

## Optional Appendix

**Table A1. Public opinion data sources (Arab world)**

---

**Publicly-available data from Arab countries:**

Arab Barometer: <http://www.arabbarometer.org/>

World Values Survey: <http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/wvs.jsp>

Afrobarometer: <http://www.afrobarometer.org/>

Latin Barometer: <http://www.latinobarometro.org/lat.jsp>

ICPSR: <https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/landing.jsp> (see in particular Carnegie Middle East Governance and Islam Dataset, <http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/32302>, which includes individual-level and country-level variables for surveys conducted by Mark Tessler and collaborators since 1988).

Pew Research Center has conducted surveys since 2001 in Morocco, Tunisia, Lebanon, Jordan, Egypt, and Kuwait. Available online at <http://www.pewglobal.org/question-search/>

**Other survey-related websites:**

Transitional Governance Project: <http://transitionalgovernanceproject.org/>

Program on Governance and Local Development: <http://campuspress.yale.edu/pgld/> and <http://gld.gu.se/>

**Research centers and institutes:**

The Social & Economic Survey Research Institute: <http://sesri.qu.edu.qa/> (Qatar)

Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research: <http://www.pcpsr.org/> (Palestine)

Center for Strategic Studies, <http://www.jcss.org/DefaultAr.aspx> (Jordan)

A number of non- and for-profit marketing and survey firms and research groups in the region also conduct surveys.

---

**Table A2. Sources for Figure 2 (Papers presented at MESA and APSA)**

---

Figure 2 (left) is based on abstracts found at: [https://mesana.org/mymesa/meeting\\_program.php](https://mesana.org/mymesa/meeting_program.php)

Figure 3 (right) is based on paper and poster titles found at:

2016:

<http://www.apsanet.org/Portals/54/annualmeeting/2016/2016%20APSA%20Final%20Program.pdf?ver=2016-08-16-123936-850>

2015:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=1896371&Network=no&lim=false](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=1896371&Network=no&lim=false)

2014: <http://www.apsanet.org/portals/54/Files/Programs/FinalePDFFullProgramAPSA2014.pdf>

2013:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?npage=2&form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=2282083&Network=no&SortOrder=ab\\_approval\\_date&stype=desc&lim=false&selectedOption=6](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?npage=2&form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=2282083&Network=no&SortOrder=ab_approval_date&stype=desc&lim=false&selectedOption=6)

2012:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=2078735&Network=no&lim=false](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=2078735&Network=no&lim=false)

2011:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=1896371&Network=no&lim=false](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=1896371&Network=no&lim=false)

2010:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?npage=1&form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=1621378&Network=no&SortOrder=ab\\_approval\\_date&stype=desc&lim=false&selectedOption=6](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?npage=1&form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=1621378&Network=no&SortOrder=ab_approval_date&stype=desc&lim=false&selectedOption=6)

2009:

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour\\_results.cfm?form\\_name=journalbrowse&journal\\_id=1444480&Network=no&lim=false](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Jeljour_results.cfm?form_name=journalbrowse&journal_id=1444480&Network=no&lim=false)

---

## **New Directions in Theoretical and Policy Research**

Despite the recent growth in publicly available data, existing public opinion literature focuses on a few topics, leaving many other questions underexplored. (See Table A3; Benstead 2017). The most studied topic is support for democracy (Tessler 2002a, b; Tessler, Jamal, and Robbins 2012; Tessler and Gao 2005; Tezcür et al. 2012; Ciftci 2013; Tessler, Moaddel, and Inglehart 2006; Benstead 2015).<sup>1</sup> Attitudes toward gender equality and social trust have also received coverage. However, much less work has explored cross-national differences in political values, including why citizens are more or less accepting of political competition or desire different constitutional rights and freedoms.

Many projects also shed light on the gender gap in civil society participation (Bernick and Ciftci 2015) or examine political participation, especially as it relates to the relationships between civil society membership, social trust, and support for democracy (Jamal 2007a, b). Some research has examined boycotting (Benstead and Reif 2017). However, limited research examines voter choice—such as why voters support Islamist, secular, or other parties—and media consumption (Pellicer and Wegner 2015).

