

A Supporting Appendix for “Race, Partisanship, and Democratic Politics: The Role of Racial Attitudes in Motivating White Americans’ Electoral Participation”

Contents

A.1	Control Variable Coding Scheme	2
A.2	Summary Statistics of Covariates of Interest	4
A.3	Summary Statistics & Coding of Outcome Variables	5
A.4	IRT Characteristic Curves & Cross-Sectional Distributions	6
A.5	Table of General Election Turnout Additive Models	10
A.6	Table of General Election Turnout Interactive Models	11
A.7	Table of Primary Election Turnout Additive Models	12
A.8	Table of Primary Election Turnout Interactive Models	13
A.9	Table of Political Meeting Attendance Additive Models	14
A.10	Table of Political Meeting Attendance Interactive Models	15
A.11	Table of Political Sign Activity Additive Models	16
A.12	Table of Political Sign Activity Interactive Models	17
A.13	Table of Political Campaign Volunteerism Additive Models	18
A.14	Table of Political Campaign Volunteerism Interactive Models	19
A.15	Table of Political Campaign Donation Additive Models	20
A.16	Table of Political Campaign Donation Interactive Models	21
A.17	Table of Political Candidate Emergence Additive Models	22
A.18	Table of Political Candidate Emergence Interactive Models	23
A.19	Table of Political Participation Index Additive Models	24
A.20	Table of Political Participation Index Interactive Models	25
A.21	American National Election Study Robustness Checks: Symbolic Racism & Racial Stereotypes	26
A.22	Cooperative Election Study Robustness Checks: (1) Three-Item FIRE Scale Replication, (2) One-Item Scale Replication, (3) Individual Items Replication	61
A.23	Appendix References	116

A.1 Control Variable Coding Scheme

Education Level: Standard measure of education coded as an ordinal variable coded in the following way given a respondent's highest level of educational attainment: 0 less than high school, 1 for high school graduate, 2 for some college education, 3 for a two-year college degree earner, 4 for a four-year college degree earner, and 5 for a post-graduate degree earner.

Income Level: We measure a respondent's income level from a standard question assessing self-reported household income. This ordinal variable ranges from 1 to 16, reflecting the fact that there are 16 distinct income categories in the survey ranging from "less than \$10,000" (1) to "\$500,000 or more" (16).

Female Voter: Dichotomous variable coded 1 for female voter and 0 for male voter.

Contested U.S. House Race: Dichotomous contextual variable coded 1 if a respondent resides in a congressional district with a standard two-party contested U.S. House election or 0 if the U.S. House election is not contested by both parties.

Contested U.S. Gubernatorial Race: Dichotomous contextual variable coded 1 if a respondent resides in a state with a standard two-party contested gubernatorial election or 0 if the state did not have a gubernatorial election on the ballot. Note that all gubernatorial elections that took place from 2016 to 2020 were contested by both major parties.

Contested U.S. Senate Race: Dichotomous contextual variable coded 1 if a respondent resides in a state with a standard two-party contested U.S. Senate election or 0 if the state did not have a U.S. Senate election on the ballot. Note that all U.S. Senate elections that took place from 2016 to 2020—except the 2016/2018 elections in California and the 2020 election in Arkansas—were contested by both major parties.

Political Knowledge: The political knowledge measure is coded as a Overall rating scale encompassing the correct recall of the following political stimuli: U.S. House majority party, U.S. Senate majority party, Governor, U.S. Representative, both U.S. Senators, the majority party in both chambers of the state legislature, and as well as correct ideological placement of the Democratic Party to the left of the Republican Party. All of these variables, available for each year of the Cooperative Election Study cross-sectional surveys, are coded dichotomously, 1 for correct office recall/ideological placement and 0 for incorrect office recall/ideological placement. We convert the Overall scale to a mean scale bounded from 0 to 1, with a score of 1 indicating if respondents answered correctly to all 9 questions in the political knowledge battery and 0 if a respondent missed all 9 questions. Our resulting political knowledge scale possesses a high degree of measurement validity, with a Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of 0.82 with a mean (median) score of 0.70 (0.80).

Presidential Approval: Ordinal numeric variable coded on a 4 point scale from strongly disapprove (1) to strongly approve (4).

Congressional Approval: Ordinal numeric variable coded on a 4 point scale from strongly disapprove (1) to strongly approve (4).

Latent Scaled Conservative Ideology: To measure the ideological preferences of respondents, we rely on Aldrich-McKelvey scaling. This scaling model is a potent analytical tool is that it corrects for the inherent bias in how respondents interpret and evaluate issue scales (i.e., differential item functioning). For example, liberal Democratic respondents may place themselves and their party as more moderate than a conservative respondent, which may place the Democratic party as far left (Aldrich & McKelvey, 1977; Palfrey & Poole, 1987; Hare et al., 2015; Ramey, 2015). The scaling method corrects for such biases by treating raw self-placements as linear distortions of the “correct” location of stimuli and estimating distortion parameters for each respondent. Thus, this method allows for the recovery of unbiased “true” stimuli positions and for correct ideal point estimates corrected for differential item functioning. Thus, the ideal point of respondents (x_i) can be articulated in the following form: $x_i = \frac{z_{i(self)} - \alpha_i}{\beta_i}$, where $z_{i(self)}$ is raw self-placement on the ideological scale, α_i is the shift distortion parameter, and β_i is the weight distortion parameter. Note that positive values of α_i indicates over-placement of themselves and the stimuli on the scale while positive values of β_i (the weight parameter) indicates correct placement of the stimuli (i.e., placement of liberal stimuli to the left of the conservative stimuli) (Hare et al., 2015). Respondent ideal points (x_i) are recovered from citizen left-right placements of themselves and national stimuli consistently present over the survey cross-sectional years (i.e., placements of the Democratic party, the Republican party, President Obama, President Trump, Former Democratic Vice President and 2020 presidential nominee Joe Biden, Former Secretary of State and 2016 Democratic presidential nominee Hillary Clinton, and the U.S. Supreme Court). Greater positive (negative) values of this scaled ideological ideal point represents a greater degree of conservatism (liberalism) in a given respondent.

Congressional Delegation Approval: Overall mean rating scale derived from questions assessing the degree of approval for members of Congress and United States Senators, which is coded on the CES survey in the following manner: 0 (not sure/never heard of this person), 1 (strongly approve), 2 (somewhat approve), 3 (somewhat disapprove), and 4 (strongly disapprove). From there, we sum the approval values for a given respondent’s congressional delegation (i.e., their member of Congress and two U.S. Senators) and divide the sum by 3 to calculate the mean level of approval a respondent possesses for their member of Congress.

Political Interest: Ordinal numeric variable assessing a respondent’s interesting in political affairs, coded on a 4 point scale from 1 (hardly at all interested) to 4 (most of the time interested).

A.2 Summary Statistics of Covariates of Interest

Table 1: Summary Descriptive Statistics for Covariates of Political Participation

Covariate	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Median	Min	Max
Liberal-Conservative Racial Attitudes	116,064	-0.000	0.884	-0.007	-1.294	1.999
Republican Partisan	131,380	0.416	0.493	0.000	0.000	1.000
Independent Partisan	131,380	0.150	0.357	0.000	0.000	1.000
Democratic Partisan	131,380	0.434	0.496	0.000	0.000	1.000
Educational Attainment	135,428	2.646	1.515	2	0	5
Household Income Level	125,857	6.429	3.343	6.000	1.000	16.000
Female Voter	135,428	0.547	0.498	1	0	1
Contested U.S. House Election Context	135,178	0.913	0.282	1.000	0.000	1.000
Contested Gubernatorial Election Context	135,428	0.358	0.479	0	0	1
Contested U.S. Senate Election Context	135,428	0.628	0.483	1	0	1
Political Knowledge	135,178	0.709	0.273	0.800	0.000	1.000
Presidential Job Approval	131,699	2.700	1.291	3.000	1.000	4.000
Congressional Job Approval	121,957	3.150	0.863	3.000	1.000	4.000
Latent Conservative Ideology	121,773	-0.092	0.910	-0.000	-7.763	8.438
Congressional Delegation Approval	135,428	2.015	1.152	2	0	4
Age	135,428	50.179	17.472	52	18	98
Political Interest	132,210	3.306	0.921	4.000	1.000	4.000
2016 Survey Year Fixed-Effect	135,428	0.342	0.474	0	0	1
2018 Survey Year Fixed-Effect	135,428	0.332	0.471	0	0	1
2020 Survey Year Fixed-Effect	135,428	0.326	0.469	0	0	1

Global N = 135,428 white cross-sectional respondents

Data: Cooperative Election Study, 2016-2020

¹indicates discrete category of categorical factor variable, note mean measures proportion of category encompassing factor.

A.3 Summary Statistics & Coding of Outcome Variables

Table 2: Summary Descriptive Statistics for Political Participation Outcome Variables

Dependent Variable	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Median	Min	Max
General Election Validated Turnout	135,428	0.621	0.485	1	0	1
Primary Election Validated Turnout	135,428	0.392	0.488	0	0	1
Political Meeting Attendance	118,593	0.117	0.321	0.000	0.000	1.000
Putting Up Yard Sign	118,593	0.192	0.394	0.000	0.000	1.000
Campaign Volunteer	118,593	0.062	0.240	0.000	0.000	1.000
Campaign Contribution Donor	118,593	0.226	0.418	0.000	0.000	1.000
Running for Office Candidate	118,323	0.038	0.192	0.000	0.000	1.000
Summated Political Participation Scale	135,428	1.568	1.440	1	0	7

Global $N = 135,428$ white cross-sectional respondents

Data: Cooperative Election Study, 2016-2020

Note that we measure all (5) self-reported political participation activity items, with the exception of (1) running for office, from the following question battery available for each survey year:

During the past year did you . . . (Check all that apply):

1. Attend local political meetings (such as school board or city council)
2. Put up a political sign (such as a lawn sign or bumper sticker)
3. Work for a candidate or campaign
4. Donate money to a candidate, campaign, or political organization
5. None of these

We measure the political activity of running for office from the following single choice question:

Have you ever run for elective office at any level of government (local, state or federal)?

1. Yes
2. No

As mentioned in the manuscript, the other two political activity items measured in the form of (1) general election turnout and (2) primary election turnout are provided by *Catalist* in the CES data and are not self-reported. As such, we code all political activities as a binary coded as 1 if they self-reported doing the activity in the last year (5 items in total)— or were verified by *Catalist* as doing so (the 2 voting items of turning out for a general or primary)—or 0 otherwise stipulating that they did not undertake the political activity. The political participation scale index is an aggregation of these (7) political participation items.

A.4 IRT Characteristic Curves & Cross-Sectional Distributions

In this section, we provide evidence that our key variable of interest, latent racial attitudes are comparable over cross-sectional survey years given that each yearly IRT model estimating these attitudes relies on differing cross-sectional samples in the CES. As mentioned in the manuscript, we take the approach of [Schaffner \(2022\)](#) and measure attitudes as a “denial of racism” latent variable using the following two survey questions:


1. White people in the US have certain advantages because of the color of their skin.
2. Racial problems in the US are rare, isolated situations.

Each of these items are initially coded on a 5 point-scale from strongly agree (1) to strongly disagree (5), with the mid-point of the scale (3) measuring “neither agree no disagree.” We code each variable in a direction articulating a range from “strongly acknowledge (i.e., not deny)” to “strongly deny (i.e., not acknowledge)” the prevalence of structural racism in American society. As such, we recode the “white people in the US have certain advantages” to indicate a higher level of denial of the proposition posed by the survey question. We begin to assess our latent measure of racial attitudes by showing the distribution of scale latent racial attitudes for each partisan group and the full sample within the (1) pooled context presented in the manuscript and (2) for each cross-sectional CES survey year.

