**Appendix A: Survey demographics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Female | 49.8% (51.0, ACS 2016) |
| Age 18-34 | 32% (26.0, ACS 2016) |
| 35-44 | 16% (14.5, ACS 2016) |
| 45-64 | 39.6% (35.5, ACS 2016) |
| 65-84 | 12.4% (20.5, ACS 2016) |
| 85+ | 0.0% (3.1, ACS 2016) |
| Income < $30,000 | 30.8% (22.5, ACS 2016) |
| $30,000 - $69,999 | 36.6% (35.1, ACS 2016) |
| $70,000 - $99,999 | 13.8% (13.0, ACS 2016) |
| $100,000 - $200,000 | 17.4% (21.9, ACS 2016) |
| > $200,000 | 1.3% (7.3, ACS 2016) |
| Less than high school | 2.7% (7.5, ACS 2016) |
| High school graduate | 20.4% (27.3, ACS 2016) |
| Some college | 44% (30.0, ACS 2016) |
| 4-year-degree | 24.2% (21.5, ACS 2016) |
| Advanced degree | 8.7% (13.3, ACS 2016) |
| Democrat | 43% |
| Republican | 38.2% |
| Independent | 18.4% |
| Liberal | 33.3% (26, Gallup 01/2019) |
| Conservative | 34.7% (35, Gallup 01/2019) |
| Moderate | 32% (35, Gallup 01/2019) |
| Table A.1. Sample demographics compared to ACS benchmarks | |

**Appendix B: Regression tables from models in main paper**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
|  | Dependent Variable |
|  | Perception of Incivility |
| Slurs | 0.146\*\*\* |
|  | (0.016) |
| Threats | 0.016 |
|  | (0.019) |
| Civility Policing | -0.017 |
|  | (0.014) |
| Elite Speaker | 0.001 |
|  | (0.009) |
| Elite Target | -0.001 |
|  | (0.010) |
| Speaker In-Party | -0.009 |
|  | (0.015) |
| Target In-Party | 0.057\*\*\* |
|  | (0.014) |
| Female Speaker | 0.023\* |
|  | (0.013) |
| Female Target | 0.046\*\*\* |
|  | (0.013) |
| White Speaker | -0.009 |
|  | (0.009) |
| White Target | -0.017\* |
|  | (0.009) |
| Constant | 0.568\*\*\* |
|  | (0.020) |
| Observations | 2,528 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.046 |
| F Statistic | 12.164\*\*\* (df = 11; 2516) |
| Table 1. | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | |
|  | H6a Racial Resentment | H6a SDO |
| Slurs | 0.145\*\*\* | 0.144\*\*\* |
|  | (0.016) | (0.016) |
| Threats | 0.013 | 0.016 |
|  | (0.019) | (0.019) |
| Civility Policing | -0.016 | -0.015 |
|  | (0.014) | (0.014) |
| Elite Speaker | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Elite Target | -0.001 | -0.0001 |
|  | (0.010) | (0.010) |
| Speaker In-Party | -0.009 | -0.012 |
|  | (0.015) | (0.015) |
| Target In-Party | 0.053\*\*\* | 0.052\*\*\* |
|  | (0.014) | (0.014) |
| Female Speaker | 0.022\* | 0.026\*\* |
|  | (0.013) | (0.013) |
| Female Target | 0.046\*\*\* | 0.050\*\*\* |
|  | (0.013) | (0.013) |
| Black Target | 0.025\* | 0.028\*\* |
|  | (0.013) | (0.013) |
| Black Speaker | 0.009 | -0.007 |
|  | (0.023) | (0.021) |
| Racial Resentment | -0.099\* |  |
|  | (0.051) |  |
| Black Speaker\*RR | 0.006 |  |
|  | (0.051) |  |
| SDO |  | -0.373\*\*\* |
|  |  | (0.066) |
| Black Speaker\*SDO |  | 0.084 |
|  |  | (0.064) |
| Constant | 0.594\*\*\* | 0.644\*\*\* |
|  | (0.029) | (0.026) |
| Observations | 2,524 | 2,522 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.051 | 0.082 |
| F Statistic | 11.334\*\*\* (df = 13; 2510) | 18.351\*\*\* (df = 13; 2508) |
| Table B.2 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | |
|  | H6b Racial Resentment | H6b SDO |
| Slurs | 0.145\*\*\* | 0.144\*\*\* |
|  | (0.016) | (0.016) |
| Threats | 0.012 | 0.015 |
|  | (0.019) | (0.019) |
| Civility Policing | -0.016 | -0.015 |
|  | (0.014) | (0.013) |
| Elite Speaker | 0.001 | 0.0001 |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Elite Target | -0.001 | -0.0002 |
|  | (0.010) | (0.010) |
| Speaker In-Party | -0.010 | -0.012 |
|  | (0.015) | (0.014) |
| Target In-Party | 0.053\*\*\* | 0.052\*\*\* |
|  | (0.014) | (0.014) |
| Female Speaker | 0.021 | 0.024\* |
|  | (0.013) | (0.013) |
| Female Target | 0.045\*\*\* | 0.049\*\*\* |
|  | (0.013) | (0.013) |
| Black Speaker | 0.010 | 0.014 |
|  | (0.013) | (0.012) |
| Black Target | 0.061\*\* | 0.065\*\*\* |
|  | (0.025) | (0.022) |
| Racial Resentment | -0.053 |  |
|  | (0.051) |  |
| Black Target\*RR | -0.087 |  |
|  | (0.054) |  |
| SDO |  | -0.259\*\*\* |
|  |  | (0.068) |
| Black Target\*SDO |  | -0.142\* |
|  |  | (0.077) |
| Constant | 0.576\*\*\* | 0.616\*\*\* |
|  | (0.029) | (0.027) |
| Observations | 2,524 | 2,522 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.052 | 0.083 |
| F Statistic | 11.578\*\*\* (df = 13; 2510) | 18.604\*\*\* (df = 13; 2508) |
| Table B.3 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | |

