

*Defending the Faith?**Assessing the Impact of State Religious Exclusivity on Territorial MID Initiation**Appendix I: Robustness Checks***Table 1A. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, dyadic models 1a-4a (Challenger & Target Intangible Salience).**

	<i>Model 1a</i>	<i>Model 2a</i>	<i>Model 3a</i>	<i>Model 4a</i>
<i>Challenger variables</i>				
Intangible salience	-0.067 (0.185)	-0.209 (0.199)	-0.125 (0.207)	-0.135 (0.209)
State religious control			0.328 (0.554)	
Religious discrimination		0.025 (0.010) *	0.028 (0.010) **	
Discrimination, no control				0.022 (0.012)
Discrimination, control				0.043 (0.014) **
Religious regulation		-0.013 (0.010)	-0.016 (0.010)	-0.011 (0.010)
Religious support		-0.018 (0.015)	-0.030 (0.022)	-0.044 (0.023)
<i>Target variables</i>				
Intangible salience	0.571 (0.215) **	0.612 (0.217) **	0.642 (0.224) **	0.629 (0.222) **
State religious control			1.654 (0.524) **	
Religious discrimination		0.001 (0.012)	0.001 (0.012)	
Discrimination, no control				-0.012 (0.014)
Discrimination, control				0.039 (0.017) *
Religious regulation		0.021 (0.011)	0.021 (0.011)	0.030 (0.013) *
Religious support		-0.038 (0.020)	-0.086 (0.027) ***	-0.091 (0.028) ***
<i>Dyadic variables</i>				
Tangible salience	0.232 (0.072) ***	0.294 (0.077) ***	0.255 (0.077) ***	0.251 (0.078) ***
Relative power disparity	-0.416 (0.725)	-0.385 (0.739)	-0.355 (0.752)	-0.373 (0.746)
Democratic dyad	-0.241 (0.276)	-0.117 (0.304)	-0.192 (0.303)	-0.149 (0.303)
Shared alliances	0.003 (0.038)	0.013 (0.039)	0.012 (0.039)	0.012 (0.039)
Mutual trade	2.08e-06 (3.33e-06)	1.23e-06 (3.47e-06)	8.75e-07 (3.51e-06)	-3.23e-07 (3.57e-06)
Joint IGO membership	0.014 (0.007)	0.023 (0.008) **	0.026 (0.008) ***	0.030 (0.008) ***
Constant	-4.752 (0.884) ***	-5.247 (0.958) ***	-5.050 (0.970) ***	-4.955 (0.973) ***
Cases (N)	1,414	1,402	1,402	1,402
Penalized LL	-318.189	-279.677	-273.061	-272.211
Wald chi-2 (p value)	27.64 (p < 0.001)	44.21 (p < 0.001)	51.38 (p < 0.001)	54.42 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 3A. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, dyadic models 5a-7a (Challenger & Target Intangible Salience).

