Online Appendices for "Traditional Gender Attitudes, Nativism, and Support for the Radical Right"

Olyvia R. Christley

Appendix A: List of Parties	2
Appendix B: Logistic Regression Models	3
Appendix C: Predictive Probability Tables	6
Appendix D: interflex Analyses	8

Appendix A: List of Parties

Radical Right Parties

Albania: Albanian National Front Austria: Freedom Party of Austria

Bulgaria: Attack

Croatia: Croatian Party of Rights

Czechia: Freedom and Direct Democracy

Denmark: Danish People's Party

Estonia: Conservative People's Party of Estonia/EKRE

Finland: Finns Party

France: National Front/National Rally Germany: Alternative for Germany Great Britain: British National Party

Hungary: Fidesz; Jobbik

Iceland: Icelandic National Party; Progressive Party

Italy: Lega Nord/League Lithuania: Order and Justice

Netherlands: Party for Freedom; Forum for Democracy

Norway: Progress Party Poland: Law & Justice Serbia: Serbian Radical Party

Slovakia: Slovak National Party; Kotleba/People's Party Our Slovakia

Slovenia: Slovenian Democratic Party

Sweden: Sweden Democrats

Switzerland: Christian Democratic Party; The Liberals

Conservative Parties

Albania: Justice, Integration, and Unity Austria: Austrian People's Party

Bulgaria: Citizens for European Development

Croatia: Croatian Democratic Union Czechia: Civic Democratic Party

Denmark: Conservative People's Party; Venstre/Left, Denmark's Liberal Party

Estonia: Estonian Reform Party

Finland: Center Party; Christian Democrats

France: The Republicans

Germany: Christian Democratic Union

Great Britain: Conservative

Hungary: Christian Democratic People's Party

Iceland: Independence Party Italy: Let's Go Italy/Forza Italia

Lithuania: Homeland Union: Lithuanian Christian Democrats

Netherlands: Christian Democratic Appeal

Norway: Conservative Party

Poland: Korwin

Serbia: Serbian Progressive Party Slovakia: Freedom and Solidarity

Slovenia: New Slovenia - Christian People's Party

Sweden: Moderate Party

Switzerland: Swiss People's Party

Appendix B: Logistic Regression Tables

Table B1: Party Family as the Dependent Variable

		Tarty Family a				
	(1) Radical Right	(2) Conservative	(3) Radical Right	(4) Radical Right	(5) Radical Right	(6) Conservative
Gender Scale	0.729*** (0.077)	-0.669*** (0.062)	0.797*** (0.153)	1.248*** (0.148)	0.685*** (0.155)	0.013 (0.130)
Immigrant Attitudes			2.947*** (0.138)		2.804*** (0.140)	-0.091 (0.095)
Born Country				0.552*** (0.055)	0.332*** (0.058)	0.075* (0.044)
Authority Respect			-0.088*** (0.033)	-0.100*** (0.032)	-0.085*** (0.033)	-0.056** (0.025)
Democracy: Obey Rulers			0.026*** (0.009)	0.023** (0.009)	0.024** (0.009)	0.013* (0.007)
Tax Rich/ Subsidize Poor			0.025** (0.010)	0.027*** (0.010)	0.025** (0.010)	-0.044*** (0.007)
Religiosity			0.064 (0.042)	0.095** (0.041)	0.067 (0.042)	-0.242*** (0.033)
Sex			0.091* (0.054)	0.092* (0.052)	0.091* (0.054)	-0.003 (0.041)
Age			-0.044* (0.023)	-0.041* (0.022)	-0.043* (0.023)	0.074*** (0.018)
Low Education			0.712*** (0.087)	0.809*** (0.084)	0.678*** (0.088)	-0.027 (0.063)
Medium Education			0.628*** (0.066)	0.685*** (0.064)	0.609*** (0.067)	-0.026 (0.046)
Work Fulltime			0.143 (0.092)	0.120 (0.090)	0.130 (0.092)	-0.178** (0.070)
Work Part-time			-0.078 (0.131)	-0.148 (0.128)	-0.087 (0.132)	-0.270*** (0.097)
Retired			-0.152 (0.106)	-0.224** (0.103)	-0.168 (0.106)	-0.091 (0.080)
Homemaker			-0.246 (0.172)	-0.235 (0.168)	-0.264 (0.172)	0.054 (0.131)
Student			-0.160 (0.161)	-0.277* (0.156)	-0.163 (0.161)	-0.067 (0.123)

