

**BJPO/2015/002444, doi: 10.1192/bjpo.bp.115.002444**  
**Data supplement**

**Method**

*Multiple Automatically Generated Templates (MAGeT-Brain) algorithm*

Traditionally, automated model based segmentation methods rely on the expert identification of a neuroanatomical structure on a single well-defined template by a single rater or multiple raters (1, 2). These types of segmentations may be limited in accuracy by errors in the transformation estimation, irreconcilable neuroanatomical differences between the neuroanatomy of the template and the subject, and resampling errors after the application of the transformation to the labels. MAGeT-Brain limits these errors by using a “multi-atlas” based strategy while still relying on a single well-defined template. To summarize the technique, five hippocampal atlases detailed in (3) are used as input. The atlas is first customized to a subset of the dataset (21 subjects) using a nonlinear transformation estimated in a region-of-interest defined around the hippocampus (1, 4, 5). This set of subjects now acts as a set of templates and all other subjects are now warped to these templates. This provides 105 candidate segmentations for each subject. The final segmentation is decided upon using a voxel-wise majority vote (i.e., the label occurring most frequently at a specific location is retained) (6). In the original paper, strong overlap for labels was demonstrated with those manually derived as well as with those automatically derived using both FreeSurfer and FSL(7).

## References

1. Chakravarty MM, Sadikot AF, Germann J, Bertrand G, Collins DL. Towards a validation of atlas warping techniques. *Med Image Anal.* 2008; **12**(6): 713-26.
2. Collins DL, Neelin P, Peters TM, Evans AC. Automatic 3D intersubject registration of MR volumetric data in standardized Talairach space. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 1994; **18**(2): 192-205.
3. Winterburn JL, Pruessner JC, Chavez S, Schira MM, Lobaugh NJ, Voineskos AN, et al. A novel in vivo atlas of human hippocampal subfields using high-resolution 3 T magnetic resonance imaging. *NeuroImage.* 2013; **74**: 254-65.
4. Chakravarty MM, Sadikot AF, Germann J, Hellier P, Bertrand G, Collins DL. Comparison of piece-wise linear, linear, and nonlinear atlas-to-patient warping techniques: analysis of the labeling of subcortical nuclei for functional neurosurgical applications. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2009; **30**(11): 3574-95.
5. Chakravarty MM, Steadman P, van Eede MC, Calcott RD, Gu V, Shaw P, et al. Performing label-fusion-based segmentation using multiple automatically generated templates. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2013; **34**(10): 2635-54.
6. Collins DL, Pruessner JC. Towards accurate, automatic segmentation of the hippocampus and amygdala from MRI by augmenting ANIMAL with a template library and label fusion. *NeuroImage.* 2010; **52**(4): 1355-66.
7. Pipitone J, Park MT, Winterburn J, Lett TA, Lerch JP, Pruessner JC, et al. Multi-atlas segmentation of the whole hippocampus and subfields using multiple automatically generated templates. *NeuroImage.* 2014; **101**: 494-512.

**Table DS1** Hippocampal volumes at Scan1 and Scan2 for patient subgroups and controls. Data presented for FreeSurfer and MAGeT-Brain

