	.0003	353		
L cerebellum hemispheric lobule VI	-28,-48,-34	2.08	.002	155		
R cerebellum hemispheric lobule IX	16,-52,-46	1.93	.004	32		
ADHD > TD						
Nil						
(ii)	ASD vs. TD					
ASD < TD						
L cerebellum lobule VIII*	-8,-66,-46	1.58	.0002	890		
L MOG/SPG	-26,-82,40	1.59	.0002	415	19/7	
Dorsomedial thalamus*	4,-4,16	1.48	.0003	144		
Middle cingulate gyrus	0,-6,28	1.18	.002	23		
ASD > TD						
L anterior temporal pole/STG/MTG*	-46,-10,-6	2.40	.00005	1051	21/48/22/20/38	
Precuneus/PCC*	-4,-55,22	2.40	.00005	651	23/30/26	
R MFG/SFG/dIPFC*	26,46,28	2.42	.00003	236	46/9	
ADHD (vs.	TD) vs. ASD	(<i>vs.</i> T	D)			
(iii) (Overall Samp	le				
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TI))					
R STG/MTG/AI	58,-12,0	2.29	.0006	938	22/21/48/38	
mPFC/rACC/vmOFC*	6,58,10	2.46	.0003	906	10/32/11	
L post-CG	-54,-12,34	2.02	.002	59	3/4	
ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TI)					
LMOG	-24,-82,40	1.22	.0001	820	19	
ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs.	TD)					
L posterior insula/STG/MTG*	-46,-8,-2	2.29	.0006	446	48/38	
R MFG/dIPFC*	24.46.24	2.37	.0004	110	46	
(iv) Mat	tched Subgro	oups				
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TI))	-				
R putamen/AI/STG	58,-12,2	2.09	.0006	1369	22/48	
rACC/vmPFC/vmOFC*	6.60.12	2.36	.0001	1291	10/11/32	
R caudate	14,14,8	1.87	.002	67		
(B) Eurot	ional Abnorn	alitio	2			
			3			
	ADHD VS. TD					
	ginnive com					
SMA/dmDEC*	4650	1 72	0001	554	6/22/24	
L antorior MTC*	-50 19 10	1.12	0001	107	18/22/20/21	
	-50,-10,-10	1.00	.0003	15/	40/22/20/21	
	-14,-02,-30	1.20	.002	154		
	-40,-10,56	1.23	.002	22	O	
	0 00 40	1 00	000	45	0/0/22	
L mediai SFG	-ö, 2ö, 4ö	1.09	.002	45	0/9/32	

Table S3b. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD

(ii) Motor Response Inhibition						
AD	HD < TD					
	R vIPFC/OFC/AI*	38,28,-16	1.74 .0005	379	47/38	
	L anterior MTG*	-52,-18,-10	2.06 .0001	323	22/21/10/48	
	vmOFC	-4,56,-6	1.43 .002	326	10	
	L lingual gyrus	-10,-32,-8	1.35 .003	47	27	
	L post-CG*	-48,-10,56	1.48 .002	27	6	
AD	HD > TD					
	L medial SFG	-8,28,50	1.29 .002	39	8	

Abbreviations: MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute, SDM = seed-based d mapping, BA = Brodmann Area, TD = typically developing controls, L/R = left/right. Brain regions (in alphabetical order): AI = anterior insula, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex, MOG = middle occipital gyrus, M/SFG = middle/superior frontal gyrus, M/STG = middle/superior temporal gyrus, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, SMA = supplementary motor area, SPG = superior parietal gyrus, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, vmOFC = ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex, vmPFC =ventromedial prefrontal cortex, samples. Bold fonts indicate overlapping disorder-differentiating impairment between the overall pediatric samples and age-, sex- and IQ-matched pediatric subgroups. No pediatric comparative fMRI meta-analyses involving the ASD population were carried out due to insufficient number of ASD independent datasets.

Figure S3. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Pediatric Sample with ADHD and ASD



ADHD reduced 📃 ADHD increased 📕 ASD reduced 📃 ASD increased

Abnormalities in the (A) gray matter volume (GMV) and (B) brain activations during (i) cognitive control and (ii) motor response inhibition only in ADHD relative to typically developing (TD) controls. A statistical threshold of p < .005 and a cluster extent of 20 voxels were used.

	ADHD	ASD							
(A) Overall Sample									
VBM	VBM								
N	611	417	531	427					
% males	57	87	58	87					
Mean age (range), y	32.0 (18-65)	29.1 (16 ^a -59)	32.4 (18-65)	27.7 (18-58)					
Mean FSIQ (SD)	108 (5.6)	104 (11.5)	112 (5.7)	113 (6.0)					
fMRI during Cognitive Control									
Ν	433	152	432	135					
% males	75	85	65	84					
Mean age (range), y	25.4 (17-50)	28.7 (15 ^b -52)	25.8 (18-50)	28.4 (18-52)					
Mean FSIQ (SD)	106 (6.6)	108 (5.8)	112 (4.6)	114 (5.3)					
fMRI during Motor Response Inhibition									
Ν	346		339						
% males	77		65						
Mean age (range), y	25.4 (18-50)		26.0 (18-50)						
Mean FSIQ (SD)	103 (5.3)		111 (5.2)						

Table S4a. Characteristics of Adult Overall Sample and Subgroups Matched on Age, Sex and IQ

(B) Matched Subgroups

VBM							
Ν	287	232	275	223			
% males	71	77	70	75			
Mean age (range), y	27.7 (18-65)	31.4 (16 ^a -59)	28.4 (18-65)	28.5 (18-58)			
Mean FSIQ (SD)	103 (5.7)	102 (13.6)	108 (4.5)	114 (8.7)			
fMRI during Overall Cognitive Control							
Ν	257	152	241	135			
% males	81	85	80	84			
Mean age (range), y	26.5 (17-50)	28.7 (15 ^b -52)	26.0 (18-50)	28.4 (18-52)			
Mean FSIQ (SD)	109 (6.8)	108 (5.8)	114 (3.8)	114 (5.3)			

Abbreviations: N = overall number of subjects, TD_{ASD} = controls in the ASD studies, TD_{ADHD} = controls in the ADHD studies, VBM = voxel-based morphometry, fMRI = functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging, % males = proportion of males among the samples, y = year, FSIQ = full scale IQ, and SD = standard deviation. The demographic characteristics presented here were calculated from the independent datasets with non-overlapping participants. There were insufficient number of adult ASD fMRI datasets during motor control thus no meta-analyses involving adult ASD participants were conducted during prepotent response inhibition and the participant characteristics are not reported in this table. ^a included one study primarily in adults with lower age limit of 16 years. ^b included a study conducted primarily in adult with 3/12 participants aged 15-16 years old.

