

The supplementary file includes supplementary tables (S5-S15). The tables include summaries of independent t-tests and (G)LMMs referred to in the Results section.

Table S5. Results of independent *t*-tests between groups for biographical data, self-reported and objective proficiency measures in English and Russian. Significant differences (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .017).

	High-proficient HS/ Low-proficient HS			High-proficient HS/ L2 learners			Low-proficient HS/ L2 learners		
	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>
Age (y.o)	-1.50	46	.426	-2.11	46	.120	-1.31	52	.609
Age of Arrival to US (y.o)	-1.91	37	.063	4.15	21	<.001	2.62	38	.042
Age of Reading start in Russian (y.o)	4.78	34	<.001	-10.81	34	<.001	-4.69	52	<.001
Daily Russian language exposure (%)	2.53	46	.045	7.18	23	<.001	4.45	32	<.001
Daily reading exposure in Russian (min)	.771	46	1.00	-.096	46	1.00	-1.04	52	1.00
Self-reported proficiency measures in Russian (scale 1-5)									
<i>Comprehension</i>	3.41	46	.003	7.97	46	<.001	4.34	52	<.001
<i>Speaking</i>	3.69	45	.003	7.47	46	<.001	3.29	52	.006
<i>Reading</i>	5.13	46	<.001	5.30	46	<.001	1.38	45	.519
<i>Writing</i>	4.61	46	<.001	4.13	46	<.001	-.695	52	1.00
Reading objective assessments (scores)									
<i>Word ID-Rus</i>	5.11	30	.012	4.72	27	<.001	1.38	42	.516
<i>Word ID-Eng</i>	-1.87	46	.204	-2.88	46	.018	-.979	52	.996
<i>ORF-Rus</i>	7.13	46	<.001	9.68	30	<.001	.858	40	1.00
<i>ORF-Eng</i>	-.797	46	1.00	-.141	46	1.00	.890	52	1.00

Table S6. Comparison of basic characteristics of eye movements (i) time duration measures, (ii) probabilities of skipping or fixating the word, (iii) probability of regressions, saccade landing sites and number of fixations per word) in reading in Russian. Significant differences (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005). T-values represent absolute values.

	Monolingual adults/ High-prof HS			Monolingual adults/ Low-prof HS			High-prof HS/ Low-prof HS			High-prof HS/ Children			Low-prof HS/ Children			High-prof HS/ L2 learners			Low-prof HS/ L2 learners			
	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	
i (ms)	FF	3.8	20	<.001	5.7	26	<.001	2.3	46.	.277	2.6	57	.106	0.3	63	1.00	0.0	46	1.00	2.6	52.	.113
	SF	4.7	21	<.001	3.7	26	.011	1.1	37	1.00	2.6	57	.133	0.4	34	1.00	0.3	46	1.00	0.9	35	1.00
	GD	6.4	21	<.001	11.6	26	<.001	6.7	40	<.001	3.0	57	.046	3.7	63	.005	4.1	43	.002	2.7	52	.101
	TT	7.8	21	<.001	14.6	26	<.001	8.8	40	<.001	3.1	57	.030	5.7	63	<.001	5.8	37	<.001	1.6	52	1.00
ii (%)	P0	8.9	56	<.001	16.2	79	<.001	6.4	46	<.001	0.4	54	1.00	4.7	53	<.001	5.4	46	<.001	0.8	52	1.00
	P1	4.9	26	<.001	11.9	31	<.001	4.2	46	.001	1.3	57	1.00	3.0	63	.036	1.2	46	1.00	5.4	52	<.001
	P2+	10.9	24	<.001	27.7	32	<.001	7.6	46	<.001	0.8	57	1.00	7.1	62	<.001	1.6	46	1.00	5.1	43	<.001
iii (%)	RO	3.0	27	.080	2.8	32	.080	0.2	46	1.00	0.7	57	1.00	0.3	38	1.00	3.6	43.	.008	3.2	52	.026
	RG	3.93	26	<.001	3.3	32	<.001	0.1	46	1.00	4.2	57	.001	3.1	34	.034	0.8	46	1.00	0.9	52	1.00
#Fixations		10.2	23	<.001	13.0	27	<.001	7.6	35	<.001	0.3	57	1.00	7.5	36	<.001	5.4	34	<.001	1.3	52	1.00
Landing(%)		4.1	30	.003	4.1	41	.002	0.2	46	1.00	1.8	57	.830	1.6	63	1.00	1.0	46	1.00	1.3	52	1.00

