**Supplementary Table S2. Studies excluded from review after full text search with reasons**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Study** | **Reason for exclusion** |
| Abdalla *et al*. (1998) | No appropriate comparisons and only includes aggregate data. |
| Andersen *et al*. (2008) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Applegarth *et al*. (1995) | No appropriate comparisons and perinatal data is not numerical. |
| Beckett and Serhal (1994) | Letter to the editor and no data presented. |
| British Fertility Society (2011) | Conference programme. |
| Brzyski (2001) | Review. |
| Bustillo and Yee (1999) | Duplicate reference appearing in another format. |
| Cobo *et al*. (2008) | No perinatal health studies. |
| de Mouzon *et al*. (2010) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Dyer and Kruger (2012) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| European IVF-Monitoring Programme for ESHRE et al. (2006) | No perinatal health studies. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2005) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2006) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2007) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2008) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2009) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2010) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Gunby *et al*. (2011) | Only showed aggregate perinatal health data, not separated into donor v control. |
| Hayashi *et al*. (2012) | Use of donor gametes is unclear. |
| Kahn *et al*. (2012) | Inappropriate comparisons. |
| Kovacs (1996) | Review. |
| Lambert (2003) | Review. |
| Medical Research International et al. (1989) | Only contains aggregate perinatal data. |
| Morris and Sauer (1993) | Review. |
| Patel *et al*. (2003) | Single case study. |
| Ramsay (1995) | Letter to the editor and no data presented. |
| Raoul-Duval *et al*. (1992) | No specific perinatal data just generalisations from a parent self-filled questionnaire. |
| Raoul-Duval *et al*. (1994) | No appropriate comparisons and perinatal data is only preliminary. |
| Remohi *et al*. (1997) | Inappropriate comparisons. |
| Sauer and Kavic (2006) | Review. |
| Sauer *et al*. (1996) | Only involved advanced maternal age - confounding. |
| Shaw and Sauer (1995) | Inappropriate comparisons. |
| Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology and ASRM (2002a) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology and ASRM (2002b) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology and ASRM (2004) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology and ASRM (2007) | No appropriate comparisons and no perinatal health studies. |
| Soderstrom-Anttila (2001) | Review. |
| Soderstrom-Anttila *et al*. (1998) | Data already reported in authors other paper. |
| Soderstrom-Anttila *et al*. (2001) | Review. |
| Steiner and Paulson (2006) | Review. |
| Talebi Chahvar *et al*. (2011) | Do not present data. |
| van Balen (1998) | No donor gametes. |
| Wright *et al*. (2003) | No perinatal health studies. |
| Wright *et al*. (2004) | No perinatal health studies. |
| Yaron *et al*. (1998) | Inappropriate comparisons. |
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