**Appendix C: Supplemental dyadic analyses**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
|  | Dependent Variable |
|  | Perception of Incivility |
| Slurs | 0.583\*\*\* |
|  | (0.065) |
| Threats | 0.067 |
|  | (0.076) |
| Civility Policing | -0.066 |
|  | (0.055) |
| Constant | 3.484\*\*\* |
|  | (0.065) |
| Observations | 2,528 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.035 |
| F Statistic | 31.999\*\*\* (df = 3; 2524) |
| Table C.1 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | |
|  | Model 1 | Model 2 |
| Slurs | 0.583\*\*\* | 0.567\*\*\* |
|  | (0.065) | (0.089) |
| Threats | 0.068 | 0.058 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.107) |
| Civility Policing | -0.067 | -0.031 |
|  | (0.055) | (0.078) |
| Speaker Elite | 0.008 |  |
|  | (0.036) |  |
| Speaker Non-Elite x Target Elite |  | 0.054 |
|  |  | (0.072) |
| Constant | 3.484\*\*\* | 3.444\*\*\* |
|  | (0.065) | (0.087) |
| Observations | 2,528 | 1,291 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.035 | 0.031 |
| F Statistic | 24.002\*\*\* (df = 4; 2523) | 11.356\*\*\* (df = 4; 1286) |
| Table C.2 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Partisanship | Gender | Race |
| Slurs | 0.553\*\*\* | 0.571\*\*\* | 0.399\*\*\* |
|  | (0.100) | (0.087) | (0.084) |
| Threats | 0.129 | 0.027 | 0.069 |
|  | (0.109) | (0.104) | (0.106) |
| Civility Policing | -0.162\* | -0.031 | -0.126\* |
|  | (0.085) | (0.082) | (0.074) |
| Speaker Out-Party x Target In-Party | 0.128  (0.083) |  |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker Out-Gender x Target In-Gender |  | -0.022  (0.072) |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker Out-Race x Target In-Race |  |  | 0.115  (0.074) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.594\*\*\* | 3.441\*\*\* | 3.457\*\*\* |
|  | (0.095) | (0.088) | (0.084) |
| Observations | 1,034 | 1,228 | 1,257 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.035 | 0.033 | 0.019 |
| F Statistic | 10.463\*\*\* (df = 4; 1029) | 11.569\*\*\* (df = 4; 1223) | 7.168\*\*\* (df = 4; 1252) |
| Table C.3 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Partisanship | Gender | Race |
| Slurs | 0.487\*\*\* | 0.613\*\*\* | 0.571\*\*\* |
|  | (0.099) | (0.088) | (0.082) |
| Threats | 0.067 | -0.043 | 0.128 |
|  | (0.105) | (0.108) | (0.105) |
| Civility Policing | -0.178\*\* | -0.097 | -0.076 |
|  | (0.089) | (0.076) | (0.073) |
| Speaker Out-Party x Target In-Party | 0.258\*\*\*  (0.081) |  |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker Out-Gender x Target In-Gender |  | -0.147\*  (0.076) |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker Out-Race x Target In-Race |  |  | -0.049  (0.075) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.502\*\*\* | 3.602\*\*\* | 3.534\*\*\* |
|  | (0.095) | (0.083) | (0.090) |
| Observations | 1,002 | 1,265 | 1,302 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.035 | 0.043 | 0.032 |
| F Statistic | 10.150\*\*\* (df = 4; 997) | 15.218\*\*\* (df = 4; 1260) | 11.834\*\*\* (df = 4; 1297) |
| Table C.4 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Partisanship | Gender | Race |
| Slurs | 0.594\*\*\* | 0.556\*\*\* | 0.541\*\*\* |
|  | (0.101) | (0.087) | (0.087) |
| Threats | 0.057 | 0.073 | 0.078 |
|  | (0.118) | (0.096) | (0.098) |
| Civility Policing | -0.213\*\* | -0.027 | -0.069 |
|  | (0.087) | (0.070) | (0.073) |
| Speaker In-Party x Target Out-Party | -0.133\*  (0.077) |  |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker In-Gender x Target Out-Gender |  | 0.125\*  (0.074) |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker In-Race x Target Out-Race |  |  | 0.161\*\*  (0.078) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.626\*\*\* | 3.433\*\*\* | 3.388\*\*\* |
|  | (0.098) | (0.086) | (0.086) |
| Observations | 1,056 | 1,267 | 1,247 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.041 | 0.033 | 0.031 |
| F Statistic | 12.236\*\*\* (df = 4; 1051) | 11.745\*\*\* (df = 4; 1262) | 10.869\*\*\* (df = 4; 1242) |
| Table C.5 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Partisanship | Gender | Race |
| Slurs | 0.653\*\*\* | 0.561\*\*\* | 0.599\*\*\* |
|  | (0.079) | (0.089) | (0.090) |
| Threats | 0.071 | 0.176\* | 0.006 |
