

### Appendix A Results

In this section, we provide some additional information about the experiments, and present the results for every solver and configuration.

We note that in conditional planning, CPASP receives as input an additional width parameter that determines the minimum number of branches of a conditional plan. QASP2QBF receives no information of that kind, and in this regard it solves a more difficult task than CPASP. On the other hand, that width parameter allows CPASP to return the complete conditional plan, while QASP2QBF only returns the assignment to the first action of the plan. Observe also that given that CPASP is incomplete, in some instances QASP2QBF can find plans for OPT whose length is smaller than the fixed length of SAT. This explains why in some few cases QASP2QBF solves faster the OPT problem than SAT.

In the experiments, the times for grounding and for the translators LP2NORMAL and LP2SAT are negligible, while, in the SAT problem, preprocessing takes on average 5 and 2 seconds in conformant and conditional planning, respectively. We expect these times to be similar for the OPT problem. The reported times are dominated by CLINGO’s solving time in CPASP, and by the QBF solvers in QASP2QBF. But the usage of preprocessors is fundamental for the performance of QASP2QBF. For example, in conformant planning, CAQE alone results in 18 timeouts, while with QRATPRE+ they go down to only 6.

	CLINGO <sup>C</sup>		CLINGO <sup>F</sup>		CLINGO <sup>H</sup>		CLINGO <sup>J</sup>		CLINGO <sup>R</sup>		CLINGO <sup>T</sup>	
<b>Bt</b>	52 (0)	0	176 (0)	0	362 (1)	0	43 (0)	0	363 (1)	0	48 (0)	0
<b>Bmt</b>	49 (0)	0	163 (0)	0	238 (0)	0	50 (0)	0	363 (1)	0	35 (0)	0
<b>Btc</b>	236 (0)	0	395 (1)	0	375 (1)	0	258 (0)	0	380 (1)	0	282 (0)	0
<b>Bmtc</b>	746 (2)	211	998 (2)	0	743 (2)	0	744 (2)	0	773 (2)	0	739 (2)	0
<b>Btuc</b>	367 (1)	0	389 (1)	0	372 (1)	0	245 (0)	0	382 (1)	0	380 (1)	0
<b>Bmtuc</b>	749 (2)	0	938 (2)	0	741 (2)	0	739 (2)	0	778 (2)	0	742 (2)	0
<b>Domino</b>	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	774 (2)	506	1102 (3)	777	538 (1)	96	673 (1)	416	645 (1)	204	803 (2)	364
<b>Ringu</b>	1800 (5)	0	1800 (5)	0	1800 (5)	0	1800 (5)	0	1800 (5)	0	1800 (5)	0
<b>Total</b>	530 (12)	79	662 (14)	86	574 (13)	10	505 (10)	46	609 (14)	22	536 (12)	40

Table A 1. *Conformant planning with CLINGO*

	CAQE <sup>O</sup>		CAQE <sup>B</sup>		CAQE <sup>H</sup>		CAQE <sup>Q</sup>	
<b>Bt</b>	69 (0)	3	377 (0)	1	364 (1)	1	46 (0)	0
<b>Bmt</b>	44 (0)	3	149 (0)	4	373 (1)	11	155 (0)	2
<b>Btc</b>	440 (1)	3	487 (1)	3	577 (1)	13	413 (1)	1
<b>Bmtc</b>	814 (2)	7	777 (2)	7	1083 (3)	32	771 (2)	9
<b>Btuc</b>	476 (1)	3	475 (1)	3	606 (1)	9	400 (1)	1
<b>Bmtuc</b>	849 (2)	14	789 (2)	15	1260 (3)	25	780 (2)	14
<b>Domino</b>	1500 (5)	1500	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	1104 (3)	1097	219 (0)	30	837 (2)	793	281 (0)	40
<b>Ringu</b>	1630 (4)	1643	1018 (2)	969	1506 (4)	1505	246 (0)	45
<b>Total</b>	769 (18)	474	476 (8)	114	734 (16)	265	343 (6)	12