		N	Mean	SD	SE	Minimum	Maximum
FreeSurfer							
Right, Scan1	Refused-APs	13	3450.8	567.8	157.5	2613.5	4632.1
	Risp/Palp	24	3567.4	389.0	79.4	2944.6	4741.7
	Olanz	12	3499.4	359.0	103.6	2874.2	3933.5
	Aripip	13	3564.5	296.5	82.2	3066.2	4179.1
	Control	44	3479.9	333.1	50.2	2988.2	4555.0
	Total	106	3508.7	375.4	36.5	2613.5	4741.7
Left, Scan1	Refused-APs	13	3315.8	519.1	144.0	2528.4	4388.9
	Risp/Palp	24	3486.5	364.7	74.4	2887.6	4507.9
	Olanz	12	3388.1	332.4	95.9	2831.1	3766.3
	Aripip	13	3484.3	341.0	94.6	2880.4	4040.2
	Control	44	3359.6	289.6	43.7	2782.8	4083.8
	Total	106	3401.5	351.1	34.1	2528.4	4507.9
Right, Scan2	Refused-APs	13	3415.9	547.7	151.9	2607.9	4602.5
	Risp/Palp	24	3566.1	376.0	76.8	2911.4	4747.6
	Olanz	12	3486.8	399.1	115.2	2806.4	4065.8
	Aripip	13	3635.6	274.9	76.2	3150.8	4207.8
	Control	44	3474.1	338.0	51.0	2922.0	4667.5
	Total	106	3509.0	376.5	36.6	2607.9	4747.6
Left, Scan2	Refused-APs	13	3331.8	530.0	147.0	2604.8	4496.1
	Risp/Palp	24	3480.3	351.8	71.8	2871.1	4481.8
	Olanz	12	3379.7	304.5	87.9	2826.7	3710.2
	Aripip	13	3553.9	311.9	86.5	3029.0	4148.4
	Control	44	3358.2	295.0	44.5	2758.0	3985.2
	Total	106	3409.0	348.3	33.8	2604.8	4496.1
MAGeT-Brain							
Right, Scan1	Refused-APs	13	2397.7	406.6	112.8	1884.0	3298.0
	Risp/Palp	24	2493.1	274.9	56.1	2047.0	3138.0
	Olanz	12	2479.3	285.2	82.3	1824.0	2783.0
	Aripip	13	2529.2	236.9	65.7	2177.0	2942.0
	Control	44	2456.8	234.9	35.4	1921.0	2971.0
	Total	106	2469.2	272.5	26.5	1824.0	3298.0
Left, Scan1	Refused-APs	13	2469.8	412.9	114.5	1951.0	3370.0
	Risp/Palp	24	2535.2	304.8	62.2	1957.0	3315.0
	Olanz	12	2515.3	234.4	67.7	2170.0	2872.0
	Aripip	13	2581.5	254.1	70.5	2179.0	3002.0
	Control	44	2481.8	231.4	34.9	1966.0	3115.0
	Total	106	2508.4	276.1	26.8	1951.0	3370.0

Right, Scan2	Refused-APs	13	2395.9	401.3	111.3	1911.0	3302.0
	Risp/Palp	24	2486.8	282.7	57.7	2051.0	3144.0
	Olanz	12	2473.2	291.5	84.2	1808.0	2863.0
	Aripip	13	2559.3	239.7	66.5	2139.0	2978.0
	Control	44	2462.4	234.9	35.4	1960.0	3003.0
	Total	106	2472.8	275.2	26.7	1808.0	3302.0
Left, Scan2	Refused-APs	13	2486.4	387.0	107.3	1968.0	3288.0
	Risp/Palp	24	2546.9	309.8	63.2	2012.0	3380.0
	Olanz	12	2487.1	234.2	67.6	2083.0	2829.0
	Aripip	13	2614.0	222.0	61.6	2266.0	2958.0
	Control	44	2488.4	223.1	33.6	2015.0	3079.0
	Total	106	2516.7	268.0	26.0	1968.0	3380.0

**Table DS2** Correlations at Scan1 and Scan2.

<b>At Scan1</b>				
	SAPS Total		SANS Total	
	r	P	r	P
FreeSurfer				
Left	-0.095	0.467	-0.237	0.066
Right	-0.058	0.655	-0.286	0.025
Total	-0.078	0.552	-0.267	0.037
MAGeT-Brain				
Left	-0.026	0.845	-0.176	0.175
Right	0.011	0.935	-0.168	0.194
Total	-0.008	0.953	-0.175	0.178
<b>At Scan2</b>				
	SAPS Total		SANS Total	
	r	P	r	P
FreeSurfer				
Left	-0.070	0.590	-0.086	0.507
Right	-0.033	0.801	-0.105	0.420
Total	-0.052	0.690	-0.098	0.453
MAGeT-Brain				
Left	-0.061	0.643	0.006	0.964
Right	0.011	0.932	0.030	0.818
Total	-0.025	0.849	0.018	0.888

Abbreviations: SAPS, Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms.