

Appendix A. Operational definitions of food and nutrient outcome variables⁴².

Outcome	Units	Operational Definition
Food Groups		
Fruit	g	Fruit, including from composite dishes. Includes canned but does not include dried fruit
Vegetables	g	Any vegetable, including from composite dishes
Protein-rich foods	g	Any meat, fish, egg, or beans, including from composite dishes
Wholemeal products	g	Any wholemeal starch product (e.g. bread, pasta, rice)
Dairy (milk, yoghurt, cheese)	g	Any dairy milk, yogurt, or cheese including from composite dishes.
Savoury snacks	g	Any crisps or savoury snacks (Includes all potato and cereal based snacks, popcorn (not sweet), twiglets, pretzels, pork scratchings)
Sweet snacks	g	Any manufactured/retail or homemade biscuit, any sugar or chocolate confectionery, buns, cakes, pastries, fruit pies, cereal based milk puddings, sponge puddings, and other cereal based puddings (manufactured/homemade)
Nutrients		
Fibre	g	AOAC method of measuring fibre
Vitamin C	mg	Any vitamin C
Calcium	mg	Any calcium
Iron	mg	Sum of all haem iron and non haem iron
Non-milk extrinsic sugar	g	Sum of all sugars in fruit juices, table sugar, honey, sucrose and glucose syrups added to foods
Fat	g	Sum of all fatty acids
Saturated fatty acids	g	Any saturated fatty acids
Salt	g	Any salt

Appendix B. Nutrient Recommendations for primary and secondary students.

Nutrient type (units)	Recommendation	
	Primary	Secondary
Nutrients with minimum recommendation*		
Fibre (g)	4.2	5.2
Vitamin C (mg)	10.5	14.0
Calcium (mg)	193.0	350.0
Iron (mg)	3.0	5.2
Nutrients with maximum recommendation†		
NMES (g)	15.5	18.9
SFA (g)	6.5	7.9
Salt (g)	1.2	1.8

*Minimum recommendation refers to nutrients that must be at a minimum intake to meet the 2008/09 English nutrient-based standards²⁶.

†Maximum recommendation refers to nutrients that must remain below a certain level to meet the 2008/09 English nutrient-based standards²⁶.

*Minimum recommendation refers to nutrients that must be at a minimum intake to meet the 2008/09 English nutrient-based standards²⁶.

†Maximum recommendation refers to nutrients that must remain below a certain level to meet the 2008/09 English nutrient-based standards²⁶.

Appendix C. Sensitivity analyses testing different specification of meal type definitions **for** the likelihood of packed lunches vs. school meals in containing each food group, by academic key stage.