Table A 2. *Conformant planning with CAQE*

	DEPQBF <sup>o</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>b</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>h</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bt</b>	740 (2)	0	744 (2)	1	363 (1)	1	319 (0)	0
<b>Bmt</b>	974 (2)	2	1089 (3)	3	372 (1)	11	367 (1)	2
<b>Btc</b>	1111 (3)	3	1084 (3)	3	729 (2)	10	566 (1)	2
<b>Bmtc</b>	1494 (4)	5	1442 (4)	7	1082 (3)	20	1083 (3)	9
<b>Btuc</b>	1102 (3)	7	1088 (3)	3	730 (2)	11	538 (1)	1
<b>Bmtuc</b>	1479 (4)	82	1443 (4)	8	1440 (4)	39	1085 (3)	12
<b>Domino</b>	0 (0)	0	1 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	1800 (5)	1800	1708 (4)	1468	1108 (3)	736	1120 (3)	1109
<b>Ringu</b>	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1800
<b>Total</b>	1166 (28)	411	1155 (28)	365	847 (21)	292	764 (17)	326

Table A 3. *Conformant planning with DEPQBF*

	QESTO <sup>o</sup>		QESTO <sup>b</sup>		QESTO <sup>h</sup>		QESTO <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bt</b>	725 (2)	3	458 (1)	1	363 (1)	1	57 (0)	0
<b>Bmt</b>	1081 (3)	9	443 (1)	4	372 (1)	13	76 (0)	1
<b>Btc</b>	1081 (3)	7	777 (2)	6	729 (2)	9	394 (1)	1
<b>Bmtc</b>	1440 (4)	20	1104 (3)	23	1082 (3)	23	826 (2)	9
<b>Btuc</b>	1082 (3)	15	736 (1)	6	734 (2)	9	391 (1)	2
<b>Bmtuc</b>	1441 (4)	359	1094 (3)	27	1286 (3)	26	813 (2)	12
<b>Domino</b>	1319 (4)	1339	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	1105 (3)	1096	499 (1)	30	782 (2)	752	790 (2)	392
<b>Ringu</b>	1800 (5)	1800	1444 (4)	1440	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1800
<b>Total</b>	1230 (31)	516	728 (16)	170	794 (19)	292	571 (13)	246

Table A 4. *Conformant planning with QESTO*

	QUTE <sup>o</sup>		QUTE <sup>b</sup>		QUTE <sup>h</sup>		QUTE <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bt</b>	864 (2)	3	1081 (3)	1	363 (1)	42	852 (2)	0
<b>Bmt</b>	1095 (3)	9	1180 (3)	3	382 (1)	12	477 (1)	1
<b>Btc</b>	1095 (3)	16	1093 (3)	3	729 (2)	38	1093 (3)	1
<b>Bmtc</b>	1453 (4)	27	1444 (4)	8	1082 (3)	374	1484 (4)	9
<b>Btuc</b>	1111 (3)	55	1101 (3)	3	731 (2)	38	1116 (3)	1
<b>Bmtuc</b>	1501 (4)	557	1745 (4)	24	1440 (4)	378	1483 (4)	12
<b>Domino</b>	1238 (4)	1239	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	1800 (5)	1449	1702 (4)	1441	1441 (4)	1440	1460 (4)	1208
<b>Ringu</b>	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1599	1800 (5)	1800	1800 (5)	1800
<b>Total</b>	1328 (33)	572	1238 (29)	342	885 (22)	458	1085 (26)	336