Researchers have used the Arab Barometer and other surveys to examine citizens' experiences with the state. For instance, some literature examines how perceptions of government performance and experiences with corruption and clientelism shape support for democracy (Benstead and Atkeson 2011). A limited number of studies also assess the extent to which women and minorities are able to access services from elected officials (Abdel-Samad and Benstead 2016; Benstead 2015, 2016). At the same time, there is still a need to understand how clientelism and corruption affect citizens' interpersonal trust and confidence in state institutions and how these outcomes affect demand for freer elections.

Some studies also examine values and identity, with most focusing on gender equality (Alexander and Welzel 2011; Norris 2009) and identity (Benstead and Reif 2013). Yet, few explain social and political values, including tolerance, religiosity, and attitudes toward controversial issues, such as dress choice, minorities' political rights, and state violations of human rights in the name of security.

Attitudes about international and regional issues have also been the subject of some studies (Tessler and Robbins 2007), but despite their timeliness, much more work should be done on attitudes toward other international issues and bodies like the Arab League and the United Nations. Research might also explore how citizens explain the Arab world's economic and political challenges, their perceptions of the motivations for and effectiveness of US democracy promotion, the extent to which citizens support a two-state solution in Israel and Palestine, and the impact of living in western countries on attitudes.

**Table A3. Topics in the Arab Barometer**

<i>a. Topics and literature</i>	<i>b. Theoretical and policy questions</i>
<b><u>Attitudes toward political regimes</u></b>	
Preferences for political regimes (Tessler 2002a, b; Tessler, Jamal and Robbins 2012; Tessler and Gao 2005; Tezcür et al. 2012; Ciftci 2013; Benstead 2015; Al-Ississ and Diwan 2016)	Why does support for democracy develop and change? Why do citizens define democracy differently? Why do citizens demand secular versus religious democracy? Why are some political and economic reforms likely to be more effective than others for strengthening support for democracy?
Political values (Hoffman and Jamal 2012)	Why are some citizens more supportive of greater political competition and debate? How do youth values differ from those of older generations?
<b><u>Political participation</u></b>	
Civil society membership, political knowledge, and engagement (Jamal 2007a, b; Bernick and Ciftci 2015; Hamanaka 2017; Robbins and Jamal 2016)	How does civic participation relate to trust, government legitimacy, and support for democracy? What explains participation in campaign rallies, petitions, and protests, including gender gaps in these forms of engagement? Why do gender gaps exist in political knowledge and how does this impact participation? How do citizens perceive the reasons for the Arab spring and the extent to which they were achieved?
Voting	Why do voters support Islamist, secular, or other parties and what explains why some voters switch their support in subsequent elections? What is the extent and impact of vote-buying and clientelism? Are men or women more or less likely to sell their vote or to vote based on clientelistic relationships?
Political knowledge and the media	Who consumes various media sources and how does this choice impact values and partisanship?
<b><u>Citizen engagement with the state and social institutions</u></b>	
Institutional trust and perceptions of government performance (Benstead and Atkeson 2011)	Why do some citizens evaluate government performance more positively than others? To what extent do citizens see their governments as democratic? Why do evaluations of government performance change over time? How does clientelism and corruption affect social trust, regime legitimacy, and support for democracy?
Governance and service provision. State-society linkages and representation (Abdel-	What explains effectiveness and equity in access to services, such as security, dispute resolution, healthcare, and education?

