

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

Evidence-based practice syllabus content

Outcome: To make the optimal use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of patients

1. Translation of clinical uncertainty into an answerable question

- 1.1. Formulates clinical questions using the PECO(t) formula (Patient, Exposure/intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Time)
- 1.2. Recognises and formulates different types of clinical questions:
 - 1.2.1. therapy
 - 1.2.2. harm
 - 1.2.3. aetiology
 - 1.2.4. prognosis
 - 1.2.5. diagnosis
 - 1.2.6. economic
 - 1.2.7. qualitative

2. Systematic retrieval of the best available evidence

- 2.1. Knows the different sources of evidence
- 2.2. Describes the 'hierarchy of evidence' as it applies to different types of questions
- 2.3. Describes what is meant by:
 - 2.3.1. publication bias, and
 - 2.3.2. language of publication bias
- 2.4. Describes the difference between the following electronic databases:
 - 2.4.1. CINAHL
 - 2.4.2. Cochrane Library
 - 2.4.3. EMBASE
 - 2.4.4. PsycINFO
 - 2.4.5. Pubmed
 - 2.4.6. Sigle
- 2.5. Knows how research is catalogued and strategies for efficient retrieval
- 2.6. Searches efficiently and effectively:
 - 2.6.1. PubMed (Medline); and
 - 2.6.2. the Cochrane Library.

3. Critical appraisal of the evidence

- 3.1. Basic epidemiology
 - 3.1.1. Describes what is meant by
 - 3.1.1.1. systematic error (selection and measurement bias)
 - 3.1.1.2. random error (chance)
 - 3.1.1.3. internal validity and external validity
 - 3.1.2. Describes sources of bias and strategies to overcome them
 - 3.1.3. Describes what is meant by reliability, specifically:
 - 3.1.3.1. interrater reliability
 - 3.1.3.2. test–retest reliability
 - 3.1.4. Describes what is meant by validity, specifically:
 - 3.1.4.1. construct validity
 - 3.1.4.2. content validity
 - 3.1.4.3. face validity
 - 3.1.4.4. criterion validity (concurrent and predictive validity)
 - 3.1.5. Describes different approaches to sampling:
 - 3.1.5.1. simple random

- 3.1.5.2. stratified random
 - 3.1.5.3. systematic
 - 3.1.5.4. cluster
 - 3.1.6. Describes confounding and strategies to reduce the risk of confounding:
 - 3.1.6.1. randomisation
 - 3.1.6.2. restriction
 - 3.1.6.3. matching
 - 3.1.6.4. adjustment using stratification or multivariable regression models.
 - 3.1.7. Describes allocation concealment and methods of randomisation:
 - 3.1.7.1. stratification
 - 3.1.7.2. minimisation
 - 3.1.7.3. cluster
 - 3.1.7.4. block
 - 3.1.8. Knows how masking can reduce measurement bias
 - 3.1.9. Describes approaches for arguing a cause-and-effect relationship (Koch, Hill, Rothman, Susser)
 - 3.1.10. Knows the benefits and weaknesses of different quantitative study designs to address different clinical questions:
 - 3.1.10.1. cross-sectional study design
 - 3.1.10.2. cohort studies
 - 3.1.10.3. case–control
 - 3.1.10.4. randomised controlled trials (parallel, equivalence, cluster)
 - 3.1.10.5. systematic reviews
 - 3.1.10.6. ecological survey
 - 3.1.10.7. NOF1 clinical trials

