Supporting Information

State Reach and Development in Africa since the 1960s: New Data and Analysis

Table of Contents

A Regions in post-colonial Africa

To collect a full panel on the borders and capitals of first-level administrative regions in Africa since countries' independence, I draw on the qualitative accounts of unit changes from the `statoids.com` database and encode each administrative unit change geographically. I therefore rely primarily on maps from the GAUL database, (FAO 2014). Although this data is contemporary (reaching back until 1990), it allows me to trace back all unit-*splits* – this type of unit-change constitutes the vast majority of cases – by simply merging the units observed after the split which results in the original unit. Where units have been merged or the administrative map of a country has been redrawn completely, I make use of more than 100 digitized maps, mostly from the CIA Base Map series as well as other GIS data, such as the GADM database. Each region-period is associated with its capital, as listed in most cases by `statoids.com`. Missing capitals are searched on the maps and in secondary sources. The capitals are then geocoded using the `geonames.org` gazetteer. Changes in the location of capitals within the same boundaries of a region naturally result in new region-periods. Each region-period is associated with a start and end-year. To ensure consistent and temporally non-overlapping coding, region-periods that start after January 1st are coded as starting in the next year. The final data set covers 1763 unique region-periods, covering each African country from independence to 2016. The evolution of the number of regions in the data set is traced in Figure A1. Figure A2 plots the data for the year 2016.


(a)

(b)

Figure A1: Description of newly collected data on first-level administrative units in Africa.

(a) First-level administrative units in Africa 2016

(b) Number of admin-1 units by country and year

Figure A2: Overview over first-level administrative unit data.

B   Road data from the Michelin map corpus

This section provides the details on how I digize the Michelin map corpus, building on the procedure that was developed by Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman (2021). Compared to Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman (2021), data collection has been extended for the purpose of this article from the initial 6 to 23 road map cross-sections. Subsection B.1 describes the map corpus, Subsection B.2 summarizes the digitization procedure, Subsection B.3 describes the construction of travel speeds, and Subsection B.4 discusses the construction of the final road network data.

B.1   The Michelin map corpus

The source for road network data for post-colonial Africa is the African Michelin map corpus, a collection of large topographical maps at a resolution of 1:4,000,000. Each map shows detailed information on road infrastructure with a consistent cartographic symbology for about a third of the continent (see Figure A3). While coverage before the 1960s is sporadic, Michelin has covered the entire African continent at intervals of approximately 5 years beginning in 1964 (see Figure A4). This makes the Michelin corpus an unparalleled source for time-variant road-network information. I digitize 34 map sheets published between 1964 and 2017, which combine into 23 maps of the entire continent.


Figure A3: Spatial coverage of Michelin Map types.

Note that Madagascar is not covered in 1966. Green: North-West. Blue: North-East. Red: Center-South.

Figure A4: Temporal coverage of Michelin Maps

B.2 Map digitization

I use the Fully Convolutional Neural Network (FCNN) and post-processing algorithms developed by Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman (2021) to transform the scanned and georeferenced Michelin Maps into spatial road network data. In short, the FCNN is based on the methodology developed by Shelhamer, Long and Darrell (2017) and yields pixel-level predictions of road-types for the map scans. These predictions are fed into a four-step algorithm to transform pixels into vector data by (1) thinning lines made up of pixels, (2) tracing lines to transform them into vectors, (3) filling small, unlikely gaps in the resulting network of lines, and (4) smoothing road-type information to reduce noise from small missclassifications. Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman (2021) demonstrate that the procedure yields an excellent digitization of road networks: over 98.8% of all extracted roads are present in the Michelin maps, and 98.6% of all Michelin roads are extracted. Taking road categories into account, the respective percentages are somewhat lower, but still 88.8 and 96.4, respectively. Ordinal missclassification errors are however small, on average (among errors) amounting to 1.38 on the ordinal 6-point road-type scale. These errors will thus only marginally affect travel time estimates and will do so in a presumably random manner.

B.3 Retrieving travel speeds

I follow Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman (2021) and use their travel speeds for each of the six main road categories in the Michelin data[18] from the Michelin website (`www.viamichelin.com`. For each road category, they collect data on a random selection of trips on roads of that category, and record the travel speed returned by the Michelin querying tool. Traveling speed on foot-paths is defined as 6 km (about 4 miles) per hour. This corresponds to walking-time estimates on `www.maps.google.com` (see

---

[18]The 16 types of roads in the Michelin data are collapsed into the 6 main categories.

also [Jedwab and Storeygard 2018](#)).

B.4 Network construction

I transform the road data from the Michelin map corpus into planar graphs that uniformly cover geographic space. I do so in a step-wise manner, following again the procedure developed by [Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman](#) ([2021](#)):

1. **Foot-path network:** The basis of the planar graphs consist of network of 8-connected 'foot-paths.' The graph's nodes are the centroids of a raster of population estimates from the HYDE 3.1 data ([Goldewijk, Beusen and Janssen 2010](#)) for 1960 at a resolution of $.04167 \times .04167$ decimal degrees (or ca. 5 km at the equator). Each node is connected with a foot-path to its 8 nearest neighbors using queen moves. This setup allows for much more flexible applications than travel-query APIs such as Google Maps which do not process queries from/to points that are too distant from the next road.

2. **Adding roads:** I then overlay the basic foot-path network with the spatial lines extracted from each map corpus after aligning them all to the last and most extensive network observed in 2017.[19] I create additional nodes wherever two roads or foot-paths cross, thus retaining the planar graph property. These additional nodes' purpose is to serve as intersections. They are not associated with any population data. Hence, travel between two populated nodes will typically start by taking a foot-path to a road, and end by traveling from a road to the target node on another foot-path. Note that the occasional imperfect spatial alignment of road networks observed in consecutive Michelin maps causes variation in the length of these first or last foot-paths of some trips. The resulting variation in travel travel times is however deemed negligible and, importantly, random.

3. **Calculating edge weights:** Following [Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman](#) ([2021](#)), each edge on the network is associated with an edge weight which is equivalent to the estimated time it takes to traverse the edge.

[Müller-Crepon, Hunziker and Cederman](#) ([2021](#)) demonstrate that the road networks thus constructed yield very similar travel time estimates as the Google Maps API.

---

[19]This alignment is necessary to preclude small 'jumps' in the location of roads, caused by the digitization procedure, to introduce random noise into the measure and downwards bias the estimation results. The alignment of roads is computed via ArcGIS's align_feature function.