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]
Fruit						
Key stage 1	2.9***	[1.9,4.5]	2.9***	[1.9,4.6]	1.8***	[1.4,2.4]
Key stage 2	2.7***	[1.9,3.8]	2.8***	[1.9,4.0]	1.8***	[1.4,2.2]
Key stage 3	4.0***	[2.5,6.1]	4.0***	[2.6,6.3]	2.5***	[1.7,3.8]
Key stage 4	2.1*	[1.2,3.7]	2.7**	[1.5,5.0]	1.9*	[1.1,3.1]
Vegetables						
Key stage 1	0.0***	[0.0,0.1]	0.1***	[0.0,0.1]	0.1***	[0.1,0.2]
Key stage 2	0.1***	[0.1,0.1]	0.1***	[0.1,0.1]	0.2***	[0.2,0.3]
Key stage 3	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]	0.2***	[0.1,0.3]	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]
Key stage 4	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]	0.3***	[0.1,0.5]	0.3***	[0.2,0.6]
Protein-rich foods						
Key stage 1	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]	0.5***	[0.4,0.7]
Key stage 2	0.4***	[0.2,0.6]	0.3***	[0.2,0.6]	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]
Key stage 3	0.6	[0.4,1.0]	0.7	[0.4,1.0]	1	[0.7,1.6]
Key stage 4	0.6	[0.3,1.2]	0.8	[0.4,1.5]	0.6	[0.3,1.0]
Wholemeal products						
Key stage 1	7.4***	[4.7,11.7]	7.3***	[4.8,11.4]	3.2***	[2.3,4.3]
Key stage 2	6.8***	[4.4,10.5]	4.6***	[3.1,6.9]	4.5***	[3.2,6.4]
Key stage 3	3.3***	[2.0,5.5]	2.9***	[1.7,4.9]	2.7***	[1.7,4.3]
Key stage 4	2.4*	[1.1,4.9]	2.1	[1.0,4.3]	2.5**	[1.3,4.8]
Dairy						
Key stage 1	2.1***	[1.4,3.1]	1.9**	[1.3,2.7]	1.5**	[1.2,1.9]
Key stage 2	1.6*	[1.1,2.2]	1.4	[1.0,2.0]	1.2	[1.0,1.5]
Key stage 3	0.9	[0.6,1.4]	0.9	[0.6,1.4]	1	[0.7,1.5]
Key stage 4	0.9	[0.5,1.6]	0.8	[0.4,1.5]	0.6	[0.3,1.0]
Savoury snacks						
Key stage 1	22.6***	[11.5,44.3]	16.5***	[9.4,29.0]	9.8***	[4.5,21.2]
Key stage 2	11.7***	[7.1,19.4]	10.7***	[6.4,17.9]	8.2***	[4.6,14.7]
Key stage 3	9.0***	[5.1,15.7]	8.7***	[4.9,15.3]	7.9***	[3.9,15.9]
Key stage 4	7.2***	[3.1,16.4]	7.3***	[3.1,17.3]	6.4*	[1.3,32.4]
Sweet snacks						
Key stage 1	5.2***	[2.4,11.1]	5.7***	[2.6,12.2]	2.5**	[1.3,4.8]
Key stage 2	8.1***	[4.7,13.8]	8.0***	[4.6,13.8]	6.2***	[3.6,10.4]
Key stage 3	2.2**	[1.2,3.8]	2.2**	[1.2,3.9]	2.2**	[1.4,3.5]
Key stage 4	3.2**	[1.5,6.8]	2.5*	[1.1,6.0]	3.5**	[1.5,7.9]

*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001; OR – Odds ratio; CI – confidence interval

Model 1 (main analysis) – Meal type was defined as the most frequent location in the food diary, if meal type could not be determined from the food diary, school lunch preference was used. Total sample n=3001

Model 2 (sensitivity analysis) – Meal type was determined from the food diary, if participants recorded more than one location or their meal type could not be determined from the food diary school lunch preference was used. Total sample n= 2,986, n=15 removed

Model 3 (sensitivity analysis) – Meal type definition was taken from the food diary, if participants recorded more than one location or their meal type could not be determined from the food diary they were excluded. Total sample n=1,671

Appendix D. Sensitivity analyses testing different specification of meal type definitions for the likelihood of packed lunches vs. school meals in meeting nutrient recommendations, by academic key stage.