Samad and Benstead 2016)	
<b><u>Individual orientations and identity</u></b>	
Gender equality (Alexander and Welzel 2011; Norris 2009; Al Subhi and Smith 2017)	What explains attitudes toward different dimensions of gender inequality, such as women's status, mobility, wages, and political involvement?
Identity (Benstead and Reif 2013)	How does identity shape culture and political attitudes?
Tolerance and values (Falco and Rotondi 2016.)	Why are some citizens more supportive of greater political competition and debate? Citizens are more likely to wish to migrate? How does living in western countries impact social and political attitudes?
Religiosity and interpretations of Islam (Achilov 2016)	Why does religiosity vary within and across societies? What are individuals' views on matters such as lotteries, women's dress, apostasy, Islam and democracy, and minority political rights?
Controversial issues	To what extent does the public accept state violations of security to achieve security?
<b><u>International affairs</u></b>	
Attitudes about international and regional issues (Nugent, Masoud, and Jamal 2016; Tessler and Robbins 2007; Benstead and Reif 2017; Tessler and Warriner 1997; Tessler, Jamal and Robbins 2012; Tessler, Moaddel and Inglehart 2006; Isani and Schlipphak 2016; Tausch 2016)	To what extent do citizens see foreign countries like Iran and the US as democratic? How do they evaluate the Arab League and other international organizations? Why do citizens assess differently the reasons for economic and political challenges in the Arab world? Do citizens support armed operations against US elsewhere? Why do anti- and pro- American attitudes vary across the Arab world? To what extent do citizens support a two state solution in Israel/Palestine?

**Table A4. Percentage of observations missing and systematically missing data for respondent sex, education, and religiosity for “Men make better political leaders” (World Values Survey)**

Country-year	a. % missing	b. Higher % missing (p<.05)	Country-year	a. % missing	b. Higher % missing (p<.05)
China-1995	8.0	Less educated	<i>West Asia-con't</i>		
China-2001	7.0	Female, less educated	Kyrgyzstan-2011	0.5	
China-2007	16.0	Female, less educated, more religious	Pakistan-1997	0.0	
China-2012	10.0	Less educated, more religious	Pakistan-2001	2.0	Female
Taiwan-1994	6.0	Less educated	Pakistan-2012	3.0	Female
Taiwan-2006	0.5		Turkey-1996	5.0	Female, less educated
Taiwan-2012	6.0	Less educated	Turkey-2001	3.0	
Hong Kong-2005	3.0		Turkey-2007	4.0	Less educated
Hong Kong-2013	0.6		Turkey-2011	3.0	
Indonesia-2001	5.0	Less educated, less religious	Uzbekistan-2011	2.0	Female, less educated
Indonesia-2006	3.0	Less educated, less religious	<b>Mean-West Asia</b>	4.6	13/23 (57%)
Japan-1995	28.0				
Japan-2000	30.0		Bahrain-2014	4.0	
Japan-2005	32.0		Kuwait-2014	4.0	Female
Japan-2010	35.0		Qatar-2010	0.3	Less educated
South Korea-1996	0.2		Saudi Arabia-2003	5.0	More religious
South Korea-2001	9.0	More educated	Yemen-2014	3.0	Female, Less religious
South Korea-2005	0.0	Less religious	<b>Mean-Gulf</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4/5 (80%)</b>
South Korea-2010	1.0	Male			
Malaysia-2006	0.4		Palestine-2013	2.0	
Malaysia-2012	0.0		Iraq-2004	3.0	
Philippines-1996	2.0	More educated	Iraq-2006	3.0	Female
Philippines-2001	1.0		Iraq-2012	2.0	Less religious
Philippines-2012	0.0		Jordan-2001	2.0	
Singapore-2002	2.0		Jordan-2007	2.0	
Singapore-2012	0.0		Jordan-2014	2.0	
Viet Nam-2001	6.0	Female, less educated	Lebanon-2013	3.0	

