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### Abstract

#### Objective

This study aimed to (1) explore changes in volume of calls to poison control centers (PCs) for intentional exposures (IEs) in Dallas County, Texas, overall and by gender and age; and (2) examine the association between two different public health emergencies (PHEs) and changes in IE call volume.

#### Methods

PCs categorize calls they receive by intentionality of the exposure, based on information from the caller. We analyzed data on PC calls categorized as intentional in Dallas County, Texas, from March 2019–April 2021. This period includes the COVID-19 pandemic declaration (March 2020), a surge in COVID-19 cases (July 2020), and Winter Storm Uri (February 2021). Changes in IE call volume, overall and by age and gender, were explored, and interrupted time series analysis was used to examine call volume changes after PHE onset.

#### Results

The summer surge in COVID-19 cases was associated with 1.9 additional IE calls/day (95% CI 0.7 to 3.1), in the context of a baseline unadjusted mean of 6.2 calls per day (unadjusted) before 3/11/2020. Neither the pandemic declaration nor Winter Storm Uri was significantly associated with changes in call volume. Women, on average, made 1.2 more calls per day compared to men during the study period. IE calls for youth increased after the pandemic declaration, closing the longstanding gap between adults and youth by early 2021.

#### Conclusions

Changes in IE call volume in Dallas County varied by gender and age. Calls increased during the local COVID-19 surge. Population-level behavioral health may be associated with local crisis severity.
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### Introduction

Suicide is now a leading cause of death in the U.S., especially for those under 35, and rates have increased over the last two decades.1 The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated this trend in suicide and has contributed to worsening mental health in general. In late June 2020, 40% of U.S. adults reported struggling with poor mental health or substance abuse,2 and from March–October 2020, the proportion of mental health-related emergency department visits for children and adolescents increased by 24% and 31%, respectively, compared to 2019,3 with largest increases among adolescent girls.4 While emergency department visits for mental health conditions can indicate an increase in mental health crises, earlier indicators of population-level behavioral health could identify issues that may benefit from prompt public health intervention.

One potential source of data on population-level behavioral health, including attempted suicides, comes from the 55 poison control centers (PCs) across the U.S. These centers receive calls about exposures to potentially harmful substances. Callers are asked whether the exposure was unintentional or intentional, and, if intentional, whether the exposure should be classified as a suspected suicide or overdose. Intentional ingestions represent a substantial proportion of suicide deaths; in 2019, 13% of suicide deaths were attributable to poisoning, with considerable variation by gender.1 PCs submit data resulting from each call to the National Poison Data System® (NPDS), a data warehouse managed by America’s Poison Centers, a non-profit organization representing all PCs in the U.S.5 Data from PCs are uploaded to NPDS® in near-real time (about every 8 minutes), which allows for national surveillance capabilities. Public health officials use NPDS surveillance features to identify incidents of public health significance (e.g., chemical exposures with a geographic or spatial pattern).6-8 However, PC data are not yet widely used by public health officials to monitor behavioral health. After a significant public health emergency (PHE), such as a natural disaster or a pandemic, changes in the volume of calls for intentional exposure (IE) may serve as an early indicator of worsening population-level behavioral health. Although some prior research has examined total PC call volume after PHEs,9, 10 no studies were identified that have examined the association between PHEs and changes in IE call rates, nor have they examined variation by gender and age. This study addresses this gap by assessing PC calls in Dallas County, Texas to (1) explore changes in IE call volume and variation by gender and age; and (2) examine the association between two different PHEs and changes in IE call volume.

### Methods

NPDS® data were obtained on calls for human exposures for Dallas County, Texas, for the time period March 1, 2019, through April 30, 2021 which included daily call volume prior to, during, and after (1) the COVID-19 emergency declaration by the World Health Organization on March 11, 2020, and (2) a local surge in COVID-19 cases beginning in July 2020; and (3) Winter Storm Uri in mid-February 2021 that led to widespread power outages during sub-freezing temperatures. Calls for IEs were identified as those with the following documented call reasons: “Intentional – Suspected suicide,” “Intentional – Misuse,” “Intentional – Abuse,” and “Intentional – Unknown.” Calls were categorized by date, by gender of the person of concern (female versus male), and by age group of the person of concern (children 0–5 years, children 6–12 years, youth 13–19 years, and adults 20+ years), consistent with NPDS categories, and the data was smoothed using a seven-day moving average.

