**A3. Exploratory factor analysis (Principal Components) on populism and nativism**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Populism* | | | | |
| Variable | Item | “Anti-elitism” | “Anti-pluralism” | Uniqueness |
| v1 | Most politicians don't care about the people | 0,77 | 0,12 | 0,33 |
| v2 | Most politicians are trustworthy | 0,77 | -0,27 | 0,49 |
| v3 | Politicians are the main problem in Finland | 0,67 | 0,17 | 0,43 |
| v4 | How widespread corruption,  such as bribe taking, is among politicians in Finland | 0,71 | -0,23 | 0,56 |
| v5 | Most politicians care only about the rich and powerful | 0,68 | 0,19 | 0,41 |
| v6 | Having a strong leader is good, even if bends the rules to get things done | 0,04 | 0,74 | 0,44 |
| v7 | The people, and not politicians, should make  the most important policy decisions | 0,47 | 0,29 | 0,59 |
| v8 | The will of the majority should always prevail,  even over the rights of minorities | -0,08 | 0,79 | 0,42 |
| v9 | Making compromises in politics is really just selling out on one’s principle | 0,45 | 0,38 | 0,53 |
| *Nativism* | | | | |
| Variable | Item | “In-group favoritism” | “Anti-immigration” | Uniqueness |
| v10 | Finland’s culture harmed by immigrants | 0,11 | 0,80 | 0,27 |
| v11 | Immigrants are good for Finland’s economy | -0,13 | 0,89 | 0,31 |
| v12 | Immigrants increase crime rates in Finland | 0,11 | 0,75 | 0,35 |
| v13 | How important, for being truly Finnish …to have been born in Finland | 0,82 | 0,03 | 0,31 |
| v14 | …to have grandparents born in Finland | 0,84 | -0,01 | 0,30 |
| v15 | …to follow Finnish customs and traditions | 0,65 | 0,13 | 0,47 |
| v16 | …to speak one of the national languages | 0,77 | -0,07 | 0,45 |

Data: FNES 2019. Coefficients are factor loadings after performing oblique rotation. Correlation between factors: .37 (anti-elitism\*anti-pluralism); .47 (anti-immigration\*in-group favoritism). Fit measures for populism: Kaiser-Meyer Olkin (KMO) model test for sampling adequacy=.88 (item range: .86/.91); Bartlett’s test of sphericity X2=3009.26, df=36, p<0.001. Fit measures for nativism: KMO= .79 (item range: .73/.85); Bartlett’s test of sphericity X2= 3765.54, df=21, p<0.001. Popular sovereignty (v7) and contempt for compromise (v9) have cross-loadings (>.3) to anti-elitism. The anti-pluralism sub-dimension seems to be less clearly defined than the other sub-dimensions of populism and nativism under analysis, reflecting some of the criticism to the CSES operationalization that Wuttke et al. (2020) raised.