[Figure 1](#) confirms that the distributions of latent racial attitudes are about identical within each survey cross-sectional year, with Republicans having more conservative racial attitudes than their Democratic and Republican counterparts. Moreover, the mean level of latent racial attitudes for each partisan group, and the full sample, does not significantly change depending on the survey cross-sectional year. This provides strong evidence that despite relying on differing component survey items to measure latent racial attitudes across each survey cross-sectional year, the resulting IRT estimation produces substantively the same distributions of latent racial attitudes for the full sample and each partisan group across years. Moreover, [Figure 2B](#) presents reliability information on the Cronbach’s α for each of the cross-sectional IRT latent variable and the proportion of the variance explained by each model. As one can see, for each cross-sectional year, there is a high degree of reliability between the level of denial of racism in society measured by the “racial problems are isolated” and “whites have societal advantages” questions, with each cross-sectional IRT model having a Cronbach’s α over 0.60. This confirms the high degree of reliability between the two survey items found in the racial attitudes measure used by ([Schaffner, 2022](#)). The raw correlations also lend support for this result, with the ρ correlations between both component survey items (i.e., the correlation between agreeing that racial problems are isolated and disagreeing that whites have societal advantages, with both measures capturing a lack of awareness of racism in society) being 0.49, 0.44, 0.66, and 0.53 in the 2016, 2018, 2020, and pooled data. Lastly, we also show that each of the IRT models explains a healthy degree of variation in latent racial attitudes variable, with the 2020 IRT model output measure explaining close to 80% of the variation in both survey components.


We further confirm this result in [Figure 2](#) showing the item response theory (IRT) characteristics curve for our latent racial attitudes variable for each year-specific IRT model. We find consistent evidence across each survey component item that greater levels of our latent racial attitudes variable corresponds to a higher probability of responding to an individual survey question used to estimate this latent variable of interest. For example, across each survey wave we

Figure 1: Distribution of Scaled Latent Racial Attitudes




find consistent evidence that greater levels (i.e., more conservative views) of estimated latent conservative racial attitudes increases the probability of “strongly disagreeing” (i.e., a P5 response on the ordinal scale) with the statement “white people in the US have certain advantages because of the color of their skin” *independent* of which yearly IRT model is assessed. In another example, we find across each survey wave we find consistent evidence that greater levels (i.e., more conservative views) of estimated latent racial attitudes increases the probability of “strongly agreeing” (i.e., a P5 response on the ordinal scale) with the statement “racial problems in the US are rare, isolated situations” *independent* of which yearly IRT model is assessed. Taken together, this strongly suggests that the distribution of our estimated latent racial attitudes used in the manuscript are not sensitive to the survey items used to estimate them in a given cross-sectional CES survey year.

Figure 2: IRT Characteristics Curve for Latent Racial Attitudes Variable by Cross-Section




(c) 2020 CES Wave




Lastly, we also estimate our two-component IRT model using the pooled CES survey, thus estimating all survey respondents in the same IRT model space. As Figure 3 shows, the IRT characteristic curves and the overall distribution are identical to the latent racial attitudes produced by the pooled IRT model. As a consequence, both the measurement of latent racial attitudes and the main results presented in the manuscript are not sensitive to the decision of measuring latent racial attitudes using a cross-sectional IRT or pooled IRT model approach. Moreover, the pooled approach also confirms a high degree of reliability between both items (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.70$) and a high degree of variation in the survey components (i.e, proportion of the variance explained = 0.69) explained by the single dimension IRT latent racial attitudes variable.

Figure 3: Pooled CES IRT Model Results Measuring Racial Attitudes



(b) Distribution of Scale Latent Racial Attitudes



A.5 Table of General Election Turnout Additive Models

Table 3: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.100*** (0.033)	-0.060** (0.025)	-0.060** (0.030)	-0.079*** (0.017)
Democratic Partisan	0.344*** (0.077)	0.361*** (0.067)	0.578*** (0.071)	0.413*** (0.043)
Republican Partisan	0.559*** (0.070)	0.435*** (0.070)	0.436*** (0.070)	0.490*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.024 (0.015)	0.073*** (0.014)	0.148*** (0.016)	0.077*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.007 (0.007)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.185*** (0.041)	0.143*** (0.035)	0.172*** (0.040)	0.162*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.203** (0.092)	0.183** (0.089)	0.151** (0.076)	0.194*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.187** (0.092)	0.083* (0.050)	0.120* (0.069)	0.160*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.335*** (0.070)	0.056 (0.046)	-0.059 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.209*** (0.104)	1.416*** (0.100)	1.358*** (0.121)	1.268*** (0.063)
Presidential Approval	-0.021 (0.027)	-0.041 (0.028)	0.004 (0.031)	0.026*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.201*** (0.027)	0.173*** (0.026)	0.147*** (0.025)	0.158*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.067* (0.038)	-0.041 (0.033)	0.035 (0.031)	0.022 (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.029 (0.021)	0.062*** (0.021)	0.044** (0.018)	0.039*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.322*** (0.031)	0.358*** (0.026)	0.281*** (0.030)	0.336*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.140*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.696*** (0.037)
Constant	-4.141*** (0.210)	-4.687*** (0.173)	-3.948*** (0.184)	-4.578*** (0.112)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,883.000	-15,351.530	-15,657.690	-48,147.320
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,800.000	30,737.070	31,349.380	96,332.630

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.6 Table of General Election Turnout Interactive Models

Table 4: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.002 (0.069)	-0.051 (0.060)	-0.059 (0.063)	-0.026 (0.038)
Democratic Partisan	0.297*** (0.078)	0.294*** (0.066)	0.472*** (0.073)	0.343*** (0.043)
Republican Partisan	0.507*** (0.076)	0.359*** (0.073)	0.315*** (0.078)	0.414*** (0.044)
Education Level	0.022 (0.015)	0.070*** (0.014)	0.143*** (0.016)	0.074*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.006 (0.007)	0.031*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.182*** (0.041)	0.144*** (0.035)	0.167*** (0.040)	0.160*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.200** (0.092)	0.186** (0.087)	0.156** (0.076)	0.194*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.183** (0.091)	0.085* (0.050)	0.121* (0.068)	0.160*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.339*** (0.070)	0.055 (0.046)	-0.061 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.150*** (0.104)	1.367*** (0.100)	1.308*** (0.119)	1.211*** (0.062)
Presidential Approval	-0.017 (0.027)	-0.039 (0.028)	0.007 (0.031)	0.029*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.183*** (0.026)	0.161*** (0.027)	0.138*** (0.025)	0.144*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.072* (0.038)	-0.020 (0.034)	0.061** (0.030)	0.040** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.030 (0.021)	0.064*** (0.022)	0.045** (0.018)	0.040*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.305*** (0.031)	0.341*** (0.026)	0.255*** (0.031)	0.316*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.135*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.672*** (0.038)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.299*** (0.077)	-0.197*** (0.068)	-0.244*** (0.071)	-0.261*** (0.042)
Attitudes × Republican	0.042 (0.080)	0.108 (0.066)	0.158** (0.068)	0.085** (0.042)
Constant	-4.026*** (0.212)	-4.577*** (0.172)	-3.825*** (0.183)	-4.452*** (0.112)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,853.480	-15,322.570	-15,611.950	-48,043.700
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,744.960	30,683.130	31,261.910	96,129.400

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.7 Table of Primary Election Turnout Additive Models

Table 5: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.090*** (0.028)	-0.052** (0.022)	-0.053** (0.024)	-0.065*** (0.015)
Democratic Partisan	0.645*** (0.075)	0.581*** (0.070)	0.896*** (0.057)	0.665*** (0.041)
Republican Partisan	0.705*** (0.068)	0.503*** (0.075)	0.411*** (0.058)	0.576*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.037** (0.015)	0.101*** (0.012)	0.127*** (0.012)	0.089*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.022*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.020*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.097*** (0.036)	0.053* (0.029)	0.095*** (0.029)	0.073*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.007 (0.122)	0.082 (0.123)	0.107 (0.111)	0.047 (0.074)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.021 (0.158)	0.289*** (0.083)	0.203*** (0.063)	0.176*** (0.054)
Contested Senate Race	0.091 (0.095)	-0.118** (0.057)	0.158*** (0.056)	0.037 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.261*** (0.116)	1.768*** (0.105)	1.502*** (0.097)	1.444*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.040 (0.028)	-0.068*** (0.025)	-0.005 (0.023)	0.062*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.130*** (0.023)	0.090*** (0.022)	0.072*** (0.018)	0.054*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.029 (0.038)	-0.115*** (0.036)	-0.126*** (0.027)	-0.064*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.094*** (0.022)	0.025 (0.023)	0.061*** (0.017)	0.064*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.030*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.356*** (0.035)	0.405*** (0.028)	0.350*** (0.027)	0.392*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.016 (0.071)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.637*** (0.055)
Constant	-5.538*** (0.206)	-6.117*** (0.216)	-5.368*** (0.184)	-5.921*** (0.119)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,421.870	-16,914.810	-19,470.790	-53,100.510
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,877.750	33,863.620	38,975.590	106,239.000

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

A.8 Table of Primary Election Turnout Interactive Models

Table 6: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.047 (0.072)	-0.064 (0.060)	-0.084* (0.050)	-0.043 (0.035)
Democratic Partisan	0.581*** (0.076)	0.485*** (0.072)	0.756*** (0.059)	0.567*** (0.042)
Republican Partisan	0.634*** (0.071)	0.413*** (0.080)	0.267*** (0.063)	0.483*** (0.043)
Education Level	0.035** (0.015)	0.097*** (0.012)	0.122*** (0.012)	0.085*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.021*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.096*** (0.036)	0.052* (0.029)	0.089*** (0.029)	0.071*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.006 (0.124)	0.083 (0.123)	0.112 (0.112)	0.047 (0.075)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.016 (0.159)	0.291*** (0.083)	0.201*** (0.062)	0.175*** (0.055)
Contested Senate Race	0.094 (0.095)	-0.120** (0.057)	0.154*** (0.056)	0.036 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.204*** (0.116)	1.713*** (0.104)	1.449*** (0.098)	1.385*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.047* (0.028)	-0.066*** (0.025)	-0.0004 (0.023)	0.066*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.114*** (0.022)	0.079*** (0.022)	0.064*** (0.018)	0.042*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.020 (0.039)	-0.090** (0.038)	-0.094*** (0.027)	-0.041** (0.021)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.094*** (0.022)	0.027 (0.023)	0.061*** (0.017)	0.064*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.031*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.338*** (0.034)	0.382*** (0.028)	0.319*** (0.027)	0.368*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.013 (0.072)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.613*** (0.056)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.229*** (0.079)	-0.194*** (0.064)	-0.230*** (0.057)	-0.241*** (0.040)
Attitudes × Republican	0.103 (0.080)	0.139** (0.067)	0.200*** (0.056)	0.122*** (0.040)
Constant	-5.418*** (0.208)	-5.983*** (0.219)	-5.223*** (0.183)	-5.773*** (0.120)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,394.630	-16,874.340	-19,403.910	-52,968.730
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,827.260	33,786.670	38,845.820	105,979.500

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.9 Table of Political Meeting Attendance Additive Models

Table 7: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.005 (0.044)	-0.048 (0.030)	0.030 (0.040)	0.001 (0.021)
Democratic Partisan	0.128 (0.116)	0.087 (0.096)	-0.020 (0.104)	0.099* (0.060)
Republican Partisan	0.032 (0.092)	-0.044 (0.093)	-0.244** (0.115)	-0.069 (0.060)
Education Level	0.176*** (0.022)	0.193*** (0.017)	0.217*** (0.021)	0.195*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.058*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.221*** (0.058)	-0.021 (0.040)	-0.130*** (0.045)	-0.117*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.135 (0.091)	0.050 (0.082)	-0.015 (0.125)	-0.067 (0.060)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.141* (0.077)	-0.016 (0.054)	0.138** (0.070)	0.071** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.074 (0.060)	0.011 (0.049)	0.158*** (0.050)	0.086*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.363** (0.175)	0.783*** (0.176)	1.049*** (0.180)	0.629*** (0.115)
Presidential Approval	-0.206*** (0.043)	-0.030 (0.040)	-0.182*** (0.042)	-0.071*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.260*** (0.036)	-0.093*** (0.031)	-0.051* (0.028)	-0.115*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.023 (0.058)	-0.174*** (0.029)	-0.230*** (0.032)	-0.157*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.215*** (0.028)	0.070*** (0.025)	0.092*** (0.023)	0.119*** (0.015)
Age	-0.013*** (0.002)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.693*** (0.077)	0.781*** (0.049)	0.684*** (0.069)	0.724*** (0.037)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.045 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.416*** (0.037)
Constant	-4.053*** (0.381)	-5.792*** (0.268)	-5.761*** (0.387)	-5.228*** (0.207)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-9,630.306	-11,042.830	-9,400.072	-30,219.250
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,294.610	22,119.660	18,834.140	60,476.510