(A) Structural Abnormalities (i) ADHD vs. TD ADHD < TD	Contrasts	MNI coord.	SDM Z	<i>p</i> -value	Voxels No	BA
(i) ADHD vs. TD ADHD vs. TD ADHD vs. TD ADHD vs. TD Status NITCS R supramarginal Status R IFG/MFG R IFG/MFG R IFG/MFG Adht Status NII Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colsp		Structural At	norm	alities		•
ADHD < TD VmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,56,-24 1.81 0,0005 952 11/25 R supramarginal gyrus/posterior MTG/STG R IFG/MFG 8 KSMA 8,26,64 1.03 0,004 27 8 ADHD-TD Nil (ii) ASD vs. TD ASD ADHD / vs. TD ASD ASD < TD R putamen/posterior insula 38,6,-2 1.86 0,01 920 48 R cerebellum crus 26,-78,-24 1.80 0,01 174 19/18 L/R cuneus 6,-70,12 1.85 0,01 67 17/18 R lingual gyrus 18,96,-10 1.73 0,02 21 6 4 ASD ASD < TD L anterior MTG/TG* -60,-22,-16 2.15 0,0002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG 40,-22,-2 1.81 0,001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG 40,-22,-2 1.61 0,007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 40,-12,-2 1.61 0,007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 40,-12 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1			/s TD	antico		
NDFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,56,-24 1.81 0.000 952 11/25 R uFG/MFG/STG 64,-42,24 1.45 0.000 680 48/22/40/22/21/41 gyrus/posterior MTG/STG 46,64 1.11/25 48/22/40/22/21/41 NI - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			/3.10			
Initial Status Initial	vmOFC/subcallosal ovrus*	0 56 -24	1 81	00005	952	11/25
Tristoplantary gruss D3, 72,24 1.30 000 100	R supramarginal	54 - 42 24	1.01	0005	680	48/42/40/22/21/41
R IFG/MFG 46,16,40 1.11 .003 53 44/9 R SMA 8,26,64 1.03 .004 27 8 ADHD>TD (ii) ASD vs. TD - - - Mil - - - - - ASD < TD	gyrus/posterior MTG/STG	0-1, -2,2-1	1.40	.0000	000	+0/+2/+0/22/21/+1
R SMA 8,26,64 1.03 .004 27 8 ADHD>TD Image: State of the st	R IFG/MFG	46.16.40	1.11	.003	53	44/9
ADHD>TD Image: Second Sec	R SMA	8.26.64	1.03	.004	27	8
Nil ASD < TD	ADHD>TD	-, -,-				
(ii) ASD vs. TD ASD < TD	Nil					
ASD < TD		(ii) ASD v	s. TD			
R putamen/posterior insula 38, 6, -2 1.86 .001 920 48 R cerebellum crus I 26, -78, -24 1.80 .001 174 19/18 L/R cuneus 6, -70, 12 1.85 .001 67 17/18 R lingual gyrus 18, -96, -10 1.84 .001 45 18 LMOG -36, -94, -10 1.73 .002 30 18 ASD > TD - - - .0002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG -38, -16, 50 1.75 .0002 212 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 MDFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56, -22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 </td <td>ASD < TD</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ASD < TD					
R cerebellum crus I 26, -78, -24 1.80 .001 174 19/18 L/R cuneus 6, -70, 12 1.85 .001 67 17/18 R lingual gyrus 18, -96, -10 1.84 .001 45 18 LMOG -36, -94, -10 1.73 .002 30 18 ASD > TD Image: Construct of the image: Constr	R putamen/posterior insula	38, 6, -2	1.86	.001	920	48
L/R cuneus 6, -70, 12 1.85 .001 67 17/18 R lingual gyrus 18,-96,-10 1.84 .001 45 18 L MOG -36,-94,-10 1.73 .002 30 18 ASD > TD L anterior MTG/ITG* -60,-22,-16 2.15 .00002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG -38,-16, 50 1.75 .0002 212 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.50 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) VmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,32,-26 1.49 .003 84 20/30/27	R cerebellum crus I	26, -78, -24	1.80	.001	174	19/18
R lingual gyrus 18,-96,-10 1.84 .001 45 18 L MOG -36,-94,-10 1.73 .002 30 18 ASD > TD	L/R cuneus	6, -70, 12	1.85	.001	67	17/18
L MOG -36,-94,-10 1.73 .002 30 18 ASD > TD L anterior MTG/ITG* -60,-22,-16 2.15 .00002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG -38,-16, 50 1.75 .0002 212 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R cocipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.50 .003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.50 .003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .003 375 36/34/28 L FFG -28,32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	R lingual gyrus	18,-96,-10	1.84	.001	45	18
ASD > TD L anterior MTG/ITG* -60,-22,-16 2.15 .00002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG -38,-16, 50 1.75 .0002 216 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 MDHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) wmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 wmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R corebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12	L MOG	-36,-94,-10	1.73	.002	30	18
L anterior MTG/ITG* -60,-22,-16 2.15 .00002 266 21/20/22 L pre-/post-CG -38,-16, 50 1.75 .0002 212 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.55 .001 59 44 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) VmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 VmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1 49 003 84 20/30/27	ASD > TD					
L pre-/post-CG -38,-16, 50 1.75 .0002 212 6/4 L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.50 .0006 62 9/8 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) M CrC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -2,8,32,-26 1 49 .003 84 20/30/27	L anterior MTG/ITG*	-60,-22,-16	2.15	.00002	266	21/20/22
L SFG/MFG/dIPFC -20, 28, 44 1.84 .0001 117 9/8/32 L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) VmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L EFG -28,-32,-26 1 49 003 84 20/30/27	L pre-/post-CG	-38,-16, 50	1.75	.0002	212	6/4
L posterior STG -40, -22, -2 1.61 .0007 111 48 R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 rmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L EFG -28,-32,-26 1 49 003 84 20/30/27	L SFG/MFG/dIPFC	-20, 28, 44	1.84	.0001	117	9/8/32
R pre-/post-CG 46, -18, 50 1.50 .002 98 6/4 L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6	L posterior STG	-40, -22, -2	1.61	.0007	111	48
L MTG -44, 4, -26 1.68 .0005 37 20/21 ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdal/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FFG -28,-32,-26 1 49 .003 84 20/30/27	R pre-/post-CG	46, -18, 50	1.50	.002	98	6/4
ADHD (vs. TD) vs. ASD (vs. TD) (iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R Recrebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8	L MTG	-44, 4, -26	1.68	.0005	37	20/21
(iii) Overall Sample ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus 1 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62	ADHD ((vs. TD) vs. A	SD (v	rs. TD)		
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R R cerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) U HTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) wmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28		(iii) Overall	Samp	le		
vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* -4,56,-22 1.87 .00004 672 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R rerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* 60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 MOHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) wmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11	ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD)				
vmOFC/gyrus rectus* -10,16,-12 1.29 .002 65 11 R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R repebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 wmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus*	vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus*	-4,56,-22	1.87	.00004	672	11
R supramarginal gyrus 58,-36,38 1.42 .0007 269 40/48 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R R 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 <td>vmOFC/gyrus rectus*</td> <td>-10,16,-12</td> <td>1.29</td> <td>.002</td> <td>65</td> <td>11</td>	vmOFC/gyrus rectus*	-10,16,-12	1.29	.002	65	11
ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R cerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FFG -28,-32,-26 1.49 .003 84 20/30/27	R supramarginal gyrus	58,-36,38	1.42	.0007	269	40/48
R cerebellum, crus I 26,-78,-24 1.37 .002 261 R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)	ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD)				
R insula 44,2,2 1.34 .002 104 R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)	R cerebellum, crus I	26,-78,-24	1.37	.002	261	
R occipital pole 6,-72,12 1.47 .001 60 18 R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 .0006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 Mothed Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28	Rinsula	44,2,2	1.34	.002	104	
R lingual gyrus 18,-94,-10 1.59 0.006 50 18 L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)	R occipital pole	6,-72,12	1.4/	.001	60	18
L occipital pole -36,-94,-10 1.32 .002 27 18 ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)	R lingual gyrus	18,-94,-10	1.59	.0006	50	18
ASD (vs. TD) Increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD) L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 .003 84 20/30/27		-36,-94,-10	1.32	.002	27	18
L MTG* -60,-22,-16 1.56 .0003 154 21/20 L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 MIC* (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 .003 84 20/30/27	ASD (VS. ID) Increased VS. ADHD	(VS. TD)	4 50	0000	4 - 4	04/00
L pre-CG -38,-16,50 1.33 .001 90 6 L dIPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 .0006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 .003 84 20/30/27		-60,-22,-16	1.50	.0003	154	21/20
L diPFC/MFG/SFG -20,30,46 1.45 0.006 62 9/8 (iv) Matched Subgroups ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27		-38,-16,50	1.33	.001	90	0/0
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD) vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	L diPFC/MFG/SFG	-20,30,40	1.40 ubara	.0006	62	9/8
vmOFC/subcallosal gyrus* 0,48,-28 1.39 .0006 604 11 vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .002 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R Ramygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (ve TD)	ubyiu	Jups		
vmOFC/gyrus rectus* 6,14,-18 1.15 .000 87 11 R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	vmOEC/subcallosal avrus*	0.48-28	1 30	0006	604	11
R IFG/MFG 46,18,40 1.25 .001 59 44 ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FEG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	vmOFC/gyrus rectue*	6 14 -18	1 15	002	87	11
ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD) R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FFG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	R IFG/MFG	<u>46 18 40</u>	1.15	001	50	ΔΔ
R amygdala/PHG/FFG 30,-2,-22 1.95 .0003 375 36/34/28 L FFG -28,-32,-26 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	ASD (vs TD) reduced vs ADHD (vs TD)	1.20	.001	55	
L FFG -283226 1.49 003 84 20/30/27	R amvgdala/PHG/FFG	30 -2 -22	1 95	0003	375	36/34/28
	LFFG	-283226	1.49	.003	84	20/30/27

Table S4b. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Adults with ADHD and ASD

AS	O (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD	(<i>vs.</i> TD)					
	R posterior STG*	56,-42,22	1.24	.001	180	42/48/41	
	(B) Functional Al	onormalities	during	g Cognitiv	ve Con	trol	
		(i) ADHD	vs. TD				
AD	HD < TD						
	L MFG/dIPFC*	-26,34,40	1.71	.0002	361	9/46/8	
	R caudate/dorsomedial thalamus*	6,-6,12	1.76	.0001	364		
	R Al/putamen*	30,24,2	1.40	.002	274	47/48	
	L temporal pole/STG/insula*	-48,8,-14	1.43	.001	270	38/21/48	
	L AI/IFG*	-36,20,6	1.37	.002	86	48/45	
	R temporal pole/MTG/STG*	52,4,-18	1.31	.003	74	21/38	
	R MTG/STG*	52,-12,-12	1.26	.003	41	22/20	
	R posterior STG	56,-40,18	1.30	.003	29	42	
ADI	HD>TD						
	Nil						
A 61		(II) ASD V	s. ID				
ASI		2 26 22	1 00	00001	2005	20/01	
		-2,30,22	1.99	001	2000	32/24	
124		-04,-40,02	1.45	.001	521	40/2/1	
	Precuneus*	6 -48 60	1 77	00004	1181	5/4	
		-32 44 -14	1.35	001	103	11/47	
	L MEG/dIPEC*	-26 48 14	1.35	001	57	46/10	
	ADHE	D (vs. TD) vs	. ASD	(vs. TD)	01		
	(iii) Overall Sample						
AS	O (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD)					
	mPFC/rACC/vmPFC/vmOFC*	0,36,20	2.70	.0000008	2948	32/24//9/10	
	L IPL/SPL/post-CG	-34,-42,54	1.29	.001	108	40/2/3	
AS	D (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD	(<i>vs.</i> TD)					
	Precuneus/PCC*	-4,-40,52	2.35	.00001	1462	4/5/7	
	L MFG/dIPFC	-28,48,8	1.62	.001	69	46/10	
	L vIPFC/OFC*	-30,42,-8	1.55	.001	60	11/47	
	(iv	v) Matched S	Subgro	oups			
ASI	D (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD)					
	dmPFC/ACC/vmPFC/vmOFC*	* 0,34,20	2.63	.0000005	3241	32/24/9/10/8/11	
	L IPL/SPL/post-CG*	-34,-42,54	1.22	.002	71	40/2/3	
ASI	D (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD	(<i>vs.</i> TD)					
	Precuneus/PCC*	-4,-40,54	2.33	.000008	1548	4/5/7	
	L vIPFC/OFC*	-30,42,-8	1.47	.002	33	11/47	
	L MFG/dIPFC	-28,48,8	1.48	.002	30	46/10	
	(C) Functional Abnor	malities duri	ng Mo	tor Respo	onse In	hibition	
	(i) ADHD <i>vs.</i> TD						
AD	HD < TD						
	L MFG/dIPFC*	-28,28,48	1.81	.00006	554	9/46/8	
	R Al/putamen/IFG*	32,22,4	1.70	.0002	456	47/48/45	
	R MTG/STG	46,-20,-8	1.33	.002	255	48/22/21/20	
	R posterior STG/	54,-38,16	1.42	.001	165	42/48/41	
	supramarginal gyrus	40, 00, 50	4.00	000	00	0/40	
		48,-36,50	1.33	.002	69	2/40	
יחא		-12,-32,58	1.39	.001	41	1	
AU							

- -- -- --

Abbreviations: MNI=Montreal Neurological Institute, SDM=seed-based d mapping, BA=Brodmann Area, TD=typically developing controls, L/R = left/right. Brain regions (in alphabetical order): AI=anterior insula, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, FFG = fusiform gyrus, I/M/SFG = inferior/middle/superior frontal gyrus, I/M/STG=inferior/middle/superior temporal gyrus, I/SPL= inferior/superior parietal lobe, MOG = middle occipital gyrus, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, PHG = parahippocampal gyrus, pre-/post-CG = pre/postcentral gyrus, rACC = rostral anterior cingulate cortex, rdACC = rostrodorsal anterior cingulate cortex, SMA = supplemental motor area, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, vmOFC = ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex, vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Asterisks indicate overlapping impairments with findings in the age non-stratified overall samples. Bold fonts indicate overlapping disorder-differentiating impairment between the adult overall samples and age-, sex- and IQ-matched adult subgroups. No adult fMRI meta-analyses during prepotent response inhibition involving the ASD population were carried out due to insufficient number of adult ASD independent datasets.

Figure S4. Structural and Functional Abnormalities in Adults with ADHD and ASD



Abnormalities in the (A) gray matter volume and brain activations during (B) cognitive control and (C) motor response inhibition. Rows (i) and (ii) show abnormalities in ADHD and ASD relative to typically developing (TD) controls. Abnormalities in ADHD versus ASD, each relative to TD controls are shown in (iii) overall adult samples and (iv) age-, sex-, and IQ-matched subgroups. No adult fMRI meta-analyses during motor control involving the ASD population were carried out due to insufficient number of adult ASD independent datasets. A statistical threshold of p < .005 with a cluster extent of 20 voxels were used in all analyses.

Supplement 5: Associations between Brain Abnormalities and Age

Correlational Analyses between Brain Abnormalities and Age

Among the most consistent disorder-differentiating VBM abnormalities, the ADHD-differentiating GMV reduction relative to ASD in medial orbitofrontal/anterior cingulate cortices was positively correlated with age (Spearman's $\rho = .18$, p < .0005), while the ASD-differentiating enhanced GMV relative to ADHD in right posterior temporal ($\rho = -.30$, p < .0005), right dorsolateral prefrontal ($\rho = -.43$, p < .0005) and left anterior posterior cortices ($\rho = -.29$, p < .0005) was negatively correlated with age (Table S4-i).