Table A 5. *Conformant planning with QUTE*

	CLINGO <sup>c</sup>		CLINGO <sup>f</sup>		CLINGO <sup>h</sup>		CLINGO <sup>j</sup>		CLINGO <sup>r</sup>		CLINGO <sup>t</sup>	
<b>Bts1</b>	1041 (2)	121	1080 (3)	77	795 (2)	49	989 (2)	51	916 (2)	28	927 (2)	370
<b>Bts2</b>	1080 (3)	60	1080 (3)	361	787 (2)	26	986 (2)	30	920 (2)	66	1080 (3)	71
<b>Bts3</b>	993 (2)	85	1071 (2)	215	802 (2)	82	1012 (2)	50	944 (2)	68	1080 (3)	253
<b>Bts4</b>	1060 (2)	69	1080 (3)	115	833 (2)	76	882 (2)	102	904 (2)	70	1080 (3)	173
<b>Domino</b>	872 (2)	15	885 (2)	59	906 (2)	6	771 (2)	13	786 (2)	7	759 (2)	18
<b>Med</b>	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	902 (2)	900	905 (2)	900	902 (2)	900	903 (2)	900	902 (2)	900	902 (2)	900
<b>Sick</b>	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Total</b>	743 (13)	156	762 (15)	215	628 (12)	142	692 (12)	143	671 (12)	142	728 (15)	223

Table A 6. *Conditional planning with CLINGO*

	CAQE <sup>o</sup>		CAQE <sup>b</sup>		CAQE <sup>h</sup>		CAQE <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bts1</b>	370 (1)	368	14 (0)	3	16 (0)	3	366 (1)	363
<b>Bts2</b>	378 (1)	376	14 (0)	3	15 (0)	4	106 (0)	54
<b>Bts3</b>	372 (1)	379	15 (0)	4	19 (0)	7	135 (0)	65
<b>Bts4</b>	374 (1)	384	17 (0)	4	25 (0)	8	107 (0)	53
<b>Domino</b>	372 (1)	365	362 (1)	125	366 (1)	297	223 (0)	113
<b>Med</b>	1095 (3)	1440	3 (0)	1	2 (0)	0	4 (0)	2
<b>Ring</b>	1350 (3)	1350	902 (2)	640	901 (2)	901	904 (2)	901
<b>Sick</b>	729 (2)	729	1 (0)	0	1 (0)	1	64 (0)	63
<b>Total</b>	630 (13)	673	166 (3)	97	168 (3)	152	238 (3)	201

Table A 7. Conditional planning with CAQE

	DEPQBF <sup>o</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>b</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>h</sup>		DEPQBF <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bts1</b>	722 (2)	720	364 (1)	361	396 (1)	366	721 (2)	506
<b>Bts2</b>	724 (2)	720	369 (1)	41	489 (1)	362	723 (2)	720
<b>Bts3</b>	729 (2)	720	372 (1)	10	721 (2)	361	726 (2)	720
<b>Bts4</b>	745 (2)	720	368 (1)	362	722 (2)	654	731 (2)	720
<b>Domino</b>	474 (1)	158	361 (1)	48	366 (1)	7	376 (1)	360
<b>Med</b>	2 (0)	0	3 (0)	1	2 (0)	0	1 (0)	0
<b>Ring</b>	1340 (2)	1350	901 (2)	900	902 (2)	901	902 (2)	902
<b>Sick</b>	55 (0)	48	1 (0)	0	1 (0)	0	0 (0)	0
<b>Total</b>	598 (11)	554	342 (7)	215	449 (9)	331	522 (11)	491

Table A 8. Conditional planning with DEPQBF

	QESTO <sup>o</sup>		QESTO <sup>b</sup>		QESTO <sup>h</sup>		QESTO <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bts1</b>	380 (1)	373	102 (0)	65	369 (1)	42	400 (1)	391
<b>Bts2</b>	384 (1)	379	370 (1)	182	720 (2)	720	390 (1)	378
<b>Bts3</b>	390 (1)	379	371 (1)	364	722 (2)	362	396 (1)	377
<b>Bts4</b>	392 (1)	382	379 (1)	89	721 (2)	720	392 (1)	379
<b>Domino</b>	720 (2)	413	363 (1)	5	366 (1)	360	530 (1)	481
<b>Med</b>	1100 (3)	1440	3 (0)	1	1 (0)	0	5 (0)	6
<b>Ring</b>	1350 (3)	1350	902 (2)	900	902 (2)	900	905 (2)	902
<b>Sick</b>	739 (2)	736	1 (0)	1	1 (0)	0	11 (0)	11
<b>Total</b>	681 (14)	681	311 (6)	200	475 (10)	388	378 (7)	365