C Travel times to capitals as proxy for state reach: Data description and validation

C.1 Validation

I use data from the Afrobarometer (2018) surveys to validate travel times to national and regional capitals as proxies for subnational state capacity. In particular, the surveys contain information provided by the enumerators about the presence of state organs and services in each enumeration area (EA). The respective items range from the local provision of electricity, water and sewage, over the presence of a school, clinic, or post office, to the presence of police and military forces. All variables are coded as dummies. I combine them into a joint index of local state capacity by taking their first principal component, which explains 36.2 percent of the variation in its constitutive parts. Furthermore, I compute for each EA the time to its regional and national capital at the time of the survey.[20]

Figure A5 plots the association between EA's travel times to their regional and national capital and each indicator, demeaned by country. All indicators correlate with travel times to capitals, which I take as a first indication of their quality as proxies for local state capacity.

Table A1 goes a step further and compares the association between travel times and the local state capacity index with the correlation between mere geodesic distances to capitals. The results show that both distance measures correlate with the index. However, once both are included in Models 3 and 6, the coefficient of geodesic distances becomes much smaller and looses significance in Model 3. I take this as evidence that travel times are superior to simply taking geodesic distances. After all, state agents typically rely on earth bound vehicles and do not fly as crows.

C.2 Description

Three main factors influence the difficulties of a state to reach out to its population: the location of administrative borders and capitals, and the structure of the transportation network that links the state to its subjects, and the geographic distribution of its population. By changing their geography along each of these three dimensions, states can increase their reach and improve their capacity to govern. First, states can optimize the location of its headquarters, open new branches of state agencies, and shift the boundaries of administrative units (Fesler 1949). Since independence, the Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria, and Tanzania have relocated their national capitals[21] and most have increased the number of administrative units (Figure A6a, see also Grossman and Lewis 2014; Grossman, Pierskalla and Dean 2017).[22] Similarly, the independence of Eritrea and South Sudan has

---

[20]To do so, I use the geocodes provided by Ben Yishay, Ariel Rotberg et al. (2017).

[21]Côte d'Ivoire (Abidjan to Yamoussoukro in 1983), Nigeria (Lagos to Abuja in 1991), and Tanzania (Dar es Salaam to Dodoma in 1974). The change in Tanzania was less de facto than de jure. Until today, all ministries are located in Dar es Salaam.

[22]Note the case of Uganda being the outlier with the steepest increase in Figure A6a.

Figure A5: Correlation between travel times to national and regional capitals and state capacity index

The state capacity index is constructed from enumeration area level data in the Afrobarometer survey. The plot shows values of the index that are demeaned by country × survey round and averaged within 40 quantiles of the travel time to regional and national capitals.

Table A1: Logged distances to national and regional capitals correlate with state capacity index

	State capacity index					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Nat. capital: geodesic	−0.295***		−0.026			
	(0.037)		(0.056)			
Nat. capital: time		−0.635***	−0.588***			
		(0.080)	(0.117)			
Reg. capital: geodesic				−0.414***		−0.199***
				(0.029)		(0.043)
Reg. capital: time					−1.006***	−0.608***
					(0.059)	(0.080)
Unit:	EA	EA	EA	EA	EA	EA
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Mean DV:	-0.0042	-0.0042	-0.0042	-0.0042	-0.0042	-0.0042
Std.-dev. DV:	2	2	2	2	2	2
Observations	11,302	11,302	11,302	11,302	11,302	11,302
Adjusted R^2	0.311	0.317	0.317	0.348	0.351	0.356

Note: $^*p<0.1$; $^{**}p<0.05$; $^{***}p<0.01$

reduced the distance between their population and the capital.[23]

Second, states can improve transport networks to access certain areas. Although the main backbones of African road networks are of colonial origin, the networks' extent has increased by about 50 percent since independence (Figure A6b). While this figure is not necessarily impressive (Herbst 2000), it has shortened the distance between states and their citizens.

Lastly, states can incentivize their population to concentrate and urbanize, thereby increasing governments' economies of scale of reaching out to a particular populated place (e.g. Scott 2017). While in 1960 only about 20% of Africans have lived in cities, the proportion of urban residents in 2016 has risen above 40% (Figure A6c). Among other social changes brought about by this development, rural-urban migrants experience a steep increase in state reach since administrations and state institutions are typically based in cities. Equivalent state-led population concentration also occurred in the countryside. In particular villagization programs, such as the resettlement of millions of Tanzanians into so-called 'Ujamaa-Villages' in the 1970s (e.g. Miguel 2004), have made rural populations more accessible to the state.

Together, administrative unit changes, road building, and population concentration since the 1960s have decreased the distance between the state's headquarters and citizens, thereby extending states' reach over the continent. As seen in Figure A7, the average travel time between African national capitals and citizens has decreased from 11.7 hours in 1966 to 9.3 hours in 2016, a change of about 20.3 percent. Moving to the level of regional administrations where changes in the design of units are more common, we observe a

---

[23]Their independence of course also affected other dimensions of the distance between the state and citizens, in particular the ethnic distance.

(a) Number of administrative regions

(b) Quality-weighted road mileage in Africa

(c) Urban population

Figure A6: Drivers of expanding state reach in Africa 1960–2015.

Sources: (a) own data; (b) Michelin road map corpus; (c) World Development Indicators (World Bank 2018).

steeper trend. While citizens in 1966 had an average travel time of 5.1 hours to their regional capital, they had to travel 'only' 3.5 hours in 2016 – a decrease of 32.2 percent.

As expected from the large diversity of countries and their geographies, these continent-wide aggregates mask substantial heterogeneity across and within states. Figure A9 visualizes this variation and plots population-weighted densities of travel times to national capitals for five countries in 2016 and their change since 1966. From a cross-sectional perspective, Subfigure A9a shows how states differ in their reach towards their population. Capitals of countries with "difficult geographies" (Herbst 2000) and poor infrastructure such as the DR Congo are farthest away from their median inhabitant (34.3 hours). In the mid-range, we find Mali where one travels 7.2 hours from Bamako to the median citizen. Lastly, capitals of small countries such as Rwanda naturally are closest to their median citizen (2.6 hours). Similar variation marks changes in the accessibility of the population since 1966 (Subfigure A9b). Here, the populations of states that seceded (Eritrea, Namibia, and South Sudan) and of those that relocated their capital (Nigeria, Côte d'Ivoire, Tanzania) profited the most. Other states, such as the DR Congo, significantly improved their reach in absolute term. However, in relative terms, these improvements look less impressive.

Even more striking than the variation across countries is the variation observed within countries. The density plots in Subfigure A9a visualize high levels of inequality in state reach in some countries. In particular states that Herbst (2000) associates with 'difficult geographies'[24] exhibit large variation in travel times – to the point where the distribution of travel times in the DR Congo is heavier in its right than left tail. Similarly, new roads, borders, and capitals do not have a geographically uniform effect. For example, relocating the Nigerian capital from Lagos to Abuja in 1991 increased state reach towards the northern areas of the country, while decreasing it around Lagos in the southwest (Figure

---

[24] Angola, DR Congo, Ethiopia, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan (borders of 2000), Tanzania. Cf. Herbst (2000, p. 161).