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]
Fibre						
Key stage 1	0.6**	[0.4,0.8]	0.5***	[0.4,0.8]	0.6***	[0.5,0.8]
Key stage 2	0.5***	[0.3,0.6]	0.5***	[0.3,0.7]	0.6***	[0.5,0.8]
Key stage 3	0.9	[0.6,1.4]	0.9	[0.5,1.4]	1.2	[0.8,1.7]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,1.8]	0.9	[0.5,1.7]	0.9	[0.5,1.6]
Vitamin C						
Key stage 1	1	[0.7,1.5]	1.1	[0.8,1.6]	1.1	[0.8,1.4]
Key stage 2	0.9	[0.7,1.3]	0.9	[0.6,1.2]	0.9	[0.7,1.1]
Key stage 3	1.2	[0.8,1.8]	1.2	[0.8,1.7]	1	[0.7,1.4]
Key stage 4	0.8	[0.4,1.4]	0.6	[0.3,1.0]	0.9	[0.5,1.5]
Calcium						
Key stage 1	2.4***	[1.7,3.4]	2.7***	[1.9,3.9]	1.8***	[1.4,2.3]
Key stage 2	3.0***	[2.1,4.2]	2.6***	[1.9,3.7]	1.9***	[1.5,2.4]
Key stage 3	1.1	[0.6,2.0]	1.1	[0.6,1.9]	1.1	[0.6,1.9]
Key stage 4	0.8	[0.4,1.9]	0.6	[0.3,1.4]	0.6	[0.3,1.2]
Iron						
Key stage 1	0.9	[0.5,1.6]	0.9	[0.5,1.6]	1	[0.7,1.4]
Key stage 2	1.4	[0.8,2.2]	1.2	[0.7,1.9]	1.3	[1.0,1.7]
Key stage 3	2.9	[0.9,9.9]	3.9	[0.8,18.7]	9.2**	[2.1,41.4]
Key stage 4	7.9	[0.5,135.8]	1	[1.0,1.0]		
NMES						
Key stage 1	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]	0.6***	[0.4,0.7]
Key stage 2	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]	0.6***	[0.5,0.7]
Key stage 3	1.3	[0.9,2.0]	1.3	[0.9,2.0]	1.1	[0.8,1.5]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,1.8]	1.3	[0.7,2.4]	1.1	[0.6,1.9]
Saturated Fat						
Key stage 1	0.6**	[0.4,0.9]	0.7*	[0.5,1.0]	0.8*	[0.6,1.0]
Key stage 2	0.5***	[0.3,0.6]	0.6***	[0.4,0.8]	0.6***	[0.5,0.7]
Key stage 3	1.5	[1.0,2.3]	1.5	[0.9,2.3]	1.1	[0.8,1.6]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,2.0]	1.3	[0.7,2.7]	1.7	[0.9,3.1]
Sodium						
Key stage 1	0.3***	[0.2,0.4]	0.3***	[0.2,0.4]	0.5***	[0.4,0.7]
Key stage 2	0.2***	[0.1,0.3]	0.3***	[0.2,0.4]	0.4***	[0.3,0.5]
Key stage 3	0.7	[0.5,1.1]	0.6*	[0.4,1.0]	0.7*	[0.5,1.0]
Key stage 4	0.6	[0.3,1.1]	0.6	[0.3,1.3]	1.1	[0.7,2.0]

*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001; OR – Odds ratio; CI – confidence interval

Model 1 (main analysis) – Meal type was defined as the most frequent location in the food diary, if meal type could not be determined from the food diary, school lunch preference was used. Total sample $n=3001$

Model 2 (sensitivity analysis) – Meal type was determined from the food diary, if participants recorded more than one location or their meal type could not be determined from the food diary school lunch preference was used. Total sample $n= 2,986$, $n=15$ removed

Model 3 (sensitivity analysis) – Meal type definition was taken from the food diary, if participants recorded more than one location or their meal type could not be determined from the food diary they were excluded. Total sample $n=1,671$

Appendix E. Sensitivity analyses testing the impact of energy mis-reporting on the likelihood of packed lunches vs. school meals in containing each food group, by academic key stage.

	Model 1		Model 2	
	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]
Fruit				
Key stage 1	2.9***	[1.9,4.5]	3.0***	[1.9,4.7]
Key stage 2	2.7***	[1.9,3.8]	2.9***	[2.0,4.3]
Key stage 3	4.0***	[2.5,6.1]	4.3***	[2.6,7.0]
Key stage 4	2.1*	[1.2,3.7]	1.8	[0.9,3.7]
Vegetables				
Key stage 1	0.0***	[0.0,0.1]	0.0***	[0.0,0.1]
Key stage 2	0.1***	[0.1,0.1]	0.1***	[0.1,0.1]
Key stage 3	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]
Key stage 4	0.2***	[0.1,0.4]	0.2***	[0.1,0.5]
Protein-rich foods				
Key stage 1	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]
Key stage 2	0.4***	[0.2,0.6]	0.4***	[0.2,0.7]
Key stage 3	0.6	[0.4,1.0]	0.6	[0.4,1.1]
Key stage 4	0.6	[0.3,1.2]	0.6	[0.3,1.5]
Wholemeal products				
Key stage 1	7.4***	[4.7,11.7]	6.9***	[4.4,11.0]
Key stage 2	6.8***	[4.4,10.5]	6.5***	[4.1,10.3]
Key stage 3	3.3***	[2.0,5.5]	4.2***	[2.2,7.8]
Key stage 4	2.4*	[1.1,4.9]	2	[0.8,4.8]
Dairy				
Key stage 1	2.1***	[1.4,3.1]	2.0***	[1.3,2.9]
Key stage 2	1.6*	[1.1,2.2]	1.7**	[1.1,2.4]
Key stage 3	0.9	[0.6,1.4]	1	[0.6,1.6]
Key stage 4	0.9	[0.5,1.6]	0.8	[0.4,1.7]
Savoury snacks				
Key stage 1	22.6***	[11.5,44.3]	23.1***	[11.3,47.5]
Key stage 2	11.7***	[7.1,19.4]	12.5***	[7.3,21.6]
Key stage 3	9.0***	[5.1,15.7]	9.4***	[5.1,17.6]
Key stage 4	7.2***	[3.1,16.4]	8.2***	[2.9,22.7]
Sweet snacks				
Key stage 1	5.2***	[2.4,11.1]	5.3***	[2.4,11.5]
Key stage 2	8.1***	[4.7,13.8]	9.2***	[5.2,16.3]
Key stage 3	2.2**	[1.2,3.8]	2.3**	[1.2,4.4]
Key stage 4	3.2**	[1.5,6.8]	3.7**	[1.4,9.6]