Table A 9. Conditional planning with QESTO

	QUTE <sup>o</sup>		QUTE <sup>b</sup>		QUTE <sup>h</sup>		QUTE <sup>q</sup>	
<b>Bts1</b>	770 (2)	760	365 (1)	361	724 (2)	722	751 (2)	726
<b>Bts2</b>	1080 (3)	1080	376 (1)	368	724 (2)	721	1080 (3)	1080
<b>Bts3</b>	896 (2)	901	380 (1)	370	783 (2)	777	1080 (3)	944
<b>Bts4</b>	966 (2)	916	390 (1)	377	731 (2)	726	1081 (3)	1080
<b>Domino</b>	722 (2)	408	361 (1)	360	720 (2)	720	606 (1)	451
<b>Med</b>	1104 (3)	1440	3 (0)	1	5 (0)	3	27 (0)	24
<b>Ring</b>	1350 (3)	1350	901 (2)	900	907 (2)	903	917 (2)	911
<b>Sick</b>	782 (2)	776	1 (0)	0	1 (0)	1	6 (0)	6
<b>Total</b>	958 (19)	953	347 (7)	342	574 (12)	571	693 (14)	652

Table A 10. Conditional planning with QUTE

## Appendix B ASP(Q) and QASP

An ASP(Q) program  $\Pi$  is an expression of the form:

$$\Box_0 P_0 \Box_1 P_1 \cdots \Box_n P_n : C, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $n \geq 0$ ,  $P_0, \dots, P_n$  are logic programs, every  $\Box_i$  is either  $\exists^{st}$  or  $\forall^{st}$ , and  $C$  is a normal stratified program. In (Amendola et al. 2019), the logic programs  $P_i$  can be disjunctive. Here, for simplicity, we restrict ourselves to logic programs as defined in the Background section. Symbols  $\exists^{st}$  and  $\forall^{st}$  are called existential and universal answer set quantifiers, respectively. We say that the program  $P_i$  is existentially (universally, respectively) quantified if  $\Box_i$  is  $\exists^{st}$  ( $\forall^{st}$ , respectively). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets of atoms such that  $X \subseteq Y$ , by  $fixfact(X, Y)$  we denote the set of rules  $\{x \leftarrow \mid x \in X\} \cup \{\leftarrow x \mid x \in Y \setminus X\}$ . Let  $atoms(P)$  denote the set of atoms occurring in a logic program  $P$ . Given an ASP(Q) program  $\Pi$ , a logic program  $P$ , and a set of atoms  $X$ , we denote by  $\Pi_{P,X}$  the program of the form (B1), where  $P_0$  is replaced by  $P_0 \cup fixfact(X, atoms(P))$ , that is,  $\Pi_{P,X} = \Box_0(P_0 \cup fixfact(X, atoms(P))) \cdots \Box_n P_n : C$ . The coherence of ASP(Q) programs can be defined recursively as follows:

- $\exists^{st} P : C$  is coherent, if there exists  $M \in SM(P)$  such that  $C \cup fixfact(M, atoms(P))$  is satisfiable;
- $\forall^{st} P : C$  is coherent, if for every  $M \in SM(P)$ ,  $C \cup fixfact(M, atoms(P))$  is satisfiable;
- $\exists^{st} P \Pi$  is coherent, if there exists  $M \in SM(P)$  such that  $\Pi_{P,M}$  is coherent.
- $\forall^{st} P \Pi$  is coherent, if for every  $M \in SM(P)$ ,  $\Pi_{P,M}$  is coherent.