Among the most consistent disorder-differentiating fMRI abnormalities during cognitive control, the ASD-differentiating underactivation in left middle frontal/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (ρ =.24, p <.0005), and the overactivation in precuneus (ρ = .36, p <.0005) and in left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (ρ = .50, p <.0005) were all positively correlated with age. However, the ASDdifferentiating underactivation in anterior cingulate/dorsomedial prefrontal and the overactivation in right fusiform gyrus/inferior occipital and in inferior frontal cortices were not correlated with age (Table S4-ii).

Finally, among the most consistent disorder-differentiating fMRI abnormalities during motor response control, the ADHD-differentiating underactivation in right caudate (ρ = .44, p <.0005) and inferior frontal gyrus (ρ = .20, p = .002) both were positively correlated with age. The ASD-differentiating underactivation in precuneus (ρ = -.21, p = .02) was negatively correlated with age, while the overactivation in left ventrolateral prefrontal/orbitofrontal (ρ = .61, p < .0005) and middle frontal/dorsolateral prefrontal cortices (ρ = .61, p < .0005) both were positively correlated with age. The ASD-differentiating underactivation in left cerebellum and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and the ASD-differentiating overactivation in right inferior occipital gyrus/fusiform gyrus and the shared underactivation in ASD and ADHD in right AI were not correlated with age (Table S4-iii).

Table S5. Spearman's Correlations between Disorder-Differentiating or Shared Abnormalities and Age

	Peak MNI	ρ	<i>p</i> -value					
	coord.	-	(Bonferroni					
	x, y, z		adjusted)					
(i) VBM Abnorr	nalities							
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD)								
vmOFC/rACC	2, 48, -18	.18	<.0005					
ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)								
R MTG/STG/angular gyrus	50, -40 ,8	30	<.0005					
R MFG/SFG/dIPFC	24, 44, 24	43	<.0005					
L anterior MTG/STG	-50, -20, -8	29	<.0005					
(ii) Cognitive-Control fMRI Abnormalities								
ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD)								
rACC/dmPFC	2, 28, 20	09	.54					
L MFG/dIPFC	-40, 34, 28	.24	<.0005					
ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)								
Precuneus/PCC	-2, -40, 50	.36	<.0005					
R FFG/IOG/ITG	36, -70, -8	.07	>.99					
R IFG	44, 24, 18	13	.11					
L vIPFC/OFC	-30, 40, -8	.50	<.0005					
(iii) Motor-Response-Inhibitio	on fMRI Abnor	malities						
ADHD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ASD (vs. TD)								
R caudate	8, 20, 2	.44	<.0005					
R IFG	42, 26, 20	.20	.002					
ASD (vs. TD) reduced vs. ADHD (vs. TD)								
L cerebellum lobule IV/FFG/lingual gyrus	-16, -48, -12	.02	.81					
R MFG/dIPFC	42, 14, 48	06	>.99					
R precuneus	16, -36, 44	21	.018					
ASD (vs. TD) increased vs. ADHD (vs. TD)								
R IOG/FFG	36, -70, -10	.06	>.99					
L vIPFC/OFC	-28, 36, -8	.61	<.0005					
L MFG/SFG/dIPFC	-28, 54, 8	.61	<.0005					
Reduced in ASD (vs. TD) and ADHD (vs. TD)								
R AI	40, 20, -6	08	.54					
Abbreviations: MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute, L/R=left/right, SDM = Seed-based d								

Abbreviations: MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute, L/R=left/right, SDM = Seed-based d mapping, BA = Brodmann Area, TD = typically developing controls, r = Pearson correlation coefficient, brain regions (in alphabetical order): AI = anterior insula, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, FFG = fusiform gyrus, IFG M/SFG = inferior/middle/superior frontal gyrus, IOG = inferior occipital gyrus, I/M/STG = inferior/middle/superior temporal gyrus, OFC=orbitofrontal cortex, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, rACC = rostral anterior cingulate cortex, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, vmOFC = ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex.

Additional Analyses Covarying for Age in the Sub-Meta-Analyses with Participants Matched on Age, Sex and IQ

All disorder-differentiating findings in the VBM analysis, including the ADHD-differentiating reduced GMV in vmOFC/rACC (BA11; MNI coordinates: 2, 48, -18; Z = 2.04, p = .002, 193 voxels) remained after covarying for age, as did the ASD-differentiating increased GMV in right MTG/STG/angular gyrus (BA42/21/22/42; MNI coordinates: 50, -40, 8; Z = 2.46, p = .0003, 384 voxels), right MFG/SFG/dIPFC (BA46; MNI coordinates: 24, 44, 24; Z = 2.11, p = .001, 68 voxels) and left anterior MTG/STG (BA48/22/21/20; MNI coordinates: -50, -20, -8; Z = 1.98, p = .002, 58 voxels).

During cognitive control, the ASD-differentiating underactivation in rACC/dmPFC (BA32/24/9/10; MNI coordinates: 0, 30, 20; Z = 2.27, p = .0000002, 2809 voxels) and left MFG/dIPFC (BA46/45; MNI coordinates: -40,34,28; Z = 1.02, p = .001, 196 voxels), and all ASD-differentiating overactivation in precuneus/PCC (BA4/5; MNI coordinates: -2,-40,50; Z = 1.18, p = .0009, 348 voxels), right FFG/IOG/ITG (BA19/37; MNI coordinates: 36,-70,-8; Z = 1.50, p = .00007, 283 voxels), right IFG (BA48/45; MNI coordinates: 44,24,18; Z = 1.25, p = .0005, 112 voxels), and left vIPFC/OFC (BA11; MNI coordinates: -30, 40, -8; Z = 1.06, p = .002, 56 voxels) remained after covarying for age.

Specifically during motor response inhibition, with the exception of the shared right AI underactivation in both disorders, all other findings, i.e., the ADHD-differentiating underactivation in right caudate (BA25; MNI coordinates: 8,20,0; Z = 1.35, p = .0008, 188 voxels) and right inferior frontal gyrus (BA48/45; MNI coordinates: 42, 26, 20; Z = 1.32, p = .0009, 107 voxels); and the ASD-differentiating underactivation in left cerebellum/FFG/lingual gyrus (BA19/37/30; MNI coordinates: -16,-48,-12; Z = 1.37, p = .0003, 1045 voxels), right MFG/dIPFC (BA9; MNI coordinates: 42,14,48; Z = 1.18, p = .001, 77 voxels) and right precuneus (BA7; MNI coordinates: 16,-36,44; Z = -1.27, p = .0006, 49 voxels), and the ASD-differentiating overactivation in right IOG/FFG (BA19/37; MNI coordinates: 36,-72,-10; Z = 1.80, p = .00004, 461 voxels), and left vIPFC/OFC/MFG/SFG/dIPFC (BA11/10; MNI coordinates: -26,36,-8; Z = 1.41, p = .0005, 593 voxels) remained after covarying for age.



Figure S6a. Association between VBM Abnormalities and Current Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD

Current (i) and lifetime (ii) psychostimulant exposure were significantly associated with increased GMV in vmOFC (BA11; associations between SDM Z score and current and lifetime exposure peaked at MNI coordinates: -2, 52, -24; Z = 1.99, p = .000002, 362 voxels and coordinates: 0, 54, -26; Z = 1.59, p = .0002, 22 voxels, respectively).

Figure S6b. Association between fMRI Abnormalities and Lifetime Psychostimulant Exposure in ADHD



During overall cognitive control, lifetime psychostimulant exposure was positively associated with activation in left IFG (BA48; MNI coordinates: -46, 16, 4; Z = 1.42, p = .0002, 56 voxels). Current stimulant exposure was not significantly associated with brain functional activations in ADHD.

Supplement 7: Influences of Task Type or Performance in Disorder-differentiating Findings

fMRI Studies	Task	Behavioural performance	Clinica I group impair ed?	Sub- grou p MA
ADHD Studies				
Banich et al. (2009)	Stroop	ADHD > TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
Bhaijiwala et al. (2014)	Stop	ADHD < TD (SSRT)	Yes	Yes
Booth et al. (2005)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Error rates, MRT)	Yes	Yes
Carmona et al. (2012)	GNG	ADHD < TD (MRT)	Yes	Yes
Chantiluke et al. (2015)	Stop	ADHD < TD (Omissions)	Yes	Yes
Chen et al. (2015)	GNG	ADHD = TD (Correct response, MRT)	No	Yes
Chou et al. (2015)	Stroop	ADHD < TD (Correct response, MRT)	Yes	Yes
Congdon et al. (2014)	Stop	ADHD = TD (SSRT, MRT, SDRT)	No	
Cubillo et al. (2010)* Cubillo et al. (2011)*	Stop, Switch, Stroop	ADHD = TD (Stop: SSRT; switch: error rates and MRT; Stroop: error rates, MRT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
Cubillo et al. (2014)	Stop	ADHD = TD (SSRT, MRT, SDRT)	No	Yes
Dibbets et al. (2009)** Dibbets et al. (2010)**	GNG, Switch	ADHD < TD (Error rates) ADHD < TD (Omissions)	Yes	Yes
Durston et al. (2006)	GNG	ADHD = TD (Accuracy, MRT)	No	Yes
Fan et al. (2017)	Stroop	ADHD < TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	Yes	Yes
Fan et al. (2018)	Stroop	ADHD < TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	Yes	Yes
Hwang et al. (2015)	Stroop	ADHD < TD (MRT)	Yes	Yes
lannaccone et al. (2015)	GNG/ Flanker	ADHD < TD (Accuracy)	Yes	Yes
Janssen et al. (2015)	Stop	ADHD < TD (SSRT, omissions)	Yes	
Konrad et al. (2006)	Flanker	ADHD < TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	Yes	Yes
Kooistra et al. (2010)	GNG	ADHD < TD (MRT, SDRT)	Yes	Yes
Ma et al. (2012)	GNG	ADHD = TD (Commissions, omissions, MRT)	No	
Ma et al. (2016)	Stroop	ADHD = TD (RT, error rates incongruent vs. congruent)	No	
Massatt et al. (2018)	Stop	ADHD = TD (SSRT, MRT)	No	Yes
Passarotti et al. (2010)	Stop	ADHD < TD (Accuracy)	Yes	Yes
Peterson et al. (2009)	Stroop	ADHD = TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
Rassmussen et al. (2016)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Commissions)	Yes	
Rubia et al.(2005)	Stop	ADHD < TD (SDRT, omissions)	Yes	Yes
Rubia et al. (2011)*** Rubia et al. (2011)***	Simon, Stop	ADHD < TD (MRT) ADHD < TD (SDRT)	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2004)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Commissions)	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2014)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Commissions, accuracy)	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2017)	Stroop	ADHD = TD (MRT, error rates, omissions)	No	Yes
Sebastian et al. (2012)	GNG Stroop Stop	ADHD < TD (Stroop: interference effect; GNG: omissions; Stop: SSRT, SDRT)	Yes	Yes
Shang et al. (2018)	Stroop	ADHD = TD (MRT, SDRT)	No	Yes
Siniatchkin et al. (2012)	GNG	ADHD < TD (MRT)	Yes	Yes

Table S7. Task Types and Behavioural Performance Impairments in ADHD and ASD vs. TD