*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001; OR – Odds ratio; CI – confidence interval

Model 1 (main analysis) – Fully adjusted with all participants included $n=3001$

Model 2 (sensitivity analysis) – Fully adjusted with all energy mis-reporters excluded ($n=445$), leaving a sample of $n=2,556$

Appendix F. Sensitivity analyses testing the impact of energy mis-reporting on the likelihood of packed lunches vs. school meals in meeting nutrient recommendations, by academic key stage.

	Model 1		Model 2	
	OR	[95% CI]	OR	[95% CI]
Fibre				
Key stage 1	0.6**	[0.4,0.8]	0.6**	[0.4,0.8]
Key stage 2	0.5***	[0.3,0.6]	0.5***	[0.3,0.6]
Key stage 3	0.9	[0.6,1.4]	1	[0.6,1.7]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,1.8]	0.8	[0.4,1.6]
Vitamin C				
Key stage 1	1	[0.7,1.5]	1	[0.7,1.5]
Key stage 2	0.9	[0.7,1.3]	0.9	[0.7,1.3]
Key stage 3	1.2	[0.8,1.8]	1.1	[0.7,1.8]
Key stage 4	0.8	[0.4,1.4]	0.8	[0.4,1.7]
Calcium				
Key stage 1	2.4***	[1.7,3.4]	2.4***	[1.7,3.4]
Key stage 2	3.0***	[2.1,4.2]	3.0***	[2.1,4.3]
Key stage 3	1.1	[0.6,2.0]	1.3	[0.7,2.6]
Key stage 4	0.8	[0.4,1.9]	0.7	[0.3,1.7]
Iron				
Key stage 1	0.9	[0.5,1.6]	0.9	[0.5,1.6]
Key stage 2	1.4	[0.8,2.2]	1.3	[0.8,2.1]
Key stage 3	2.9	[0.9,9.9]	5.1*	[1.0,25.2]
Key stage 4	7.9	[0.5,135.8]	1	[1.0,1.0]
NMES				
Key stage 1	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]	0.4***	[0.3,0.6]
Key stage 2	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]	0.3***	[0.2,0.5]
Key stage 3	1.3	[0.9,2.0]	1.4	[0.9,2.2]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,1.8]	0.9	[0.4,1.9]
Saturated Fat				
Key stage 1	0.6**	[0.4,0.9]	0.6**	[0.4,0.9]
Key stage 2	0.5***	[0.3,0.6]	0.5***	[0.3,0.7]
Key stage 3	1.5	[1.0,2.3]	1.2	[0.8,2.0]
Key stage 4	1	[0.5,2.0]	1	[0.4,2.2]
Sodium				
Key stage 1	0.3***	[0.2,0.4]	0.3***	[0.2,0.4]
Key stage 2	0.2***	[0.1,0.3]	0.2***	[0.1,0.3]
Key stage 3	0.7	[0.5,1.1]	0.6*	[0.4,1.0]
Key stage 4	0.6	[0.3,1.1]	0.5	[0.2,1.1]

*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001; OR – Odds ratio; CI – confidence interval

Model 1 (main analysis) – Fully adjusted will all participants included $n=3001$

Model 2 (sensitivity analysis) – Fully adjusted with all energy mis-reporters excluded ($n=445$), leaving a sample of $n=2,556$