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.10 Table of Political Meeting Attendance Interactive Models

Table 8: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.103 (0.107)	-0.131 (0.088)	-0.130 (0.084)	-0.104* (0.053)
Democratic Partisan	0.118 (0.117)	0.049 (0.099)	-0.045 (0.107)	0.075 (0.061)
Republican Partisan	-0.009 (0.104)	-0.111 (0.096)	-0.366*** (0.132)	-0.126* (0.065)
Education Level	0.176*** (0.022)	0.192*** (0.017)	0.216*** (0.021)	0.194*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.058*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.220*** (0.058)	-0.022 (0.040)	-0.131*** (0.045)	-0.117*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.134 (0.091)	0.049 (0.082)	-0.013 (0.125)	-0.066 (0.060)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.140* (0.077)	-0.016 (0.054)	0.137** (0.070)	0.070** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.074 (0.060)	0.010 (0.049)	0.156*** (0.050)	0.085*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.358** (0.175)	0.757*** (0.175)	1.043*** (0.180)	0.615*** (0.114)
Presidential Approval	-0.203*** (0.044)	-0.035 (0.041)	-0.200*** (0.045)	-0.070*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.261*** (0.036)	-0.097*** (0.032)	-0.051* (0.028)	-0.117*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.029 (0.057)	-0.161*** (0.029)	-0.214*** (0.032)	-0.145*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.215*** (0.028)	0.071*** (0.025)	0.093*** (0.023)	0.119*** (0.015)
Age	-0.013*** (0.002)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.691*** (0.078)	0.769*** (0.049)	0.675*** (0.069)	0.719*** (0.037)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.047 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.422*** (0.037)
Attitudes × Democrat	0.088 (0.116)	0.006 (0.092)	0.129 (0.095)	0.076 (0.059)
Attitudes × Republican	0.141 (0.123)	0.154 (0.099)	0.221** (0.089)	0.159*** (0.060)
Constant	-4.036*** (0.387)	-5.696*** (0.264)	-5.643*** (0.393)	-5.178*** (0.206)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-9,627.978	-11,036.840	-9,395.691	-30,209.260
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,293.960	22,111.680	18,829.380	60,460.510

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.11 Table of Political Sign Activity Additive Models

Table 9: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.043 (0.035)	-0.072** (0.028)	-0.065** (0.025)	-0.017 (0.016)
Democratic Partisan	0.382*** (0.103)	0.572*** (0.089)	0.913*** (0.083)	0.457*** (0.051)
Republican Partisan	0.511*** (0.088)	0.392*** (0.085)	0.292*** (0.085)	0.531*** (0.053)
Education Level	0.008 (0.017)	0.046*** (0.014)	0.043*** (0.013)	0.027*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.026*** (0.008)	0.028*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.030*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.094* (0.048)	-0.034 (0.037)	0.034 (0.034)	-0.030 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.019 (0.070)	0.218*** (0.080)	0.114 (0.084)	0.095** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.031 (0.072)	-0.044 (0.060)	0.002 (0.049)	0.004 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.143*** (0.055)	0.085 (0.052)	0.005 (0.044)	0.076*** (0.026)
Political Knowledge	0.522*** (0.141)	0.810*** (0.150)	1.056*** (0.131)	0.710*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	0.001 (0.036)	-0.184*** (0.032)	-0.390*** (0.026)	-0.051*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.027 (0.028)	-0.026 (0.026)	-0.042** (0.020)	-0.045*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.152*** (0.056)	-0.219*** (0.028)	-0.290*** (0.030)	-0.178*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.147*** (0.025)	0.053** (0.023)	0.035** (0.016)	0.076*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.638*** (0.058)	0.632*** (0.044)	0.662*** (0.041)	0.667*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.014 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.221*** (0.030)
Constant	-4.548*** (0.275)	-4.846*** (0.298)	-4.359*** (0.236)	-4.944*** (0.152)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-12,901.250	-13,726.860	-16,366.580	-43,221.770
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,836.500	27,487.720	32,767.150	86,481.530

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.12 Table of Political Sign Activity Interactive Models

Table 10: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.071 (0.102)	-0.183** (0.083)	-0.213*** (0.077)	0.031 (0.050)
Democratic Partisan	0.272** (0.114)	0.438*** (0.091)	0.684*** (0.086)	0.294*** (0.054)
Republican Partisan	0.413*** (0.097)	0.240*** (0.090)	-0.018 (0.099)	0.392*** (0.056)
Education Level	0.005 (0.017)	0.042*** (0.014)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.022** (0.009)
Income Level	0.025*** (0.008)	0.027*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.029*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.100** (0.048)	-0.038 (0.037)	0.023 (0.034)	-0.037 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.017 (0.069)	0.220*** (0.080)	0.116 (0.082)	0.095** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.023 (0.072)	-0.043 (0.060)	-0.003 (0.049)	0.001 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.147*** (0.056)	0.083 (0.052)	-0.002 (0.045)	0.074*** (0.027)
Political Knowledge	0.426*** (0.143)	0.735*** (0.150)	0.970*** (0.131)	0.617*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	0.007 (0.038)	-0.193*** (0.034)	-0.413*** (0.029)	-0.046*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.054* (0.029)	-0.038 (0.026)	-0.052*** (0.020)	-0.062*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.139** (0.056)	-0.183*** (0.028)	-0.239*** (0.030)	-0.146*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.148*** (0.025)	0.056** (0.023)	0.035** (0.016)	0.076*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.609*** (0.058)	0.600*** (0.044)	0.610*** (0.041)	0.630*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.022 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.181*** (0.029)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.397*** (0.109)	-0.150* (0.087)	-0.226*** (0.082)	-0.359*** (0.054)
Attitudes × Republican	0.100 (0.113)	0.281*** (0.090)	0.411*** (0.087)	0.158*** (0.055)
Constant	-4.322*** (0.279)	-4.602*** (0.294)	-3.981*** (0.242)	-4.697*** (0.150)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-12,852.800	-13,676.960	-16,254.350	-43,020.790
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,743.600	27,391.920	32,546.710	86,083.580

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.13 Table of Political Campaign Volunteerism Additive Models

Table 11: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	0.008 (0.059)	-0.199*** (0.043)	-0.062 (0.047)	-0.078*** (0.029)
Democratic Partisan	0.332** (0.169)	0.409** (0.183)	0.796*** (0.124)	0.495*** (0.090)
Republican Partisan	0.090 (0.167)	-0.097 (0.159)	0.140 (0.122)	0.070 (0.092)
Education Level	0.215*** (0.027)	0.214*** (0.024)	0.289*** (0.022)	0.236*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.022 (0.013)	0.010 (0.009)	0.035*** (0.008)	0.023*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.175** (0.074)	0.009 (0.058)	0.042 (0.055)	-0.043 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.081 (0.105)	0.271*** (0.082)	0.093 (0.117)	0.045 (0.068)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.057 (0.095)	-0.055 (0.069)	-0.094 (0.076)	-0.033 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.048 (0.081)	0.067 (0.060)	0.017 (0.054)	0.053 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.727*** (0.209)	1.518*** (0.240)	1.199*** (0.214)	1.006*** (0.141)
Presidential Approval	-0.178*** (0.053)	-0.068 (0.059)	-0.227*** (0.049)	-0.060*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.243*** (0.051)	-0.187*** (0.046)	-0.136*** (0.035)	-0.190*** (0.028)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.249** (0.120)	-0.232*** (0.039)	-0.393*** (0.038)	-0.282*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.260*** (0.033)	0.064* (0.034)	0.119*** (0.025)	0.144*** (0.018)
Age	-0.011*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.003* (0.001)
Political Interest	0.764*** (0.123)	1.016*** (0.090)	0.940*** (0.084)	0.907*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.216*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.203*** (0.050)
Constant	-5.730*** (0.622)	-8.695*** (0.477)	-8.171*** (0.508)	-7.429*** (0.359)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-5,970.342	-6,149.610	-6,756.708	-19,000.020
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,974.680	12,333.220	13,547.420	38,038.040

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

A.14 Table of Political Campaign Volunteerism Interactive Models

Table 12: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	0.250 (0.155)	-0.416*** (0.135)	-0.628*** (0.125)	-0.197** (0.082)
Democratic Partisan	0.297 (0.183)	0.336* (0.200)	0.766*** (0.128)	0.415*** (0.093)
Republican Partisan	0.105 (0.174)	-0.248 (0.169)	-0.237 (0.151)	-0.072 (0.099)
Education Level	0.214*** (0.027)	0.211*** (0.024)	0.285*** (0.022)	0.233*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.021 (0.013)	0.010 (0.009)	0.035*** (0.008)	0.023*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.181** (0.074)	0.004 (0.058)	0.035 (0.056)	-0.047 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.083 (0.105)	0.272*** (0.081)	0.096 (0.118)	0.045 (0.068)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.055 (0.096)	-0.055 (0.070)	-0.098 (0.075)	-0.035 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.050 (0.081)	0.065 (0.060)	0.010 (0.054)	0.051 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.683*** (0.208)	1.452*** (0.240)	1.145*** (0.213)	0.949*** (0.141)
Presidential Approval	-0.191*** (0.055)	-0.083 (0.066)	-0.284*** (0.056)	-0.054*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.255*** (0.050)	-0.194*** (0.047)	-0.138*** (0.035)	-0.199*** (0.028)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.254** (0.119)	-0.205*** (0.040)	-0.343*** (0.038)	-0.260*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.260*** (0.033)	0.066* (0.034)	0.119*** (0.025)	0.144*** (0.018)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.002* (0.001)
Political Interest	0.751*** (0.124)	0.985*** (0.090)	0.898*** (0.084)	0.884*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.220*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.232*** (0.050)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.339* (0.181)	0.041 (0.145)	0.393*** (0.132)	-0.011 (0.090)
Attitudes × Republican	-0.169 (0.184)	0.428*** (0.145)	0.875*** (0.143)	0.312*** (0.094)
Constant	-5.603*** (0.621)	-8.473*** (0.481)	-7.799*** (0.511)	-7.259*** (0.354)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-5,960.894	-6,133.689	-6,728.080	-18,971.800
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,959.790	12,305.380	13,494.160	37,985.600

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.15 Table of Political Campaign Donation Additive Models

Table 13: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.205*** (0.034)	-0.307*** (0.040)	-0.133*** (0.025)	-0.141*** (0.018)
Democratic Partisan	0.256*** (0.086)	0.322*** (0.116)	0.915*** (0.066)	0.519*** (0.047)
Republican Partisan	0.362*** (0.080)	-0.266** (0.132)	0.182*** (0.065)	0.347*** (0.049)
Education Level	0.120*** (0.016)	0.214*** (0.019)	0.178*** (0.012)	0.157*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.062*** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.007)	0.073*** (0.005)	0.059*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.166*** (0.044)	0.111** (0.052)	0.017 (0.033)	-0.023 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.024 (0.075)	-0.110 (0.098)	0.059 (0.086)	-0.009 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.123* (0.070)	0.059 (0.064)	0.053 (0.046)	0.082*** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.014 (0.044)	-0.049 (0.057)	0.059* (0.032)	0.035 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	1.100*** (0.128)	1.128*** (0.187)	1.428*** (0.115)	1.276*** (0.079)
Presidential Approval	-0.134*** (0.032)	0.145*** (0.053)	-0.278*** (0.026)	0.032*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.089*** (0.030)	-0.049 (0.046)	0.079*** (0.018)	0.112*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.150*** (0.054)	-0.439*** (0.037)	-0.478*** (0.034)	-0.387*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.136*** (0.023)	-0.034 (0.031)	0.056*** (0.015)	0.070*** (0.012)
Age	0.008*** (0.002)	-0.018*** (0.002)	0.014*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.967*** (0.062)	0.986*** (0.056)	0.947*** (0.045)	0.970*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.612*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.389*** (0.025)
Constant	-7.116*** (0.343)	-7.283*** (0.367)	-7.248*** (0.248)	-7.742*** (0.189)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-13,574.430	-7,430.877	-17,216.900	-38,949.600
Akaike Inf. Crit.	27,182.860	14,895.750	34,467.810	77,937.200