|  | (0.097) | (0.099) | (0.098) |
| Civility Policing | 0.002 | -0.038 | -0.058 |
|  | (0.069) | (0.076) | (0.074) |
| Speaker In-Party x Target In-Party | 0.157\*  (0.083) |  |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker In-Gender x Target In-Gender |  | -0.042  (0.074) |  |
|  |  |
| Speaker In-Race x Target In-Race |  |  | -0.153\*\*  (0.074) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.333\*\*\* | 3.457\*\*\* | 3.533\*\*\* |
|  | (0.082) | (0.088) | (0.090) |
| Observations | 1,526 | 1,263 | 1,226 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.045 | 0.030 | 0.039 |
| F Statistic | 19.009\*\*\* (df = 4; 1521) | 10.852\*\*\* (df = 4; 1258) | 13.579\*\*\* (df = 4; 1221) |
| Table C.6 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Racial Resentment | SDO | Sexism |
| Slurs | 0.575\*\*\* | 0.573\*\*\* | 0.681\*\*\* |
|  | (0.064) | (0.063) | (0.096) |
| Threats | 0.057 | 0.067 | 0.177 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.075) | (0.113) |
| Civility Policing | -0.062 | -0.060 | -0.110 |
|  | (0.055) | (0.054) | (0.080) |
| Black Speaker | 0.040 | -0.016 |  |
|  | (0.091) | (0.082) |  |
| Racial Resentment | -0.414\*\* |  |  |
|  | (0.205) |  |  |
| Black Speaker:Racial Resentment | 0.009  (0.203) |  |  |
|  |  |
| SDO |  | -1.457\*\*\* |  |
|  |  | (0.263) |  |
| Black Speaker:SDO |  | 0.278 |  |
|  |  | (0.256) |  |
| Female Speaker |  |  | 0.199 |
|  |  |  | (0.158) |
| Hostile Sexism |  |  | -0.225 |
|  |  |  | (0.275) |
| Female Speaker:Hostile Sexism |  |  | -0.341  (0.283) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.636\*\*\* | 3.837\*\*\* | 3.461\*\*\* |
|  | (0.101) | (0.093) | (0.168) |
| Observations | 2,524 | 2,522 | 1,260 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.041 | 0.071 | 0.049 |
| F Statistic | 18.812\*\*\* (df = 6; 2517) | 32.964\*\*\* (df = 6; 2515) | 11.892\*\*\* (df = 6; 1253) |
| Table C.7 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Racial Resentment | SDO | Sexism |
| Slurs | 0.577\*\*\* | 0.577\*\*\* | 0.674\*\*\* |
|  | (0.064) | (0.063) | (0.095) |
| Threats | 0.048 | 0.060 | 0.178 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.075) | (0.113) |
| Civility Policing | -0.060 | -0.058 | -0.105 |
|  | (0.055) | (0.054) | (0.080) |
| Black Target | 0.258\*\*\* | 0.270\*\*\* |  |
|  | (0.098) | (0.089) |  |
| Racial Resentment | -0.218 |  |  |
|  | (0.204) |  |  |
| Black Target:Racial Resentment | -0.386\*  (0.216) |  |  |
|  |  |
| SDO |  | -1.010\*\*\* |  |
|  |  | (0.274) |  |
| Black Target:SDO |  | -0.608\*\* |  |
|  |  | (0.307) |  |
| Female Target |  |  | 0.145 |
|  |  |  | (0.156) |
| Hostile Sexism |  |  | -0.531\* |
|  |  |  | (0.273) |
| Female Target:Hostile Sexism |  |  | 0.255  (0.272) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.528\*\*\* | 3.694\*\*\* | 3.491\*\*\* |
|  | (0.103) | (0.096) | (0.167) |
| Observations | 2,524 | 2,522 | 1,260 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.043 | 0.073 | 0.059 |
| F Statistic | 19.962\*\*\* (df = 6; 2517) | 34.298\*\*\* (df = 6; 2515) | 14.115\*\*\* (df = 6; 1253) |
| Table C.8 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | |
|  | Dependent Variable | | |
|  | Perception of Incivility | | |
|  | Model 1 | Model 1 + Race | Model 1 + Gender |
| Slurs | 0.584\*\*\* | 0.584\*\*\* | 0.585\*\*\* |
|  | (0.064) | (0.065) | (0.064) |
| Threats | 0.069 | 0.072 | 0.070 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.076) | (0.076) |
| Civility Policing | -0.068 | -0.069 | -0.067 |
|  | (0.056) | (0.056) | (0.056) |
| Black Speaker |  | 0.251\*\* |  |
|  |  | (0.128) |  |
| Female Speaker |  |  | 0.151 |
|  |  |  | (0.133) |
| System Justification | 0.186 | 0.431 | 0.267 |
|  | (0.256) | (0.286) | (0.277) |
| Black Speaker:SJ |  | -0.486\* |  |
|  |  | (0.270) |  |
| Female Speaker:SJ |  |  | -0.172 |
|  |  |  | (0.282) |
| Constant | 3.406\*\*\* | 3.279\*\*\* | 3.332\*\*\* |
|  | (0.127) | (0.143) | (0.135) |
| Observations | 2,528 | 2,528 | 2,528 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.036 |
| F Statistic | 24.507\*\*\* (df = 4; 2523) | 17.054\*\*\* (df = 6; 2521) | 16.793\*\*\* (df = 6; 2521) |
| Table C.9 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 | | |