Smith et al. (2006)	GNG, Stroop, Switch	ADHD < TD (GNG: MRT, SDRT; Switch: MRT; Stroop: SDRT)	Yes	Yes
Spinelli et al. (2011)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Omissions)	Yes	Yes
Szekely et al. (2018)	Stop	ADHD = TD (SSRT, MRT, accuracy)	No	
Tamm et al. (2004)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Omissions, commissions)	Yes	Yes
Thornton et al. (2018)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Commissions)	Yes	
Van Hulst et al. (2017)	GNG	ADHD < TD (Accuracy, SDRT, MRT)	Yes	Yes
Van Rooij et al. (2015) – adult	Stop	ADHD < TD (SSRT, errors, SDRT)	Yes	
Van Rooij et al. (2015) – pediatric	Stop	ADHD < TD (SSRT, errors, SDRT)	Yes	
Zamorano et al. (2017)	Stroop	ADHD > TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
ASD studies				
Ambrosino et al. (2014)	GNG	ASD = TD (Accuracy, MRT)	No	Yes
Chantiluke et al. (2015)	Stop	ASD = TD (SSRT, omissions, MRT)	No	Yes
Daly et al. (2014)	GNG	ASD = TD (MRT, probability of inhibition)	No	Yes
Denisova et al. (2017)	Stroop	ASD = TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
Duerden et al. (2013)	GNG	ASD = TD (Errors, MRT)	No	Yes
Fan et al. (2012)	Flanker	ASD < TD (Conflict errors, MRT)	Yes	Yes
Gooskens et al. (2018)	Stop	ASD = TD (SSRT, errors, MRT)	No	Yes
Kana et al. (2007)	GNG	ASD = TD (Commissions, MRT)	No	Yes
Kennedy et al. (2006)	Stroop	ASD = TD (Accuracy, MRT)	No	Yes
Prat et al. (2016)	GNG	ASD = TD (Accuracy, MRT)	No	Yes
Schmitz et al. (2006)	GNG, Switch, Stroop	ASD = TD (GNG: accuracy, MRT; Switch & Stroop: errors, RT incongruent vs. congruent)	No	Yes
Shafritz et al. (2008)	Switch	ASD < TD (Accuracy, RT)	Yes	Yes
Shafritz et al. (2015)	GNG	ASD = TD (Errors, MRT)	No	Yes
Solomon et al. (2014)	Switch	ASD < TD (Errors)	Yes	Yes
Vaidya et al. (2011)	Stroop	ASD < TD (RT incongruent vs. congruent)	Yes	Yes
Van Hulst et al. (2017)	GNG	ASD < TD (Accuracy, MRT, SDRT)	Yes	Yes
Velasquez et al (2017)	GNG	ASD = TD (Accuracy, MRT)	No	Yes
Yerys et al. (2015)	Switch	ASD < TD (Accuracy)	Yes	Yes

From the ADHD studies, 26/41 independent datasets demonstrated poorer performance in the patient group relative to controls. From the ASD studies, 6/18 independent datasets demonstrated poorer performance in the patient group relative to controls. Abbreviations: TD = typically developing controls, GNG = Go/No-Go, omissions = omission errors, commissions = commission errors, RT = response time, MRT= mean RT, SDRT = standard deviation of RT, SSRT = stop-signal reaction time. *, **, *** Datasets with largely overlapping participants, combined to control shared variance.

Comparing Task Type and Performance in ADHD and ASD vs. TD

A trend for an interaction between task type (motor response inhibition, cognitive interference, switch, combination of tasks) and diagnostic groups was observed overall, $\chi^2(3, N = 60) = 7.7$, p = .06, and in subgroups matched on age, sex and IQ, $\chi^2(3, N = 51) = 6.7$, p = .08. Furthermore, a significant interaction was found between performance (clinical group is impaired or unimpaired) and diagnostic groups in the overall sample, $\chi^2(1, N = 60) = 5.7$, p = .02, and in the subgroups matched on age, sex and IQ, i.e., fewer studies showed that people with ASD were impaired than

ADHD on the cognitive control tasks $\chi^2(1, N = 51) = 6.3$, p = .02. The interaction was also observed when motor response inhibition tasks only were included in the analyses using all available data, $\chi^2(1, N = 42) = 14.1$, p < .001 and in age-, sex- and IQ-matched subgroups $\chi^2(1, N = 29) = 15.2$, p < .001.

Influences of Task Type and Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Cognitive Control

To assess the influence of task type, a meta-analysis comparing the functional impairment during cognitive control, covarying separately for task type and performance, in ASD and ADHD was conducted in the overall sample and matched subgroups. Covarying for task type, ASD-differentiating reduced activation in the ACC/midcingulate/dmPFC (overall = BA32/24/9/8/10; MNI coordinates: 0, 32, 22; Z = 2.44, p < .00001, 3065 voxels; matched subgroups = BA32/24/9/10; MNI coordinates: 2, 28, 20; Z = 2.30, p < .00001, 2994 voxels); and increased activations in precuneus (overall = BA5/4/23; MNI coordinates: 0, -42, 50; Z = 1.88, p = .00002, 1203 voxels; matched subgroups = BA5/4; MNI coordinates: 0, -42, 50; Z = 1.82, p = .0001, 706 voxels) and right inferior occipital lobe (overall = BA19; MNI coordinates: 36, -70, -8; Z = 1.28, p = .0003, 51 voxels; matched subgroups = BA19; MNI coordinates: 36, -8; Z = 1.43, p = .002, 66 voxels) relative to ADHD remained significant.

Covarying for behavioural performance, the disorder-differentiating impairment in the ASD relative to the ADHD group remained in the above regions, i.e., precuneus (overall = BA5/4; MNI coordinates: 0, -42, 50; Z = 1.32, p = .0001, 960 voxels; matched subgroups = BA5/4; MNI coordinates: 0, -42, 50; Z = 1.09, p = .0001, 281 voxels), right inferior occipital lobe (overall = BA19; MNI coordinates: 36, -70, -8; Z = 1.17, p = .0004, 119 voxels; matched subgroups = BA5/23/4; MNI coordinates: 38, -68, -8; Z = 1.36, p = .0002, 244 voxels) and ACC/midcingulate/dMPFC (overall = BA32/24/9/8/ 10; MNI coordinates: 0, 32, 22; Z = 2.69, p < .00001, 3214 voxels; matched subgroups = BA32/24/9/10/8; MNI coordinates: 0, 32, 22; Z = 2.72, p <.00001, 3286 voxels).

Influences of Performance in the Disorder-differentiating Findings during Motor Response Inhibition

When covarying for performance during motor response inhibition data, we observed ASDdifferentiating underactivation in left lingual gyrus/FFG/cerebellum lobule (overall = BA19/37/30/18; MNI coordinates: -10, -58, -14; Z = 1.13, p = .001, 388 voxels; matched subgroups = BA19/37/30/18; MNI coordinates: -16, -48, -12; Z = 1.01, p = .0004, 747 voxels), rACC/dmPFC (overall data only = BA5/4/23; MNI coordinates: 4, 34, 20; Z = 1.23, p = .0008, 587 voxels); and left MFG/dIPFC (overall data only = BA45/48; MNI coordinates: -46, 34, 16; Z = 1.24, p = .0007, 261 voxels) and right MFG/dIPFC (overall data only = BA9/6/44; MNI coordinates: 42, 10, 48; Z = 1.09, p = .002, 49 voxels). We also observed overactivation in right IOG/FFG (overall = BA19/37; MNI coordinates: 36, -68, -8; Z = 1.57, p = .000002, 434 voxels; matched subgroups = BA19/37/18; MNI coordinates: 34, -68, -6; Z = 1.35, p = .000002, 524 voxels), left vIPFC/OFC (overall data only = BA11/10/47/46; MNI coordinates: -28, 38, -16; Z = 1.30, p = .00002, 868 voxels) and left posterior MTG (overall data only = BA37/21; MNI coordinates: -48, -54, 4; Z =1.41, p = .00002, 289 voxels). The ADHD-differentiating underactivation in right IFG and caudate did not survive covarying for task performance.

Supplement 8: Jack-knife Analyses in Brain Structure and Function Abnormalities

Study excluded	L/R vmOFC/v mPFC/rAC C R caudate	R putamen/p osterior insula/ST G	L pre-CG	R rostrolater al PFC	L vIPFC
Abrendts et al. (2011)	Yes	Ves	Yes	Yes	Yes
Montes et al. (2010)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
$\frac{1}{2010}$	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
Bonath et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bralten et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Brieber et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carmona et al. (2005)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Depue et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gebricke et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
He et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
lannaccone et al. (2015a)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Jagger-Rickels et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Johnston et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kappel et al. (2015) – pediatric	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kappel et al. (2015) – adult	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kava et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kobel et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kumar et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Li et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lim et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maier et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
McAlonan et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Moreno-Alcázar et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Onnink et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overmeyer et al. (2001)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ramesh and Rai (2013)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Roman-Urrestarazu et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saad et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sasayama et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seidman et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sethi et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Shimada et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Stevens and Haney-Caron (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Wingen et al. (2013)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vilgis et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Villemonteix et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wang et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yang et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table S8a. Jack-knife Analyses of Reduced GMV Clusters among ADHD VBM Studies

L/R= left/right, vmOFC = ventromedial orbital prefrontal cortex, vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex, rACC = rostral anterior cingulate cortex, , STG = superior temporal gyrus, pre-CG = precentral gyrus, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex.

	L/R dACC/d mPFC	L cerebell um	R hippocam pus/PHG/ FG	Dorsome dial thalamus	L ITG/MTG/ STG/poste rior insula	L/R PCC/precu neus	R MFG/SFG/ dIPFC
Abell et al. (1999)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Boddaert et al. (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bonilha et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Brieber et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cai et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cheng et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contarino et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Craig et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
D'Mello et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ecker et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Foster et al. (2015)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Freitag et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Greimel et al. (2013)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Groen et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hyde et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Itahashi et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Katz et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ke et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kosaka et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kurth et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kwon et al. (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Langen et al. (2009)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lim et al. (2015)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lin et al. (2015) – pediatric (child)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lin et al. (2015) – pediatric (adolescent)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lin et al. (2015) – adult	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lin et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
McAlonan et al. (2002)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
McAlonan et al. (2008)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mengotti et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mueller et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ni et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pereira et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Poulin-Lord et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Poustka et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Radeloff et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Retico et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Riedel et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Riva et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rojas et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sato et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schmitz et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Toal et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table S8b. Jack-knife Analyses of GMV Abnormalities among ASD VBM Studies

Reduced

Study excluded

Waiter et al. (2004)

Wang et al. (2017)

Wilson et al. (2009)

Yang et al. (2018)

L/R = left/right, dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, PHG = parahippocampal gyrus, FG = fusiform gyrus, ITG/MTG/STG = inferior/middle/superior temporal gyrus, PCC= posterior cingulate cortex, MFG/SFG = middle/superior frontal gyrus