Before moving to the translations between ASP(Q) and QASP, we introduce some special forms of ASP(Q) programs. We say that an ASP(Q) program of the form (B1) is in normal form if  $C$  is empty and the heads of the rules of every  $P_i$  do not contain atoms occurring in any  $P_j$  such that  $j < i$ , and we say that an ASP(Q) program is in  $\forall$ -GDT form if all its universally quantified logic programs are in GDT form. ASP(Q) programs of the form (B1) can be translated to ASP(Q) programs in normal and  $\forall$ -GDT form (using auxiliary variables) following the next steps:

1. Replace program  $C$  by  $\emptyset$ , and add  $\exists^{st} C$  after  $\Box_n P_n$ .
2. In every logic program, replace the normal rules  $p \leftarrow B$  such that  $p$  occurs in some previous program by constraints  $\perp \leftarrow \neg p, B$ ; and erase the choice rules  $\{p\} \leftarrow B$  such that  $p$  occurs in some previous program.
3. Apply the method described in (Niemelä 2008; Fandinno et al. 2020) to translate the universally quantified programs to GDT form.

The translation can be computed in polynomial time on the size of the ASP(Q) program, and the result is an ASP(Q) program in normal and  $\forall$ -GDT form that is coherent if and only if the original ASP(Q) program is coherent.

Once an ASP(Q) program  $\Pi$  of the form (B1) is in normal and  $\forall$ -GDT form, we can translate it to a QLP as follows. Let  $qlp(\Pi)$  be the QLP of the form

$$Q_0 X_0 Q_1 X_1 \dots Q_n X_n (P_0^\bullet \cup P_1^\bullet \cup \dots \cup P_n^\bullet \cup O)$$

where for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , if  $\Box_i$  is  $\exists^{st}$  then  $Q_i$  is  $\exists$ ,  $X_i$  is the set of atoms occurring

in  $P_i$  that do not occur in any previous program, and  $P_i^\bullet$  is  $P_0$  if  $n = 0$  and otherwise it is the program that results from adding the literal  $\neg\alpha_i$  to the body of every rule of  $P_i$ ; while if  $\square_i$  is  $\forall^{st}$  then  $Q_i$  is  $\forall$ ,  $X_i$  is  $\{p \mid \{p\} \leftarrow \in P_i\}$ , and  $P_i^\bullet$  is the program that results from replacing  $\perp$  in the head of every integrity constraint by  $\alpha_i$ ; and  $O$  is  $\{\alpha_i \leftarrow \alpha_{i-1} \mid \beta \leq i \leq n\}$  where  $\beta$  is 1 if  $\square_0 = \forall^{st}$  and is 2 otherwise.

*Theorem Appendix B.1*

$\Pi$  is coherent if and only if  $qlp(\Pi)$  is satisfiable.

The translation in the other direction is as follows. Given a QLP  $\mathcal{Q}P$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form (2), let  $aspq(\mathcal{Q}P)$  be the ASP(Q) program of the form

$$Q_0^{st} P_0 Q_1^{st} P_1 \dots Q_n^{st} P_n \exists^{st} (P \cup O) : \emptyset$$

where every  $P_i$  is  $\{\{p'\} \leftarrow \mid p \in X_i\}$ ,  $O$  is  $\{\perp \leftarrow p, \neg p' \mid p \in \mathcal{X}\} \cup \{\perp \leftarrow \neg p, p' \mid p \in \mathcal{X}\}$  where  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} X_i$ , and we assume that the set  $\{p' \mid p \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is disjunct from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Theorem Appendix B.2*

$\mathcal{Q}P$  is satisfiable if and only if  $aspq(\mathcal{Q}P)$  is coherent.