Table S7. Summary of LMMs for the duration measures for high-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.569	.06	<.001	5.633	.048	<.001	6.089	.062	<.001	6.778	.073	<.001
Log frequency	-.051	.01	<.001	-.042	.008	<.001	-.092	.008	<.001	-.156	.009	<.001
Length scaled	.106	.01	<.001	.029	.011	.050	.253	.010	<.001	.254	.012	<.001
<i>n+1</i> log frequency	.011	.01	1.00	-.009	.007	1.00	-.013	.007	.630	-.040	.008	<.001
<i>n+1</i> length	.020	.01	1.00	-.024	.010	.120	-.032	.010	<.001	-.057	.011	<.001
<i>n-1</i> log frequency	-.013	.01	1.00	-.008	.007	1.00	-.019	.007	.054	-.047	.008	<.001
<i>n-1</i> length	.000	.01	1.00	.023	.010	.180	-.003	.010	1.00	-.056	.011	<.001
Saccade amplitude	-.007	.00	.291	.003	.002	1.00	-.000	.002	1.00	.008	.002	<.001
Sentence position	.021	.04	1.00	.089	.029	.018	.042	.029	1.00	-.206	.032	<.001
Landing	.049	.03	1.00	.085	.017	<.001	-.011	.017	1.00	-.130	.017	<.001
Random Effects												
σ^2	.218			.161			.253			.248		
τ_{00} , word	.005			.007			.013			.024		
τ_{00} , sentence	.001			.001			.002			.015		
τ_{00} , participants	.048			.028			.061			.083		
N_{word}	716			750			763			763		
N_{item}	144			144			144			144		
$N_{\text{participants}}$	21			21			21			21		
Observations	3518			5011			9119			9119		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.051 / .236			.035 / .213			.248 / .422			.327 / .551		

Table S8. Summary of (G)LMMs for the probability measures for high-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	P0			P1			P2+			RO			RG		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects															
(Intercept)	-3.431	.318	<.001	-.212	.177	1.00	-.003	.194	1.00	-.497	.177	.045	-.457	.192	.153
Log frequency	.232	.076	.018	.096	.033	.027	-.276	.031	<.001	-.160	.034	<.001	-.215	.038	<.001
Length scaled	-1.090	.124	<.001	-.817	.044	<.001	1.041	.044	<.001	-.174	.045	<.001	-.232	.050	<.001
<i>n+1</i> frequency	-.001	.060	1.00	.031	.029	1.00	-.020	.030	1.00	-.021	.032	1.00	-.119	.034	<.001
<i>n+1</i> length	-.034	.085	1.00	.066	.041	.972	-.074	.042	.693	-.018	.045	1.00	-.094	.048	.450
<i>n-1</i> frequency	.088	.060	1.00	.007	.029	1.00	-.014	.030	1.00	-.128	.032	<.001	-.028	.034	1.00
<i>n-1</i> length	-.094	.086	1.00	.072	.042	.792	-.038	.043	1.00	-.315	.047	<.001	-.058	.049	1.00
Saccade amplitude	-.025	.015	1.00	.017	.009	.477	.001	.010	1.00	.054	.009	<.001	-.029	.010	.036
Sentence position	-1.228	.242	<.001	.195	.124	1.00	.125	.127	1.00	-.459	.132	<.001	-.414	.140	.027
Landing	.134	.106	1.00	.604	.076	<.001	-1.156	.097	<.001	-.840	.084	<.001	.161	.080	.405
Random Effects															
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.572			.186			.074			.137			.258		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.177			.000			.005			.088			.069		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.406			.335			.456			.254			.314		
N_{word}	76			763			763			763			763		
N_{item}	144			144			144			144			144		
$N_{\text{participants}}$	21			21			21			21			21		
Observations	9119			9119			9119			9119			9119		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.277 / .465			.189 / .300			.345 / .437			.047 / .168			.021 / .181		