Viet Nam-2006	2.0	Female, more religious		<b>Mean-Levant</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2/8 (25%)</b>
Thailand-2007	0.5					
Thailand-2013	0.7			Algeria-2000	6.0	Less educated
<b>Mean-East Asia</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>14/30 (47%)</b>		Algeria-2013	4.0	
				Libya-2014	3.0	Female
Albania-1998	8.0	Less educated, more religious		Morocco-2001	17.0	Female, less educated
Albania-2002	8.0			Morocco-2007	7.0	More religious
Bosnia and Herzegovina-2001	2.0	More religious		Morocco-2011	17.0	Female
Andorra-2005	2.0			Tunisia-2013	4.0	Female
Bulgaria-1997	16.0	Less educated, more religious		Egypt-2001	0.5	
Bulgaria-2005	7.0	Less educated		Egypt-2008	0.2	Less religious
Belarus-1990	8.0	Female, less educated		Egypt-2013	0.0	
Belarus-1996	1.0	Less educated		<b>Mean-North Africa</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>7/10 (70%)</b>
Croatia-1996	5.0			<b>Mean-All Middle East</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>13/23 (57%)</b>
Cyprus-2006	0.2					
Cyprus-2011	2.0			Canada-2000	4.0	Less religious
Czech Republic-1998	8.0			Canada-2006	4.0	More religious
Estonia-1996	4.0			Dominican Republic-1996	12.0	
Estonia-2011	3.0			El Salvador-1999	8.0	Female, less educated
Finland-1996	4.0			Guatemala-2004	3.0	
Finland-2005	2.0					
France-2006	3.0			Mexico-1996	8.0	Less educated
Germany-1997	4.0			Mexico-2000	4.0	Female, less educated
Germany-2006	6.0	Male		Mexico-2005	1.0	Less educated
Germany-2013	3.0			Mexico-2012	1.0	
Hungary-1990	6.0	Less educated		Puerto Rico-1995	4.0	Less educated
Hungary-2009	4.0	Less educated		Puerto Rico-2001	4.0	Less educated, less religious
Italy-2005	7.0	Less educated, more religious		Trinidad and Tobago-2006	6.0	Male
Latvia-1996	8.0			Trinidad and Tobago-2011	10.0	



Lithuania-1997	10.0	Male, less educated		U.S.-1995	7.0	
Moldova-1996	6.0	Less educated, more religious		U.S.-1999	5.0	
Moldova-2002	8.0	Female, less educated		U.S.-2006	2.0	Less educated
Moldova-2006	3.0	More religious		U.S.-2011	1.0	
Netherlands-2006	7.0	Male		<b>Mean-North America</b>	5.3	10/17 (59%)
Netherlands-2012	12.0	Less educated				
Norway-1996	1.0			Australia-1995	4.0	
Norway-2007	0.4			Australia-2005	2.0	
Poland-1997	16.0	Less educated		Australia-2012	3.0	
Poland-2005	11.0	Less educated		New Zealand-1998	15.0	Male
Poland-2012	11.0	Less educated		New Zealand-2004	13.0	Male
Romania-1998	12.0	Less educated		New Zealand-2011	11.0	Male, less educated
Romania-2005	10.0	Female, less educated		<b>Mean-Oceania</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>3/6 (50%)</b>
Romania-2012	9.0	Female, less educated				
Russian Federation-1995	10.0	Female, less educated, more religious		Uruguay-1996	8.0	Less educated
Russian Federation-2006	6.0			Uruguay-2006	10.0	
Russian Federation-2011	5.0	Less educated		Uruguay-2011	9.0	
Slovakia-1998	7.0	Less educated		Argentina-1995	9.0	Less educated
Slovenia-1995	7.0	Less educated		Argentina-1999	10.0	Less educated
Slovenia-2005	6.0	More religious		Argentina-2006	10.0	Less educated, more religious
Slovenia-2011	4.0	Less educated, more religious		Argentina-2013	5.0	Male
Spain-1995	8.0	Less educated, more religious		Brazil-2006	1.0	Less educated
Spain-2000	8.0	More religious		Brazil-2014	4.0	Female
Spain-2007	5.0	Less educated		Chile-1996	6.0	More educated
Spain-2011	4.0	Less educated, more religious		Chile-2000	4.0	
Sweden-1996	6.0	Male		Chile-2006	4.0	
Sweden-2006	1.0			Chile-2011	4.0	