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

A.16 Table of Political Campaign Donation Interactive Models

Table 14: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	0.032 (0.104)	-0.415*** (0.114)	-0.251*** (0.062)	-0.061 (0.054)
Democratic Partisan	-0.018 (0.090)	0.220 (0.145)	0.620*** (0.068)	0.247*** (0.049)
Republican Partisan	0.182** (0.085)	-0.451*** (0.150)	-0.156** (0.072)	0.091* (0.051)
Education Level	0.114*** (0.015)	0.211*** (0.019)	0.171*** (0.012)	0.150*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.007)	0.073*** (0.005)	0.058*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.182*** (0.044)	0.106** (0.052)	0.002 (0.034)	-0.037 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.023 (0.075)	-0.112 (0.097)	0.062 (0.087)	-0.008 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.112 (0.069)	0.060 (0.064)	0.047 (0.045)	0.077** (0.030)
Contested Senate Race	0.024 (0.045)	-0.052 (0.057)	0.049 (0.032)	0.032 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	0.934*** (0.132)	1.050*** (0.187)	1.325*** (0.115)	1.143*** (0.080)
Presidential Approval	-0.140*** (0.034)	0.175*** (0.059)	-0.275*** (0.027)	0.045*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.043 (0.031)	-0.059 (0.046)	0.066*** (0.018)	0.085*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.133** (0.055)	-0.413*** (0.037)	-0.412*** (0.033)	-0.346*** (0.024)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.135*** (0.023)	-0.031 (0.031)	0.055*** (0.016)	0.070*** (0.012)
Age	0.009*** (0.002)	-0.017*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.911*** (0.062)	0.957*** (0.056)	0.884*** (0.045)	0.910*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.654*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.324*** (0.026)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.779*** (0.109)	-0.061 (0.123)	-0.349*** (0.068)	-0.556*** (0.057)
Attitudes × Republican	0.178 (0.113)	0.550*** (0.143)	0.471*** (0.068)	0.304*** (0.059)
Constant	-6.679*** (0.348)	-7.198*** (0.379)	-6.915*** (0.248)	-7.362*** (0.191)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-13,368.330	-7,396.724	-17,025.220	-38,483.820
Akaike Inf. Crit.	26,774.660	14,831.450	34,088.440	77,009.650

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

A.17 Table of Political Candidate Emergence Additive Models

Table 15: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016 (1)	2018 (2)	2020 (3)	Pooled (4)
Racial Attitudes	0.290*** (0.065)	0.098 (0.060)	0.048 (0.058)	0.169*** (0.040)
Democratic Partisan	0.452** (0.184)	0.073 (0.279)	0.551*** (0.163)	0.364*** (0.116)
Republican Partisan	0.026 (0.155)	-0.284 (0.199)	0.084 (0.131)	-0.011 (0.108)
Education Level	0.158*** (0.038)	0.165*** (0.028)	0.178*** (0.029)	0.168*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.058*** (0.016)	0.016 (0.012)	0.040*** (0.010)	0.040*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.785*** (0.086)	-0.744*** (0.100)	-0.693*** (0.074)	-0.748*** (0.053)
Contested House Race	-0.195 (0.157)	0.057 (0.186)	-0.044 (0.187)	-0.107 (0.103)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.229* (0.133)	0.096 (0.099)	0.126 (0.112)	0.140** (0.068)
Contested Senate Race	-0.063 (0.108)	0.189** (0.091)	-0.117 (0.087)	-0.005 (0.050)
Political Knowledge	-0.164 (0.247)	0.235 (0.219)	0.341 (0.268)	-0.034 (0.162)
Presidential Approval	-0.256*** (0.078)	-0.058 (0.085)	-0.377*** (0.071)	-0.132*** (0.021)
Congressional Approval	-0.546*** (0.055)	-0.272*** (0.060)	-0.160*** (0.051)	-0.324*** (0.034)
Scaled Conservatism	0.123 (0.092)	-0.001 (0.065)	-0.223*** (0.083)	-0.044 (0.049)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.228*** (0.047)	0.105** (0.042)	0.038 (0.030)	0.108*** (0.024)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.023*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.003)	0.014*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.434*** (0.089)	0.523*** (0.087)	0.337*** (0.076)	0.427*** (0.048)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.376*** (0.076)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.231*** (0.058)
Constant	-3.696*** (0.450)	-6.293*** (0.601)	-4.751*** (0.522)	-4.695*** (0.328)
Observations	29,404	29,644	32,434	91,482
Log Likelihood	-4,519.937	-4,423.029	-5,350.732	-14,427.450
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,073.875	8,880.059	10,735.460	28,892.910

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

A.18 Table of Political Candidate Emergence Interactive Models

Table 16: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.022 (0.150)	-0.008 (0.183)	-0.282* (0.146)	-0.076 (0.096)
Democratic Partisan	0.452*** (0.168)	0.116 (0.261)	0.599*** (0.135)	0.395*** (0.106)
Republican Partisan	0.109 (0.165)	-0.235 (0.190)	0.122 (0.152)	0.066 (0.114)
Education Level	0.164*** (0.038)	0.168*** (0.028)	0.186*** (0.030)	0.175*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.060*** (0.017)	0.017 (0.012)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.041*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.776*** (0.086)	-0.739*** (0.100)	-0.682*** (0.073)	-0.740*** (0.053)
Contested House Race	-0.190 (0.157)	0.056 (0.185)	-0.037 (0.187)	-0.104 (0.103)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.234* (0.131)	0.093 (0.099)	0.129 (0.112)	0.140** (0.067)
Contested Senate Race	-0.064 (0.107)	0.191** (0.092)	-0.113 (0.087)	-0.002 (0.049)
Political Knowledge	-0.029 (0.241)	0.295 (0.218)	0.442* (0.264)	0.088 (0.158)
Presidential Approval	-0.249*** (0.073)	-0.060 (0.081)	-0.370*** (0.062)	-0.137*** (0.021)
Congressional Approval	-0.509*** (0.054)	-0.262*** (0.059)	-0.154*** (0.051)	-0.305*** (0.033)
Scaled Conservatism	0.115 (0.095)	-0.027 (0.067)	-0.239*** (0.079)	-0.076 (0.049)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.231*** (0.048)	0.105** (0.043)	0.041 (0.030)	0.110*** (0.024)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.022*** (0.003)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.470*** (0.090)	0.546*** (0.087)	0.382*** (0.077)	0.467*** (0.048)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.371*** (0.076)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.187*** (0.057)
Attitudes × Democrat	0.643*** (0.170)	0.311* (0.179)	0.683*** (0.162)	0.575*** (0.101)
Attitudes × Republican	0.008 (0.161)	0.005 (0.194)	0.156 (0.157)	0.037 (0.104)
Constant	-4.012*** (0.450)	-6.420*** (0.564)	-4.941*** (0.506)	-4.946*** (0.317)
Observations	29,404	29,644	32,434	91,482
Log Likelihood	-4,494.402	-4,418.074	-5,325.070	-14,366.700
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,026.803	8,874.149	10,688.140	28,775.410

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.19 Table of Political Participation Index Additive Models

Table 17: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Overall Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.083*** (0.017)	-0.081*** (0.012)	-0.066*** (0.015)	-0.069*** (0.009)
Democratic Partisan	0.311*** (0.041)	0.319*** (0.044)	0.626*** (0.033)	0.393*** (0.023)
Republican Partisan	0.377*** (0.039)	0.212*** (0.038)	0.211*** (0.035)	0.312*** (0.023)
Education Level	0.076*** (0.009)	0.105*** (0.007)	0.136*** (0.007)	0.106*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.032*** (0.004)	0.027*** (0.003)	0.041*** (0.003)	0.034*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.063*** (0.023)	-0.007 (0.018)	0.010 (0.019)	-0.023* (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.024 (0.047)	0.092* (0.051)	0.068 (0.053)	0.053 (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.100** (0.044)	0.064* (0.033)	0.086*** (0.028)	0.088*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.122*** (0.035)	0.008 (0.025)	0.047* (0.024)	0.060*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.737*** (0.056)	0.883*** (0.050)	0.963*** (0.054)	0.826*** (0.034)
Presidential Approval	-0.065*** (0.017)	-0.050*** (0.015)	-0.138*** (0.013)	-0.006 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.029** (0.014)	0.023* (0.013)	0.030*** (0.011)	0.015** (0.008)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.057** (0.026)	-0.164*** (0.015)	-0.201*** (0.016)	-0.136*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.111*** (0.012)	0.039*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.009)	0.071*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.380*** (0.018)	0.371*** (0.014)	0.389*** (0.015)	0.393*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.191*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.312*** (0.019)
Constant	-1.507*** (0.106)	-1.826*** (0.092)	-1.682*** (0.099)	-1.907*** (0.059)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
R ²	0.181	0.199	0.231	0.214
Adjusted R ²	0.181	0.199	0.230	0.214
Residual Std. Error	1.255 (df = 29466)	1.192 (df = 29668)	1.224 (df = 32492)	1.228 (df = 91658)
F Statistic	407.808*** (df = 16; 29466)	461.326*** (df = 16; 29668)	608.890*** (df = 16; 32492)	1,388.210*** (df = 18; 91658)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.20 Table of Political Participation Index Interactive Models

Table 18: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Overall Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.001 (0.040)	-0.097*** (0.033)	-0.143*** (0.033)	-0.048** (0.022)
Democratic Partisan	0.252*** (0.041)	0.238*** (0.044)	0.483*** (0.034)	0.299*** (0.024)
Republican Partisan	0.309*** (0.041)	0.124*** (0.041)	0.043 (0.039)	0.216*** (0.025)
Education Level	0.073*** (0.009)	0.101*** (0.007)	0.130*** (0.007)	0.102*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.031*** (0.004)	0.026*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.033*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.066*** (0.023)	-0.007 (0.018)	0.004 (0.019)	-0.026** (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.022 (0.047)	0.094* (0.050)	0.074 (0.053)	0.053 (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.095** (0.044)	0.065** (0.033)	0.085*** (0.027)	0.087*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.125*** (0.035)	0.007 (0.025)	0.042* (0.024)	0.060*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.670*** (0.056)	0.828*** (0.050)	0.907*** (0.052)	0.761*** (0.034)
Presidential Approval	-0.058*** (0.017)	-0.050*** (0.015)	-0.137*** (0.014)	-0.003 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.007 (0.015)	0.011 (0.013)	0.022* (0.011)	0.001 (0.008)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.048* (0.026)	-0.139*** (0.016)	-0.165*** (0.015)	-0.111*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.111*** (0.012)	0.040*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.009)	0.072*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.362*** (0.018)	0.352*** (0.014)	0.358*** (0.015)	0.370*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.196*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.282*** (0.019)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.307*** (0.043)	-0.188*** (0.037)	-0.185*** (0.036)	-0.256*** (0.024)
Attitudes × Republican	0.090* (0.046)	0.146*** (0.036)	0.269*** (0.037)	0.143*** (0.025)
Constant	-1.373*** (0.105)	-1.691*** (0.092)	-1.511*** (0.099)	-1.749*** (0.059)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
R ²	0.189	0.206	0.241	0.222
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.205	0.241	0.222
Residual Std. Error	1.250 (df = 29464)	1.187 (df = 29666)	1.216 (df = 32490)	1.222 (df = 91656)
F Statistic	381.129*** (df = 18; 29464)	427.147*** (df = 18; 29666)	572.913*** (df = 18; 32490)	1,309.869*** (df = 20; 91656)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.21 American National Election Study Robustness Checks: Symbolic Racism & Racial Stereotypes

In addition, we replicate our models positing a relationship between racial attitudes and propensity to participate in democratic politics among white Americans using the 2016 & 2020 cross-sectional surveys provided by the *American National Election Study* (ANES) and two measures of racial attitudes. In the first robustness check, we leverage the classic four-item “racial resentment” battery developed by [Kinder & Sears \(1981\)](#), which measures attitudes regarding *symbolic racism*. In the second robustness check, we leverage the two-item racial stereotype battery used to assess attitudes regarding *racial prejudice* (see [Piston, 2010](#)). Both robustness checks largely confirm the findings in the manuscript that greater conservatism (i.e., greater racial resentment or adherence to racial stereotypes) in racial attitudes correlates with a decline in political participation among Democratic partisans and an increase in political participation among Republican partisans.