Unemployed			0.210 (0.155)	0.197 (0.151)	0.208 (0.155)	-0.299** (0.118)
Political Memberships			-0.151** (0.067)	-0.203*** (0.063)	-0.151** (0.067)	0.114** (0.055)
Parliament Confidence			0.211*** (0.034)	0.286*** (0.033)	0.217*** (0.034)	-0.298*** (0.028)
Ideology			0.329*** (0.013)	0.374*** (0.012)	0.326*** (0.013)	0.432*** (0.010)
Constant	-2.438*** (0.034)	-1.259*** (0.025)	-6.815*** (0.253)	-5.736*** (0.238)	-6.858*** (0.254)	-1.991*** (0.186)
Obs. Pseudo R ² Country Dummies	36015 0.004	36015 0.003	19775 0.309 Yes	20317 0.281 Yes	19743 0.310 Yes	19242 0.205 Yes

Standard errors are in parenthesis *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1

Table B2: Logistic Regression Results (Sex*Gender Attitudes Model)

	(1)
	Radical Right
Gender Scale	0.544**
	(0.206)
Female*Gender Scale (Baseline)	
Male*Gender Scale	0.64
	(0.250)
Constant	-6.738***
	(0.268)
Obs.	19587
Controls	Yes
Standard errors are in parenthesis	
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1	

Appendix C: Predictive Probability Tables

Table C1Predicted Probability of Radical Right Support =1 along Gender Scale

Predictiv	ve margins	Number of obs.	=	19,574	
Model VCE: Robust					
Expressi	ion: Pr(Radical Right	e), predict()			
1at	: Gender Scale =	0			
2at	: Gender Scale =	.04			
3at	: Gender Scale =	.2			
4at	: Gender Scale =	.36			
5at	: Gender Scale =	.52			
6at	: Gender Scale =	.64			
7at	: Gender Scale =	.76			
8at	: Gender Scale =	.88			
9at	: Gender Scale =	1			

Delta-method

	Margin	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
_at						_
1	0.1181	0.005	26.190	0.000	0.111	0.129
2	0.1201	0.004	28.990	0.000	0.113	0.130
3	0.1286	0.003	46.960	0.000	0.124	0.134
4	0.1376	0.002	66.130	0.000	0.133	0.141
5	0.1470	0.003	45.770	0.000	0.139	0.151
6	0.1544	0.005	32.420	0.000	0.142	0.161
7	0.1621	0.006	24.580	0.000	0.146	0.171
8	0.1700	0.008	19.720	0.000	0.149	0.181
9	0.1783	0.010	16.480	0.000	0.152	0.192

Table C2: Predicted Probability of Conservative Support =1 along Gender Scale

Predictiv	e margins	Number of obs.	=	19,242	
Model V	CE: Robust				
Expressi	on: Pr(Conservative)), predict()			
1at	: Gender Scale =	0			
2at	: Gender Scale =	.04			
3at	: Gender Scale =	.2			
4at	: Gender Scale =	.36			
5at	: Gender Scale =	.52			
6at	: Gender Scale =	.64			
7at	: Gender Scale =	.76			
8at	: Gender Scale =	.88			
9at	: Gender Scale =	1			

\mathbf{r}	lta-m	41	1
1)0	lta_m	10thc	าน
1/0	ıta-ıı	ICLIIC	ли

	Margin	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
_at						
1	0.2396	0.006	39.070	0.000	0.228	0.252
2	0.2397	0.005	43.700	0.000	0.229	0.250
3	0.2399	0.003	73.270	0.000	0.234	0.246
4	0.2402	0.003	81.640	0.000	0.234	0.246
5	0.2405	0.005	49.150	0.000	0.231	0.250
6	0.2407	0.007	35.180	0.000	0.227	0.254
7	0.2409	0.009	27.010	0.000	0.223	0.258
8	0.2411	0.011	21.820	0.000	0.220	0.263
9	0.2413	0.013	18.270	0.000	0.215	0.267

Please contact the author for tables of the following models, which are too large to reproduce in this document:

- Predicted Probability of Radical Right =1 along Gender Scale and Born in Country
 Importance
- Predicted Probability of Radical Right = 1 along Gender Scale and Immigration Attitudes

Appendix D: interflex Analyses

Figure 1 provides confirmation that there is common support in my interactive model of immigration attitudes and gender attitudes as they relate to radical right support.




Figure 1

There are 954 individuals in the full dataset who score at the 99th percentile of xenophobia and can be also be classified as gender egalitarian (i.e. in the 25% percentile or below on the gender attitudes scale), which equates to roughly 3% of the sample.

Employing a kernel estimator on the same model presented in Figure 7 in the main body of the paper does not substantively change the results (see Figure 2 below). While the non-linear relationship is perhaps a bit starker overall than the linear relationship presented in the paper, the high-level takeaway is the same as in the linear model: the marginal effect of gender traditionalism on support for the radical right is greater, on average, for those with lower levels of xenophobia than those who harbor higher levels of xenophobia. Indeed, at the highest levels of xenophobia, high levels of gender traditionalism are associated with a decreased likelihood of supporting radical right parties.




Figure 2