Sweden-2011	4.0	Male		Colombia-1998	3.0	Less educated
Switzerland-2007	2.0	Less educated, less religious		Colombia-2005	0.1	
Ukraine-1996	16.0	Less educated		Colombia-2012	4.0	Less educated
Ukraine-2006	8.0			Ecuador-2013	0.3	
Ukraine-2011	0.0			Peru-1996	6.0	Less educated, more religious
Macedonia-1998	8.0	Less educated, more religious		Peru-2001	4.0	
Macedonia-2001	4.0	Less educated		Peru-2006	3.0	Less educated
Great Britain-2005	10.0	Less educated		Peru-2012	6.0	
Serbia and Montenegro-2005	5.0			Venezuela-1996	9.0	
		Less educated				Female, less educated, more religious
Serbia-1996	8.0			Venezuela-2000	3.0	
Serbia-2001	12.0	Female, less educated		<b>Mean-South America</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>13/23 (57%)</b>
Montenegro-1996	13.0					
Montenegro-2001	13.0			Ethiopia-2007	2.0	
Bosnia-1998	4.0			Ghana-2007	3.0	Female
Georgia-1996	3.0	Less educated		Ghana-2012	0.0	
Georgia-2009	6.0	Less religious		Mali-2007	5.0	
Georgia-2014	5.0	Less educated		Nigeria-1995	4.0	Female, less educated
<b>Mean-Europe</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>44/68 (65%)</b>		Nigeria-2000	2.0	Female
				Nigeria-2011	0.0	
Azerbaijan-1997	6.0	Less educated		Rwanda-2007	6.0	Female, Less religiosity
Azerbaijan-2011	0.3			Rwanda-2012	0.0	
Bangladesh-1996	9.0	Female, less educated		South Africa-1996	10.0	Female, less educated
Bangladesh-2002	2.0			South Africa-2001	8.0	Less educated
Armenia-1997	5.0	Female		South Africa-2006	5.0	Less educated
Armenia-2001	3.0			South Africa-2013	4.0	Less educated
India-1995	15.0	Female, less educated, more religious		Zimbabwe-2001	6.0	Less educated
India-2001	14.0	Female, less educated, less religious		Zimbabwe-2012	0.0	

India-2006	16.0	Female, less educated, more religious		Uganda-2001	2.0	
India-2014	0.4			Tanzania-2001	2.0	
Iran-2000	11.0	Female, less educated		Burkina Faso- 2007	8.0	Female, more religious
Iran-2007	0.8	Female		Zambia-2007	3.0	
Kazakhstan- 2011	0.0			<b>Mean-Sub- Saharan Africa</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>10/19 (53%)</b>
Kyrgyzstan- 2003	1.0			<b>AI Countries</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Table A4 (a columns) shows the proportion missing in a given survey and for all surveys in a region for the item: “Men make better political leaders. Strongly disagree=1-strongly agree=4.” WVS (2017). Data unweighted. B column shows instances in which missing data is significantly more likely to be missing a respondent sex, education level, and/or level of religious observance. Education: Lower, middle, upper education. Religiosity: “How often do you attend religious services?” More than once a week(=1), once a week(=1), once a month(=2), only on special days(=2), only specific holidays(=2), once a year(=2), less often(=3), never/practically never(=3).