As articulated in the manuscript, we elect to evaluate our theoretical model using data from the *Cooperative Election Study* (CES), which provides for a larger N and greater heterogeneity in electoral contexts, in addition to the inclusion of data on the 2018 midterm election cycles. We also elect to use the CES given the inclusion of explicit measures tapping into white racial attitudes regarding the denial of structural racism in the United States, which scholars note are an increasingly salient dimension of racial views with respect to predicting political behavior ([Algara & Hale, 2019, 2020](#); [Casellas & Wallace, 2020](#); [DeSante & Smith, 2020](#); [Green & McElwee, 2018](#); [Schaffner, MacWilliams & Nteta, 2018](#); [Schaffner, 2022](#)). We note that while scholars vary on how many survey components to include in this measure of this denial of racism scale, we present results in forthcoming robustness checks that our substantive findings are not sensitive to which items to include in our scaling of racial attitudes.


Nevertheless, in the forthcoming section, we show that the results of our models are robust when using the ANES. This is notable not only because of the differing, and smaller N sample found in the ANES but also due to that fact that our results are robust to two alternative theoretical and empirical measures of racial attitudes. Along those lines, we begin this robustness check by estimating our first covariate of interest, racial attitudes, using the following “symbolic racism” battery provided by the ANES and developed by [Kinder & Sears \(1981\)](#) and [Kinder & Sanders \(1996\)](#). This racial resentment battery captures the level of agreement given by a respondent to the following questions, on a scale from (1) strongly agree to (5) strongly disagree, with the middle category (3) recording a response of “neither agree nor disagree”:

1. *Blacks have gotten less than they deserve over the past few years*
2. *Blacks must try harder to succeed*
3. *Blacks should not have special favors to succeed*
4. *Conditions make it difficult for Blacks to succeed*

Congruent with the analysis leveraging the data from the CES, we code all questions so that greater values indicate more conservative racial attitudes as per standard convention ([Schaffner, MacWilliams & Nteta, 2018](#); [Schaffner, 2022](#)). All four items are asked in both the 2016 and 2020 ANES cross-sectional survey waves. We note that some scholars argue that, unlike the survey items used in the CES, the traditional racial attitudes battery in the ANES tends to measure a degree of

policy conservatism among white Americans rather than non-policy attitudes on race (see [DeSante & Smith, 2020](#), for a rich overview of this measurement literature). However, the ANES provides a suitable robustness check given that racial resentment is a very commonly used measure of racial attitudes in the literature. Moreover, we contend that the 2016 and 2020 presidential election years provides a critical test of our theoretical framework positing the asymmetrical relationship between these conservative racial attitudes and political participation, with these attitudes *demobilizing* Democratic and independent partisans while *mobilizing* Republican partisans. To that end, we estimate latent racial attitudes using the same graded scale item response theory (IRT) model described in the manuscript for each cross-sectional survey year.¹ [Figure 4](#) below compares the overall and partisan distributions of racial attitudes estimated from the ANES and CES data in the form of violin plots.

Figure 4: Distribution of Scaled Latent Racial Attitudes by Samples



As one can see, the distribution of racial attitudes between both data sources are very similar across both data sources despite leveraging different survey components to estimate the latent variable. Across both data sources, Republicans have significantly more conservative racial

¹Note that our robustness check holds when using a classic summated rating scale

attitudes than their Democratic counterparts and the overall full sample features a bimodal distribution of liberal-conservative racial attitudes representing the clear partisan cleavages in the American polity.² As such, we expect more conservative (i.e., greater values of racial resentment) to correlate with lower likelihood of political participation among Democratic partisans and higher levels of political participation among Republican partisans.

Now that we provided evidence regarding the comparability of this key latent variable of interest, we turn to the specification of our key covariate of interest in the second ANES robustness check. To that end, we follow the lead of Piston (2010) and use the stereotype battery found in the 2016 and 2020 ANES to measure *racial prejudice*. For both of these cross-sectional years of the ANES, this battery asks respondents to rate whites and African-Americans using the following questions:³

1. On this scale from 1 to 7, where 1 mean hard-working and 7 means lazy, where would you rate [whites/Blacks] in general on this scale?
2. On this scale from 1 to 7, where 1 means peaceful and 7 means violent, where would you rate [whites/Blacks] in general on this scale?


We note that, traditionally, scholars have leveraged an additional stereotype question relating to intelligence to tap into this scale of racial prejudice. However, the 2016 and 2020 ANES cross-sectional survey waves omit this question and thus we leverage the two remaining questions to construct our *racial prejudice measure*. Consistent with Piston (2010), we construct this measure by first flipping our two stereotype variables such that a value of 1 indicates a negative (i.e., lazy or violent) evaluation of a given racial group (whites or Blacks) and a value of 7 indicates a positive evaluation (i.e., hardworking or peaceful) of a given racial group (again, whites or Blacks). Once we recoded our two stereotype scales, we then take the difference between a given white respondent's evaluations of *whites* and their evaluations of *Blacks* for each scaled measure. This provides for a *relative* measure of racial stereotypes held by white respondents bounded from -6 to 6, with positive values indicating that they believe their racial group (i.e., whites) is more hardworking or peaceful than Blacks and negative values indicating that they believe their racial group is less hardworking (lazy) or peaceful (violent) than Blacks. A score of 0 on either scale indicates that the white respondent views their racial group and Blacks in the same fashion on a given stereotype dimension. After calculating this measure of relative racial prejudice for both the lazy-hardworking and violent-peaceful scales, we take the mean of both scales as our racial prejudice measure assessing racial stereotypes. Again, this racial prejudice measure is bounded on a scale from -6 to 6, with higher values indicating greater in-group white affinity relative to Blacks

²Additionally, our IRT scaling of the racial resentment battery confirms a high degree of internal consistency across all four survey question components commonly seen in the literature (DeSante & Smith, 2020). Indeed, the Cronbach's α shows a high degree of reliability with this reliability statistic taking the form of 0.86, 0.89, and 0.88 in the 2016, 2020, and pooled IRT models. Note we elect to measure latent racial attitudes by cross-sectional survey year rather than in a pooled IRT context. All three of these IRT models show a high degree of proportion of the variance explained by the latent variable, taking the form of 0.72, 0.78, and 0.77 in the 2016, 2020, and pooled IRT model frameworks.

³We also note that respondents were asked to evaluate Asians and Hispanics, but we elected to focus on the differential between white and Black perceptions to measure racial prejudice in the identical fashion as Piston (2010) and consistent with prior work measuring racial prejudice.

on these two racial stereotypes asking white respondents to assess the “laziness” and “violent” nature of racial groups. The distribution of this white-Black relative racial prejudice differential for the full sample and by partisan groups can be seen below.

Figure 5: Distribution of Racial Attitudes by Samples



Congruently, Figure 5 shows consistent evidence that Democratic partisans (mean = 0.1413, SD = 1.21) have significantly less conservative—or, in other words, possess less white in-group affinity—than Republican partisans (mean = 1.163, SD = 1.374).⁴ As such, we expect this higher white in-group affinity (i.e., more positive scores on the relative racial stereotype attitudes scale previously described) to correlate with less political participation among Democratic partisans and greater political participation.

We now turn to specifying our ANES robustness check model, with the only difference in the specification of this model is measurement of racial attitudes to take the form of (1) *symbolic racism* or (2) *racial prejudice*. The ANES includes the following seven outcome variables that serve to measure different dimensions of political participation outcomes during the 2016 and 2020 presidential election cycles, with weighted sample percentages in parentheses for the ANES and CES samples respectively:

1. Self-reported turning out to vote in a general election (75% self-reported ANES, 56% vote validated CES)
2. Self-reported turning out to vote in a primary election (44% self-reported ANES, 33% vote validated CES)⁵
3. Influencing others to vote (42% self-reported ANES, N/A for the CES)
4. Contributing to a political campaign or cause (16% self-reported ANES, 18% self-reported CES)
5. Putting up a political sign (15% self-reported ANES, 17% self-reported CES)
6. Attending a political meeting (6% self-reported ANES, 13% self-reported CES)

⁴The mean for independent partisans is 0.61 with a standard deviation of 1.381.

⁵Note that the ANES only measures primary vote during the 2016 presidential election cycle.

7. Volunteering for a political campaign (3% self-reported ANES, 6% self-reported CES)

As one can see, we confirm the assertion in the manuscript showing that the ANES provides for an upward bias in measuring voter turnout. Independent of this concern of the lack of vote validated measurement of individual turnout in the ANES, both data sources provide for comparable degrees of political participation in the polity as shown in the weighted frequency among white Americans in parentheses for both samples. Congruent with our manuscript models, we leverage these seven political participation activities as the outcome variables of our models. Similarly, we also combine all seven variables to an additive scale to evaluate our hypotheses across aggregate levels of political participation.

Now that we specify our key covariates and outcome variables of interest, we turn to measuring our control covariates. Replicating our manuscript CES models, we include standard controls for education, voter gender, congressional approval, presidential approval, age, political interest, political knowledge, and whether a voter resides in an electoral context with a contested (1) U.S. House race, (2) U.S. Senate race, and (3) gubernatorial race. We code these variables in a consistent fashion as articulated in the coding scheme of the CES control variables, with the only exception being that we rely on the office recall battery of voters to measure political knowledge in the ANES, where voters must correctly identify correctly (1) the Supreme Court Chief Justice, (2) the U.S. House Speaker, (3) the Vice President, and (4) which party controls the U.S. House. We also include controls for other attitudes that may shape political participation, such external political efficacy, believe that voting is a civic duty, and whether the respondent was mobilized to participate in politics due to party contact. We measure government efficacy using the standard ANES index five-category format from (0) least trusting/efficacy to (5) high trusting/efficacy. We measure believe that voting is more of a civic duty than a choice on a 7 point scale ranging from (1) feeling very strongly that voting is a choice to (7) feeling very strongly that voting is a duty, with the mid-point of the scale (4) measuring feeling that voting is neither a duty nor a choice. Lastly, we account for party mobilization by coding a series of dummy variables indicating whether a voter was contacted by (1) both parties, (2) the Democratic Party, and (3) the Republican Party with the baseline (0) category indicating that a voter was contacted by none of the major party structure. In our ANES models, we interact this coding of party contact with our partisanship indicator to account for differences in the mobilizing effect of each party, along the lines suggested by [Rosenstone & Hansen \(1993\)](#) that voters are better mobilized to participate when contacted by their *own* party structures.

Now that we discussed the control variables included in our models, we turn to our estimation strategy for the ANES robustness checks. To that end, we specify a series of logistic regression models to assess how racial attitudes influence the propensity to participate in each of the seven individual political activities. We also specify an ordinary least squares (OLS) regression models help assess the racial attitudes correspond to the total *degree* of political participation across the full sample and within partisan groups. To evaluate our conditional framework evaluating the partisan asymmetry in the salience of racial attitudes shaping political participation, we interact racial attitudes with a respondent's partisan identity. Given our coding of partisanship as two dichotomous variables, with each capturing Republican and Democratic voters with Independent partisans serving as the baseline category, we are able to post-estimate the marginal effect of racial attitudes on the probability of political participation across each partisan category. As stated earlier, we also interact partisanship with our party contact variable to further account for the


dynamic that voters are mobilized to participate in politics if mobilized by their *own* co-partisan team (Rosenstone & Hansen, 1993). Lastly, given the hierarchical nature of the large- N data structure, with voters nested within congressional districts, we estimate our model quantities of interest clustered standard errors by a given respondent's congressional district.