A8).

(a) Average time to national capital

(b) Average time to regional capital

Figure A7: Decreasing travel times to regional and national capitals in Africa 1960–2015.

All averages are population weighted. Sources: Own calculations based on HYDE population estimates (Goldewijk, Beusen and Janssen 2010), Michelin-based road networks, Cshapes (Weidmann and Gleditsch 2010), and own data on administrative regions and their capitals.

(a) Change in travel time to the national capital

(b) ... to regional capitals

Figure A8: Change of travel times to capitals in Nigeria 1966–2016.

Note: Brighter colors indicate a decrease of travel times, thus an improvement in state reach. Sources: See Figure A7.

(a) Travel time to national capital 2016

(b) Change in travel time to national capital 1966–2016

Figure A9: Population-weighted distribution of state reach in Africa in 2016 and development since 1966.

Note: Brighter colors identify better outcomes. To calculate population-weighted distributions for single countries, I fix the spatial distribution of the population and the borders of countries to their status in 2016. For areas that became independent after 1966 no change can be calculated. Sources: Own calculations based on 2016 WorldPop population estimates, Michelin-based road networks, and country-borders from 2016 from Cshapes (Weidmann and Gleditsch 2010).

D   Data and summary statistics

D.1   Units of the nightlight analysis: Voronoi cells

In order to divide an arbitrary geographical space into units of roughly equal size and high levels of compactness (i.e. similarity to a circle),[25] this section introduces a spatial clustering algorithm that is combines the advantages of the k-means clustering algorithm (Lloyd 1982) that requires a finite sample of points to cluster, and the Voronoi tesselation that is used to transform the centers of the k-means clusters into continuous areas. The algorithm proceeds as follows:

1. Draw a large number of points P from the area of polygon t in set T. T is defined in the present application as the constitutive parts of all administrative regions observed between 1992 and 2013. These polygons are computed as cutting the territory of each country with all regional borders existing between 1992 and 2013. The resulting polygons are strictly nested within all regional boundaries. Points are sampled from these polygons on the basis of a raster with a resolution of $\approx$1km (.01 decimal degrees).

2. Conduct a k-means clustering (Lloyd 1982) of points P into N clusters, with $N = round(A_T/A_{target})$, thus N being the number of units to create so that the average area of each unit comes closest to the target size of units. For the main analysis, the target size is 400 km^2, further variations are conducted in the robustness check presented below in Subsection E.7. For best results, I initialize the k-means algorithm with a random spatial sample of N points from P.

3. Take the centroids of the clusters thus computed and conduct a Voronoi tesselation around them.

4. Crop the resulting Voronoi polygons with the target polygon T.

We can now compare the Voronoi cells with the more commonly used quadratic grid cells. Across various target sizes, the Voronoi cells resulting from the relatively simple (and fast) algorithm significantly improve over the quadratic grid cells, both in terms of their distribution around the target size and in terms of their level of compactness. First, Figure A11 shows that many regular quadratic grid cells are smaller than the target size – this occurs wherever a cell is cut by a regional border or the coast line. Thus, the heterogeneity in units' sizes is correlated with their closeness to the coast and border, a feature which might introduce slight bias into an analysis. Second, Figure A12 proves that Voronoi cells are much more compact than grid cells, which – as quadratic shapes and in particular where cut by borders – are shaped in a more irregular and less "circle-like" manner.

---

[25]The shape that can continuously cover an area with the highest level of compactness is the hexagon. However, where the honeycomb reaches a border, hexagonal cells must be cut or reshaped, thus deviating from the requirements of uniform size and compactness.

Nigeria, regular grid, 400 sq. km

Nigeria, Voronoi cells, 400 sq. km

(a) Regular grid cells

(b) Voronoi cells

Figure A10: Regular grid and Voronoi cells for Nigeria with regional borders observed in 1992–2013.

Figure A11: Size of Voronoi and regular grid cells for varying target sizes.

Vertical dashed line indicates the target size of units. Cells are constructed for all countries in mainland Africa, using borders from the year 2000.

Figure A12: Compactness of Voronoi and regular grid cells for varying target sizes.

The compactness of each unit is calculated according to Polsby and Popper (1991, p. 349) as: $(4\pi A_i)/P_i^2$, where A_i is the size of unit i and P_i its perimeter. Cells are constructed for Nigeria, using regional borders observed between 1992 and 2013. Note that densities above 25 are censored to improve the readability of the graphs.

D.2 Summary statistics

Table A2: Summary statistics: DHS education data (Personal Recode)

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Primary educ. (0/100)	2091736	70.43	45.64	0	0	100	100
Female dummy	2091736	0.53	0.50	0	0	1	1
Age	2091736	28.12	9.84	15	20	35	57
Time to nat. capital (log)	2091736	1.93	0.80	0.00	1.40	2.45	4.50
Time to reg. capital (log)	2091736	1.19	0.66	0.00	0.71	1.61	4.35

Table A3: Summary statistics: DHS infant mortality data (Children Recode)

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Infant mort. (0/100)	2905949	9.66	29.54	0	0	0	100
Female dummy	2905949	0.45	0.50	0	0	1	1
Birth order	2905949	3.31	2.25	1	1	5	18
Twin dummy	2905949	0.03	0.18	0	0	0	1
Mother's age at birth	2895564	24.61	6.41	10.00	20.00	29.00	49.00
Time to nat. capital (log)	2905949	1.95	0.72	0.00	1.49	2.42	4.41
Time to reg. capital (log)	2905949	1.22	0.62	0.00	0.78	1.61	4.35

Table A4: Summary statistics: Nightlight data (Voronoi cells, 400 km^2)

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Light/capita (log)	1507013	−6.51	1.28	−6.91	−6.91	−6.91	10.12
Time to nat. capital (log)	1507013	2.92	0.77	0.26	2.37	3.52	4.97
Time to reg. capital (log)	1507013	2.30	0.83	0.26	1.68	2.82	4.94