Table S9. Summary of LMMs for the duration measures for low-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.823	.084	<.001	5.696	.096	<.001	6.593	.102	<.001	7.621	.105	<.001
Log frequency	-.069	.015	<.001	-.065	.019	<.001	-.156	.021	<.001	-.241	.023	<.001
Length scaled	.056	.019	.099	.000	.029	1.00	.332	.029	<.001	.308	.032	<.001
<i>n+1</i> frequency	-.034	.013	.144	.012	.017	1.00	.012	.018	1.00	-.034	.020	1.00
<i>n+1</i> length	-.062	.019	.018	.018	.024	1.00	.012	.025	1.00	-.015	.026	1.00
<i>n-1</i> frequency	.002	.013	1.00	-.001	.017	1.00	-.006	.018	1.00	-.006	.019	1.00
<i>n-1</i> length	.024	.019	1.00	.081	.026	.009	.055	.027	.324	-.038	.027	1.00
Saccade amplitude	-.012	.006	.297	-.018	.003	<.001	-.030	.003	<.001	.005	.002	.639
Sentence position	.257	.074	<.001	.218	.091	.117	.272	.101	.045	-.342	.102	.009
Landing	-.055	.054	1.00	.136	.035	<.001	.117	.037	.009	-.106	.027	<.001
Random Effects												
σ^2	.331			.311			.520			.272		
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.000			.010			.041			.060		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.000			.000			.000			.01		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.081			.090			.090			.079		
N_{word}	143			145			145			145		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	2449			1522			3971			3971		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.244 / .243			.351 / .348			.403 / .402			.618 / .617		

Table S10. Summary of (G)LMMs for the probability measures for low-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	P1			P2+			RO			RG		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	-.766	.253	.018	.655	.240	.063	.294	.346	.100	-.785	.355	.234
Log frequency	.262	.055	<.001	-.252	.054	<.001	-.198	.050	<.001	-.193	.059	.009
Length scaled	-.883	.079	<.001	.754	.077	<.001	-.108	.073	1.00	-.173	.084	.360
<i>n</i> +1 frequency	-.078	.049	1.00	.075	.048	1.00	-.088	.046	.513	-.163	.053	.018
<i>n</i> +1 length	-.098	.071	1.00	.120	.070	.765	-.097	.069	1.00	-.189	.077	.126
<i>n</i> -1 frequency	-.043	.050	1.00	.057	.049	1.00	-.171	.048	<.001	.080	.053	1.00
<i>n</i> -1 length	-.177	.072	.126	.192	.071	.063	-.399	.071	<.001	.003	.0878	1.00
Saccade amplitude	.046	.013	<.001	-.040	.012	.009	.068	.014	<.001	-.039	.018	.324
Sentence position	-.329	.280	1.00	.282	.273	1.00	-1.911	.260	<.001	-.610	.287	.306
Landing	.381	.133	.036	-.388	.130	.027	-.585	.133	<.001	.320	.130	.126
Random Effects												
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.187			.184			.087			.183		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.000			.000			.070			.048		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.244			.136			1.763			1.512		
N_{word}	145			145			145			145		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	4016			4016			4016			4016		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.252 / .339			.215/285			.047 / .168			.028 / .364		