Yes

Yes Yes

Yes

Yes

Enhanced

Table S8c. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ADHD fMRI Studies

	R thalamu s/caudat e	L M/STG/s uperior temporal pole	L/R SMA/dor sal cingulate	L IFG/Al/te mporal pole	R Al/putam en	L post- CG	L MFG/ dIPFC	R MTG
Banich et al. (2009)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bhaijiwala et al. (2014)	Ves	No	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
Booth et al. (2014)	Ves	Ves	No	Ves	Ves	Voc	Ves	No
Carmona et al. (2003)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	No
Chantiluke et al. (2012)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
$\frac{1}{2015}$	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Vec	Ves	Ves
$\frac{1}{2015}$	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Vee	Ves	Ves
(Consider at al. (2013))	Voc	Voc	Voc	Voc	Voc	Voc	Voc	Voc
Cubillo at al. (2010) Cubillo at	165	165	165	165	165	165	165	165
al. (2011)*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cubillo et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dibbets et al. (2010), Dibbets et al. (2009)*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Durston et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fan et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fan et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hwang et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
lannaccone et al. (2015b)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Janssen et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Konrad et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kooistra et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ma et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ma et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Massat et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Passarotti et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Peterson et al. (2009)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rasmussen et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Rubia et al. (2005)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Rubia et al. (2011a), Rubia et al. (2011b)*	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Schulz et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Sebastian et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Shang et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Siniatchkin et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Smith et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spinelli et al. (2011)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
Szekely et al. (2018)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Vee	Ves	Ves
Tamm et al. (2004)	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee
Thornton et al. (2004)	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves	Voc	Ves	Ves
van Hulst et al. (2017)	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee	Yee
$\frac{2017}{2015} = \frac{2015}{2015}$	162	100	169	162	162	162	162	162
pediatric	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
van Rooij et al. (2015) – adult	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
∠amorano et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

L/R = left/right, M/STG = middle/superior temporal gyrus, SMA = supplementary motor area, IFG = interior frontal gyrus, AI = anterior insula, post-CG = post-cingulate gyrus and MFG = middle frontal gyrus, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. * Data sets were combined as they were collected from the same participants

Table S8d. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Cognitive Control among ASD fMRI Studies

Study excluded			Underactivatio	n				Overactivation		
	L/R ACC/midci ngulate/d mPFC	L MFG/ dIPFC	R MFG/ dIPFC	L IPL	L lingual gyrus/cere bellum IV/V	L precuneus/ midcingulate	R IOG	L vIPFC/OFC	L MFG/ rostrolateral PFC	R IFG
Ambrosino et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chantiluke et al. (2015)	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Daly et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Denisova et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duerden et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Fan et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gooskens et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kana et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kennedy et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prat et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schmitz et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Shafritz et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Shafritz et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Solomon et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vaidya et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Hulst et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Velasquez et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yerys et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

L/R = left/right, ACC = anterior cingulate cortex, dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, MFG = middle frontal gyrus, dlPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, IPL =inferior parietal lobe, IOG = inferior occipital gyrus, vlPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, IFG = inferior frontal gyrus.

Table S8e. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ADHD fMRI Studies

Study excluded	L MFG/ dIPFC	L anterior MTG/STG	L post-CG	R IFG	R vIPFC/ OFC/AI	R caudate
Bhaijiwala et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Booth et al. (2005)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Carmona et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chantiluke et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chen et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Congdon et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cubillo et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cubillo et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dibbets et al. (2009)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Durston et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
lannaccone et al. (2015b)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Janssen et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kooistra et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ma et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Massat et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Passarotti et al. (2010)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rasmussen et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Rubia et al. (2005)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rubia et al. (2011b)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schulz et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sebastian et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Siniatchkin et al. (2012)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Smith et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spinelli et al. (2011)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Szekely et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tamm et al. (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thornton et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Hulst et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Rooij et al. (2015) – pediatric	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Rooij et al. (2015) – adult	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

L/R = left/right, MFG = middle frontal gyrus, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal gyrus, M/STG = middle/superior temporal gyrus, post-CG = post-cingulate gyrus, IFG = interior frontal gyrus, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, AI = anterior insula.

Table S8f. Jack-knife Analyses of Abnormal Brain Function during Motor Response Inhibition among ASD fMRI Studies

Study excluded		Undera	Overactivation			
	R	L	R MFG/	R PCC/	L vIPFC/	R
	AI/vIPFC	cerebellu	dIPFC	precuneus	OFC	IOG/FFG/
		m/ lingual		•		ITG
		gyrus/				
		FFG				
Ambrosino et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chantiluke et al. (2015)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Daly et al. (2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duerden et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Gooskens et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kana et al. (2007)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Prat et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Schmitz et al. (2006)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Shafritz et al. (2015)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van Hulst et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Velasquez et al. (2017)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

L/R = left/right, AI = anterior insula, vIPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, FFG = fusiform gyrus, MFG= middle frontal gyrus, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, PCC= posterior cingulate cortex, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, IOG = inferior occipital gyrus, ITG = inferior temporal gyrus.

Figure S8. Pictorial Representation of the Jack-knife Analyses



Pictorial representation of jack-knife reliability analyses for structural and functional abnormalities in ASD and ADHD. Colormap indicate the level of replicability of the significant clusters according to the number of study combinations, ranging from 0 to maximum number of study combination for each comparison (see Tables 6a-f). Darker colours indicate that the cluster is replicable in fewer combinations of studies.

References

- Abell, F., Krams, M., Ashburner, J., Passingham, R., Friston, K., Frackowiak, R., . . . Frith, U. (1999). The neuroanatomy of autism: A voxel-based whole brain analysis of structural scans. *Neuroreport*, *10*(8), 1647-1651. doi:10.1097/00001756-199906030-00005
- Ahrendts, J., Rüsch, N., Wilke, M., Philipsen, A., Eickhoff, S. B., Glauche, V., . . . Tebartz Van Elst, L. (2011). Visual cortex abnormalities in adults with ADHD: A structural MRI study. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *12*(4), 260-270. doi:10.3109/15622975.2010.518624
- Ambrosino, S., Bos, D. J., van Raalten, T. R., Kobussen, N. A., van Belle, J., Oranje, B., & Durston, S. (2014). Functional connectivity during cognitive control in children with autism spectrum disorder: An independent component analysis. *Journal of Neural Transmission, 121*(9), 1145-1155. doi:10.1007/s00702-014-1237-8
- Amico, F., Stauber, J., Koutsouleris, N., & Frodl, T. (2011). Anterior cingulate cortex gray matter abnormalities in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A voxel-based morphometry study. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 191*(1), 31-35. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.011
- Banich, M. T., Burgess, G. C., Depue, B. E., Ruzic, L., Bidwell, L. C., Hitt-Laustsen, S., . . . Willcutt, E. G. (2009). The neural basis of sustained and transient attentional control in young adults with ADHD. *Neuropsychologia*, 47(14), 3095-3104. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.07.005
- Bhaijiwala, M., Chevrier, A., & Schachar, R. (2014). Withholding and canceling a response in ADHD adolescents. *Brain and Behavior, 4*(5), 602-614. doi:10.1002/brb3.244
- Boddaert, N., Chabane, N., Gervais, H., Good, C. D., Bourgeois, M., Plumet, M. H., ... Zilbovicius, M. (2004). Superior temporal sulcus anatomical abnormalities in childhood autism: A voxel-based morphometry MRI study. *NeuroImage*, 23(1), 364-369. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.016
- Bonath, B., Tegelbeckers, J., Wilke, M., Flechtner, H. H., & Krauel, K. (2016). Regional gray matter volume differences between adolescents with ADHD and typically developing controls: Further evidence for anterior cingulate involvement. *Journal of Attention Disorders*. doi:10.1177/1087054715619682
- Bonilha, L., Cendes, F., Rorden, C., Eckert, M., Dalgalarrondo, P., Li, L. M., & Steiner, C. E. (2008). Gray and white matter imbalance - Typical structural abnormality underlying classic autism? *Brain and Development*, *30*(6), 396-401. doi:10.1016/j.braindev.2007.11.006
- Booth, J. R., Burman, D. D., Meyer, J. R., Lei, Z., Trommer, B. L., Davenport, N. D., . . . Mesulam, M. M. (2005). Larger deficits in brain networks for response inhibition than for visual selective attention in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 46*(1), 94-111. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00337.x
- Bralten, J., Greven, C. U., Franke, B., Mennes, M., Zwiers, M. P., Rommelse, N. N. J., . . .
 Buitelaar, J. K. (2016). Voxel-based morphometry analysis reveals frontal brain differences in participants with ADHD and their unaffected siblings. *Journal of Psychiatry* & *Neuroscience*, *41*(4), 272-279. doi:10.1503/jpn.140377
- Brieber, S., Neufang, S., Bruning, N., Kamp-Becker, I., Remschmidt, H., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., . . . Konrad, K. (2007). Structural brain abnormalities in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder and patients with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 48*(12), 1251-1258. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01799.x
- Cai, J., Hu, X., Guo, K., Yang, P., Situ, M., & Huang, Y. (2018). Increased left inferior temporal gyrus was found in both low function autism and high function autism. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *9*, 542. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00542
- Carmona, S., Hoekzema, E., Antoni Ramos-Quiroga, J., Richarte, V., Canals, C., Bosch, R., ... Vilarroya, O. (2012). Response inhibition and reward anticipation in medication-naive adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A within-subject case-control neuroimaging study. *Human Brain Mapping*, *33*(10), 2350-2361. doi:10.1002/hbm.21368

- Carmona, S., Vilarroya, O., Bielsa, A., Tremols, V., Soliva, J. C., Rovira, M., . . . Bulbena, A. (2005). Global and regional gray matter reductions in ADHD: A voxel-based morphometric study. *Neuroscience Letters, 389*(2), 88-93. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.07.020
- Chantiluke, K., Barrett, N., Giampietro, V., Santosh, P., Brammer, M., Simmons, A., ... Rubia, K. (2015). Inverse fluoxetine effects on inhibitory brain activation in non-comorbid boys with ADHD and with ASD. *Psychopharmacology*, *232*(12), 2071-2082. doi:10.1007/s00213-014-3837-2
- Chen, C.-Y., Yen, J.-Y., Yen, C.-F., Chen, C.-S., Liu, G.-C., Liang, C.-Y., & Ko, C.-H. (2015). Aberrant brain activation of error processing among adults with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. *Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences, 31*(4), 179-187. doi:10.1016/j.kjms.2015.01.001
- Cheng, Y., Chou, K. H., Fan, Y. T., & Lin, C. P. (2011). ANS: Aberrant neurodevelopment of the social cognition network in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *PLoS One, 6*(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018905
- Chou, T.-L., Chia, S., Shang, C.-Y., & Gau, S. S.-F. (2015). Differential therapeutic effects of 12-week treatment of atomoxetine and methylphenidate on drug-naive children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A counting Stroop functional MRI study. *European Neuropsychopharmacology, 25*(12), 2300-2310. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.08.024
- Congdon, E., Altshuler, L. L., Mumford, J. A., Karlsgodt, K. H., Sabb, F. W., Ventura, J., . . . Poldrack, R. A. (2014). Neural activation during response inhibition in adult attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Preliminary findings on the effects of medication and symptom severity. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 222*(1-2), 17-28. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2014.02.002
- Contarino, V. E., Bulgheroni, S., Annunziata, S., Erbetta, A., & Riva, D. (2016). Widespread focal cortical alterations in autism spectrum disorder with intellectual disability detected by threshold-free cluster enhancement. *American Journal of Neuroradiology, 37*(9), 1721-1726. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4779
- Craig, M., Zaman, S. H., Daly, E. M., Cutter, W. J., Robertson, D. M. W., Hallahan, B., . . . Murphy, D. G. M. (2007). Women with autistic-spectrum disorder: Magnetic resonance imaging study of brain anatomy. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 191, 224-228. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.106.034603
- Cubillo, A., Halari, R., Ecker, C., Giampietro, V., Taylor, E., & Rubia, K. (2010). Reduced activation and inter-regional functional connectivity of fronto-striatal networks in adults with childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and persisting symptoms during tasks of motor inhibition and cognitive switching. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 44(10), 629-639. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.11.016
- Cubillo, A., Halari, R., Giampietro, V., Taylor, E., & Rubia, K. (2011). Fronto-striatal underactivation during interference inhibition and attention allocation in grown up children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and persistent symptoms. *Psychiatry Research, 193*(1), 17-27. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.12.014
- Cubillo, A., Smith, A. B., Barrett, N., Giampietro, V., Brammer, M. J., Simmons, A., & Rubia, K. (2014). Shared and drug-specific effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate on inhibitory brain dysfunction in medication-naive ADHD boys. *Cerebral Cortex, 24*(1), 174-185. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs296
- D'Mello, A. M., Crocetti, D., Mostofsky, S. H., & Stoodley, C. J. (2015). Cerebellar gray matter and lobular volumes correlate with core autism symptoms. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *7*, 631-639. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2015.02.007
- Daly, E. M., Ecker, C., Hallahan, B., Deeley, Q., Craig, M., Murphy, C., . . . Murphy, D. G. M. (2014). Response inhibition and serotonin in autism: A functional MRI study using acute tryptophan depletion. *Brain, 137*, 2600-2610. doi:10.1093/brain/awu178
- Denisova, K., Zhao, G., Wang, Z., Goh, S., Huo, Y., & Peterson, B. S. (2017). Cortical interactions during the resolution of information processing demands in autism spectrum disorders. *Brain and Behavior*, 7(2), e00596. doi:10.1002/brb3.596
- Depue, B. E., Burgess, G. C., Bidwell, L. C., Willcutt, E. G., & Banich, M. T. (2010). Behavioral performance predicts grey matter reductions in the right inferior frontal gyrus in young