	<i>Model 5a</i>	<i>Model 6a</i>	<i>Model 7a</i>	
<i>Challenger variables * top quartile discrimination</i>			<i>* state religious control (0,1)</i>	
Tangible salience	0.661 (0.196) ***	0.706 (0.205) ***	0	0.501 (0.300)
			1	0.576 (0.247) *
Intangible salience	-0.550 (0.328)	-0.499 (0.336)	0	-0.454 (0.465)
			1	-0.063 (0.475)
PolityIV score	0.101 (0.043) *	0.126 (0.043) **	0	0.101 (0.060)
			1	0.066 (0.057)
<i>Other challenger variables</i>				
State religious control		-0.742 (0.636)		
Religious regulation	-0.002 (0.009)	-0.005 (0.009)		0.003 (0.010)
Religious support	-0.009 (0.013)	0.014 (0.023)		-0.022 (0.016)
<i>Target variables * top quartile discrimination</i>			<i>* state religious control (0,1)</i>	
Tangible salience	0.297 (0.172)	0.293 (0.181)	0	0.104 (0.201)
			1	0.458 (0.348)
Intangible salience	-0.449 (0.338)	-0.458 (0.351)	0	-0.155 (0.358)
			1	-0.635 (0.854)
PolityIV score	-0.036 (0.020)	-0.032 (0.020)	0	0.033 (0.057)
			1	-0.032 (0.026)
<i>Other target variables</i>				
State religious control		1.760 (0.529) ***		
Religious regulation	0.017 (0.010)	0.019 (0.010) *		0.029 (0.011) **
Religious support	-0.044 (0.019) *	-0.096 (0.026) ***		-0.067 (0.025) **
<i>Dyadic variables</i>				
Relative power disparity	-0.922 (0.719)	-1.000 (0.735)		-0.964 (0.724)
Shared alliances	0.000 (0.039)	0.006 (0.040)		0.005 (0.040)
Mutual trade	6.16e-07 (3.46e-06)	1.07e-06 (3.43e-06)		4.24e-07 (3.45e-06)
Joint IGO membership	0.014 (0.007)	0.015 (0.007) *		0.016 (0.008) *
Constant	-2.367 (0.708)	-2.202 (0.721) **		-2.219 (0.724) **
Cases (N)	1,343	1,343		1,343
Penalized LL	-266.907	-260.106		-265.853
Wald chi-2 (p value)	49.46 (p < 0.001)	56.76 (p < 0.001)		52.27 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 2A. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, monadic models 8a-11a (Challenger Intangible Saliency).

<i>Challenger variables</i>	<i>Model 8a</i>	<i>Model 9a</i>	<i>Model 10a</i>	<i>Model 11a</i>
Tangible saliency	0.242 (0.073) ***	0.265 (0.075) ***	0.261 (0.075) ***	0.260 (0.075) ***
Intangible saliency	0.097 (0.169)	0.074 (0.170)	0.073 (0.170)	0.107 (0.172)
PolityIV score	-0.000 (0.008)	-0.003 (0.008)	-0.003 (0.008)	-0.004 (0.008)
CINC score (ln)	0.070 (0.053)	0.088 (0.065)	0.096 (0.065)	0.103 (0.065)
State religious control			0.524 (0.517)	
Religious discrimination		0.020 (0.011)	0.019 (0.011)	
Discrimination, no control				0.012 (0.012)
Discrimination, control				0.037 (0.015) *
Religious regulation		-0.021 (0.011) *	-0.021 (0.011) *	-0.018 (0.011)
Religious support		-0.018 (0.014)	-0.033 (0.020)	-0.047 (0.022) *
Constant	-3.281 (0.556) ***	-3.043 (0.661) ***	-2.876 (0.683) ***	-2.726 (0.680) ***
Cases (N)	1,390	1,384	1,384	1,384
Penalized LL	-338.570	-321.822	-320.640	-320.202
Wald chi-2 (p value)	16.94 (p = 0.002)	21.18 (p = 0.0035)	21.98 (p = 0.005)	24.27 (p = 0.0021)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 4A. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, monadic models 12a-14a (Challenger Intangible Saliency).

	<i>Model 12</i>	<i>Model 13</i>	<i>Model 14</i>	
<i>Challenger variables * top quartile discrimination</i>			<i>* state religious control (0,1)</i>	
Tangible saliency	0.631 (0.191) ***	0.622 (0.195) ***	0	0.444 (0.285)
			1	0.992 (0.330) **
Intangible saliency	-0.693 (0.317) *	-0.684 (0.318) *	0	-0.538 (0.464)
			1	0.404 (0.644)
PolityIV score	0.117 (0.040) **	0.116 (0.041) **	0	0.117 (0.056) *
			1	0.053 (0.054)
CINC score (ln)	-0.077 (0.082)	-0.077 (0.082)	0	-0.100 (0.096)
			1	0.593 (0.405)
<i>Other challenger variables</i>				
State religious control		0.084 (0.539)		
Religious regulation	0.001 (0.009)	0.002 (0.009)		0.004 (0.009)
Religious support	-0.016 (0.012)	-0.018 (0.019)		-0.030 (0.016)
Constant	-2.530 (0.186) ***	-2.517 (0.208) ***		-2.425 (0.196) ***
Cases (N)	1,384	1,384		1,384
Penalized LL	-329.090	-328.454		-325.891
Wald chi-2 (p value)	26.88 (p < 0.001)	26.86 (p < 0.001)		37.30 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 5A1. Issue Correlates of War, Territorial MIDs & Salience Indicators in and v. Post Cold War, dyadic models with identity claims (Firth logistical regressions)