**Appendix D: Full survey wording**

Q168 Please indicate how much you disagree or agree with the following statements:

RR1 It’s really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Somewhat disagree (3)
* Strongly disagree (4)

RR2 Irish, Italian, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up.  Blacks should do the same.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Somewhat disagree (3)
* Strongly disagree (4)

RR3 Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Somewhat disagree (3)
* Strongly disagree (4)

RR4 Over the past few years, blacks have gotten more economically than they deserve.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Somewhat disagree (3)
* Strongly disagree (4)

Start of Block: SDO7

Q173 Please indicate how much you disagree or agree with the following statements:

SDO1   
An ideal society requires some groups to be on top and others to be on the bottom.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO2   
Some groups of people are simply inferior to other groups.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO3   
No one group should dominate in society.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO4   
Groups at the bottom are just as deserving as groups at the top.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO5   
Group equality should be our primary goal.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO6   
It is unjust to try to make groups equal.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO7   
We should do what we can to equalize conditions for different groups.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

SDO8   
We should work to give all groups an equal chance to succeed.

* Strongly agree (1)
* Somewhat agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Somewhat disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

End of Block: SDO7

Start of Block: Intro to tasks

Q19 We are interested in the things that Americans find newsworthy. What follows will be six different excerpts from recent newspaper articles on political interactions that took place in town hall meetings. For each scenario, please consider how newsworthy the interaction is, the emotions it makes you feel, and how uncivil it seems. For each scenario, mark the responses that most accurately reflect your opinions **(Reminder: there are six scenarios, total).**

uncivilsym\_1 How uncivil do you think the above scenario was?

