Supplementary Table 1. Reader-averaged quality: proportion of images rated « sufficient quality » averaged across readers

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reader-averaged quality** | | |
|  | **In cases (95%CI)** | **In controls (95%CI)** | **Cases + controls (95%CI)** |
| *All readers (n=45)* | 77.4% (72.4 to 82.5) | 72.3% (65.8 to 78.8) | 73.1% (66.9 to 79.2) |
| *Expert readers (n=16)* | 73.4% (62.5 to 84.2) | 68.6% (54.3 to 82.9) | 69.3% (55.6 to 83.0) |
| *Novice readers (n=29)* | 79.7% (74.8 to 84.6) | 74.4% (68.3 to 80.4) | 75.2% (69.5 to 80.8) |
| *p-value\** | 0.2676 | 0.4183 | 0.3914 |

\* comparison between the two-subgroups using a permutation test