adults with combined type ADHD. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 182*(3), 231-237. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.01.012

- Dibbets, P., Evers, E. A. T., Hurks, P. P. M., Bakker, K., & Jolles, J. (2010). Differential brain activation patterns in adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) associated with task switching. *Neuropsychology*, *24*(4), 413-423. doi:10.1037/a0018997
- Dibbets, P., Evers, L., Hurks, P., Marchetta, N., & Jolles, J. (2009). Differences in feedbackand inhibition-related neural activity in adult ADHD. *Brain and Cognition, 70*(1), 73-83. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2009.01.001
- Duerden, E. G., Taylor, M. J., Soorya, L. V., Wang, T., Fan, J., & Anagnostou, E. (2013). Neural correlates of inhibition of socially relevant stimuli in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Brain Research*, 1533, 80-90. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.08.021
- Durston, S., Mulder, M., Casey, B. J., Ziermans, T., & van Engeland, H. (2006). Activation in ventral prefrontal cortex is sensitive to genetic vulnerability for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *60*(10), 1062-1070. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.12.020
- Ecker, C., Suckling, J., Deoni, S. C., Lombardo, M. V., Bullmore, E. T., Baron-Cohen, S., . . . Murphy, D. G. M. (2012). Brain anatomy and its relationship to behavior in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(2), 195-209. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.1251
- Fan, J., Bernardi, S., Van Dam, N. T., Anagnostou, E., Gu, X., Martin, L., . . . Hof, P. R. (2012). Functional deficits of the attentional networks in autism. *Brain and Behavior*, 2(5), 647-660. doi:10.1002/brb3.90
- Fan, L. Y., Chou, T. L., & Gau, S. S. (2017). Neural correlates of atomoxetine improving inhibitory control and visual processing in drug-naive adults with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Human Brain Mapping, 38*(10), 4850-4864. doi:10.1002/hbm.23683
- Fan, L. Y., Shang, C. Y., Tseng, W. I., Gau, S. S., & Chou, T. L. (2018). Visual processing as a potential endophenotype in youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A sibling study design using the counting Stroop functional MRI. *Human Brain Mapping, 39*(10), 3827-3835. doi:10.1002/hbm.24214
- Foster, N. E. V., Doyle-Thomas, K. A. R., Tryfon, A., Ouimet, T., Anagnostou, E., Evans, A. C., . . . Hyde, K. L. (2015). Structural gray matter differences during childhood development in autism spectrum disorder: A multimetric approach. *Pediatric Neurology*, *53*(4), 350-359. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2015.06.013
- Freitag, C. M., Konrad, C., Häberlen, M., Kleser, C., von Gontard, A., Reith, W., . . . Krick, C. (2008). Perception of biological motion in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(5), 1480-1494. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.12.025
- Gehricke, J. G., Kruggel, F., Thampipop, T., Alejo, S. D., Tatos, E., Fallon, J., & Muftuler, L. T. (2017). The brain anatomy of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in young adults - a magnetic resonance imaging study. *PLoS One, 12*(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0175433
- Gooskens, B., Bos, D. J., Mensen, V. T., Shook, D. A., Bruchhage, M. M. K., Naaijen, J., . . . consortium, T. (2018). No evidence of differences in cognitive control in children with autism spectrum disorder or obsessive-compulsive disorder: An fMRI study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 100602. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2018.11.004
- Greimel, E., Nehrkorn, B., Schulte-Ruether, M., Fink, G. R., Nickl-Jockschat, T., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., . . . Eickhoff, S. B. (2013). Changes in grey matter development in autism spectrum disorder. *Brain Structure and Function, 218*(4), 929-942. doi:10.1007/s00429-012-0439-9
- Groen, W. B., Buitelaar, J. K., van der Gaag, R. J., & Zwiers, M. P. (2011). Pervasive microstructural abnormalities in autism: A DTI study. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience, 36*(1), 32-40. doi:10.1503/jpn.090100
- He, N., Li, F., Li, Y., Guo, L., Chen, L., Huang, X., . . . Gong, Q. (2015). Neuroanatomical deficits correlate with executive dysfunction in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neuroscience Letters, 600*, 45-49. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2015.05.062
- Hwang, S., White, S. F., Nolan, Z. T., Craig Williams, W., Sinclair, S., & Blair, R. J. R. (2015). Executive attention control and emotional responding in attention-deficit/hyperactivity

disorder - A functional MRI study. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 9*, 545-554. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2015.10.005

- Hyde, K. L., Samson, F., Evans, A. C., & Mottron, L. (2010). Neuroanatomical differences in brain areas implicated in perceptual and other core features of autism revealed by cortical thickness analysis and voxel-based morphometry. *Human Brain Mapping, 31*(4), 556-566. doi:10.1002/hbm.20887
- Iannaccone, R., Hauser, T. U., Ball, J., Brandeis, D., Walitza, S., & Brem, S. (2015). Classifying adolescent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) based on functional and structural imaging. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 24*(10), 1279-1289. doi:10.1007/s00787-015-0678-4
- Iannaccone, R., Hauser, T. U., Staempfli, P., Walitza, S., Brandeis, D., & Brem, S. (2015). Conflict monitoring and error processing: New insights from simultaneous EEG–fMRI. *NeuroImage, 105*, 395-407. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.028
- Itahashi, T., Yamada, T., Nakamura, M., Watanabe, H., Yamagata, B., Jimbo, D., . . . Hashimoto, R. (2015). Linked alterations in gray and white matter morphology in adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder: A multimodal brain imaging study. *NeuroImage. Clinical, 7*, 155-169. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014.11.019
- Jagger-Rickels, A. C., Kibby, M. Y., & Constance, J. M. (2018). Global gray matter morphometry differences between children with reading disability, ADHD, and comorbid reading disability/ADHD. *Brain and Language, 185*, 54-66. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2018.08.004
- Janssen, T. W. P., Heslenfeld, D. J., Mourik, R. V., Logan, G. D., & Oosterlaan, J. (2015). Neural correlates of response inhibition in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A controlled version of the stop-signal task. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 233*(2), 278-284. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2015.07.007
- Johnston, B. A., Mwangi, B., Matthews, K., Coghill, D., Konrad, K., & Steele, J. D. (2014). Brainstem abnormalities in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder support high accuracy individual diagnostic classification. *Human Brain Mapping, 35*(10), 5179-5189. doi:10.1002/hbm.22542
- Kana, R. K., Keller, T. A., Minshew, N. J., & Just, M. A. (2007). Inhibitory control in highfunctioning autism: Decreased activation and underconnectivity in inhibition networks. *Biological Psychiatry*, 62(3), 198-206. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.08.004
- Kappel, V., Lorenz, R. C., Streifling, M., Renneberg, B., Lehmkuhl, U., Stroehle, A., ... Beck, A. (2015). Effect of brain structure and function on reward anticipation in children and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder combined subtype. *Social Cognitive* and Affective Neuroscience, 10(7), 945-951. doi:10.1093/scan/nsu135
- Katz, J., d'Albis, M. A., Boisgontier, J., Poupon, C., Mangin, J. F., Guevara, P., . . . Houenou, J. (2016). Similar white matter but opposite grey matter changes in schizophrenia and high-functioning autism. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *134*(1), 31-39. doi:10.1111/acps.12579
- Kaufmann, L., Zotter, S., Pixner, S., Starke, M., Haberlandt, E., Steinmayr-Gensluckner, M., ... Marksteiner, J. (2013). Brief report: CANTAB performance and brain structure in pediatric patients with Asperger syndrome. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 43*(6), 1483-1490. doi:10.1007/s10803-012-1686-6
- Kaya, B. S., Metin, B., Tas, Z. C., Buyukaslan, A., Soysal, A., Hatiloglu, D., & Tarhan, N. (2018). Gray matter increase in motor cortex in pediatric ADHD: A voxel-based morphometry study. *Journal of attention disorders*, 22(7), 611-618. doi:10.1177/1087054716659139
- Ke, X., Hong, S., Tang, T., Zou, B., Li, H., Hang, Y., . . . Liu, Y. (2008). Voxel-based morphometry study on brain structure in children with high-functioning autism. *Neuroreport, 19*(9), 921-925. doi:10.1097/wnr.0b013e328300edf3
- Kennedy, D. P., Redcay, E., & Courchesne, E. (2006). Failing to deactivate: Resting functional abnormalities in autism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(21), 8275-8280. doi:10.1073/pnas.0600674103
- Kobel, M., Bechtel, N., Specht, K., Klarhoefer, M., Weber, P., Scheffler, K., . . . Penner, I.-K. (2010). Structural and functional imaging approaches in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Does the temporal lobe play a key role? *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, 183(3), 230-236. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.03.010