We present the results of both each of our ANES robustness checks in conjunction with the results presented in the manuscript using the CES data. We begin with the results of our logistic regression models assessing the relationship between *symbolic* racial attitudes and the probability of engaging in a given political activity across each partisan group. As one can see in Figure 6, there is congruence between the ANES symbolic racism (racial resentment) robustness check models and the manuscript CES models. Among Republicans in the ANES models, we find that more conservative racial attitudes correlates with greater political participation in the form of (1) putting up political signs, (2) general election turnout, (3) being a political donor, (4) primary election turnout, (5) attending a political meeting, and (6) influencing the vote of others. Congruently, we find this positive correlation between conservative racial attitudes and political participation using the CES data in (1) putting up a political sign, (2) general election turnout, (3) being a political donor, (4) primary election turnout, (5) volunteering for a campaign, and (6) attending a political meeting. Indeed, the only model that does not replicate from the CES is running for political office and for the ANES the only model that does not replicate is volunteering for a campaign. The ANES model also finds a correlation between conservative racial attitudes and the probability of trying to influence the vote of others among Republicans, which is an outcome variable omitted in the CES data.


Turning to the results for Democratic partisans, we also find congruence between the ANES and CES models. In the ANES models, we find consistent evidence of a negative relationship between more conservative racial attitudes and the probability of participating (1) putting up political signs, (2) general election turnout, (3) being a political donor, (4) volunteering for a campaign, (5) attending a political meeting, and (6) influencing the vote of others. Indeed, the only model that does not show a negative relationship between greater racial attitudes and probability of participation in the ANES symbolic racism model is that of primary election turnout. In the CES models, we find that more conservative racial attitudes correlates with a lower probability of political participation in the form of (1) putting up political signs, (2) general election turnout, (3) being a political donor, (4) primary election turnout, (5) attending a political meeting, and (6) volunteering for a campaign. Indeed, the only exception for the replication in the CES data is self-reported running for political office, which—as mentioned in the manuscript—rests with a very small subset of respondents. While our results do not replicate for independents with the exception of becoming a political donor, we find consistent evidence in this robustness check of the partisan asymmetry theoretical framework presented in the manuscript, with more conservative racial attitudes correlating with greater participation among Republicans and lower participation among Democrats. Note that, like in the manuscript, we interact our measure of racial attitudes with partisanship to post-estimate our quantities of interest, which is the marginal effect of racial resentment on political participation by partisan subgroup.

Figure 6: Relationship Between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation among Partisans


(a) ANES Symbolic Racism (Republicans)




(b) CES Manuscript Models (Republicans)




(c) ANES Symbolic Racism (Independents)




(d) CES Manuscript Models (Independents)



(e) ANES Symbolic Racism Models (Democrats)




(f) CES Manuscript Models (Democrats)




In [Figure 7](#), we replicate the previous analysis by comparing the manuscript CES models with our ANES robustness checks leveraging our measure of racial prejudice in the form of the white-black stereotype differential measure ([Piston, 2010](#)). This ANES robustness check provides additional support for our theoretical framework that racial attitudes correlates with greater participation among Republicans and lower participation among Democrats. Indeed, among Republicans, we find that greater racial prejudice correlates with greater likelihood of (1) putting up a political sign, (2) influencing the vote, and (3) attending a political meeting. By contrast, our ANES racial prejudice robustness replicates for all seven participation categories among Democrats, indicating that across all categories greater in-group affinity racial prejudice correlates with a decline in the likelihood of political participation among Democratic partisans. Taken together, both ANES robustness checks provide evidence that racial attitudes serve to correlate with a decline in the likelihood of engaging in individual political activities for Democratic partisans while also correlating with an increase in political participation among Republican partisans.

Figure 7: Relationship Between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation among Partisans


(a) ANES Racial Prejudice (Republicans)




(b) CES Manuscript Models (Republicans)




(c) ANES Racial Prejudice (Independents)




(d) CES Manuscript Models (Independents)



(e) ANES Racial Prejudice Models (Democrats)



(f) CES Manuscript Models (Democrats)




We now turn to the results of our OLS models evaluating the relationship between racial attitudes and political participation index across partisan samples. Just as the preceding analysis, we present our models side by side in [Figure 9](#). With this aggregate outcome interest, we also find strong evidence of replication of our asymmetrical theory of political participation. As [Figure 9](#) shows, going from the minimum to maximum level of conservative racial attitudes correlates with an increase in the number of political activities undertaken by Republican partisans by 0.73 in the ANES symbolic racism robustness check, 0.84 in the ANES racial prejudice robustness check, and 0.31 in the CES models. By contrast, this same effect correlates with a decline in the number of political activities undertaken by Democratic partisans by 1.46 in the ANES symbolic racism robustness check, -0.07 in the ANES racial prejudice robustness check, and -1 in the CES models.


In summation, we find consistent evidence for our asymmetrical theory that racial attitudes *demobilizes* the political participation of white Democratic partisan while *mobilizing* their white Republican counterparts. We present full model of these interactive models, along with their additive form, in the pooled and cross-sectional form in the next pages of this appendix for both our ANES *symbolic racism* and *racial prejudice* robustness checks.

Figure 8: Relationship between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation Index Across Samples

(a) ANES Symbolic Racism Models



(b) ANES Racial Prejudice Models



(c) CES Manuscript Models




Table 19: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Self-Reported General Election Turnout		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	0.026 (0.114)	-0.101 (0.122)	-0.033 (0.084)
Democratic Partisan	1.162*** (0.324)	0.421 (0.343)	0.731*** (0.243)
Republican Partisan	0.861*** (0.312)	0.638** (0.320)	0.825*** (0.222)
External Efficacy	0.062 (0.062)	0.211*** (0.059)	0.136*** (0.043)
Education Level	0.291*** (0.110)	0.365*** (0.096)	0.344*** (0.074)
Income Level	0.349*** (0.086)	0.389*** (0.074)	0.362*** (0.055)
Female Voter	0.404** (0.184)	0.526*** (0.165)	0.445*** (0.130)
Congressional Approval	-0.491** (0.194)	-0.584*** (0.180)	-0.488*** (0.127)
Presidential Approval	-0.027 (0.244)	0.264 (0.240)	0.045 (0.124)
Political Knowledge	0.557 (0.374)	1.421*** (0.390)	1.000*** (0.266)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.045 (0.142)	0.077 (0.186)	0.037 (0.115)
Both Parties Contacted	-1.239** (0.555)	0.647 (0.580)	0.022 (0.401)
GOP Party Contact	1.658* (0.977)	-0.938 (0.865)	-0.118 (0.820)
Democratic Party Contact	0.875 (1.186)	0.497 (0.848)	0.712 (0.776)
Civic Voting Duty	0.239*** (0.037)	0.197*** (0.030)	0.210*** (0.024)
Age	0.019*** (0.005)	0.010** (0.004)	0.013*** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.696*** (0.133)	0.672*** (0.116)	0.672*** (0.086)
Contested House Race	-0.137 (0.258)	0.091 (0.386)	-0.036 (0.230)
Contested Senate Race	0.297 (0.188)	-0.098 (0.172)	0.030 (0.118)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.242 (0.258)	0.280 (0.208)	0.142 (0.185)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.558*** (0.117)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	1.534** (0.701)	0.193 (0.758)	0.608 (0.526)
Both Party Contact × Republican	2.286*** (0.717)	-0.893 (0.634)	0.093 (0.458)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-2.798** (1.189)	1.654 (1.193)	-0.022 (0.960)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-1.060 (1.094)	0.790 (0.936)	0.228 (0.873)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	-1.028 (1.235)	0.364 (0.967)	-0.387 (0.819)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.379 (1.405)	-1.729* (0.940)	-1.435* (0.853)
Constant	-5.126*** (0.659)	-4.905*** (0.720)	-5.174*** (0.481)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-631.425	-909.004	-1,572.357
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,316.850	1,872.009	3,200.714

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 20: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Self-Reported General Election Turnout		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	0.243 (0.293)	-0.379 (0.277)	-0.065 (0.201)
Democratic Partisan	1.094*** (0.321)	0.240 (0.356)	0.637*** (0.247)
Republican Partisan	0.832*** (0.313)	0.359 (0.344)	0.695*** (0.227)
External Efficacy	0.064 (0.062)	0.217*** (0.060)	0.140*** (0.044)
Education Level	0.284*** (0.110)	0.362*** (0.096)	0.344*** (0.074)
Income Level	0.344*** (0.088)	0.379*** (0.074)	0.352*** (0.056)
Female Voter	0.400** (0.183)	0.535*** (0.164)	0.442*** (0.130)
Congressional Approval	-0.480** (0.196)	-0.509*** (0.180)	-0.445*** (0.130)
Presidential Approval	-0.006 (0.237)	0.207 (0.227)	0.017 (0.124)
Political Knowledge	0.567 (0.375)	1.384*** (0.386)	0.973*** (0.267)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.030 (0.140)	0.129 (0.191)	0.060 (0.117)
Both Parties Contacted	-1.368** (0.565)	0.648 (0.577)	0.031 (0.394)
GOP Party Contact	1.491 (1.011)	-0.829 (0.859)	-0.100 (0.812)
Democratic Party Contact	0.844 (1.215)	0.496 (0.874)	0.724 (0.772)
Civic Voting Duty	0.238*** (0.037)	0.194*** (0.030)	0.207*** (0.024)
Age	0.019*** (0.005)	0.012*** (0.004)	0.014*** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.684*** (0.134)	0.634*** (0.114)	0.650*** (0.085)
Contested House Race	-0.160 (0.259)	0.217 (0.381)	-0.017 (0.233)
Contested Senate Race	0.283 (0.191)	-0.109 (0.172)	0.017 (0.120)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.233 (0.258)	0.282 (0.209)	0.152 (0.184)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.541*** (0.119)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.369 (0.329)	-0.119 (0.334)	-0.242 (0.237)
Resentment × Republican	-0.149 (0.341)	0.668** (0.318)	0.283 (0.234)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	1.673** (0.693)	0.225 (0.774)	0.617 (0.526)
Both Party Contact × Republican	2.415*** (0.728)	-0.842 (0.633)	0.102 (0.450)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-2.545** (1.235)	1.710 (1.222)	0.080 (0.971)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.908 (1.118)	0.678 (0.929)	0.183 (0.868)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	-1.037 (1.260)	0.375 (0.992)	-0.436 (0.811)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.430 (1.428)	-1.662* (0.965)	-1.406* (0.851)
Constant	-5.063*** (0.661)	-4.908*** (0.732)	-5.114*** (0.484)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-630.126	-899.943	-1,566.025
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,318.252	1,857.885	3,192.050

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 21: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.155 (0.157)	-0.045 (0.139)	-0.063 (0.101)
Democratic Partisan	-0.094 (0.554)	1.337* (0.723)	0.144 (0.416)
Republican Partisan	-1.035** (0.464)	1.013 (0.783)	-0.064 (0.402)
External Efficacy	0.004 (0.066)	-0.039 (0.060)	-0.022 (0.044)
Education Level	0.295* (0.154)	-0.134 (0.115)	-0.026 (0.090)
Income Level	0.050 (0.111)	0.041 (0.075)	0.038 (0.065)
Female Voter	-0.028 (0.199)	0.067 (0.176)	0.030 (0.135)
Congressional Approval	-0.339 (0.285)	-0.036 (0.230)	-0.143 (0.182)
Presidential Approval	-0.234 (0.354)	0.938*** (0.267)	0.599*** (0.120)
Political Knowledge	-0.615 (0.406)	0.390 (0.443)	0.050 (0.300)
Scaled Conservatism	0.064 (0.163)	-0.028 (0.157)	0.054 (0.118)
Both Parties Contacted	-0.835 (1.127)	0.734 (0.981)	-0.450 (0.674)
GOP Party Contact	0.482 (1.211)	-10.780*** (0.780)	0.092 (0.979)
Democratic Party Contact	-13.149*** (0.581)	1.822* (0.999)	-0.157 (0.814)
Civic Voting Duty	0.038 (0.044)	0.030 (0.038)	0.035 (0.030)
Age	-0.015** (0.006)	-0.014*** (0.005)	-0.015*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.638*** (0.234)	1.240*** (0.192)	1.017*** (0.152)
Contested House Race	-0.280 (0.277)	0.292 (0.504)	-0.029 (0.256)
Contested Senate Race	0.467** (0.218)	-0.236 (0.165)	0.005 (0.128)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.171 (0.255)	-0.292 (0.262)	-0.028 (0.179)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.182 (0.127)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.256 (1.211)	0.065 (1.052)	0.828 (0.739)
Both Party Contact × Republican	1.535 (1.204)	-0.242 (1.027)	0.983 (0.701)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-1.724 (1.658)	11.718*** (0.917)	0.385 (1.072)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	0.760 (1.301)	11.888*** (0.864)	1.040 (1.011)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	14.059*** (0.632)	-1.292 (1.043)	0.857 (0.846)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	13.844*** (0.814)	-1.402 (1.171)	0.595 (0.961)
Constant	-4.004*** (1.084)	-7.436*** (0.995)	-5.252*** (0.754)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-484.464	-815.833	-1,331.956
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,022.927	1,685.666	2,719.911