Seven-day moving average of daily calls for IEs were plotted overall and by gender and age of the person of concern. An alert threshold was set at the 95th percentile of the distribution of the seven-day moving average in the pre-COVID-19 declaration period (i.e., prior to March 11, 2020). Single-group, segmented linear interrupted time series (ITS) analysis was then conducted with Newey-West standard errors, adjusting for day-of-the-week effects and including up to a one-week lag in the autocorrelation structure, to examine whether the PHE time periods were associated with a change in daily IE call volume. Analyses were conducted using STATA 16.0 (College Station, TX). The study team’s organizational institutional review board deemed this study to be exempt from full review. This analysis follows STROBE reporting guidelines for observational studies.

### Results

**Description of the Sample**

The study sample included 30,362 calls (Table 1), with a mean weekly call volume of 266 (range: 56 to 383). More than half (52.1%) of calls were concerning women, and the average age of persons of concern was 19.0 years (standard deviation [SD] 22). Intentionality of the exposure (intentional versus unintentional) was documented for 91% of calls. Of those, 5,281 calls (17.4%) were for IEs, with a mean weekly IE call volume of 46 (range: 8 to 82). Nearly 75% of IE calls were for suspected suicide attempts, representing 12.8% of total call volume during the study period. For suspected suicide attempt calls, 64.4% were concerning women, and the average age of persons of concern was 29.1 years (SD 16). Gender was missing for less than 1% of calls; age was missing for only 9% of all calls and 3% of those categorized as suspected suicide attempt.

#### Changes in Intentional Exposure Call Volume

Figure 1 shows a time series plot of the seven-day moving average of IE call volume from March 1, 2019, through April 30, 2021. IE calls increased briefly immediately after the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic then decreased to baseline until again increasing above the 95th percentile alert threshold in July 2020. IE call volume also briefly exceeded the alert threshold in early September and early October 2020, then peaked in mid-November 2020. These spikes correspond to waves of COVID-19 cases in the summer and early fall 2020 in Dallas County as well as the start of a prolonged winter wave of COVID-19 cases that began in November 2020. In contrast to the temporal associations that corresponded to COVID-19 surges during the period studied, IE call volume declined sharply in the weeks following Winter Storm Uri in February 2021, then returned to the pre-storm baseline (Figure 1).

IE call volume was consistently higher for women as the person of concern compared to men, with the exception of IE call volume for men markedly exceeding call volume for women in November 2020 (Figure 2).

IE call volume was consistently higher for adults than for children and youth until about November 2020. The seven-day moving average of IE calls for exposures among youth (based on 2019 pre-pandemic data) trended upward after the pandemic declaration on March 11, 2020, nearly closing the historical gap between adults and youth by early 2021 (Figure 3). The peak in IE call volume among adults in August/September 2020 was not apparent among children and youth, but IE volume among both adults and youth peaked in October/November 2020, with calls for youth increasing first.

#### Interrupted Time Series Analysis (ITSA)

Figure 4 shows the results of the segmented linear ITS analysis, with observed versus model-fitted call volume. Neither the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic nor Winter Storm Uri was associated with a significant change in the level of IE calls in Dallas County, but the level of daily IE calls was 1.9 calls greater after the start of the summer wave of COVID-19 cases in Dallas County beginning in July 2020 (95% CI 0.7 to 3.1). Another peak can be seen in November, occurring at the same time as another surge in local COVID-19 burden.