- Konrad, K., Neufang, S., Hanisch, C., Fink, G. R., & Herpertz-Dahlmann, B. (2006).
 Dysfunctional attentional networks in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Evidence from an event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Biological Psychiatry*, *59*(7), 643-651. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.08.013
- Kooistra, L., van der Meere, J. J., Edwards, J. D., Kaplan, B. J., Crawford, S., & Goodyear, B.
 G. (2010). Preliminary fMRI findings on the effects of event rate in adults with ADHD.
 Journal of Neural Transmission, *117*(5), 655-662. doi:10.1007/s00702-010-0374-y
- Kosaka, H., Omori, M., Munesue, T., Ishitobi, M., Matsumura, Y., Takahashi, T., . . . Wada, Y. (2010). Smaller insula and inferior frontal volumes in young adults with pervasive developmental disorders. *NeuroImage*, *50*(4), 1357-1363. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.085
- Kumar, U., Arya, A., & Agarwal, V. (2017). Neural alterations in ADHD children as indicated by voxel-based cortical thickness and morphometry analysis. *Brain and Development*, 39(5), 403-410. doi:10.1016/j.braindev.2016.12.002
- Kurth, F., Narr, K. L., Woods, R. P., O'Neill, J., Alger, J. R., Caplan, R., . . . Levitt, J. G. (2011). Diminished gray matter within the hypothalamus in autism disorder: A potential link to hormonal effects? *Biological Psychiatry*, *70*(3), 278-282. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.03.026
- Kwon, H., Ow, A. W., Pedatella, K. E., Lotspeich, L. J., & Reiss, A. L. (2004). Voxel-based morphometry elucidates structural neuroanatomy of high-functioning autism and Asperger syndrome. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 46*(11), 760-764. doi:10.1017/s0012162204001306
- Langen, M., Schnack, H. G., Nederveen, H., Bos, D., Lahuis, B. E., de Jonge, M. V., . . . Durston, S. (2009). Changes in the developmental trajectories of striatum in autism. *Biological Psychiatry*, *66*(4), 327-333. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.03.017
- Li, X., Cao, Q., Pu, F., Li, D., Fan, Y., An, L., . . . Wang, Y. (2015). Abnormalities of structural covariance networks in drug-naïve boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 231(3), 273-278. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2015.01.006
- Lim, L., Chantiluke, K., Cubillo, A. I., Smith, A. B., Simmons, A., Mehta, M. A., & Rubia, K. (2015). Disorder-specific grey matter deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder relative to autism spectrum disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(5), 965-976. doi:10.1017/s0033291714001974
- Lin, H. Y., Ni, H.-C., Lai, M.-C., Tseng, W.-Y. I., & Gau, S. S.-F. (2015). Regional brain volume differences between males with and without autism spectrum disorder are highly age-dependent. *Molecular Autism, 6*, 29. doi:10.1186/s13229-015-0022-3
- Lin, H. Y., Tseng, W. I., Lai, M. C., Chang, Y. T., & Gau, S. S. (2017). Shared atypical brain anatomy and intrinsic functional architecture in male youth with autism spectrum disorder and their unaffected brothers. *Psychological Medicine*, *47*(4), 639-654. doi:10.1017/S0033291716002695
- Ma, I., van Holstein, M., Mies, G. W., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J., Cools, R., . . . Scheres, A. (2016). Ventral striatal hyperconnectivity during rewarded interference control in adolescents with ADHD. *Cortex*, *82*, 225-236. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2016.05.021
- Ma, J., Lei, D., Jin, X., Du, X., Jiang, F., Li, F., . . . Shen, X. (2012). Compensatory brain activation in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder during a simplified go/no-go task. *Journal of Neural Transmission, 119*(5), 613-619. doi:10.1007/s00702-011-0744-0
- Maier, S., Perlov, E., Graf, E., Dieter, E., Sobanski, E., Rump, M., . . . Elst, L. T. v. (2015). Discrete global but no focal gray matter volume reductions in unmedicated adult patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *80*(12), 905-915. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.012
- Massat, I., Slama, H., Villemonteix, T., Mary, A., Baijot, S., Albajara Saenz, A., ... Peigneux, P. (2018). Hyperactivity in motor response inhibition networks in unmedicated children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *19*(2), 101-111. doi:10.1080/15622975.2016.1237040
- McAlonan, G. M., Cheung, V., Cheung, C., Chua, S. E., Murphy, D. G. M., Suckling, J., . . . Ho, T. P. (2007). Mapping brain structure in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: A voxel-

based MRI study of regional grey and white matter volume. *Psychiatry Research-Neuroimaging*, 154(2), 171-180. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2006.09.006

- McAlonan, G. M., Daly, E., Kumari, V., Critchley, H. D., van Amelsvoort, T., Suckling, J., . . . Murphy, D. G. M. (2002). Brain anatomy and sensorimotor gating in Asperger's syndrome. *Brain, 125*, 1594-1606. doi:10.1093/brain/awf150
- McAlonan, G. M., Suckling, J., Wong, N., Cheung, V., Lienenkaemper, N., Cheung, C., & Chua, S. E. (2008). Distinct patterns of grey matter abnormality in high-functioning autism and Asperger's syndrome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 49*(12), 1287-1295. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01933.x
- Mengotti, P., D'Agostini, S., Terlevic, R., De Colle, C., Biasizzo, E., Londero, D., ... Brambilla, P. (2011). Altered white matter integrity and development in children with autism: A combined voxel-based morphometry and diffusion imaging study. *Brain Research Bulletin, 84*(2), 189-195. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2010.12.002
- Montes, L. G. A., Ricardo-Garcell, J., de la Torre, L. B., Alcántara, H. P., García, R. B. M., Fernández-Bouzas, A., & Acosta, D. A. (2010). Clinical correlations of grey matter reductions in the caudate nucleus of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience, 35*(4), 238-246. doi:10.1503/jpn.090099
- Moreno-Alcázar, A., Ramos-Quiroga, J. A., Radua, J., Salavert, J., Palomar, G., Bosch, R., . . . Pomarol-Clotet, E. (2016). Brain abnormalities in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder revealed by voxel-based morphometry. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 254*, 41-47. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2016.06.002
- Mueller, S., Keeser, D., Samson, A. C., Kirsch, V., Blautzik, J., Grothe, M., . . . Meindl, T. (2013). Convergent findings of altered functional and structural brain connectivity in individuals with high functioning autism: A multimodal MRI study. *PLoS One, 8*(6), e67329. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067329
- Ni, H. C., Lin, H. Y., Tseng, W. I., Chiu, Y. N., Wu, Y. Y., Tsai, W. C., & Gau, S. S. (2018). Neural correlates of impaired self-regulation in male youths with autism spectrum disorder: A voxel-based morphometry study. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*, 82, 233-241. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.11.008
- Norman, L. J., Carlisi, C., Lukito, S., Hart, H., Mataix-Cols, D., Radua, J., & Rubia, K. (2016). Structural and functional brain abnormalities in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder: A comparative meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0700
- Onnink, A. M. H., Zwiers, M. P., Hoogman, M., Mostert, J. C., Kan, C. C., Buitelaar, J., & Franke, B. (2014). Brain alterations in adult ADHD: Effects of gender, treatment and comorbid depression. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 24(3), 397-409. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2013.11.011
- Overmeyer, S., Bullmore, E. T., Suckling, J., Simmons, A., Williams, S. C., Santosh, P. J., & Taylor, E. (2001). Distributed grey and white matter deficits in hyperkinetic disorder: MRI evidence for anatomical abnormality in an attentional network. *Psychological Medicine*, *31*(8), 1425-1435. doi:10.1017/s0033291701004706
- Passarotti, A. M., Sweeney, J. A., & Pavuluri, M. N. (2010). Neural correlates of response inhibition in pediatric bipolar disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 181*(1), 36-43. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2009.07.002
- Pereira, A. M., Campos, B. M., Coan, A. C., Pegoraro, L. F., de Rezende, T. J. R., Obeso, I., . . . Cendes, F. (2018). Differences in cortical structure and functional mri connectivity in high functioning autism. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *9*, 539. doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00539
- Peterson, B. S., Potenza, M. N., Wang, Z., Zhu, H., Martin, A., Marsh, R., . . . Yu, S. (2009). An fMRI study of the effects of psychostimulants on default-mode processing during Stroop task performance in youths with ADHD. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 166*(11), 1286-1294. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08050724
- Poulin-Lord, M. P., Barbeau, E. B., Soulières, I., Monchi, O., Doyon, J., Benali, H., & Mottron, L. (2014). Increased topographical variability of task-related activation in perceptive and motor associative regions in adult autistics. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 4*, 444-453. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014.02.008

- Poustka, L., Jennen-Steinmetz, C., Henze, R., Vomstein, K., Haffner, J., & Sieltjes, B. (2012). Fronto-temporal disconnectivity and symptom severity in children with autism spectrum disorder. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *13*(4), 269-280. doi:10.3109/15622975.2011.591824
- Prat, C. S., Stocco, A., Neuhaus, E., & Kleinhans, N. M. (2016). Basal ganglia impairments in autism spectrum disorder are related to abnormal signal gating to prefrontal cortex. *Neuropsychologia*, *91*, 268-281. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2016.08.007
- Radeloff, D., Ciaramidaro, A., Siniatchkin, M., Hainz, D., Schlitt, S., Weber, B., . . . Freitag, C. M. (2014). Structural alterations of the social brain: A comparison between schizophrenia and autism. *PLoS One*, *9*(9), e106539. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106539
- Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Phillips, M. L., El-Hage, W., Kronhaus, D. M., Cardoner, N., & Surguladze, S. (2012). A new meta-analytic method for neuroimaging studies that combines reported peak coordinates and statistical parametric maps. *European Psychiatry*, 27(8), 605-611. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2011.04.001
- Ramesh, M. G., & Rai, K. S. (2013). Region-wise gray matter volume alterations in brain of adolescents with attention deficit hyperactive disorder: A voxel based morphometric analysis. *Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 57*(3), 270-279. Retrieved from <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277133055</u>
- Rasmussen, J., Casey, B. J., van Erp, T. G., Tamm, L., Epstein, J. N., Buss, C., . . . Group, M. T. A. N. (2016). ADHD and cannabis use in young adults examined using fMRI of a Go/NoGo task. *Brain Imaging and Behavior, 10*(3), 761-771. doi:10.1007/s11682-015-9438-9
- Retico, A., Giuliano, A., Tancredi, R., Cosenza, A., Apicella, F., Narzisi, A., . . . Calderoni, S. (2016). The effect of gender on the neuroanatomy of children with autism spectrum disorders: A support vector machine case-control study. *Molecular Autism, 7*, 5. doi:10.1186/s13229-015-0067-3
- Riedel, A., Maier, S., Ulbrich, M., Biscaldi, M., Ebert, D., Fangmeier, T., . . . Elst, L. T. v. (2014). No significant brain volume decreases or increases in adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder and above average intelligence: A voxel-based morphometric study. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 223*(2), 67-74. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2014.05.013
- Riva, D., Annunziata, S., Contarino, V., Erbetta, A., Aquino, D., & Bulgheroni, S. (2013). Gray matter reduction in the vermis and CRUS-II is associated with social and interaction deficits in low-functioning children with autistic spectrum disorders: A VBM-DARTEL study. *Cerebellum*, 12(5), 676-685. doi:10.1007/s12311-013-0469-8
- Rojas, D. C., Peterson, E., Winterrowd, E., Reite, M. L., Rogers, S. J., & Tregellas, J. R. (2006). Regional gray matter volumetric changes in autism associated with social and repetitive behavior symptoms. *BMC Psychiatry*, *6*, 56. doi:10.1186/1471-244x-6-56
- Roman-Urrestarazu, A., Lindholm, P., Moilanen, I., Kiviniemi, V., Miettunen, J., Jaaskelainen, E., . . . Murray, G. K. (2016). Brain structural deficits and working memory fMRI dysfunction in young adults who were diagnosed with ADHD in adolescence. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25(5), 529-538. doi:10.1007/s00787-015-0755-8
- Rubia, K., Halari, R., Cubillo, A., Smith, A. B., Mohammad, A. M., Brammer, M., & Taylor, E. (2011). Methylphenidate normalizes fronto-striatal underactivation during interference inhibition in medication-naive boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *36*(8), 1575-1586. doi:10.1038/npp.2011.30
- Rubia, K., Halari, R., Mohammad, A. M., Taylor, E., & Brammer, M. (2011). Methylphenidate normalizes frontocingulate underactivation during error processing in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *70*(3), 255-262. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.04.018
- Rubia, K., Smith, A. B., Brammer, M. J., Toone, B., & Taylor, E. (2005). Abnormal brain activation during inhibition and error detection in medication-naive adolescents with ADHD. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*(6), 1067-1075. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.6.1067
- Saad, J. F., Griffiths, K. R., Kohn, M. R., Clarke, S., Williams, L. M., & Korgaonkar, M. S. (2017). Regional brain network organization distinguishes the combined and inattentive

subtypes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 15*, 383-390. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.05.016

