**Online Appendix Tables**

*Appendix Table 1: Process of Assigning Reservations in the KMC, 2017*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1. Total Seats** | **2. Community Reservation Assigned** | **3. Gender Reservation Assigned** |
| 110 | **General** | 75 seats (68%) | **General****General(W)** | 50 seats (45%)25 seats (23%) |
|  | **OBC** | 21 seats (19%) | **OBC****OBC(W)** | 14 seats (13%)7 seats (6%) |
|  | **SC** | 14 seats (13%) | **SC****SC(W)** | 9 seats (8%)5 seats (5%) |
|  | **ST** | 0 seats (0%) | **ST****ST(W)** | 0 seats (0%)0 seat (0%) |

Note: Due to the low population of Scheduled Tribes across these municipalities, no seats were reserved for them.

*Appendix Table 2: Municipal Corporation Elections Candidate Demographics, 2017*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Candidates** | **Community** | **Candidates** |
| M | 2454 (63%) | General | 1056 (28%) |
| F | 1330 (37%) | GEN(W) | 556 (15%) |
| **Total** | 3784 | OBC | 979 (26%) |
|  |  | OBC(W) | 510 (13%) |
|  |  | SC | 417 (11%) |
|  |  | SC(W) | 263 (7%) |
|  |  | ST | 2 (<1%) |
|  |  | ST(W) | 1 (<1%) |

*Appendix Table 3: Candidates Competing Outside of Reservation by Community, 2017*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate Community** | **Candidate Gender** | **Total Candidates** | **Outside Reservation** |
| General | M  | 1056 | 0 (0%) |
| Gen(W) | F | 556 | 71 (13%) |
| OBC | M | 979 | 476 (49%) |
| OBC(W) | F | 510 | 308 (60%) |
| SC | M | 417 | 143 (34%) |
| SC(W) | F | 263 | 120 (46%) |
| ST | M | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| ST(W) | F | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Overall  | MF | 24541330**3784** | 621 (25%)500 (38%)**1121 (30%)** |

*Appendix Table 4: Proportion of Women Competing in Open-Gender Seats, 2017*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Seat Reservation** | **Proportion of Women Competing in Open** |
| General Seats | 124 (9%) |
| OBC Seats  | 34 (7%) |
| SC Seats | 25 (10%) |
| ST Seats | 0 (0%) |
| *All Seats* | *183 (14%)* |

Note: Proportions are based on the total women eligible to compete in each seat class (OBC women cannot compete in SC seats so they were not calculated in the base total for women competing in SC seats).

*Appendix Table 5: Women Competing Against Men Across Municipal Corporations, 2017*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Seat Reservation** | **Proportion Women** | **Proportion Reserved Women** |
| General Seats | 124 (7%) | 53 (3%) |
| OBC Seats  | 34 (6%) | 34 (6%) |
| SC Seats | 25 (8%) | 25 (8%) |
| ST Seats | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| *All Seats* | *183 (7%)* | *112 (4%)* |

*Appendix Table 6: Women Winning Without Gender Reservations Across Municipal Corporations, 2017*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Corporation** | **Open-Gender Seats** | **Open-Gender Wins** |
| Kanpur | 73 | 3 (4%) |
| Lucknow | 73 | 4 (6%) |
| Allahabad | 53 | 3 (6%) |
| Varanasi | 60 | 1 (2%) |
| *Total* | *259* | *11 (4%)* |

*Appendix Table 7: Independent Candidates Winning Without Party Nominations, 2017*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Corporation** | **Independents** |
| Kanpur | 18 (16%) |
| Lucknow | 14 (13%) |
| Allahabad | 18 (23%) |
| Varanasi | 13 (14%) |
| *Overall* | *63 (16%)* |

*Appendix Table 8: Nomination by Gender for the Top Ten Parties, 2017*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Party** | **Women Nominated** | **M/F Ratio** |
| BJP | 386 (36%) | 1.78 |
| INC | 369 (37%) | 1.67 |
| SP | 383 (37%) | 1.70 |
| BSP | 319 (35%) | 1.85 |
| AAP | 222 (34%) | 1.96 |
| SS | 29 (24%) | 3.14 |
| AIMIM | 32 (16%) | 5.40 |
| NCP | 6 (33%) | 2.00 |
| RLD | 21 (29%) | 2.50 |
| BMP | 18 (61%) | 0.64 |
| *Total Nominated* | *637 (36%)* | *1.80* |
| Independent | 679 (35%) | 1.89 |

*Appendix Table 9: Female Candidates Nominated in Open-Gender Wards, 2017*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Top Ten Parties** | **Women Candidates**  | **% of Women Nominations** |
| BJP | 10 | 7% |
| INC | 16 | 12% |
| SP | 12 | 8% |
| BSP | 11 | 10% |
| AAP | 13 | 17% |
| SS | 1 | 14% |
| AIMIM | 0 | 0% |
| NCP | 0 | 0% |
| RLD | 1 | 17% |
| BMP | 1 | 9% |
| **Total Nominated** | **67**1 | **11%** |
| *Independent Candidates* | *116* | *17%* |

1Includes 2 nominations from parties outside of the top 10.

*Appendix Table 10: Incumbency Across Corporations from 2012 to 2017 Electoral Cycles*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Corp** | **Woman Ran Again**  | **Woman Won Again** | **Man Ran Again** | **Man Won Again** |
| Kanpur | 7 (18%) | 0 (0%) | 13 (19%) | 5 (7%) |
| Lucknow | 4 (10%) | 3 (8%) | 12 (17%) | 7 (10%) |
| Allahabad | 3 (10%) | 2 (7%) | 6 (12%) | 4 (8%) |
| Varanasi | 3 (8%) | 2 (5%) | 9 (18%) | 5 (10%) |
| *Totals* | *11 (7%)* | *3 (2%)* | *25 (10%)* | *12 (5%)* |

*Appendix Table 11: Parshads Displaced by Subsequent Reservation, 2012 – 2017*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Parshad* Category** | **Kanpur** | **Lucknow** | **Allahabad** | **Varanasi** | ***Overall*** |
| General | 22 (50%) | 22 (51%) | 12 (67%) | 16 (54%) | *72 (54%)*  |
| Gen(W) | 5 (25%) | 3 (15%) | 2 (18%) | 7 (20%) | *17 (28%)* |
| OBC | 11 (61%) | 15 (65%) | 16 (59%) | 13 (37%) | *55 (58%)* |
| OBC(W) | 4 (31%) | 3 (27%) | 2 (15%) | 1 (0%) | *10 (18%)* |
| SC | 5 (56%) | 3 (43%) | 4 (67%) | 4 (25%) | *16 (62%)* |
| SC(W) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (40%) | 0 (0%) | *4 (20%)* |
| ST | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | *01*  |
| ST(W) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | *0* |
| Men | 38 (54%) | 40 (57%) | 32 (63%) | 33 (65%) | *143 (59%)* |
| Women | 10 (25%) | 7 (18%) | 6 (21%) | 8 (21%) | *31 (21%)* |

1No ST or ST(W) candidates won in any 2012 elections across these municipal corporations.