**Table A5. Percentage of observations missing for “Men make better political leaders”  
(Regional Barometers)**

<b>Country-year</b>	<b>% missing</b>	<b>Country-year</b>	<b>% missing</b>
Argentina-2009	5.0	<i>Afrobarometer-con't</i>	
Bolivia-2009	5.0	Namibia-2005	0.3
Brazil-2009	2.0	Nigeria-2005	0.4
Chile-2009	4.0	Senegal-2005	1.0
Colombia-2009	4.0	South Africa-2005	1.0
Costa Rica-2009	4.0	Tanzania-2005	0.4
Dominican Republic-2009	2.0	Uganda-2005	0.0
Ecuador-2009	5.0	Zambia-2005	0.7
El Salvador-2009	3.0	Zimbabwe-2005	0.3
Guatemala-2009	3.0	Benin-2015	0.0
Honduras-2009	8.0	Botswana-2015	1.0
Mexico-2009	4.0	Burkina Faso-2015	2.0
Nicaragua-2009	8.0	Cape Verde-2015	1.0
Panama-2009	6.0	Ghana-2015	0.2
Paraguay-2009	2.0	Kenya-2015	1.0
Peru -2009	6.0	Lesotho-2015	1.0
Spain-2009	6.0	Liberia-2015	1.0
Uruguay-2009	7.0	Madagascar-2015	7.0
Venezuela-2009	4.0	Malawi-2015	1.0
Argentina-2004	4.0	Mali-2015	0.3
Bolivia-2004	7.0	Mozambique-2015	4.0
Brazil-2004	5.0	Namibia-2015	0.2
Chile-2004	5.0	Nigeria-2015	0.3
Colombia-2004	4.0	Senegal-2015	0.3
Costa Rica-2004	7.0	South Africa-2015	1.0
Dominican Republic-2004	4.0	Tanzania-2015	0.2
Ecuador-2004	2.0	Uganda-2015	0.3
El Salvador-2004	8.0	Zambia-2015	0.2
Guatemala-2004	6.0	Zimbabwe-2015	0.3
Honduras-2004	3.0	Mauritius-2015	1.0
Mexico-2004	2.0	Sierra Leone-2015	1.0
Nicaragua-2004	6.0	Niger-2015	1.0
Panama-2004	3.0	Togo-2015	1.0
Paraguay-2004	4.0	Burundi-2015	0.3
Peru-2004	4.0	Cameroon-2015	3.0
Uruguay-2004	7.0	Ivory Coast-2015	1.0
Venezuela-2004	5.0	Guinea-2015	0.3
<b>Mean missing-Latin Barometer</b>	4.7	Swaziland-2015	0.3
		Algeria-2015	2.0
Tunisia-2013	2.7	Egypt-2015	3.0
Tunisia-2011	3.5	Morocco-2015	3.0

Sudan-2013	1.1	Sudan-2015	2.0
Sudan-2011	1.4	Tunisia-2015	3.0
Saudi Arabia-2011	4.7	Algeria-2016	3.0
Egypt-2013	1.9	Benin-2016	0.2
Egypt-2011	0.7	Botswana-2016	1.0
Iraq-2013	2.8	Burkina Faso-2016	1.0
Iraq-2011	0.6	Burundi-2016	0.6
Yemen-2013	0.5	Cameroon-2016	0.3
Yemen-2010-2011	2.3	Cape Verde-2016	0.6
Yemen-2007	4.2	Ivory Coast-2016	0.2
Lebanon-2013	1.4	Egypt-2016	3.0
Lebanon-2010	0.3	Gabon-2016	0.4
Lebanon-2007	2.4	Ghana-2016	1.0
Kuwait-2014	0.4	Guinea-2016	0.4
Morocco-2013	3.6	Kenya-2016	2.0
Morocco-2006	3.0	Lesotho-2016	2.0
Algeria-2013	3.3	Liberia-2016	0.4
Algeria-2011	4.6	Madagascar-2016	0.4
Algeria-2006	9.0	Malawi-2016	2.0
Palestine-2012	0.3	Mali-2016	2.0
Palestine-2010	0.6	Mauritius-2016	1.0
Palestine-2006	0.6	Morocco-2016	2.0
Libya-2014	2.1	Mozambique-2016	2.0
Jordan-2012-2013	1.3	Namibia-2016	0.3
Jordan-2010	1.2	Niger-2016	0.3
Jordan-2006	2.4	Nigeria-2016	2.0
<b>Mean missing-Arab Barometer</b>	2.2	Sao Tome and Principe-2016	5.0
		Senegal-2016	1.0
		Sierra Leone-2016	4.0
Benin-2005	0.1	South Africa-2016	3.0
Botswana-2005	0.6	Sudan-2016	2.0
Cape Verde-2005	2.0	Swaziland-2016	0.6
Ghana-2005	0.3	Tanzania-2016	0.4
Kenya-2005	0.3	Togo-2016	1.0
Lesotho-2005	0.3	Tunisia-2016	1.0
Madagascar-2005	1.0	Uganda-2016	2.0
Malawi-2005	0.7	Zambia-2016	1.0
Mali-2005	0.7	Zimbabwe-2016	2.0
Mozambique-2005	3.0	<b>Mean missing-Afrobarometer</b>	1.2

