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*Calculation of accuracies of genomic breeding values for residual feed intake indicator traits*

The accuracies of DGVs () for RFI\_T and RFI\_I were calculated using a formula described by Daetwyler *et al*. (2008 and 2010):

where is the number of animals in the reference population, is the reliability of EBV of the trait in the reference population and denotes the square root of the proportion of the total genetic variance explained by markers. For the value of 0.9 was used, which is empirically determined for different traits in dairy cattle using a 50k SNP chip (Erbe *et al*., 2013). is the effective number of independent segregating chromosome segments defined as described by Goddard (2009):

where is the effective population size and is the average length of a chromosome in Morgans. The used values for and were 176 and 30, respectively (M. Koivula, Natural Resources Institute Finland, Jokioinen, Finland, personal communication). When defining the accuracy of DGVs the size of the reference populations were assumed to be 2 000 bulls with own records for RFI\_T and 2 000 proven bulls with EBVs based on 50 daughter records for RFI\_I.
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