**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 4304)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n =0) (NA)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from\*:

Databases (n = 20839)

Registers (n = 0)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 16535)

Records excluded\*\* by researchers

(n = 16043)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 492)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

No communication Recommendations/Strategies (n = 221)

Focused on Behavioral Intentions of Public (n = 71)

Pre-Print/2021/not in time frame of study (n = 32)

Original Study not in English (n= 28)

Focused on Social Media from the Public Perspective (n=26)

Wrong Outcome (n=20)

Editors Notes/Correspondence (n=19)

Wrong Intervention (n=11)

Wrong Setting (n=9)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 492)

Studies included in review

(n = 55)

Reports of included studies

(n = 0)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>