Table A5 shows proportion missing: “Men make better political leaders. Strongly disagree=1- strongly agree=4.” Afrobarometer (2017); Latin Barometer (2017); Arab Barometer (2017). Data unweighted.

## References for Online Appendix

- Abdel-Samad, Mounah, and Lindsay J. Benstead. 2016 "Why Does Electing Women and Islamist Parties Reduce the Gender Gap in Service Provision?" Conference Presentation: After the Uprisings workshop, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, May 5.
- Achilov, Dilshod. 2016. "Revisiting Political Islam: Explaining the Nexus Between Political Islam and Contentious Politics in the Arab World." *Social Science Quarterly* 97 (2): 252-270.
- Afrobarometer. 2017. "Afrobarometer Home Page." <http://www.afrobarometer.org/>.
- Alexander, Amy, and Christian Welzel. 2011. "Islam and Patriarchy: How Robust is Muslim Support for Patriarchal Values?" *International Review of Sociology* 21 (2): 249-276.
- Al-Ississ, Mohammed, and Ishac Diwan. 2016. "Individual Preferences for Democracy in the Arab World: Explaining the Gap." Working Paper: Economic Research Forum Working Paper Series.
- Al Subhi, Ahlam Khalfan, and Erica Smith. 2017. "Electing Women to New Arab Assemblies: The Roles of Gender Ideology, Islam, and Tribalism in Oman." *International Political Science Review* (Forthcoming).
- Arab Barometer. 2017. "Arab Barometer Home Page." <http://www.arabbarometer.org/>.
- Benstead, Lindsay, J. 2015. "Why do Some Arab Citizens See Democracy as Unsuitable for Their Country?" *Democratization* 22 (7): 1183-1208.
- 2016. "Why Quotas are Needed to Improve Women's Access to Services in Clientelistic Regimes." *Governance* 29 (2): 185-205.

- . 2017. "Survey Research in the Arab World." In *Oxford University Press Handbook on Polling and Polling Methods*. Lonna Atkeson and R. Michael Alvarez. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Benstead, Lindsay J., and Megan Reif. 2013. "Polarization or pluralism? Language, identity, and attitudes toward American culture among Algeria's youth." *Middle East Journal of Culture and Communication* 6 (1): 75-106.
- . 2017. "Coke, Pepsi or Mecca Cola? Why Product Characteristics Shape Collective Action Problems and Boycott Success." *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 5 (2): 220-241.
- Benstead, Lindsay J., and Lonna Atkeson. 2011. "Why Does Satisfaction with an Authoritarian Regime Increase Support for Democracy? Corruption and Government Performance in the Arab World." Conference Presentation: "Survey Research in the Gulf: Challenges and Policy Implications." Doha, February 27-March 1.
- Bernick, Ethan, and Sabine Ciftci. 2015. "Utilitarian and Modern: Clientelism, Citizen Empowerment, and Civic Engagement in the Arab World." *Democratization* 22 (7): 1161-1182.
- Ciftci, Sabine. 2013. "Secular-Islamist Cleavage, Values, and Support for Democracy and Shari'a in the Arab World." *Political Research Quarterly* 66 (4): 374-394.
- Falco, Chiara, and Valentina Rotondi. 2016. "The Less Extreme, the More You Leave: Radical Islam and Willingness to Migrate." Working Paper: Università degli Studi di Milano Dipartimento di Economia.
- Hamanaka, Shingo. 2017. "Demographic Change and its Social and Political Implications in the Middle East." *Journal of Comparative Politics* 2 (1): 70-86.