Table A5: Samples across data sources, DHS rounds and nightlight observations

| Country	Adults	Children	Nightlight-cells
Algeria			1992–2013
Angola	7.1	6.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Benin	4.1, 6.1	4.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Botswana			1992–2013
Burkina Faso	2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 6.1	2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Burundi	6.1, 6.2, 7.1	6.1, 6.2, 7.1	1992–2013
Cameroon	2.1, 4.1, 6.1	2.1, 4.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Central African Republic	3.1	3.1	1992–2013
Chad	7.1	7.1	1992–2013
Congo - Brazzaville			1992–2013
Congo - Kinshasa	5.1, 6.1	5.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Côte d'Ivoire	3.1, 3.2, 6.1	3.1, 3.2, 6.1	1992–2013
Djibouti			1992–2013
Egypt	2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2	2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2	1992–2013
Equatorial Guinea			1992–2013
Eritrea			1993–2013
Eswatini	5.1	5.1	1992–2013
Ethiopia	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Gabon	6.1	6.1	1992–2013
Gambia			1992–2013
Ghana	3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.2, 7.1	3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.2, 7.1	1992–2013
Guinea	4.1, 5.1, 6.1	4.1, 5.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Guinea-Bissau			1992–2013
Kenya	4.1, 5.1, 7.1	4.1, 5.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Lesotho	4.1, 6.1, 7.1	4.1, 6.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Liberia	5.1, 6.2	0.1, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2	1992–2013
Libya			1992–2013
Malawi	4.1, 4.2, 6.1, 7.2	4.1, 4.2, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2	1992–2013
Mali	3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.2	3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.2	1992–2013
Mauritania			1992–2013
Morocco	4.1	4.1	1992–2013
Mozambique	5.1, 6.1, 7.1	6.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Namibia	4.1, 5.1, 6.1	4.1, 5.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Niger	2.1, 3.1	2.1, 3.1	1992–2013
Nigeria	2.1, 4.2, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2, 7.1	2.1, 4.2, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2	1992–2013
Rwanda	5.1, 6.1, 7.1	5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 7.1	1992–2013
Senegal	2.1, 4.2, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2, 7.3	2.1, 3.1, 4.2, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2, 7.3	1992–2013
Sierra Leone	5.1, 6.1	5.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Somalia			1992–2013
South Africa			1992–2013
South Sudan			2011–2013
Sudan			1992–2013
Tanzania	4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2	1992–2013
Togo	3.1, 6.1	0.1, 3.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Tunisia			1992–2013
Uganda	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 6.2, 7.2	4.1, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 7.2	1992–2013
Zambia	5.1, 6.1	5.1, 6.1	1992–2013
Zimbabwe	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1	1992–2013

Note that the divergence between the samples used from the DHS stems from the fact that not all surveys enlist the level of education of household members or come with the Child Recode file needed to derive infant mortality rates.

A17

(a) Primary education rate in sample

(b) Infant mortality in sample

Figure A13: Primary education and infant mortality in the DHS Data, aggregated to .25 raster cells.

E Robustness checks

This section describes additional analyses that probe the robustness of the analysis of the effects of changes in the distance to national and regional capitals on local development. Figure A14 provides an overview over the various robustness checks. All additional models are described in detail below. Where Figure A14 captures the main insights from an additional analysis, I do not report detailed results as a table. However, the reader may note that all results will be made available as tables with the replication data.

Figure A14: Panel analysis: Summary of robustness checks.

E.1 Re-locations of national capitals vs. road-network development:

One main concern of the analysis of changes in travel times to national capitals is that we observe only very few relocations of capitals that drive the results. In the sample of DHS respondents, capital re-locations have occurred in Côte d'Ivoire (Abidjan to Yamassoukro), Nigeria (Lagos to Abuja), and Tanzania (the de jure move from Dar es Salaam to Dodoma). The data on nightlights include the secessionist cases of Eritrea and South Sudan. In order to gauge whether the baseline estiamtes are due to these relocations and secessions or whether they are driven by changes in the road networks that link national capitals to their citizens, Table A6 presents the results of estimating the baseline panel specification on the split samples. For primary education rates we observe a larger effect in the sample of DHS respondents from countries with capital relocations, than from those without. However, also in the latter case the estimate is substantive and statistically significant, meaning that improved road connections to national capitals come with increases in local primary education rates. In the case of infant mortality rates, the results show them to be driven by capital relocations rather than road network improvements. Lastly and consistent with the baseline results, the results show only insignificant effects

of either better road connections or new capitals on nightlight emissions. Note however, that the secessionist cases of Eritrea and South Sudan are each only observed one year pre-/post-treatment. We would hardly expect the new capitals to have such an immediate and sudden effect on nightlight emissions, in particular since both cases where riven by civil war before (and after, in the case of South Sudan) their secession.

Table A6: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Cases where national capitals changed

	Primary educ. (0/100)		Infant mort. (0/100)		Light/capita (log)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−1.807***	−3.159***	0.061	1.419***	−0.044	−0.054
	(0.647)	(0.491)	(0.299)	(0.309)	(0.033)	(0.036)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.483***	−0.743	0.164	0.906**	−0.039*	−0.039*
	(0.356)	(0.595)	(0.153)	(0.453)	(0.020)	(0.023)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−3.29***	−3.902***	0.225	2.325***	−0.083**	−0.094**
	(0.669)	(0.754)	(0.302)	(0.563)	(0.033)	(0.038)
Cap.-re-loc. cases:	drop	only	drop	only	drop	only
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	–	–
Controls:	yes	yes	yes	yes	–	–
Mean DV:	70	72	9.5	10	-6.5	-6.8
Observations	1,757,320	334,416	2,478,438	417,126	1,467,105	39,908
Adjusted R²	0.454	0.438	0.051	0.049	0.838	0.395

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of respondents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

E.2 Migration

Over their lifetime, DHS respondents might have moved towards or away from changing regional (and national) capitals in a manner correlated with their level of education and wealth. Such migration patterns might bias the results. If that was the case, we should see differential effects of travel times among migrants and non-migrants. In particular, if migrants were driving the results, no effect of changes in the travel time towards capitals should be visible among non-migrants. Table A7 demonstrates that this is not the case. The effect of travel times on education rates is significantly larger for migrants than for non-migrants. In the case of infant mortality rates, the difference between the two sample is mostly insignificant, except for Model (4), which suggest that longer travel times to regional capitals have a more *negative* effect on infant mortality rates among migrant- than non-migrant mothers. Their absolute effect is however insignificantly different from zero in both cases (see also the lead-analysis below, Table A9).