	ICOW 1816-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW 1990-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW Cold War, Fully Interacted <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>
Challenger ID claim <i>tcidenchal</i>	0.296 (0.100) **	-1.109 (0.463) *	0.389 (0.105) ***
Target ID claim <i>tcidentgt</i>	0.499 (0.101) ***	1.130 (0.468) **	0.503 (0.107) ***
Tangible salience <i>saltan</i>	0.167 (0.025) ***	0.220 (0.074) **	0.159 (0.027) ***
Relative power <i>preponderance</i>	-1.417 (0.251) ***	-0.667 (0.719)	-1.439 (0.261) ***
Joint democracy <i>jointdem</i>	-0.625 (0.157) ***	-0.482 (0.286)	-0.744 (0.206) ***
Shared alliances <i>alliance</i>	0.014 (0.039)	0.003 (0.039)	0.516 (0.302)
Mutual trade <i>trade</i>	3.02e-06 (3.69e-06)	2.43e-06 (3.39e-06)	-0.0002 (0.0001)
Joint IGO mem <i>IGOa¹</i>	0.010 (0.002) ***	0.014 (0.007)	0.010 (0.003) ***
Post-Cold War <i>postcold</i>			-0.264 (0.856)
<i>postcold*tcidenchal</i>			-1.497 (0.474) **
<i>postcold*tcidentgt</i>			0.797 (0.480)
<i>postcold*saltan</i>			0.060 (0.079)
<i>postcold*preponderance</i>			0.772 (0.765)
<i>postcold*jointdem</i>			0.262 (0.353)
<i>postcold*alliance</i>			-0.513 (0.304)
<i>postcold*trade</i>			0.0002 (0.0001)
<i>postcold*IGOa</i>			0.004 (0.008)
Constant	-2.693 (0.242) ***	-3.349 (0.761) ***	-3.085 (0.392) ***
Cases (N)	13,622	1,414	13,622
Penalized LL	-2903.681	-319.797	-2862.799
Wald chi-2 (p value)	301.29 (p < 0.001)	27.64 (p < 0.001)	324.76 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05			

¹ In the original *IGO* membership variable, membership in international governmental organizations for the period of 1815-1965 was only collected in five-year periods, whereas continuous data over the entire time-range was available for all other variables in the model. Inclusion of this original variable thus results in a considerable loss of data from N = 13622 to N = 6014. Here we examine the effect of *IGO* assuming that missing values are equal to the temporally previous values for each country, e.g. each value reported for a given country at year 1815, is also assumed to be constant for years 1816-1819. This adjustment, however has no appreciable effect upon the interaction analysis and is of no relevance to the article's primary models.

Table 5A2. Issue Correlates of War, Territorial MIDs & Saliency Indicators in and v. Post Cold War, dyadic models with intangible saliency (Firth logistical regressions)

