## Supplementary information

## Methods

## Literature search and data extraction

In case studies did not provide standard mean differences (SMD) the results of the studies were calculated to a standardized mean difference (SMD) between the user group and the control group (healthy controls) for the whole striatum and if data was available for each of the striatal sub regions. Individual SMDs were conducted by dividing the difference in mean outcome between groups through the standard deviations (SD) of outcome among participants, which makes comparisons between different experimental conditions on outcome variables possible.

When studies only provided means and SDs separately for the hemispheres, the means and SDs were summarized with an estimated correlation of 0.5 resulting in the following formula:

$$SD\_bilateral\_sum = SD(x + y) = \sqrt{[SD(x)]^2 + [SD(y)]^2 + SD(x) * SD(y)}$$

We also used the formula to combine striatal sub regions into larger striatal regions (e.g. dorsal and ventral striatum into whole striatum; posterior and anterior into whole putamen or caudate).

In case studies only provided standard errors, they were used to calculate SD's using the formula, where n is the sample size of the corresponding group:

$$SD = SE\sqrt{n}$$

When values of interest were not provided, authors from the original articles were contacted and data was requested. Data request were not met, or the data was no longer available for 9 articles [1–9]



Fig S1. Flowchart literature search

## References

- Boileau I, Payer D, Houle S et al. (2012) Higher binding of the dopamine D3 receptor-preferring ligand 11C-(+)-propyl-hexahydro-naphtho-oxazin in methamphetamine polydrug users: a positron emission tomography study. J Neurosci 32(4): 1353–1359. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4371-11.2012
- Chang L, Wang G-J, Volkow ND et al. (2008) Decreased brain dopamine transporters are related to cognitive deficits in HIV patients with or without cocaine abuse. Neuroimage 42(2): 869–878. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.011
- Kobiella A, Vollstädt-Klein S, Bühler M et al. (2010) Human dopamine receptor D2/D3 availability predicts amygdala reactivity to unpleasant stimuli. Hum Brain Mapp 31(5): 716–726. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20900
- Krause K-H, Dresel SH, Krause J et al. (2002) Stimulant-like action of nicotine on striatal dopamine transporter in the brain of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int. J. Neuropsychopharm. 5(02). doi: 10.1017/S1461145702002821
- Martinez D, Greene K, Broft A et al. (2009) Lower level of endogenous dopamine in patients with cocaine dependence: findings from PET imaging of D(2)/D(3) receptors following acute dopamine depletion. Am J Psychiatry 166(10): 1170–1177. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08121801
- Payer DE, Behzadi A, Kish SJ et al. (2014) Heightened D3 dopamine receptor levels in cocaine dependence and contributions to the addiction behavioral phenotype: a positron emission tomography study with 11C-+-PHNO. Neuropsychopharmacology 39(2): 311–318. doi: 10.1038/npp.2013.192
- Schrantee A, Ferguson B, Stoffers D et al. (2016) Effects of dexamphetamine-induced dopamine release on resting-state network connectivity in recreational amphetamine users and healthy controls. Brain Imaging Behav 10(2): 548–558. doi: 10.1007/s11682-015-9419-z
- Schrantee A, Václavů L, Heijtel DFR et al. (2015) Dopaminergic system dysfunction in recreational dexamphetamine users. Neuropsychopharmacology 40(5): 1172–1180. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.301
- 9. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wolf AP et al. (1990) Effects of chronic cocaine abuse on postsynaptic dopamine receptors. Am J Psychiatry 147(6): 719–724. doi: 10.1176/ajp.147.6.719