Table A7: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Migrants and non-migrants

	Primary educ. (0/100)		Infant mort. (0/100)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−2.618***	−2.540***	0.776***	0.607**
	(0.607)	(0.600)	(0.291)	(0.284)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.569***	−1.511***	0.050	0.043
	(0.416)	(0.413)	(0.196)	(0.203)
Migrant	−4.031***	−13.190***	0.638***	1.861***
	(0.865)	(2.514)	(0.240)	(0.359)
Migrant×Time to nat. capital (log)	0.699**	0.634*	0.220*	0.200
	(0.347)	(0.341)	(0.130)	(0.134)
Migrant×Time to reg. capital (log)	1.495***	1.304***	−0.151	−0.166
	(0.285)	(0.287)	(0.151)	(0.152)
Non-migrants: $\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−4.187***	−4.051***	0.826**	0.65**
	(0.711)	(0.703)	(0.321)	(0.317)
Migrant × controls	no	yes	no	yes
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Controls:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Mean DV:	66	66	11	11
Observations	650,045	650,045	1,532,319	1,542,596
Adjusted R^2	0.483	0.483	0.052	0.034

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

E.3   Testing for pre-trends and reverse causality

As highlighted in the main text, one important threat to inference in the panel analysis is that the baseline estimates may be biased by differential pre-trends in local development that reversely cause the extension of state reach. I here present the full details of the empirical test that accounts for such trends. In particular, I re-estimate the baseline specification, adding measures of future travel times to capitals in $t + x$. These leads of the main treatments capture differential changes in local development that occur before changes in travel times affect localities. More specifically, for the analysis of local primary education rates, which are affected by state reach during age 6 to 11 of respondents, I estimate the effect of the average travel time to capitals during age 6-11, and add five separate controls for the travel times to capitals at age 12 to 16. For infant mortality rates, which are affected only in the year of an infants' birth, I add the time to capitals at age 2 to 6. Similarly, for local nightlight emissions, I add the respective variables for

Figure A15: All leads, based on Table A8.

$t + 1...5.$

$$Y_{i,p,c,t,s} = \alpha_p + \lambda_{c,t} + \mu_s + \beta_1 \text{ time to nat. cap.}_{p,t} + \beta_2 \text{ time to reg. cap.}_{p,t} + \delta X_i +$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{5} \gamma_l \text{ time to nat. cap.}_{p,t+l} + \sum_{l=1}^{5} \zeta_l \text{ time to reg. cap.}_{p,t+l} + \epsilon_{i,p,c,t}, \tag{4}$$

Consistently significant estimates for $gamma_l$ and $zeta_l$ or their sum that point in the same direction as the main result would reject the null-hypothesis of an absence of pre-trends. Table A8 and Figure A15 show the results of these demanding[26] specifications. The first thing to note is that the main estimates of the effects of travel times to capitals are only marginally and insignificantly different from those obtained in the baseline specification. This is a first sign that these are not affected by differential pre-trends. Second however, and as Table A8 shows, the sum of leads is negative and marginally significant in the estimation of the effect of travel times to national capitals on education rates. This suggests that education rates increase before capitals move closer to a location through roads or relocation. The leads in the infant mortality analysis are in sum close to zero and show few signs of divergent pre-trends. The leads in the nightlight analysis have very heterogeneous estimates, but are, for the most part statistically insignificant and in sum not different from zero. Both patterns suggest that the main estimate is not systematically affected by differential pre-trends.

One reason for the negative and marginally significant lead effect of travel times to national capitals on primary education rates consists in biased migration patterns by which

---

[26]The specifications are demanding because of the high correlations between the actual treatments and its leads.

Table A8: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Controlling for leads

	Primary educ. (0/100)	Infant mort. (0/100)	Light/capita (log)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−2.690***	0.785*	−0.035
	(0.485)	(0.409)	(0.035)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.501***	0.295	−0.060*
	(0.425)	(0.241)	(0.031)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−4.191***	1.08**	−0.095***
	(0.611)	(0.42)	(0.035)
Sum of leads (nat. cap.):	−0.78*	0.307	−0.008
	(0.44)	(0.448)	(0.036)
Sum of leads (reg cap.):	0.427	−0.085	0.032
	(0.357)	(0.26)	(0.03)
Time to cap.$_{t+1,\ldots,t+5}$:	yes	yes	yes
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	–
Controls:	yes	yes	–
Mean DV:	70	9.8	-6.5
Observations	2,083,138	2,781,293	1,369,922
Adjusted R^2	0.452	0.050	0.840

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

individuals select in and out of treatment after having gone to school. These patterns can be picked up by the lead effects because I attribute travel times on the basis of the current location of DHS respondents. In order to test for this possibility, I re-estimate the respective specification, now interacting the treatment variables and their leads with a dummy for migrants.[27] The respective variable is only available for the reduced sample of DHS respondents that have gone through the entire interview and is based on whether they have 'always' lived in their current place of residence.[28]

Reassuringly, the results in Table A9 show that the lead effects are only negative for the migrants in the sample, but positive for the non-migrants. Both sums of leads are statistically insignificant, presumable due to the smaller sample size. Furthermore, the main effect associated with travel times to national and regional capitals is much larger in the non-migrant sample than in the migrant sample, which is consistent with the fact that non-migrants' primary education is affected by local state reach to greater extent than that of migrants. The results for the respective analysis of the mortality of infants of non-migrant mothers mirror those described above, albeit with the caveat that the

---

[27]The baseline results for this migrant × travel times interaction without the leads is reported below in Subsection E.2.

[28]Note that the respective question does not allow to distinguish individuals who have moved within the same neighborhood from those who have migrated from one place to another. The migrant dummy therefore overestimates migration.

children of migrant mothers are slightly – but only weakly significantly – more likely to survive close to capitals than those of non-migrant mothers.

Table A9: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Controlling for leads, migrants and non-migrants

	Primary educ. (0/100)	Infant mort. (0/100)
	(1)	(2)
Migrant	−3.798***	0.669
	(0.837)	(0.520)
Non-migrants: Time to nat. capital (log)	−1.544**	0.189
	(0.612)	(0.356)
Non-migrants: Time to reg. capital (log)	−4.092***	0.627**
	(0.880)	(0.244)
Migrants: Time to nat. capital (log)	−1.662*	−0.041
	(0.900)	(0.865)
Migrants: Time to reg. capital (log)	−0.152	−0.023
	(0.871)	(0.548)
Non-migrants: $\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−5.341***	0.858
	(1.012)	(0.564)
Non-migrant leads:		
Sum of leads (nat. cap.):	0.493	0.345
	(0.707)	(0.568)
Sum of leads (reg. cap.):	−0.294	−0.146
	(0.525)	(0.381)
Migrant leads:		
Sum of leads (nat. cap.):	−0.965	1.315
	(0.763)	(0.888)
Sum of leads (reg. cap.):	−0.087	−0.131
	(0.818)	(0.552)
Time to cap.$_{t+1,\ldots,t+5}$:	yes	yes
Point FE:	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes
Controls:	yes	yes
Mean DV:	66	11
Observations	648,126	1,505,657
Adjusted R^2	0.483	0.052

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

E.4   Potentially endogenous road building

The main results might also be driven by roads that are built at the local level around specific towns and villages. Because such road building inherently lowers the distance to all capitals, it might lead to spurious results if it was caused by increasing levels of development in these areas. To exclude such omitted variable bias, I employ two strategies. First, I control for the mileage of roads in the geographic neighborhood of respondents

Figure A16: Estimating the baseline models with constant road networks from varying years

(20km) / in a Voronoi cell (see Figure A14). This is similar to the strategy of Donaldson and Hornbeck (2016) in that the variation left stems from changes in the road network outside a particular point's neighborhood. The second and more stringent test is to re-estimate all models with non-time variant road networks. In such a setting, all variation within points stems solely from changes in the administrative design of a country – that is the location of boundaries and capitals. I do so with each Michelin network that I observe. The results, plotted in Figure A16, are insensitive towards these changes – it appears that the magnitude of baseline results are unaffected by purging the model of temporal variance induced through changes in road networks. If at all, the absolute size of the estimates slightly increases.