* Not at all uncivil (1)
* Slightly uncivil (2)
* Somewhat uncivil (3)
* Mostly uncivil (4)
* Very uncivil (5)

**Appendix E: Replication of Frimer and Skitka (2020)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
|  | Dependent Variable |
|  | Perception of Incivility |
| Speaker In-Party Elite | 0.028 |
|  | (0.019) |
| Slurs | 0.150\*\*\* |
|  | (0.026) |
| Threats | 0.011 |
|  | (0.030) |
| Civility Policing | -0.050\*\* |
|  | (0.022) |
| Female Speaker | -0.008 |
|  | (0.020) |
| Female Target | 0.047\*\* |
|  | (0.021) |
| White Speaker | -0.007 |
|  | (0.014) |
| White Target | -0.035\*\* |
|  | (0.015) |
| Constant | 0.605\*\*\* |
|  | (0.027) |
| Observations | 1,056 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.047 |
| F Statistic | 7.508\*\*\* (df = 8; 1047) |
| Table E.1 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 |

**Appendix F: First scenario analyses**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
|  | Dependent Variable |
|  | Perception of Incivility |
| Slurs | 0.095\*\*\* |
|  | (0.035) |
| Threats | 0.001 |
|  | (0.045) |
| Civility Policing | -0.004 |
|  | (0.031) |
| Elite Speaker | 0.010 |
|  | (0.021) |
| Elite Target | -0.007 |
|  | (0.021) |
| Speaker In-Party | -0.031 |
|  | (0.031) |
| Target In-Party | 0.069\*\* |
|  | (0.030) |
| Female Speaker | 0.005 |
|  | (0.031) |
| Female Target | 0.071\*\* |
|  | (0.031) |
| White Speaker | 0.026 |
|  | (0.021) |
| White Target | -0.019 |
|  | (0.022) |
| Constant | 0.603\*\*\* |
|  | (0.037) |
| Observations | 430 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.024 |
| F Statistic | 1.944\*\* (df = 11; 418) |
| Table F.1 | \*p < 0.10 \*\*p < 0.05 \*\*\*p < 0.01 |

**Appendix G: AMCE replication**

A close up of text on a white background

Description automatically generated

**Appendix H: Speaker x target combinations (perception of incivility)**

A picture containing timeline

Description automatically generated

**Appendix I: Names used in study**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Black last names:** | **Black male first names:** | **Black female names:** | **White last names:** | **White male names:** | **White female names:** |
| Banks | DaShawn\* | Denisha | Walsh | Hunter | Katelyn |
| Jackson | Tremayne | Taniya | Decker | Jake | Claire |
| Washington | Jamal | Heaven | Becker | Seth | Laurie |
| Booker | DaQuan\* | Ashanti | Nielsen | Zachary | Stephanie |
| Jefferson | DeAndre | Tyra | McGrath | Todd | Abigail |
| Mosley | Tyrone | Ebony | Andersen | Matthew | Megan |
|  | Keyshawn | Shanice | Larsen | Logan | Kristen |
|  | Denzel | Latoya\* | Meyer | Ryan | Emily |
|  | Latrell | Keyana | Hartman | Scott | Sarah |
|  | Jayvon | Tionna |  | Dustin | Molly |
|  | Terrell | Latonya\* |  | Brett | Jill |
|  | DeShawn | Lakisha\* |  | Ethan | Hilary |
|  | Rasheed | Janae |  | Connor | Meredith |
|  | D’Andre | Tamika\* |  | Neil | Margaret |
|  | Kareem | Tanisha |  | Steven | Amy |
| \* indicates names that are low on SES, according to Gaddis (2017). | | | | | |

**Appendix J: In-gender/out-gender analyses**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
|  | *Dependent variable:* |
|  |  |
|  | Perceptions of incivility |
|  | |
| Slurs | 0.588\*\*\* |
|  | (0.061) |
|  |  |
| Threats | 0.065 |
|  | (0.069) |
|  |  |
| Civility policing | -0.069 |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Speaker elite | -0.014 |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Target elite | -0.004 |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Speaker in-party | -0.046 |
|  | (0.054) |
|  |  |
| Target in-party | 0.220\*\*\* |
|  | (0.054) |
|  |  |
| Speaker in-gender | 0.057 |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Target in-gender | -0.099\* |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Black target | 0.096\* |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Black speaker | 0.048 |
|  | (0.052) |
|  |  |
| Constant | 3.370\*\*\* |
|  | (0.081) |
|  |  |
|  | |
| Observations | 2,528 |
| R2 | 0.046 |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.042 |
| Residual Std. Error | 1.302 (df = 2516) |
| F Statistic | 11.124\*\*\* (df = 11; 2516) |
|  | |
| *Note:* | \*p\*\*p\*\*\*p<0.01 |