3.2. Basic biostatistics

- 3.2.1. Knows that there are different types of data:
 - 3.2.1.1. categorical (ordinal, nominal, dichotomous)
 - 3.2.1.2. continuous
- 3.2.2. Interprets summary measures
 - 3.2.2.1. proportion
 - 3.2.2.2. mean
 - 3.2.2.3. median
 - 3.2.2.4. mode
 - 3.2.2.5. range
 - 3.2.2.6. interquartile range
 - 3.2.2.7. standard deviation
- 3.2.3. Interprets simple tabular presentations:
 - 3.2.3.1. 2 × 2 table
 - 3.2.3.2. frequency table
 - 3.2.3.3. frequency distribution
- 3.2.4. Interprets graphical presentations:
 - 3.2.4.1. bar chart
 - 3.2.4.2. histogram
 - 3.2.4.3. pie chart
 - 3.2.4.4. scatter plot
 - 3.2.4.5. box plot
- 3.2.5. For studies evaluating diagnostic accuracy, estimates the characteristics of a test:
 - 3.2.5.1. sensitivity
 - 3.2.5.2. specificity

- 3.2.5.3. likelihood ratios (positive and negative)
 - 3.2.6. For studies evaluating diagnostic accuracy, estimates the characteristics of a sample
 - 3.2.6.1. prevalence
 - 3.2.6.2. positive predictive value
 - 3.2.6.3. negative predictive value
 - 3.2.7. For studies evaluating diagnostic accuracy, applies the results of a test to another population using likelihood ratios and nomograms
 - 3.2.8. Interprets receiver operating characteristic curves
 - 3.2.9. Describes what is meant by:
 - 3.2.9.1. prevalence
 - 3.2.9.2. cumulative incidence
 - 3.2.9.3. incidence rates
 - 3.2.10. Interprets 'survival' curves
 - 3.2.10.1. median 'survival'
 - 3.2.10.2. relative survival
 - 3.2.10.3. Kaplan–Meier plots
 - 3.2.11. Interprets mortality statistics
 - 3.2.11.1. crude death rate, death rate, mortality rate
 - 3.2.11.2. age-adjusted death rate
 - 3.2.11.3. standardised mortality ratio
 - 3.2.12. Calculates and interprets measures of treatment impact:
 - 3.2.12.1. odds ratios
 - 3.2.12.2. absolute risk reduction
 - 3.2.12.3. absolute benefit increase
 - 3.2.12.4. relative risk reduction
 - 3.2.12.5. relative benefit increase
 - 3.2.12.6. number needed to treat
 - 3.2.12.7. number needed to harm
 - 3.2.13. Knows what is meant by sampling variability and the use of the standard error in statistical inference
 - 3.2.14. Describes what is meant by hypothesis testing (null and alternative hypotheses)
 - 3.2.15. Describes hypothesis testing as applied to parametric and non-parametric data
 - 3.2.16. Describes when to use and is able to interpret (but not calculate) hypothesis tests using:
 - 3.2.16.1. the chi-squared test
 - 3.2.16.2. Fisher's exact test
 - 3.2.16.3. McNemar's test
 - 3.2.16.4. *t*-test (paired and unpaired)
 - 3.2.16.5. ANOVA
 - 3.2.16.6. ANCOVA
 - 3.2.16.7. Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test
 - 3.2.16.8. Mann–Whitney *U*-test
 - 3.2.16.9. Kruskal–Wallis test.
 - 3.2.17. Interprets and explains confidence intervals for:
 - 3.2.17.1. means
 - 3.2.17.2. proportions
 - 3.2.17.3. differences between means
 - 3.2.17.4. differences between proportions
 - 3.2.18. Knows what is meant by:
 - 3.2.18.1. Type I error
 - 3.2.18.2. Type II error
 - 3.2.18.3. power
 - 3.2.18.4. sample size
 - 3.2.19. Describes the advantage of confidence intervals over *P* values
 - 3.2.20. Interprets correlation coefficients and their significance:
 - 3.2.20.1. Spearman's
 - 3.2.20.2. Pearson's
 - 3.2.21. Interprets the results from regression analysis:
 - 3.2.21.1. simple linear
 - 3.2.21.2. multiple
 - 3.2.21.3. logistic
 - 3.2.22. Knows what is meant by intention-to-treat analysis and understands different ways of handling missing data:
 - 3.2.22.1. last observation carried forward
 - 3.2.22.2. sensitivity analysis
 - 3.2.22.3. multiple imputation
 - 3.2.22.4. best-case analysis
 - 3.2.22.5. worst-case analysis
 - 3.2.23. Describes the role and limitations of meta-analysis to improve power and robustness of research
 - 3.2.24. Describes the difference between fixed and random effect models
 - 3.2.25. Recognises statistical heterogeneity:
 - 3.2.25.1. visual inspection of forest plots
 - 3.2.25.2. chi-squared test
 - 3.2.25.3. Galbraith plot
 - 3.2.26. Describes the role of sensitivity analysis in meta-analysis
- 3.3. Basic health economics**
- 3.3.1. Describes the basic differences between direct and indirect costs and the ways in which they can be estimated
 - 3.3.2. Knows what is meant by:
 - 3.3.2.1. cost-effectiveness
 - 3.3.2.2. cost-utility analysis
 - 3.3.2.3. cost–benefit analysis
 - 3.3.2.4. cost minimisation
 - 3.3.3. Knows what is meant by a quality- or disability-adjusted life-year and the rationale for using these measures
 - 3.3.4. Describes opportunity cost
 - 3.3.5. Describes different approaches to discounting
 - 3.3.6. Knows what is meant by the term 'sensitivity analysis' in the context of an economic evaluation
- 3.4. Qualitative methods**
- 3.4.1. Knows when to apply qualitative research methodologies:
 - 3.4.1.1. grounded theory
 - 3.4.1.2. phenomenological
 - 3.4.1.3. ethnographic
 - 3.4.2. Describes additional approaches to sampling in qualitative studies:
 - 3.4.2.1. purposive
 - 3.4.2.2. convenience
 - 3.4.2.3. snowball