E.5   Omitted variables and spurious correlations

Controlling for spuriously correlated economic market access   Travel times to regional and national capitals might not only proxy for transaction costs between governments and citizens, but more broadly transaction costs between the participants of economic markets. Variation in economic market access might lead to spurious results, since it results in higher levels of economic activity and development (e.g. Donaldson 2018; Donaldson and Hornbeck 2016; Eaton and Kortum 2002; Jedwab and Moradi 2016; Jedwab and Storeygard 2018). I thus follow the economic literature on the effect of market access on economic growth and calculate, for each year, the road-network based access to national and international markets. Following Donaldson (2018) and Eaton and Kortum (2002), I define the measure as:

$$MA_{p,t} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_{p,m,t}^{-\theta} * P_{m,t},$$

where the market access of point p in year t is the sum of the market potential P of a market m in year t multiplied by the travel time between p and m calculated on the road network and discounted by a trade elasticity θ. Because Donaldson (2018) and Eaton and Kortum (2002) estimate different trade elasticity measures ($\theta = 8.28$ and 3.2 respectively), I construct the market access measure for both parameters. Because the effects of access to national and international markets might differ, I calculate MA separately for markets inside and outside of p's country. I define markets as the 1530 biggest cities and towns in Africa. These are all cities that ever reached more then 50'000 inhabitants since 1950[29]. Each city's market potential P is approximated by its population as measured in each decade. Controlling for the four resulting variables in Table A10 indicates does not affect the magnitude of the effects associated with the measures of state reach. This indicates that a low distance towards capital cities increases local development above and beyond the effect of the economic markets they harbor. The effect of market access on education rates is slightly positive, once we take the sum of the respective coefficients. They have a mixed effect of infant mortality and nightlight emissions.

Controlling for ethnic politics and war: Ethnic politics are an important driver of both, development and state reach. Research on administrative unit reforms has found that they can reward government allies (Green 2010; Hassan 2016; Gottlieb et al. 2019) or harm opponents (Resnick 2017), strategies which may well be used in processes of ethnic accommodation or exclusion. At the same time, ethnic and regional favoritism of governments has been found to affect investments into road infrastructure (Burgess et al. 2015) and local development in general (Franck and Rainer 2012; Hodler and Raschky 2014). To capture such dynamics, I draw on the most comprehensive and geocoded data on ethnic power dynamics in Africa since independence, the Ethnic Power Relations data set (Vogt et al. 2015).[30] I use the geodata of ethnic groups to map respondents and Voronoi cells to the database's coding of ethnic inclusion and exclusion as well as the occurrence and history of ethnic civil wars. Table A11 shows the results from the baseline analysis with the resulting variables as additional controls. The additional controls do not affect the results. While in particular the time since the last ethnic civil war positively affects education rates, ethnic inclusion has a positive effect on infant survival rates and nightlight emissions.

---

[29]Data comes from `Africapolis.org`.

[30]All data can be freely downloaded from `growup.ethz.ch`.

Table A10: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Controlling for market access

	Primary educ. (0/100)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−1.919***	1.076***	−0.084***
	(0.434)	(0.254)	(0.030)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−0.966***	0.272*	−0.049**
	(0.318)	(0.151)	(0.019)
MA, internat. (log; $\theta = 3.8$)	2.178***	0.378	−0.146***
	(0.482)	(0.248)	(0.018)
MA, nat. (log; $\theta = 3.8$)	−0.804***	−0.025	0.082***
	(0.167)	(0.081)	(0.007)
MA, internat. (log; $\theta = 8.28$)	0.478	0.250	−0.009
	(0.323)	(0.182)	(0.011)
MA, nat. (log; $\theta = 8.28$)	−0.149	−0.098	0.034***
	(0.115)	(0.074)	(0.006)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−2.886***	1.347***	−0.133***
	(0.527)	(0.297)	(0.034)
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	–
Controls:	yes	yes	–
Mean DV:	70	9.6	-6.5
Observations	2,088,301	2,895,505	1,507,013
Adjusted R^2	0.452	0.051	0.836

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table A11: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Controlling for ethnic representation and civil war

	Primary educ. (0/100)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−2.723***	0.894***	−0.056**
	(0.387)	(0.233)	(0.026)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.244***	0.208	−0.037**
	(0.301)	(0.145)	(0.018)
Ethnic inclusion (0/1)	0.978	−0.573**	0.084***
	(0.638)	(0.227)	(0.024)
Ethnic exclusion (0/1)	1.138*	−0.384*	0.036*
	(0.639)	(0.216)	(0.020)
Ethnic civil war (0/1)	0.514	−0.123	0.037***
	(0.688)	(0.212)	(0.010)
Eth. war since indep. (0/1)	0.777	−0.117	−0.048***
	(0.819)	(0.298)	(0.017)
Time since eth. war	0.405***	−0.019	−0.001
	(0.124)	(0.035)	(0.001)
Time since eth. war^2	−0.015***	0.001	0.00000
	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.00002)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−3.967***	1.102***	−0.092***
	(0.456)	(0.262)	(0.028)
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	−
Controls:	yes	yes	−
Mean DV:	70	9.6	-6.5
Observations	2,091,736	2,882,233	1,507,013
Adjusted R^2	0.452	0.050	0.836

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Controlling for capitals: Another potential danger is that as some cities may become, for a variety of reasons, richer with time, and then benefit from a political upgrade and get their own administrative unit. In such cases, changes in state reach in that city would be endogenous to local development. In an additional robustness check I therefore include dummies for whether an interview-location was (1) in and (2) closer than 1 hour to a regional and national capital in time t. For the Voronoi units, I create analogous measures when they either contain a capital or have an average distance to a capital of below 1 hour. The results in Table A12 highlight that changes in distances towards capitals in locations which are not capitals themselves drive the baseline patterns. Above and beyond the effect associated with a reduction in travel times, becoming a regional capital is associated with *lower* education rates, and more mixed patterns in the other outcomes. Relocations of national capitals are associated with reductions of infant mortality rates in the new capitals.