Table S11. Summary of LMMs for the duration measures in the L2 learner group. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.600	.087	<.001	5.748	.088	<.001	6.337	.114	<.001	7.298	.133	<.001
Log frequency	-.045	.019	.126	-.070	.020	<.001	-.079	.025	.001	-.099	.026	<.001
Length scaled	.018	.025	1.00	-.046	.031	1.00	.300	.035	<.001	.275	.037	<.001
<i>n+1</i> log frequency	-.011	.017	1.00	.008	.018	1.00	-.002	.022	1.00	-.023	.023	1.00
<i>n+1</i> length	-.034	.025	1.00	.024	.025	1.00	.057	.031	.576	-.000	.030	1.00
<i>n-1</i> log frequency	-.001	.017	1.00	-.011	.018	1.00	-.023	.022	1.00	-.035	.021	.918
<i>n-1</i> length	.012	.025	1.00	.036	.026	1.00	.004	.032	1.00	-.085	.032	.063
Saccade amplitude	-.003	.007	1.00	-.011	.004	.027	-.017	.005	.009	-.005	.004	1.00
Sentence position	.171	.094	.603	.095	.095	1.00	.219	.119	.594	-.092	.120	1.00
Landing	-.205	.067	.018	.049	.034	1.00	-.028	.045	1.00	-.110	.036	.018
Random Effects												
σ^2	.232			.203			.482			.294		
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.004			.021			.047			.073		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.001			.004			.003			.004		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.032			.023			.067			.184		
N_{word}	146			147			149			149		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participants}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	927			1145			2083			2083		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.033 / .168			.039 / .222			.199 / .355			.226 / .590		

Table S12. Summary of GLMMs for the probability measures in the L2 learner group. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .005).

	P1			P2+			RO			RG		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	-.253	.316	1.00	.288	.307	1.00	-.343	.321	1.00	-.427	.333	1.00
Log frequency	.042	.068	1.00	-.053	.067	1.00	-.021	.056	1.00	-.072	.064	1.00
Length scaled	-1.002	.102	<.001	.933	.098	<.001	-.053	.083	1.00	-.055	.092	1.00
<i>n+1</i> frequency	.001	.064	1.00	.003	.062	1.00	-.016	.053	1.00	-.110	.059	.576
<i>n+1</i> length	-.164	.091	.657	.156	.089	.729	-.082	.077	1.00	-.246	.085	.036
<i>n-1</i> frequency	.025	.064	1.00	-.029	.062	1.00	-.037	.053	1.00	.036	.059	1.00
<i>n-1</i> length	.052	.095	1.00	-.054	.093	1.00	-.189	.080	.162	-.084	.088	1.00
Saccade amplitude	.035	.018	.477	-.035	.018	.495	.055	.018	.018	-.017	.018	1.00
Sentence position	.025	.353	1.00	-.103	.345	1.00	-.675	.286	.162	-.668	.321	.333
Landing	.679	.179	<.001	-.680	.177	<.001	-.491	.149	.009	.296	.149	.423
Random Effects												
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.246			.225			.052			.164		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.000			.000			.024			.000		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.362			.312			1.019			.986		
N_{word}	149			149			149			149		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	2083			2083			2083			2083		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.241 / .360			.227 / .336			.025 / .268			.024 / .277		

Table S13. Summary of LMMs for the pretest assessments for high-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .012).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.554	.047	<.001	5.596	.038	<.001	6.027	.039	<.001	6.592	.050	<.001
Word ID-Rus	-.031	.039	1.00	-.042	.033	.836	-.053	.034	.484	-.031	.046	1.00
Word ID-Eng	-.068	.061	1.00	-.064	.053	.908	-.102	.054	.232	-.011	.072	1.00
ORF-Rus	-.170	.037	<.001	-.123	.032	<.001	-.212	.032	<.001	-.231	.043	<.001
ORF-Eng	.076	.059	.800	.073	.051	.620	.112	.053	.132	.044	.070	1.00
Log frequency	-.049	.010	<.001	-.046	.008	<.001	-.096	.008	<.001	-.154	.010	<.001
Length scaled	.110	.012	<.001	.024	.011	.112	.250	.010	<.001	.254	.012	<.001
Saccade amplitude	-.007	.003	.192	.006	.002	.068	.000	.002	1.00	.007	.002	<.001
Sentence position	.019	.039	1.00	.085	.029	.016	.052	.029	.296	-.179	.032	<.001
Landing	.054	.033	.400	.089	.017	<.001	-.009	.016	1.00	-.136	.016	<.001
Random Effects												
σ^2	.218			.163			.253			.248		
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.005			.008			.016			.030		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.001			.005			.001			.015		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.024			.018			.019			.035		
N_{word}	763			763			763			763		
N_{item}	144			144			144			144		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	21			21			21			21		
Observations	3587			5128			9321			9321		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.124 / .232			.093 / .226			.340 / .424			.404 / .550		

