

<sup>■</sup> Not cost-saving ■ Cost-saving ■ PoCT

**Supplementary Figure S2 (online only). Two-way SA for 'NL and B data'.** Deterministic two-way SA showing the combined effect of a relative reduction in ACS negative referrals (FPs, on X-axis), and of a variation in costs of a PoCT (on y-axis), on the difference in total costs between PoCT and current practice (i.e. without PoCT). The analysis was performed based on the 'NL and B data'. When assuming that PoCT would <u>only</u> impact the %FPs and incur costs of the PoCT test (and leave all other model input parameters unaffected), a relative reduction of 39.5% in FPs is required to make the PoCT strategy cost-neutral (as represented by the black square, assuming a cost price of a PoCT test of  $\in$ 45,00).

**Abbreviations:** ACS = Acute Coronary Syndrome ; FPs = 'false-positives' ; PoCT = point of care test ; two-way SA = two-way sensitivity analysis