### Limitations

NPDS® data are routinely used for public health surveillance by government agencies, but these data have not been used to monitor population behavioral health. Since PC data are collected for the clinical management of poison patients, specific data elements pertaining to population behavioral health are limited. This data is not representative of all potential intentional exposures in a population but only of those for which a call was made to a PC for a potentially affected individual, meaning some cases of exposure may not be captured. Increasingly, people may seek information about a potential exposure through the PC website or via text message rather than phone call, such that temporal changes in call volume may be related to broader changes in how people seek information about exposures. Furthermore, there may be misclassification of the intentionality of the exposure or other key variables, especially when call volumes surge during PHEs.11 Although the demographic data for gender and age of the person of concern are relatively complete, data on race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, or educational attainment are not collected. Finally, our dataset did not include the details of the specific substance to which the individual was exposed.

### Discussion

In this analysis of IE calls in Dallas County, Texas, during a period that included the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic, a local surge in COVID-19 cases, and Winter Storm Uri, IE call volume was associated with a local wave of COVID-19 infections. However, there was no association with the declaration of the pandemic nor with a severe winter storm. IE call volume also varied by gender and age, with a notable increase among youth ages 13 to 19 during the pandemic.

Calls for IEs indicate that individuals are experiencing behavioral health challenges, and in aggregate, call volume to PCs for IEs provides a window into these challenges at a population level. It is known that PHEs can negatively impact population-level behavioral health,12 and our study extends this literature by showing that the association between IE call volume and a PHE may depend on both the type of PHE and its local impact. For instance, IE call volume did not significantly increase immediately after the COVID-19 national emergency declaration, but a local surge in the summer of 2020 correlated with increased IE call volume. This finding is consistent with other research documenting increases in call volume in the context of PHEs, including a multi-state power outage in 20039 and a 1989 earthquake in California.10 Because the pandemic has impacted communities across the US differently and at different times, depending on a variety of contextual factors, local policies, and transmission patterns,13-15 local variation in timing of behavioral health impacts is expected.

This study also found that IE call volume varied by gender in the context of both PHEs, with higher IE call volume for women except in November 2020, when calls for men exceeded those for women. Gender differences in suicidality during the pandemic have been demonstrated in other studies of suicide attempts from emergency department visits16 and surveys.17 This finding highlights the importance of considering differential needs of sociodemographic groups and the potentially differential behavioral health impacts of PHEs on those groups as well as the potential value of collecting other demographic data, such as race and ethnicity, where differences have been previously demonstrated.18

There was an increase in IE calls among youth, nearly approximating adult levels by a year after the PHE was declared, which is consistent with documented increases in youth suicidal ideation, attempts, and general mental health problems during the pandemic.2-4, 17, 19 For example, a national study of high school students based on the 2021 Adolescent Behaviors and Experiences Survey found that in the past 12 months, 44% experienced “persistent feelings of sadness or hopelessness,” almost 20% had seriously considered attempting suicide, and 9% had actually attempted suicide.17 Isolation, fear and anxiety, and financial impacts of the pandemic likely contributed to this trend, though more research is needed to pinpoint the causes and the potential targets for intervention.20, 21 The consistency of these findings for demographic subgroups with existing research supports the usefulness of this data for tracking suicidal ideation.

Strengths of these data include their temporal granularity (i.e., available weekly), the standardized format in which PC calls are reported across the country and their historical availability, their geographic granularity down to the zip code level, and their consistent documentation of gender and age as well as intentionality of the exposure.22 PC IE call data are therefore a promising data source for monitoring population-level behavioral health in the PHE context. However, depending on arrangements with Poison Control Centers, it may take several weeks for public health officials to request and receive data, and public health officials may incur a cost to obtain these data. Additionally, the lack of race and ethnicity data means that behavioral health inequities cannot be easily explored. Furthermore, like any data not intended for surveillance purposes, caution is warranted, as these data are not population-representative.

### Conclusions

This analysis of intentional poisoning calls in Dallas County from March 2019 through April 2021 demonstrates that PC call data show variation in IE call volume based on age and gender and that IE call volume was temporally associated with local severity of the COVID-19 pandemic. Public health and behavioral health officials could consider monitoring IE call volume as a timely, local-level indicator of population behavioral health, thereby allowing them to anticipate and address emerging behavioral health crises in their communities.
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