**Appendix**

*Table 4.*

*Interrater agreement for the analysis from the TWFD*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Narratives* | | *TWFD* | |
|  | *% agreement* | *Cohen’s* κ | *% agreement* | *Cohen’s* κ |
| T-Units | 89.5 | N/A | 90.4 | N/A |
| Substitutions | 99.1 | 0.829 | 93.2 | 0.515 |
| Insertions | 100 | 1.000 | 99.6 | 0.933 |
| Delays (≥6s) | 100 | 1.000 | 99.8 | \*0.000 |
| Time fillers (≥3) | 97.8 | 0.750 | 96.3 | 0.473 |
| Word reformulations | 89.1 | 0.553 | 86.7 | 0.624 |
| Repetitions | 92.6 | 0.760 | 88.9 | 0.664 |
| Empty words | 99.6 | 0.662 | 93.2 | 0.668 |
| %WFB | 89.5 | 0.738 | 86.9 | 0.667 |

*\* We believe that Cohen’s Kappa is not a good estimator of interrater agreement in this case of imbalance in the contingency table of agreements.*