Table A12: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Controlling for capitals

	Primary educ. (0/100)		Infant mort. (0/100)		Light/capita (log)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−2.565***	−2.707***	0.739***	0.830***	−0.051*	−0.052*
	(0.406)	(0.411)	(0.230)	(0.243)	(0.026)	(0.026)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.708***	−1.810***	0.218	0.254	−0.039**	−0.038**
	(0.342)	(0.353)	(0.154)	(0.165)	(0.019)	(0.019)
National capital (0/1)	−0.417		−5.869***		0.200	
	(3.252)		(2.244)		(0.265)	
Regional capital (0/1)	−3.092***		0.036		−0.049	
	(1.082)		(0.426)		(0.051)	
Time to nat. cap. < 1hr		−0.574		−0.241		0.099**
		(0.598)		(0.388)		(0.043)
Time to reg. cap < 1hr		−1.285***		0.117		−0.027
		(0.370)		(0.170)		(0.033)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−4.274***	−4.517***	0.956***	1.084***	−0.09***	−0.089***
	(0.479)	(0.492)	(0.263)	(0.278)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	–	–
Controls:	yes	yes	yes	yes	–	–
Mean DV:	70	70	9.6	9.6	-6.5	-6.5
Observations	2,091,724	2,091,736	2,895,545	2,895,564	1,507,013	1,507,013
Adjusted R^2	0.452	0.452	0.051	0.051	0.836	0.836

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of responents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

E.6 Varying education- and health-related outcomes:

Lastly, two sets of additional analyses gauge whether the results are applicable to alternative education and health care outcomes. First, Table A13 shows very similar effects of the travel time to regional and national capitals on (1) whether a respondent has spent any time in school, (2) on her years of schooling – logged and linear, and (3) on a simple secondary education dummy. The main deviation from the baseline model is that the distance to the national capital does not seem to impact secondary education levels. With regard to infant mortality, Table A14 indicates that increased infant mortality in regions of low state reach can indeed be related to a lower availability of professional prenatal assistants. Similarly, changes in travel times to national capitals come with an increased chance that a child is born in a public clinic and positively relate to the receipt of professional assistance during delivery. Conversely, if children are born under low levels of state reach from the national capital, assistance is given more often in traditional manner. Consistent with the earlier results, the distance to regional capitals does not have any significant effect on the receipt of professional prenatal or birth assistance. Regarding local development measured through nightlight emissions, Table A15 shows that the choice of outcomes – whether nightlights per capita (log), absolute nightlights (log), or a dummy for whether a Voronoi cell exhibits any nightlight emissions does not produce different conclusions. In all three cases, changes in travel times to capitals are in sum associated with more nightlights. The estimated effect of changes in travel times towards national capitals are statistically significant, with the exception of their effect on the logged amount of absolute nightlight emissions.

Table A13: Changes in time to national/regional capital and various education outcomes

	Any educ. (0/100)	Educ. years (linear)	Educ. years (log)	Sec. educ. (0/100)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Time to nat. capital (log)	-2.667^{***}	-0.231^{***}	-0.055^{***}	-0.023
	(0.401)	(0.046)	(0.009)	(0.423)
Time to reg. capital (log)	-1.229^{***}	-0.082^{**}	-0.026^{***}	-0.927^{***}
	(0.309)	(0.032)	(0.007)	(0.314)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	-3.897^{***}	-0.312^{***}	-0.081^{***}	-0.95^{*}
	(0.467)	(0.051)	(0.01)	(0.485)
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Controls:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Mean DV:	70	5.5	1.5	35
Observations	2,088,917	2,088,917	2,088,917	1,787,251
Adjusted R^2	0.450	0.462	0.489	0.356

Notes: OLS linear models. Control variables include respondents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table A14: Changes in time to national/regional capital and quality of prenatal care and birth assistance (in percent)

	Prof. prenatal care	Birth in public inst.	Prof. birth assist.	Trad. birth assist.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−9.465***	−2.476	−7.353***	3.765**
	(2.379)	(1.641)	(1.938)	(1.536)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−0.139	−0.316	0.191	1.026
	(1.018)	(0.997)	(0.937)	(0.702)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−9.604***	−2.792	−7.162***	4.791***
	(2.554)	(1.737)	(2.08)	(1.593)
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Controls:	yes	yes	yes	yes
Mean DV:	81	38	54	19
Observations	458,841	643,916	642,668	637,780
Adjusted R^2	0.467	0.409	0.440	0.319

Notes: OLS linear models. Control variables include an infant's mother's age and age squared, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table A15: Changes in time to national/regional capital and various nightlight measures

	Light/capita (log)	Light (log)	Any Light (0/100)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−0.052**	−0.114	−1.224**
	(0.026)	(0.072)	(0.588)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−0.037**	−0.112*	−0.861*
	(0.019)	(0.062)	(0.500)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−0.089***	−0.225***	−2.085***
	(0.028)	(0.07)	(0.57)
Unit FE:	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes
Controls:	–	–	–
Mean DV:	-6.5	-5.4	12
Observations	1,507,013	1,507,013	1,507,013
Adjusted R^2	0.836	0.838	0.784

Notes: OLS linear models. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

E.7 Additional robustness checks:

Country-weights: Because the DHS has not regularly sampled all African countries, the weights each country receives in the baseline specifications vary considerably. If the effects of state capacity on development vary systematically with the number and size of survey the DHS by countries, the results would be biased towards the most-sampled set of countries. Table A16 addresses this caveat by weighting each observation by the inverse of the number of observations from its country (Models 1 and 3) and from the re-

spective cohort in the same country (Models 2 and 4). The latter serves to prevent that the biggest cohorts drive the results at the expense of dynamics in smaller cohorts observed in the data.[31] Though coefficients slightly change, the results remain generally consistent with those reported at the baseline. Lastly, Model 5 addresses the potential problem that until now I have treated all Voronoi cells in the same manner, thus giving equal weight to areas with many and few inhabitants. Weighting the Voronoi units by their population roughly doubles the estimated effect of the travel time to regional capitals on nightlight emissions. This is reassuring, since it shows that the results above are not driven by areas with very low population densities that are prone to produce outliers in the per-capita nightlight measure. As in some previous results, the effect of the travel time to national capitals, is statistically insignificant.