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 22: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-1.077* (0.634)	-0.282 (0.471)	-0.881* (0.485)
Democratic Partisan	0.121 (0.519)	1.015 (0.707)	0.081 (0.390)
Republican Partisan	-1.019* (0.531)	0.714 (0.750)	-0.193 (0.387)
External Efficacy	0.014 (0.066)	-0.050 (0.060)	-0.024 (0.044)
Education Level	0.295* (0.155)	-0.147 (0.117)	-0.036 (0.092)
Income Level	0.032 (0.112)	0.032 (0.076)	0.028 (0.066)
Female Voter	-0.064 (0.198)	0.037 (0.177)	-0.0003 (0.135)
Congressional Approval	-0.249 (0.289)	0.052 (0.229)	-0.049 (0.184)
Presidential Approval	-0.308 (0.377)	0.932*** (0.302)	0.558*** (0.120)
Political Knowledge	-0.689* (0.405)	0.304 (0.448)	-0.020 (0.303)
Scaled Conservatism	0.156 (0.171)	0.083 (0.159)	0.164 (0.120)
Both Parties Contacted	-0.110 (1.065)	0.703 (0.948)	-0.296 (0.673)
GOP Party Contact	1.245 (1.312)	-10.700*** (0.878)	0.534 (0.985)
Democratic Party Contact	-12.767*** (0.579)	1.764** (0.802)	-0.305 (0.833)
Civic Voting Duty	0.034 (0.044)	0.025 (0.038)	0.030 (0.030)
Age	-0.014** (0.006)	-0.012** (0.005)	-0.013*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.597*** (0.222)	1.185*** (0.193)	0.959*** (0.150)
Contested House Race	-0.253 (0.283)	0.353 (0.491)	0.023 (0.255)
Contested Senate Race	0.438** (0.220)	-0.247 (0.165)	-0.005 (0.127)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.273 (0.257)	-0.312 (0.264)	-0.010 (0.181)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.209 (0.128)
Resentment × Democrat	0.646 (0.661)	-0.202 (0.483)	0.449 (0.491)
Resentment × Republican	1.532** (0.674)	0.527 (0.494)	1.225** (0.522)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.442 (1.148)	0.116 (1.020)	0.695 (0.734)
Both Party Contact × Republican	0.721 (1.132)	-0.175 (0.995)	0.851 (0.700)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-2.465 (1.715)	11.715*** (0.995)	0.029 (1.073)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.131 (1.369)	11.817*** (0.956)	0.576 (1.014)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	13.650*** (0.633)	-1.267 (0.866)	0.967 (0.865)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	13.642*** (0.814)	-1.303 (1.000)	0.811 (0.972)
Constant	-4.230*** (0.914)	-7.210*** (0.979)	-5.233*** (0.660)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-477.450	-809.635	-1,317.525
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,012.900	1,677.269	2,695.049

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 23: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Sign Activity		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	0.098 (0.114)	0.199*** (0.076)	0.208*** (0.060)
Democratic Partisan	0.765* (0.423)	1.106** (0.557)	0.740** (0.366)
Republican Partisan	0.323 (0.426)	0.805 (0.606)	0.737** (0.367)
External Efficacy	-0.095* (0.054)	0.086** (0.035)	0.036 (0.030)
Education Level	-0.066 (0.114)	-0.205*** (0.071)	-0.187*** (0.060)
Income Level	0.025 (0.093)	0.012 (0.048)	0.012 (0.044)
Female Voter	-0.112 (0.164)	0.091 (0.102)	0.057 (0.089)
Congressional Approval	-0.066 (0.222)	-0.098 (0.160)	-0.073 (0.130)
Presidential Approval	-0.584*** (0.221)	0.723*** (0.186)	0.339*** (0.092)
Political Knowledge	0.175 (0.375)	0.271 (0.239)	0.255 (0.204)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.339*** (0.124)	-0.127 (0.109)	-0.162* (0.085)
Both Parties Contacted	0.937 (0.895)	0.877 (0.720)	0.754 (0.554)
GOP Party Contact	0.740 (1.061)	-0.467 (1.141)	0.065 (0.838)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.913 (1.047)	1.210* (0.730)	0.525 (0.573)
Civic Voting Duty	-0.019 (0.037)	0.045* (0.024)	0.026 (0.021)
Age	-0.004 (0.005)	-0.007** (0.003)	-0.007** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.695*** (0.175)	0.928*** (0.110)	0.888*** (0.095)
Contested House Race	-0.230 (0.231)	0.149 (0.216)	-0.024 (0.161)
Contested Senate Race	0.208 (0.172)	-0.067 (0.106)	-0.005 (0.085)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.255 (0.203)	-0.050 (0.124)	-0.064 (0.107)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.552*** (0.092)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.762 (0.978)	-0.132 (0.740)	-0.135 (0.589)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-0.761 (0.982)	-0.807 (0.746)	-0.668 (0.578)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.547 (1.294)	0.989 (1.244)	0.423 (0.946)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.114 (1.096)	1.129 (1.155)	0.577 (0.859)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.749 (1.110)	-0.567 (0.740)	0.181 (0.593)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.672 (1.225)	-1.281 (0.784)	-0.617 (0.625)
Constant	-3.454*** (0.726)	-4.975*** (0.804)	-4.755*** (0.571)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-719.632	-1,820.466	-2,564.855
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,493.264	3,694.933	5,185.709

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 24: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Sign Activity		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.162 (0.346)	-0.150 (0.282)	-0.012 (0.212)
Democratic Partisan	0.611 (0.433)	0.739 (0.549)	0.448 (0.367)
Republican Partisan	-0.079 (0.454)	0.360 (0.629)	0.340 (0.372)
External Efficacy	-0.093* (0.054)	0.077** (0.036)	0.030 (0.030)
Education Level	-0.081 (0.116)	-0.224*** (0.071)	-0.204*** (0.061)
Income Level	-0.009 (0.094)	0.001 (0.048)	-0.004 (0.043)
Female Voter	-0.132 (0.164)	0.064 (0.102)	0.028 (0.090)
Congressional Approval	0.041 (0.225)	0.006 (0.158)	0.032 (0.129)
Presidential Approval	-0.692*** (0.245)	0.660*** (0.220)	0.282*** (0.094)
Political Knowledge	0.150 (0.383)	0.157 (0.240)	0.168 (0.208)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.232* (0.133)	0.009 (0.119)	-0.043 (0.089)
Both Parties Contacted	1.071 (0.934)	0.837 (0.703)	0.773 (0.545)
GOP Party Contact	0.857 (1.086)	-0.313 (1.138)	0.154 (0.833)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.870 (1.053)	1.132 (0.804)	0.525 (0.609)
Civic Voting Duty	-0.027 (0.037)	0.036 (0.024)	0.018 (0.022)
Age	-0.001 (0.005)	-0.005 (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)
Political Interest	0.640*** (0.174)	0.864*** (0.110)	0.824*** (0.094)
Contested House Race	-0.237 (0.231)	0.245 (0.214)	0.040 (0.162)
Contested Senate Race	0.182 (0.175)	-0.081 (0.107)	-0.019 (0.087)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.170 (0.205)	-0.075 (0.124)	-0.061 (0.106)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.525*** (0.094)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.161 (0.362)	-0.167 (0.284)	-0.276 (0.221)
Resentment × Republican	0.858** (0.380)	0.805*** (0.298)	0.707*** (0.226)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.847 (1.014)	-0.085 (0.728)	-0.137 (0.583)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-0.931 (1.007)	-0.707 (0.731)	-0.639 (0.569)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.511 (1.373)	0.918 (1.251)	0.447 (0.957)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.326 (1.125)	1.006 (1.154)	0.486 (0.857)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.635 (1.124)	-0.538 (0.815)	0.120 (0.631)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.744 (1.253)	-1.155 (0.854)	-0.549 (0.658)
Constant	-3.197*** (0.716)	-4.684*** (0.785)	-4.484*** (0.565)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-706.394	-1,787.718	-2,516.816
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,470.788	3,633.437	5,093.631

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 25: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Campaign Volunteerism, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Campaign Volunteerism		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.392** (0.175)	-0.552*** (0.171)	-0.485*** (0.118)
Democratic Partisan	-1.259** (0.579)	-0.001 (0.546)	-0.525 (0.416)
Republican Partisan	-0.868 (0.741)	-0.447 (0.716)	-0.507 (0.464)
External Efficacy	0.011 (0.088)	0.114* (0.068)	0.087* (0.053)
Education Level	0.483** (0.210)	0.040 (0.180)	0.157 (0.144)
Income Level	0.124 (0.158)	0.015 (0.104)	0.037 (0.089)
Female Voter	-0.229 (0.303)	0.247 (0.214)	0.097 (0.172)
Congressional Approval	0.240 (0.382)	-0.095 (0.308)	0.078 (0.253)
Presidential Approval	-0.281 (0.462)	0.272 (0.453)	-0.126 (0.156)
Political Knowledge	-0.081 (0.700)	1.385** (0.623)	0.875* (0.498)
Scaled Conservatism	0.084 (0.262)	-0.019 (0.150)	0.027 (0.137)
Both Parties Contacted	-14.050*** (0.623)	-0.909 (1.150)	-1.532 (1.095)
GOP Party Contact	-14.255*** (0.802)	-11.487*** (0.604)	-11.791*** (0.474)
Democratic Party Contact	-14.209*** (0.698)	-0.420 (1.195)	-1.122 (1.094)
Civic Voting Duty	0.142** (0.072)	0.066 (0.056)	0.100** (0.044)
Age	-0.004 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.007)	-0.001 (0.006)
Political Interest	0.753** (0.351)	0.660** (0.294)	0.693*** (0.222)
Contested House Race	-0.235 (0.353)	0.669* (0.357)	0.195 (0.240)
Contested Senate Race	-0.209 (0.266)	0.064 (0.203)	-0.058 (0.159)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.469 (0.459)	-0.174 (0.261)	-0.277 (0.228)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.067 (0.163)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	14.852*** (0.887)	1.210 (1.215)	1.946* (1.146)
Both Party Contact × Republican	14.492*** (0.836)	1.315 (1.274)	1.879 (1.151)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	14.678*** (1.388)	10.632*** (0.947)	11.346*** (0.780)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	14.273*** (0.939)	12.691*** (0.752)	12.575*** (0.566)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	15.958*** (0.791)	1.066 (1.193)	2.035* (1.108)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	14.529*** (1.083)	-0.052 (1.505)	1.066 (1.252)
Constant	-6.876*** (1.640)	-7.924*** (1.082)	-7.192*** (0.945)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-253.012	-508.951	-772.835
Akaike Inf. Crit.	560.023	1,071.901	1,601.670