	ICOW 1816-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW 1990-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW Cold War, Fully Interacted <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>
Challenger intangible saliency <i>salintc</i>	-0.023 (0.051)	-0.067 (0.185)	-0.016 (0.053)
Target intangible saliency <i>salintt</i>	0.646 (0.059) ***	0.571 (0.215) **	0.649 (0.061) ***
Tangible saliency <i>saltan</i>	0.163 (0.024) ***	0.232 (0.072) ***	0.152 (0.026) ***
Relative power <i>preponderance</i>	-1.117 (0.245) ***	-0.416 (0.725)	-1.222 (0.263) ***
Joint democracy <i>jointdem</i>	-0.497 (0.158) **	-0.241 (0.276)	-0.615 (0.207) **
Shared alliances <i>alliance</i>	0.007 (0.037)	0.003 (0.038)	-0.005 (0.139)
Mutual trade <i>trade</i>	1.86e-06 (3.76e-06)	2.08e-06 (3.33e-06)	-0.0002 (0.0001)
Joint IGO mem <i>IGOa</i>	0.008 (0.002) ***	0.014 (0.007)	0.009 (0.003) **
Post-Cold War <i>postcold</i>			-0.982 (0.940)
<i>postcold*salintc</i>			-0.051 (0.193)
<i>postcold*salintt</i>			-0.078 (0.224)
<i>postcold*saltan</i>			0.080 (0.076)
<i>postcold*preponderance</i>			0.806 (0.772)
<i>postcold*jointdem</i>			0.374 (0.345)
<i>postcold*alliance</i>			0.008 (0.144)
<i>postcold*trade</i>			0.0002 (0.0001)
<i>postcold*IGOa</i>			0.005 (0.008)
Constant	-3.908 (0.271) ***	-4.752 (0.884) ***	-3.770 (0.318) ***
Cases (N)	13,622	1,414	13,622
Penalized LL	-2872.114	-318.189	-2839.817
Wald chi-2 (p value)	332.93 (p < 0.001)	27.64 (p < 0.001)	338.29 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05			

Table 6A1. Issue Correlates of War, Territorial MIDs & Saliency Indicators in and v. Post Cold War, monadic models with identity claims (Firth logistical regressions)

	ICOW 1816-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW 1990-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW Cold War, Fully Interacted <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>
Tangible saliency <i>saltan</i>	0.209 (0.024) ***	0.260 (0.072) ***	0.201 (0.026) ***
Challenger ID claim <i>tcidenchal</i>	0.680 (0.076) ***	-0.096 (0.226)	0.773 (0.081) ***
Challenger PolityIV score <i>chalpolity</i>	0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.008)	0.001 (0.002)
Challenger CINC score (ln) <i>ln_chalcinc</i>	-0.003 (0.017)	0.086 (0.051)	-0.007 (0.019)
Post-Cold War <i>postcold</i>			0.892 (0.459)
<i>postcold*saltan</i>			0.059 (0.079)
<i>postcold*tcidenchal</i>			-0.869 (0.240) ***
<i>postcold*chalpolity</i>			-0.002 (0.008)
<i>postcold*ln_chalcinc</i>			0.094 (0.055)
Constant	-3.865 (0.148) ***	-3.037 (0.431) ***	-3.929 (0.158) ***
Cases (N)	13,628	1,390	13,628
Penalized LL	-2963.308	-338.926	-2939.899
Wald chi-2 (p value)	209.55 (p < 0.001)	16.51 (p = 0.0024)	226.40
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05			

Table 6A2. Issue Correlates of War, Territorial MIDs & Saliency Indicators in and v. Post Cold War, monadic models with intangible saliency (Firth logistical regressions)

	ICOW 1816-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW 1990-2001 <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>	ICOW Cold War, Fully Interacted <i>Est. (S.E.)</i>
Tangible saliency <i>saltan</i>	0.239 (0.024) ***	0.242 (0.073) ***	0.242 (0.025) ***
Challenger intangible saliency <i>salintc</i>	0.284 (0.045) ***	0.097 (0.169)	0.286 (0.048) ***
Challenger PolityIV score <i>chalpolity</i>	0.002 (0.002)	-0.000 (0.008)	0.001 (0.002)
Challenger CINC score (ln) <i>ln_chalcinc</i>	0.012 (0.017)	0.070 (0.053)	0.008 (0.019)
Post-Cold War <i>postcold</i>			0.928 (0.581)
<i>postcold*saltan</i>			-0.000 (0.078)
<i>postcold*salintc</i>			-0.188 (0.175)
<i>postcold*chalpolity</i>			-0.001 (0.008)
<i>postcold*ln_chalcinc</i>			0.061 (0.056)
Constant	-4.152 (0.162) ***	-3.281 (0.556) ***	-4.209 (0.171) ***
Cases (N)	13,628	1,390	13,628
Penalized LL	-2982.363	-338.570	-2965.727
Wald chi-2 (p value)	168.06 (p < 0.001)	16.94 (p = 0.002)	172.41 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05			

Table 1A2. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, dyadic models 1-4, with different majority religion dyad.