Table S14. Summary of LMMs for the pretest assessments for low-proficient HSs. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .012).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.755	.073	<.001	5.620	.081	<.001	6.552	.077	<.001	7.551	.077	<.001
Word ID-Rus	-.008	.064	1.00	.022	.068	1.00	.018	.057	1.00	-.092	.052	.312
Word ID-Eng	.002	.063	1.00	.023	.068	1.00	.125	.056	.104	.106	.052	.172
ORF-Rus	-.075	.064	.960	-.047	.069	1.00	-.103	.057	.292	-.052	.053	1.00
ORF-Eng	-.111	.066	.364	-.112	.071	.448	-.108	.058	.260	-.068	.054	.840
Log frequency	-.060	.014	<.001	-.073	.020	<.001	-.160	.021	<.001	-.237	.022	<.001
Length scaled	.061	.019	.008	-.017	.030	1.00	.323	.028	<.001	.317	.031	<.001
Saccade amplitude	-.010	.006	.024	-.017	.003	<.001	-.028	.003	<.001	.003	.002	.744
Sentence position	.253	.070	<.001	.392	.081	<.001	.353	.087	<.001	-.327	.082	<.001
Landing	-.064	.054	.936	.133	.035	<.001	.110	.037	.012	-.108	.027	<.001
Random Effects												
σ^2	.324			.300			.519			.274		
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.005			.020			.040			.057		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.001			.000			.000			.010		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.074			.081			.058			.051		
N_{word}	145			145			145			145		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	2408			1604			4074			4074		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.074 / .258			.079 / .319			.289 / .403			.465 / .627		

Table S15. Summary of LMMs for the pretest assessments for L2 learners. The cells with estimates in which there is a significant effect (Bonferroni correction applied) are in bold (at α -level .012).

	Log FFD			Log SFD			Log GD			Log TT		
	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Est</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>
Fixed Effects												
(Intercept)	5.596	.064	<.001	5.665	.060	<.001	6.239	.083	<.001	7.198	.105	<.001
Word ID-Rus	.018	.047	.1.00	.003	.034	1.00	-.034	.061	1.00	.015	.098	1.00
Word ID-Eng	-.023	.047	1.00	-.080	.035	.088	.106	.062	.348	.132	.099	.724
ORF-Rus	-.035	.042	1.00	.039	.031	.852	-.112	.056	.176	-.191	.089	.124
ORF-Eng	-.025	.040	1.00	.027	.030	1.00	.002	.053	1.00	-.001	.084	1.00
Log frequency	-.047	.015	.012	-.068	.017	<.001	-.064	.021	.008	-.063	.022	.016
Length scaled	.019	.021	1.00	-.070	.027	.036	.292	.030	<.001	.296	.032	<.001
Saccade amplitude	-.003	.006	1.00	-.009	.004	.036	-.011	.005	.064	-.006	.004	.480
Sentence position	.110	.064	1.00	.196	.065	.012	.248	.081	.008	-.189	.083	.092
Landing	-.190	.063	.008	.049	.033	.548	-.019	.044	1.00	-.131	.035	<.001
Random Effects												
σ^2	.233			.206			.498			.308		
$\tau_{00, \text{word}}$.002			.019			.042			.069		
$\tau_{00, \text{sentence}}$.001			.000			.004			.010		
$\tau_{00, \text{participants}}$.031			.017			.061			.166		
N_{word}	146			147			149			149		
N_{item}	30			30			30			30		
$N_{\text{participant}}$	27			27			27			27		
Observations	1123			1374			2516			2516		
R^2 / Ω_0^2	.039 / .163			.053 / .199			.198 / .340			.235 / .574		