**Table A1.** Matched difference-in-differences regression on the probability of receiving private transfers

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Entropy balancing |  | Coarsened Exact Matching (CEM) |
| First Follow-up |  | Second Follow-up |  | First Follow-up |  | Second Follow-up |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **A. Cash** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From any private source | -0,005(0.022) |  | 0.076\*\*\*(0.023) |  | -0.024(0.018) |  | 0.032\*(0.017) |
|  |  From neighbors | -0.020(0.016) |  | 0.001(0.014) |  | -0.031(0.013) |  | -0.000(0.011) |
|  |  From family or friends living in  municipality | -0.020(0.015) |  | 0.008(0.012) |  | 0.005(0.012) |  | 0.011(0.010) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **B. In kind** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From any private source | -0.004(0.026) |  | 0.093\*\*\*(0.025) |  | -0.030(0.021) |  | 0.089\*\*\*(0.021) |
|  |  From neighbors | -0.022(0.023) |  | 0.029(0.02) |  | -0.023(0.019) |  | 0.054\*\*\*(0.016) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | -0.007(0.020) |  | 0.047\*\*(0.020) |  | -0.012(0.017) |  | 0.031\*\*(0.014) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **C. Labor**† |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From any private source | 0.013(0.013) |  | 0.036\*\*\*(0.013) |  | 0.003(0.012) |  | 0.039\*\*\*(0.011) |
|  |  From neighbors | 0.005(0.011) |  |  | -0.002(0.010) |  |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | 0.010(0.007) |  |  | 0.008(0.006) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **D. Summary: any help** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From any private source | 0.035(0.024) |  | 0.112\*\*\*(0.027) |  | -0.005(0.021) |  | 0.095\*\*\*(0.020) |
|  |  From neighbors | -0.013(0.024) |  | 0.034(0.023) |  | -0.023(0.021) |  | 0.050\*\*\*(0.018) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | -0.000(0.024) |  | 0.052\*\*(0.021) |  | -0.004(0.019) |  | 0.037\*\*(0.016) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households | 5,781 |  | 5,781 |  | 4,954 |  | 4,954 |

Notes:

1. Results after matched difference-in-differences regression. Columns 1 for first follow-up and 2 for second follow-up using entropy balancing, and Columns 3 for first follow-up and 4 for second follow-up using Coarsened exact matching (CEM)

2. \* Significant at 10%, \*\* significant at 5%, \*\*\* significant at 1%.

3. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

† Second follow-up survey does not include information regarding the source of non-paid labor, then we only could identify whether each household received support in labor or not, but not its provenance.

**Table A2.** Matched difference-in-differences on the value of private transfers in the last 12 months (COP)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Entropy balancing |  | Coarsened Exact Matching (CEM) |
| First Follow-up |  | Second Follow-up |  | First Follow-up |  | Second Follow-up |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **A. Cash** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From neighbors | -7,401(5,881) |  | 3,329(3,516) |  | -9,511(7,171 |  | 97(3,303) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | 66.58(7,410) |  | -1,240(3,778) |  | 8,651(6,319) |  | 6,148\*(3,568) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **B. In-kind** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From neighbors | -7,570(15,535) |  | 16,209\*\*(7,171) |  | -18,153(14,029) |  | 8,424\*\*(4,019) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | 20,093\*\*(8,074) |  | 8,899\*\*(4,470) |  | 9,255(7,851) |  | 2,807(3,287) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **C. Labor**† |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From neighbors | 7,560(5,281) |  | 17,661(11,899) |  | 2,275(5,663) |  | 24,300\*\*\*(4,689) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | 16,455\*\*(6,874) |  |  | 10,699(8,222) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **D. Any help** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  From neighbors | -7,411(18,083) |  | 35,706\*\*\*(9,475) |  | -25,389(17,160) |  | 20,156\*\*\*(6,277) |
|  |  From family or friends living in municipality | 29,054\*\*(13,120) |  | 15,900\*\*(6,743) |  | 26,331\*\*(11,772) |  | 18,057\*\*\*(6,371) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total help received | 38,038\*(24,005) |  | 80,254\*\*\*(17,774) |  | -11,467(21,919) |  | 53,596\*\*\*(10,534) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households | 5,781 |  | 5,781 |  | 4,954 |  | 4,954 |

Notes:

1. Results after matched difference-in-differences regression. Columns 1 for first follow-up and 2 for second follow-up using entropy balancing, and Columns 3 for first follow-up and 4 for second follow-up using Coarsened exact matching (CEM)

2. \* Significant at 10%, \*\* significant at 5%, \*\*\* significant at 1%.

3. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

4. Average annual exchange rate of $1 USD= $2,275 COP.

† Second follow-up survey does not include information regarding the source of non-paid labor, then we only could identify whether each household received support in labor or not and its value, but not its provenance.