Table A16: Changes in time to national/regional capital and local development: Alternative weights

	Primary educ. (0/100)		Infant mort. (0/100)		Light/capita (log)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Time to nat. capital (log)	−1.987***	−2.581***	0.519**	0.790**	−0.031
	(0.430)	(0.473)	(0.237)	(0.396)	(0.040)
Time to reg. capital (log)	−1.403***	−1.527***	0.174	0.229	−0.089**
	(0.317)	(0.329)	(0.155)	(0.342)	(0.036)
$\beta_1 + \beta_2$:	−3.39***	−4.108***	0.694***	1.019**	−0.12**
	(0.487)	(0.531)	(0.265)	(0.505)	(0.048)
Weights	country	country cohort	country	country cohort	population
Point FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Country-year FE:	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Survey FE:	yes	yes	–		
Controls:	yes	yes	–		
Mean DV:	70	70	9.6	9.6	-6.5
Observations	2,091,736	2,091,736	2,895,564	2,895,564	1,507,013
Adjusted R²	0.436	0.434	0.053	0.164	0.949

Notes: OLS linear models. Individuals are the units of the education and infant mortality analyses, Voronoi cells those of the nightlight analyses. Control variables for models with primary education as the dependent variable consist of respondents' age and age squared, as well as a female dummy. Where infant mortality is the dependent variable, models include an infant's mother's age at birth and its square, the birthorder and its square, as well as a female and twin dummy. Standard errors clustered on the point and country-year levels. Significance codes: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Varying the size of Voronoi units: This last robustness check assesses the impact of the choice of the size of Voronoi units used in the baseline analysis (400km^2). Figure A17 plots the results of the baseline specification estimated with units with exponential increases in their size from 100 to 6400km^2. Reassuringly, the Figure shows that small units generally give rise to smaller estimated and standardized effects. The increases in the respective effects that comes with larger units might be due to the unit constant added to the nightlight measure, which has a larger effect in small units in which more units have no observed nightlight emissions. Throughout, decreases in travel times to regional cap-

---

[31]Because of the sampling scheme of the DHS and attrition-by-death, cohorts close to the date of the survey are bigger than those in the past.

itals are associated with more nightlights. Decreases in travel times to national capitals are only associated with more nightlights in large units. This result adds to the uncertainty discussed in the main text on whether travel times to national capitals are indeed related to local nightlight emissions or not.


Figure A17: Effect of travel times to national and regional capitals on nightlight emissions in Voronoi units of increasing size.

Estimated coefficients and their 95% CI are standardized by dividing them by the mean dependent variable (log(.001 + nightlight p.c.)).

F References

Afrobarometer. 2018. "Afrobarometer Data." *Available at http://www.afrobarometer.org* .

Ben Yishay, Ariel Rotberg, Renee, Jessica Wells, Zhonghui Lv, Seth Goodman, Lidia Kovacevic and Dan Runfola. 2017. *Geocoding Afrobarometer Rounds 1-6: Methodology and Data Quality*. Williamsburg, VA: AidData.

Burgess, Robin, Remi Jedwab, Edward Miguel, Ameet Morjaria and Gerard Padró i Miquel. 2015. "The value of democracy: Evidence from road building in Kenya." *American Economic Review* 105(6):1817–1851.

Donaldson, Dave. 2018. "Railroads of the Raj: Estimating the Impact of Transportation Infrastructure." *American Economic Review* 108(4-5):899–934.

Donaldson, Dave and Richard Hornbeck. 2016. "Railroads and American Economic Growth: A "Market Access" Approach." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 131(2):799–858.

Eaton, Jonathan and Samuel Kortum. 2002. "Technology, Gravity, and Trade." *Econometrica* 70(5):1741–1779.

FAO. 2014. "Global Administrative Unit Layers." *Available from: http://data.fao.org/map?entryId=f7e7adb0-88fd-11da-a88f-000d939bc5d8&tab=metadata* .

Fesler, James. 1949. *Area and Administration*. Birmingham: University of Alabama Press.

Franck, Raphaël and Ilia Rainer. 2012. "Does the Leader's Ethnicity Matter? Ethnic Favoritism, Education, and Health in Sub-Saharan Africa." *American Political Science Review* 106(2):294–325.

Goldewijk, Kees Klein, Arthur Beusen and Peter Janssen. 2010. "Long-term dynamic modeling of global population and built-up area in a spatially explicit way: HYDE 3.1." *The Holocene* 2010(1):1–9.

Gottlieb, Jessica, Guy Grossman, Horacio Larreguy and Benjamin Marx. 2019. "A Signaling Theory of Distributive Policy Choice: Evidence from Senegal." *Journal of Politics* 81(2):631–647.

Green, Elliott. 2010. "Patronage, district creation, and reform in Uganda." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 45(1):83–103.

Grossman, Guy, Jan H. Pierskalla and Emma Boswell Dean. 2017. "Government Fragmentation and Public Goods Provision." *Journal Of Politics* 79(3):823–839.

Grossman, Guy and Janet I. Lewis. 2014. "Administrative Unit Proliferation." *American Political Science Review* 108(01):196–217.

Hassan, Mai. 2016. "A State of Change: District Creation in Kenya after the Beginning of Multi-party Elections." *Political Research Quarterly* 69(3):510–521.

Herbst, Jeffrey. 2000. *States and Power in Africa*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Hodler, Roland and Paul A. Raschky. 2014. "Regional Favoritism." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 129(2):995–1033.

Jedwab, Remi and Adam Storeygard. 2018. "The Average and Heterogeneous Effects of Transportation Investments: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa 1960-2010." *Unpublished Working Paper* .

Jedwab, Remi and Alexander Moradi. 2016. "The Permanent Effects of Transportation Revolutions in Poor Countries: Evidence from Africa." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 98(2):268–284.

Lloyd, Stuart P. 1982. "Least Squares Quantization in PCM." *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 28(2):129–137.

Miguel, Edward. 2004. "Tribe or Nation? Nation Building and Public Goods in Kenya versus Tanzania." *World Politics* 56(April 2004):328–362.

Müller-Crepon, Carl, Philipp Hunziker and Lars-Erik Cederman. 2021. "Roads to Rule, Roads to Rebel: Relational State Capacity and Conflict in Africa." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 65(2-3):563–590.

Polsby, Daniel D. and Robert Popper. 1991. "The Third Criterion: Compactness as a Procedural Safeguard Against Partisan Gerrymandering." *Yale Law & Policy Review* 9(2):301–353.

Resnick, Danielle. 2017. "Democracy, decentralization, and district proliferation: The case of Ghana." *Political Geography* 59:47–60.

Scott, James C. 2017. *Against the Grain. A Deep History of the Earliest States.* New Haven: Yale University Press.

Shelhamer, Evan, Jonathan Long and Trevor Darrell. 2017. "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation." *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 39(4):640–651.

Vogt, Manuel, Nils-Christian Bormann, Seraina Rüegger, Lars-Erik Cederman, Philipp M Hunziker and Luc Girardin. 2015. "Integrating Data on Ethnicity, Geography, and Conflict: The Ethnic Power Relations Dataset Family." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 59(7):1327–1342.

Weidmann, Nils and Kristian Skrede Gleditsch. 2010. "Mapping and Measuring Country Shapes: The cshapes Package." *R Journal* 2(1):18–24.

World Bank. 2018. "World Development Indicators." *Accessed on 2019/03/20 from https://databank.worldbank.org/data* .