	<i>Model 1</i>	<i>Model 2</i>	<i>Model 3</i>	<i>Model 4</i>
<i>Challenger variables</i>				
Identity claim	-1.425 (0.489) **	-1.390 (0.499) **	-1.476 (0.535) **	-1.518 (0.537) **
State religious control			0.333 (0.554)	
Religious discrimination		0.024 (0.010) *	0.027 (0.011) *	
Discrimination, no control				0.020 (0.012)
Discrimination, control				0.044 (0.015) **
Religious regulation		-0.011 (0.010)	-0.015 (0.010)	-0.010 (0.010)
Religious support		-0.020 (0.015)	-0.031 (0.023)	-0.049 (0.023) *
<i>Target variables</i>				
Identity claim	1.482 (0.482) **	1.452 (0.498) **	1.688 (0.539) **	1.671 (0.536) **
State religious control			1.645 (0.527) **	
Religious discrimination		0.003 (0.012)	0.004 (0.012)	
Discrimination, no control				-0.010 (0.014)
Discrimination, control				0.041 (0.017) *
Religious regulation		0.018 (0.012)	0.017 (0.012)	0.026 (0.013) *
Religious support		-0.035 (0.020)	-0.084 (0.027) **	-0.088 (0.028) **
<i>Dyadic variables</i>				
Tangible salience	0.216 (0.075) **	0.264 (0.080) ***	0.231 (0.080) **	0.225 (0.081) **
Different majority religion	0.520 (0.228) *	0.209 (0.253)	0.222 (0.256)	0.342 (0.265)
Relative power disparity	-0.456 (0.718)	-0.697 (0.731)	-0.718 (0.740)	-0.672 (0.732)
Democratic dyad	-0.455 (0.285)	-0.308 (0.312)	-0.391 (0.310)	-0.341 (0.307)
Shared alliances	0.005 (0.039)	0.013 (0.039)	0.012 (0.039)	0.011 (0.039)
Mutual trade	2.98e-06 (3.39e-06)	2.02e-06 (3.58e-06)	1.80e-06 (3.59e-06)	5.88e-07 (3.65e-06)
Joint IGO membership	0.014 (0.007) *	0.022 (0.008) **	0.024 (0.008) **	0.028 (0.008) ***
Constant	-3.738 (0.776) ***	-3.922 (0.821) ***	-3.529 (0.828) ***	-3.514 (0.820) ***
Cases (N)	1,414	1,402	1,402	1,402
Penalized LL	-315.659	-279.160	-272.612	-271.283
Wald chi-2 (p value)	32.43 (p < 0.001)	45.12 (p < 0.001)	50.90 (p < 0.001)	54.69 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 1A3. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, dyadic models 1-4, with problematic distance measure.

