Supplementary Table 3: Additional CVD and IHD deaths averted by rurality under 100% non-smoking scenario

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Rural** | | | **Metropolitan** | | |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No smoking scenario: CVD deaths averted  n, (95% CI) | 3,257  (2,897; 3,558) | 2,573  (2,279; 2,844) | 5,827  (5,200; 6,375) | 5,389  (4,585;  6,050) | 5,060  (4,350;  5,703) | 10,449 (10,191;  12,565) |
| %CVD reduction from baseline | -49.3% | -40.9% | -45.1% | -47.2% | -39.7% | -43.3% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No smoking scenario: IHD deaths averted  n, (95% CI) | 2,681  (2,344; 2,944) | 1,809  (1,542; 2,021) | 4,490  (3,887; 4,960) | 4,349  (3,651;  4,914) | 3,604  (3,007;  4,113) | 7,953 (6,674;  9,028) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % IHD reduction from baseline | -61.4% | -56.7% | -59.4% | -59.9% | -55.1% | -57.6 % |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Notes: CVD: cardiovascular diseases, IHD: Ischaemic heart disease, 95% CI: 95% credible intervals*