- Sasayama, D., Hayashida, A., Yamasue, H., Harada, Y., Kaneko, T., Kasai, K., . . . Amano, N. (2010). Neuroanatomical correlates of attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder accounting for comorbid oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 64(4), 394-402. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1819.2010.02102.x
- Sato, W., Uono, S., Kochiyama, T., Yoshimura, S., Sawada, R., Kubota, Y., . . . Toichi, M. (2017). Structural correlates of reading the mind in the eyes in autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *11*, 361. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00361
- Schmitz, N., Rubia, K., Daly, E., Smith, A., Williams, S., & Murphy, D. G. (2006). Neural correlates of executive function in autistic spectrum disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 59(1), 7-16. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.06.007
- Schulz, K. P., Bédard, A. C. V., Fan, J., Clerkin, S. M., Dima, D., Newcorn, J. H., & Halperin, J. M. (2014). Emotional bias of cognitive control in adults with childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 5*, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014.05.016
- Schulz, K. P., Fan, J., Tang, C. Y., Newcorn, J. H., Buchsbaum, M. S., Cheung, A. M., & Halperin, J. M. (2004). Response inhibition in adolescents diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during childhood: An event-related fMRI study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *161*(9), 1650-1657. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.161.9.1650
- Schulz, K. P., Li, X., Clerkin, S. M., Fan, J., Berwid, O. G., Newcorn, J. H., & Halperin, J. M. (2017). Prefrontal and parietal correlates of cognitive control related to the adult outcome of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosed in childhood. *Cortex, 90*, 1-11. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2017.01.019
- Sebastian, A., Gerdes, B., Feige, B., Kloeppel, S., Lange, T., Philipsen, A., . . . Tuescher, O. (2012). Neural correlates of interference inhibition, action withholding and action cancelation in adult ADHD. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 202*(2), 132-141. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2012.02.010
- Seidman, L. J., Biederman, J., Liang, L., Valera, E. M., Monuteaux, M. C., Brown, A., . . . Makris, N. (2011). Gray matter alterations in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder identified by voxel based morphometry. *Biological Psychiatry*, *69*(9), 857-866. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.053
- Sethi, A., Evelyn-Rahr, E., Dowell, N., Jain, S., Voon, V., Critchley, H. D., . . . Cercignani, M. (2017). Magnetization transfer imaging identifies basal ganglia abnormalities in adult ADHD that are invisible to conventional T1 weighted voxel-based morphometry. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 15,* 8-14. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.03.012
- Shafritz, K. M., Bregman, J. D., Ikuta, T., & Szeszko, P. R. (2015). Neural systems mediating decision-making and response inhibition for social and nonsocial stimuli in autism. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 60*, 112-120. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.03.001
- Shafritz, K. M., Dichter, G. S., Baranek, G. T., & Belger, A. (2008). The neural circuitry mediating shifts in behavioral response and cognitive set in autism. *Biological Psychiatry*, *63*(10), 974-980. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.06.028
- Shang, C. Y., Sheng, C., Yang, L. K., Chou, T. L., & Gau, S. S. (2018). Differential brain activations in adult attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder subtypes: A counting Stroop functional MRI study. *Brain Imaging and Behavior, 12*(3), 882-890. doi:10.1007/s11682-017-9749-0
- Shimada, K., Fujisawa, T. X., Takiguchi, S., Naruse, H., Kosaka, H., Okazawa, H., & Tomoda, A. (2015). Ethnic differences in COMT genetic effects on striatal grey matter alterations associated with childhood ADHD: A voxel-based morphometry study in a Japanese sample. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, 1-7. doi:10.3109/15622975.2015.1102325
- Siniatchkin, M., Glatthaar, N., von Mueller, G. G., Prehn-Kristensen, A., Wolff, S., Knoechel, S., ... Gerber, W.-D. (2012). Behavioural treatment increases activity in the cognitive neuronal networks in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Brain Topography*, *25*(3), 332-344. doi:10.1007/s10548-012-0221-6

- Smith, A. B., Taylor, E., Brammer, M., Toone, B., & Rubia, K. (2006). Task-specific hypoactivation in prefrontal and temporoparietal brain regions during motor inhibition and task switching in medication-naive children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *163*(6), 1044-1051. doi:10.1176/ajp.2006.163.6.1044
- Solomon, M., Yoon, J. H., Ragland, J. D., Niendam, T. A., Lesh, T. A., Fairbrother, W., & Carter, C. S. (2014). The development of the neural substrates of cognitive control in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, *76*(5), 412-421. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.08.036
- Spinelli, S., Joel, S., Nelson, T. E., Vasa, R. A., Pekar, J. J., & Mostofsky, S. H. (2011). Different neural patterns are associated with trials preceding inhibitory errors in children with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 50*(7), 705-715. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2011.03.014
- Stevens, M. C., & Haney-Caron, E. (2012). Comparison of brain volume abnormalities between ADHD and conduct disorder in adolescence. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, 37(6), 389-398. doi:10.1503/jpn.110148
- Szekely, E., Schwantes-An, T. L., Justice, C. M., Sabourin, J. A., Jansen, P. R., Muetzel, R. L., . . . Shaw, P. (2018). Genetic associations with childhood brain growth, defined in two longitudinal cohorts. *Genetic Epidemiology, 42*(4), 405-414. doi:10.1002/gepi.22122
- Tamm, L., Menon, V., Ringel, J., & Reiss, A. L. (2004). Event-related fMRI evidence of frontotemporal involvement in aberrant response inhibition and task switching in attention-deficit/hlyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43(11), 1430-1440. doi:10.1097/01.chi.0000140452.51205.8d
- Thornton, S., Bray, S., Langevin, L. M., & Dewey, D. (2018). Functional brain correlates of motor response inhibition in children with developmental coordination disorder and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Human Movement Science, 59*, 134-142. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2018.03.018
- Toal, F., Daly, E. M., Page, L., Deeley, Q., Hallahan, B., Bloemen, O., . . . Murphy, D. G. M. (2010). Clinical and anatomical heterogeneity in autistic spectrum disorder: A structural MRI study. *Psychological Medicine*, 40(7), 1171-1181. doi:10.1017/s0033291709991541
- Vaidya, C. J., Foss-Feig, J., Shook, D., Kaplan, L., Kenworthy, L., & Gaillard, W. D. (2011). Controlling attention to gaze and arrows in childhood: An fMRI study of typical development and autism spectrum disorders. *Developmental Science*, *14*(4), 911-924. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01041.x
- van Hulst, B. M., de Zeeuw, P., Rijks, Y., Neggers, S. F. W., & Durston, S. (2017). What to expect and when to expect it: An fMRI study of expectancy in children with ADHD symptoms. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 26*(5), 583-590. doi:10.1007/s00787-016-0921-7
- van Rooij, D., Hoekstra, P. J., Mennes, M., von Rhein, D., Thissen, A. J. A. M., Hestenfeld, D., . . . Hartman, C. A. (2015). Distinguishing adolescents with ADHD from their unaffected siblings and healthy comparison subjects by neural activation patterns during response inhibition. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *172*(7), 674-683. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13121635
- van Wingen, G. A., van den Brink, W., Veltman, D. J., Schmaal, L., Dom, G., Booij, J., & Crunelle, C. L. (2013). Reduced striatal brain volumes in non-medicated adult ADHD patients with comorbid cocaine dependence. *Drug Alcohol and Dependence, 131*(3), 198-203. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.05.007
- Velasquez, F., Qin, X. A., Reilly, M. A., Neuhaus, E., Estes, A., Aylward, E., & Kleinhans, N. M. (2017). Neural correlates of emotional inhibitory control in autism spectrum disorders. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 64*, 64-77. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2017.03.008
- Vilgis, V., Sun, L., Chen, J., Silk, T. J., & Vance, A. (2016). Global and local grey matter reductions in boys with ADHD combined type and ADHD inattentive type. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 254*, 119-126. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2016.06.008
- Villemonteix, T., De Brito, S. A., Kavec, M., Baleriaux, D., Metens, T., Slama, H., . . . Massat, I. (2015). Grey matter volumes in treatment naive vs. chronically treated children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A combined approach. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, *25*(8), 1118-1127. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.04.015

- Waiter, G. D., Williams, J. H. G., Murray, A. D., Gilchrist, A., Perrett, D. I., & Whiten, A. (2004). A voxel-based investigation of brain structure in male adolescents with autistic spectrum disorder. *NeuroImage*, 22(2), 619-625. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.02.029
- Wang, J., Fu, K., Chen, L., Duan, X., Guo, X., Chen, H., . . . Chen, H. (2017). Increased gray matter volume and resting-state functional connectivity in somatosensory cortex and their relationship with autistic symptoms in young boys with autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Physiology, 8*, 588. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00588
- Wang, J., Jiang, T., Cao, Q., & Wang, Y. (2007). Characterizing anatomic differences in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with the use of deformation-based morphometry. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 28(3), 543-547.
- Wilson, L. B., Tregellas, J. R., Hagerman, R. J., Rogers, S. J., & Rojas, D. C. (2009). A voxelbased morphometry comparison of regional gray matter between fragile X syndrome and autism. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, *174*(2), 138-145. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2009.04.013
- Yang, P., Wang, P.-N., Chuang, K.-H., Jong, Y.-J., Chao, T.-C., & Wu, M.-T. (2008). Absence of gender effect on children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder as assessed by optimized voxel-based morphometry. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 164*(3), 245-253. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2007.12.013
- Yang, Q., Huang, P., Li, C., Fang, P., Zhao, N., Nan, J., . . . Cui, L. B. (2018). Mapping alterations of gray matter volume and white matter integrity in children with autism spectrum disorder: Evidence from fMRI findings. *Neuroreport, 29*(14), 1188-1192. doi:10.1097/wnr.00000000001094
- Yerys, B. E., Antezana, L., Weinblatt, R., Jankowski, K. F., Strang, J., Vaidya, C. J., . . . Kenworthy, L. (2015). Neural correlates of set-shifting in children with autism. *Autism Research*, 8(4), 386-397. doi:10.1002/aur.1454
- Zamorano, F., Billeke, P., Kausel, L., Larrain, J., Stecher, X., Hurtado, J. M., . . . Aboitiz, F. (2017). Lateral prefrontal activity as a compensatory strategy for deficits of cortical processing in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Scientific Report, 7*(1), 7181. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07681-z