	<i>Model 1</i>	<i>Model 2</i>	<i>Model 3</i>	<i>Model 4</i>
<i>Challenger variables</i>				
Identity claim	-1.151 (0.478) *	-1.509 (0.529)**	-1.506 (0.544) **	-1.746 (0.602) **
State religious control			0.273 (0.550)	
Religious discrimination		0.027 (0.010) **	0.028 (0.010) **	
Discrimination, no control				0.024 (0.011) *
Discrimination, control				0.040 (0.014) **
Religious regulation		-0.008 (0.010)	-0.012 (0.010)	-0.007 (0.010)
Religious support		-0.027 (0.015)	-0.035 (0.022)	-0.047 (0.022) *
<i>Target variables</i>				
Identity claim	1.265 (0.484) **	1.444 (0.535) **	1.606 (0.556) **	1.843 (0.609) **
State religious control			1.294 (0.554) *	
Religious discrimination		0.011 (0.012)	0.009 (0.012)	
Discrimination, no control				0.0003 (0.0142)
Discrimination, control				0.036 (0.017) *
Religious regulation		0.019 (0.011)	0.019 (0.011)	0.026 (0.012) *
Religious support		-0.048 (0.020) *	-0.083 (0.027) **	-0.083 (0.028) **
<i>Dyadic variables</i>				
Tangible salience	0.224 (0.073) **	0.280 (0.078) ***	0.248 (0.079) **	0.262 (0.080) ***
Distance	-0.172 (0.102)	-0.352 (0.118) **	-0.265 (0.120) *	-0.0004 (0.0001) **
Relative power disparity	-0.380 (0.745)	-0.223 (0.771)	-0.330 (0.781)	-0.484 (0.766)
Democratic dyad	-0.474 (0.285)	-0.325 (0.308)	-0.381 (0.307)	-0.339 (0.305)
Shared alliances	0.002 (0.038)	0.017 (0.039)	0.015 (0.039)	0.015 (0.039)
Mutual trade	2.05e-06 (3.36e-06)	9.82e-07 (3.55e-06)	8.97e-07 (3.57e-06)	-1.03e-07 (3.62e-06)
Joint IGO membership	0.015 (0.007) *	0.028 (0.008) ***	0.029 (0.008) ***	0.032 (0.008) ***
Constant	-2.500 (0.902) **	-2.247 (0.968) *	-2.341 (0.970) *	-3.631 (0.832) ***
Cases (N)	1,414	1,402	1,402	1,402
Penalized LL	-316.017	-273.830	-269.589	-258.029
Wald chi-2 (p value)	29.68 (p < 0.001)	49.53 (p < 0.001)	53.80 (p < 0.001)	58.61 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				

Table 1A4. Religious exclusivity & militarized interstate territorial disputes, 1990-2001, Firth logistical regressions, dyadic models 1-4, excluding IGO measure.

	<i>Model 1</i>	<i>Model 2</i>	<i>Model 3</i>	<i>Model 4</i>
<i>Challenger variables</i>				
Identity claim	-1.012 (0.466) *	-1.128 (0.495) *	-1.209 (0.528) *	-1.146 (0.523) *
State religious control			0.013 (0.535)	
Religious discrimination		0.024 (0.010) *	0.027 (0.010) **	
Discrimination, no control				0.022 (0.011) *
Discrimination, control				0.040 (0.014) **
Religious regulation		-0.013 (0.010)	-0.017 (0.010)	-0.013 (0.010)
Religious support		-0.016 (0.014)	-0.018 (0.021)	-0.036 (0.022)
<i>Target variables</i>				
Identity claim	1.183 (0.469) *	1.205 (0.498) *	1.406 (0.533) **	1.314 (0.525) *
State religious control			1.584 (0.545) **	
Religious discrimination		0.007 (0.011)	0.008 (0.012)	
Discrimination, no control				-0.004 (0.013)
Discrimination, control				0.034 (0.016) *
Religious regulation		0.014 (0.011)	0.013 (0.011)	0.020 (0.012)
Religious support		-0.030 (0.019)	-0.076 (0.026) **	-0.068 (0.026) **
<i>Dyadic variables</i>				
Tangible salience	0.216 (0.073) **	0.255 (0.078) ***	0.224 (0.077) **	0.215 (0.078) **
Relative power disparity	-1.013 (0.687)	-1.276 (0.700)	-1.383 (0.705) *	-1.437 (0.670) *
Democratic dyad	-0.247 (0.259)	0.023 (0.295)	-0.026 (0.293)	0.048 (0.296)
Shared alliances	-0.002 (0.038)	0.003 (0.039)	0.002 (0.039)	-0.001 (0.039)
Mutual trade	3.48e-06 (3.28e-06)	3.77e-06 (3.33e-06)	3.79e-06 (3.34e-06)	2.91e-06 (3.38e-06)
Constant	-2.576 (0.632) ***	-2.644 (0.685) ***	-2.159 (0.700) **	-1.919 (0.698) **
Cases (N)	1,414	1,402	1,402	1,402
Penalized LL	-326.713	-290.176	-284.465	-285.447
Wald chi-2 (p value)	24.41 (p = 0.001)	38.06 (p < 0.001)	43.56 (p < 0.001)	45.67 (p < 0.001)
Standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05				