- 3.4.3. Describes different approaches to data gathering in qualitative studies:
 - 3.4.3.1. focus groups
 - 3.4.3.2. interviews
- 3.4.4. Describes the role of qualitative methodologies in instrument (i.e. screening, diagnostic, outcome measure) development
- 3.4.5. Describes methods for validating qualitative data:
 - 3.4.5.1. triangulation
 - 3.4.5.2. member checking
- 3.4.6. Describes methods for minimising bias:
 - 3.4.6.1. reflexivity
 - 3.4.6.2. bracketing
- 3.4.7. Describes methods of analysing data
 - 3.4.7.1. content analysis
 - 3.4.7.2. constant comparison
- 3.4.8. Describes data saturation

3.5. Guideline and protocol development

- 3.5.1. Describes the process for developing NICE and SIGN guidelines
- 3.5.2. Describes the advantages and limitations of guidelines and protocols

3.6. Critical appraisal

- 3.6.1. Diagnostic questions
 - 3.6.1.1. Describes the STARD statement for reporting studies of diagnostic accuracy
 - 3.6.1.2. Critically appraises cross-sectional studies as used to address questions of prevalence and diagnostic accuracy
- 3.6.2. Prognosis questions
 - 3.6.2.1. Critically appraises cohort studies as used to address prognostic questions

- 3.6.3. Therapy, harm and aetiology questions
 - 3.6.3.1. Describes the CONSORT statement: recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel group randomised trials
 - 3.6.3.2. Critically appraises randomised controlled trials, cohort and case-control studies as used to address therapy, harm and aetiology questions
- 3.6.4. Economic evaluations
 - 3.6.4.1. Critically appraises economic evaluations
- 3.6.5. Qualitative analysis
 - 3.6.5.1. Critically appraises qualitative research
 - 3.6.5.2. Critically appraises mixed-methods research
- 3.6.6. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis
 - 3.6.6.1. Describes the QUORUM statement for improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials
 - 3.6.6.2. Critically appraises a systematic review
- 3.6.7. Guidelines and protocols
 - 3.6.7.1. Critically appraises clinical practice guidelines

4. Application of the results in practice

- 4.1 Describes strategies for enabling the patient to make an informed decision

5. Evaluation of performance

- 5.1 Describes audit, change planning, feedback, and other elements of PDSA (Plan, Do, Study, Act) cycles, and their implications for clinical governance