- Hoffman, Michael, and Amaney Jamal. 2012. "The Youth and the Arab Spring: Cohort and Similarities." *Middle East Law and Governance* 4 (1): 168-188.
- Isani, Mujtaba, and Bernd Schlipphak. 2016. "The Desire for Sovereignty – An Explanation of EU Attitudes in the Arab World." *Journal of Common Market Studies* 55 (3): 502-517.
- Jamal, Amaney. 2007a. *Barriers to Democracy: The Other Side of Social Capital in Palestine and the Arab World*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- . 2007b. "When is Social Trust a Desirable Outcome? Examining Levels of Trust in the Arab World." *Comparative Political Studies* 40 (11): 1328–1349.
- Latin Barometer. 2017. "Latin Barometer Home Page." <http://www.latinobarometro.org/lat.jsp>
- Nachtwey, Jodi, and Mark Tessler. 2002. "The Political Economy of Attitudes toward Peace among Palestinians and Israelis." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 46 (2): 260-285.
- Norris, Pippa. 2009. "Why do Arab States Lag the World in Gender Equality?" Working Paper: HKS No. RWP09-020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1474820>.
- Nugent, Elizabeth, Tarek Masoud, and Amaney A. Jamal. 2016. "Arab Responses to Western Hegemony: Experimental Evidence from Egypt." *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.
- Pellicer, Miquel, and Eva Wegner. 2015. "Who Votes for Islamist Parties – and Why?" *GIGA Focus* 1, (April). <https://www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publication/who-votes-for-islamist-parties-and-why>.
- Robbins, Michael, and Amaney Jamal. 2016. "The State of Social Justice in the Arab World: The Arab Uprisings of 2011 and Beyond." *Contemporary Readings in Law & Social Justice* 8 (1): 127-157.



- Tausch, Arno. 2016. "Occidentalism, Terrorism, and the Shari'a State: New Multivariate Perspectives on Islamism Based on International Survey Data." Unpublished paper, last modified January 12, 2017.
- Tessler, Mark. 2000. "Morocco's Next Political Generation." *The Journal of North African Studies* 5 (1): 1-26.
- . 2002a. "Do Islamic Orientations Influence Attitudes toward Democracy in the Arab World? Evidence from Egypt, Jordan, Morocco, and Algeria." *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 43 (3): 229-249.
- . 2002b. "Islam and Democracy in the Middle East: The Impact of Religious Orientations on Attitudes toward Democracy in Four Arab Countries." *Comparative Politics* 34 (3): 337-354.
- Tessler, Mark, and Ina Warriner, I 1997, "Gender, Feminism, and Attitudes toward International Conflict: Exploring Relationships with Survey Data from the Middle East." *World Politics* 49 (2): 250-281.
- Tessler, Mark, and Eleanor Gao. 2005. "Gauging Arab Support for Democracy." *Journal of Democracy* 16 (3): 83-97.
- Tessler, Mark, Mansoor Moaddel, and Ronald Inglehart. 2006. "Getting to Arab Democracy: What do Iraqis Want?" *Journal of Democracy* 17 (1): 38-50.
- Tessler, Mark, and Michael Robbins. 2007. "What Leads Some Ordinary Arab Men and Women to Approve of Terrorist Acts Against the United States?" *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51 (2): 305-328.
- Tessler, Mark, Amaney Jamal, and Michael Robbins. 2012. "New findings on Arabs and democracy." *Journal of Democracy* 23 (4): 89-103.

Tezcür, Günes, Taghi Azadarmaki, Mehri Bahar, and Hooshang Nayebi. 2012. "Support for Democracy in Iran." *Political Research Quarterly* 65 (2): 235-247.

---

<sup>i</sup> Early publications on Arab public opinion include Nachtwey and Tessler 2002; Tessler 2000; Tessler and Warriner 1997.