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 26: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Campaign Volunteerism, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Campaign Volunteerism		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.324 (0.283)	0.478 (0.487)	0.017 (0.298)
Democratic Partisan	-1.742*** (0.640)	-0.262 (0.626)	-0.919** (0.452)
Republican Partisan	-1.082 (0.757)	-0.360 (0.721)	-0.727 (0.475)
External Efficacy	0.008 (0.090)	0.108 (0.068)	0.083 (0.053)
Education Level	0.504** (0.214)	0.020 (0.180)	0.155 (0.145)
Income Level	0.106 (0.160)	0.022 (0.106)	0.035 (0.091)
Female Voter	-0.255 (0.304)	0.218 (0.214)	0.059 (0.172)
Congressional Approval	0.330 (0.400)	-0.035 (0.306)	0.130 (0.253)
Presidential Approval	-0.248 (0.539)	-0.064 (0.491)	-0.213 (0.160)
Political Knowledge	-0.196 (0.706)	1.291** (0.624)	0.808 (0.499)
Scaled Conservatism	0.207 (0.275)	0.022 (0.154)	0.079 (0.141)
Both Parties Contacted	-14.094*** (0.618)	-0.751 (1.178)	-1.569 (1.080)
GOP Party Contact	-14.319*** (0.805)	-11.710*** (0.630)	-12.002*** (0.456)
Democratic Party Contact	-14.223*** (0.693)	0.382 (1.176)	-0.830 (1.089)
Civic Voting Duty	0.133* (0.073)	0.059 (0.057)	0.092** (0.045)
Age	-0.002 (0.008)	0.001 (0.008)	0.001 (0.006)
Political Interest	0.680** (0.344)	0.625** (0.293)	0.639*** (0.222)
Contested House Race	-0.223 (0.360)	0.709** (0.352)	0.227 (0.240)
Contested Senate Race	-0.246 (0.272)	0.061 (0.205)	-0.069 (0.160)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.400 (0.465)	-0.196 (0.265)	-0.276 (0.230)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.043 (0.163)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.540 (0.350)	-1.339** (0.525)	-0.863** (0.351)
Resentment × Republican	0.468 (0.415)	-0.601 (0.520)	-0.058 (0.361)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	15.048*** (0.915)	1.051 (1.244)	1.996* (1.133)
Both Party Contact × Republican	14.511*** (0.825)	1.198 (1.302)	1.951* (1.136)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	14.888*** (1.412)	10.887*** (0.980)	11.606*** (0.782)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	14.238*** (0.947)	12.948*** (0.773)	12.765*** (0.542)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	15.977*** (0.787)	0.254 (1.183)	1.729 (1.106)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	14.724*** (1.069)	-0.808 (1.481)	0.853 (1.241)
Constant	-6.686*** (1.638)	-7.812*** (1.093)	-6.945*** (0.927)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
Log Likelihood	-248.771	-502.962	-763.333
Akaike Inf. Crit.	555.543	1,063.924	1,586.667

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 27: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Influencing the Vote		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.032 (0.045)	-0.022 (0.032)	-0.020 (0.026)
Democratic Partisan	0.451*** (0.157)	0.385*** (0.084)	0.383*** (0.082)
Republican Partisan	0.364*** (0.141)	0.263*** (0.077)	0.353*** (0.076)
External Efficacy	-0.028 (0.020)	0.045*** (0.016)	0.019 (0.013)
Education Level	0.086** (0.042)	-0.009 (0.030)	0.015 (0.025)
Income Level	0.042 (0.030)	0.050** (0.020)	0.047*** (0.017)
Female Voter	-0.013 (0.062)	-0.001 (0.038)	0.001 (0.035)
Congressional Approval	-0.194*** (0.074)	-0.132** (0.056)	-0.155*** (0.043)
Presidential Approval	-0.055 (0.095)	0.217*** (0.053)	0.107*** (0.032)
Political Knowledge	0.492*** (0.129)	0.353*** (0.100)	0.417*** (0.079)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.096 (0.060)	-0.085* (0.043)	-0.075** (0.036)
Both Parties Contacted	0.351 (0.402)	0.159 (0.135)	0.229 (0.164)
GOP Party Contact	0.767* (0.463)	-0.100 (0.144)	0.192 (0.188)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.308 (0.275)	0.496** (0.243)	0.033 (0.217)
Civic Voting Duty	0.073*** (0.014)	0.038*** (0.008)	0.049*** (0.008)
Age	0.002 (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.518*** (0.049)	0.450*** (0.029)	0.478*** (0.026)
Contested House Race	-0.102 (0.083)	0.098 (0.064)	0.007 (0.057)
Contested Senate Race	0.096 (0.062)	-0.022 (0.041)	0.008 (0.033)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.096 (0.093)	-0.005 (0.049)	-0.014 (0.045)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.431*** (0.035)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.185 (0.426)	0.218 (0.170)	0.108 (0.183)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-0.022 (0.432)	-0.065 (0.160)	-0.077 (0.182)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.854 (0.560)	0.290 (0.246)	-0.077 (0.259)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.275 (0.480)	0.638*** (0.173)	0.330 (0.206)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.133*** (0.317)	-0.117 (0.246)	0.518** (0.228)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.510 (0.340)	-0.451* (0.272)	0.060 (0.240)
Constant	-0.410 (0.255)	-0.390** (0.152)	-0.090 (0.140)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
R ²	0.266	0.195	0.231
Adjusted R ²	0.256	0.190	0.227
Residual Std. Error	1.124 (df = 2007)	0.949 (df = 4415)	1.013 (df = 6448)
F Statistic	27.920*** (df = 26; 2007)	41.110*** (df = 26; 4415)	71.580*** (df = 27; 6448)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 28: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Influencing the Vote		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Attitudes	-0.105 (0.131)	-0.093 (0.077)	-0.078 (0.069)
Democratic Partisan	0.360** (0.152)	0.217** (0.085)	0.257*** (0.082)
Republican Partisan	0.268* (0.143)	0.124 (0.079)	0.219*** (0.078)
External Efficacy	-0.025 (0.020)	0.041*** (0.015)	0.018 (0.013)
Education Level	0.084** (0.041)	-0.016 (0.029)	0.011 (0.025)
Income Level	0.029 (0.030)	0.046** (0.019)	0.040** (0.016)
Female Voter	-0.025 (0.060)	-0.012 (0.037)	-0.013 (0.034)
Congressional Approval	-0.154** (0.074)	-0.078 (0.054)	-0.107** (0.042)
Presidential Approval	-0.060 (0.091)	0.127** (0.051)	0.070** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	0.471*** (0.131)	0.300*** (0.098)	0.375*** (0.079)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.055 (0.061)	-0.028 (0.044)	-0.029 (0.037)
Both Parties Contacted	0.393 (0.395)	0.160 (0.132)	0.245 (0.160)
GOP Party Contact	0.819* (0.462)	-0.064 (0.143)	0.224 (0.185)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.293 (0.266)	0.510** (0.243)	0.044 (0.219)
Civic Voting Duty	0.069*** (0.014)	0.033*** (0.008)	0.044*** (0.008)
Age	0.003 (0.002)	0.002* (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.495*** (0.047)	0.414*** (0.029)	0.444*** (0.025)
Contested House Race	-0.105 (0.082)	0.153** (0.060)	0.035 (0.056)
Contested Senate Race	0.086 (0.061)	-0.026 (0.041)	0.004 (0.033)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.062 (0.093)	-0.013 (0.049)	-0.009 (0.044)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.452*** (0.035)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.142 (0.151)	-0.204** (0.085)	-0.201*** (0.077)
Resentment × Republican	0.310** (0.136)	0.336*** (0.085)	0.309*** (0.076)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.201 (0.419)	0.214 (0.169)	0.097 (0.180)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-0.075 (0.424)	-0.034 (0.157)	-0.071 (0.177)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.819 (0.574)	0.309 (0.252)	-0.043 (0.266)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.370 (0.477)	0.610*** (0.170)	0.284 (0.203)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.074*** (0.310)	-0.165 (0.248)	0.468** (0.231)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.561* (0.333)	-0.432 (0.268)	0.092 (0.239)
Constant	-0.326 (0.248)	-0.279* (0.149)	0.020 (0.140)
Observations	2,034	4,442	6,476
R ²	0.281	0.221	0.252
Adjusted R ²	0.271	0.216	0.248
Residual Std. Error	1.113 (df = 2005)	0.934 (df = 4413)	0.999 (df = 6446)
F Statistic	27.968*** (df = 28; 2005)	44.592*** (df = 28; 4413)	74.807*** (df = 29; 6446)

Table 29: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting Primary Election Turnout, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Primary Election Turnout	
	2016	Pooled
	(1)	(2)
Racial Attitudes	0.052 (0.094)	0.052 (0.094)
Democratic Partisan	0.560* (0.287)	0.560* (0.287)
Republican Partisan	0.575** (0.277)	0.575** (0.277)
External Efficacy	0.038 (0.043)	0.038 (0.043)
Education Level	0.157* (0.084)	0.157* (0.084)
Income Level	0.075 (0.062)	0.075 (0.062)
Female Voter	0.135 (0.128)	0.135 (0.128)
Congressional Approval	-0.312** (0.153)	-0.312** (0.153)
Presidential Approval	0.182 (0.185)	0.182 (0.185)
Political Knowledge	0.896*** (0.255)	0.896*** (0.255)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.098 (0.130)	-0.098 (0.130)
Both Parties Contacted	0.443 (0.782)	0.443 (0.782)
GOP Party Contact	0.704 (0.774)	0.704 (0.774)
Democratic Party Contact	-2.230** (1.121)	-2.230** (1.121)
Civic Voting Duty	0.165*** (0.026)	0.165*** (0.026)
Age	0.016*** (0.004)	0.016*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.598*** (0.106)	0.598*** (0.106)
Contested House Race	-0.357** (0.173)	-0.357** (0.173)
Contested Senate Race	0.161 (0.140)	0.161 (0.140)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.242 (0.227)	0.242 (0.227)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.007 (0.835)	-0.007 (0.835)
Both Party Contact × Republican	0.151 (0.839)	0.151 (0.839)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-1.090 (0.961)	-1.090 (0.961)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	0.126 (0.805)	0.126 (0.805)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	3.127*** (1.146)	3.127*** (1.146)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	3.001** (1.190)	3.001** (1.190)
Constant	-5.106*** (0.517)	-5.106*** (0.517)
Observations	2,034	2,034
Log Likelihood	-1,122.714	-1,122.714
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,299.428	2,299.428

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 30: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting Primary Election Turnout, ANES Symbolic Racism

	Participation Outcome Variable: Primary Election Turnout	
	2016	Pooled
	(1)	(2)
Racial Attitudes	0.222 (0.288)	0.222 (0.288)
Democratic Partisan	0.451 (0.294)	0.451 (0.294)
Republican Partisan	0.496* (0.280)	0.496* (0.280)
External Efficacy	0.041 (0.042)	0.041 (0.042)
Education Level	0.155* (0.084)	0.155* (0.084)
Income Level	0.066 (0.062)	0.066 (0.062)
Female Voter	0.127 (0.128)	0.127 (0.128)
Congressional Approval	-0.272* (0.154)	-0.272* (0.154)
Presidential Approval	0.192 (0.185)	0.192 (0.185)
Political Knowledge	0.885*** (0.261)	0.885*** (0.261)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.062 (0.133)	-0.062 (0.133)
Both Parties Contacted	0.332 (0.798)	0.332 (0.798)
GOP Party Contact	0.611 (0.790)	0.611 (0.790)
Democratic Party Contact	-2.205** (1.105)	-2.205** (1.105)
Civic Voting Duty	0.163*** (0.026)	0.163*** (0.026)
Age	0.017*** (0.004)	0.017*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.578*** (0.108)	0.578*** (0.108)
Contested House Race	-0.364** (0.175)	-0.364** (0.175)
Contested Senate Race	0.152 (0.141)	0.152 (0.141)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.273 (0.228)	0.273 (0.228)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.375 (0.304)	-0.375 (0.304)
Resentment × Republican	0.011 (0.296)	0.011 (0.296)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.131 (0.851)	0.131 (0.851)
Both Party Contact × Republican	0.250 (0.856)	0.250 (0.856)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.913 (1.008)	-0.913 (1.008)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	0.182 (0.823)	0.182 (0.823)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	3.071*** (1.131)	3.071*** (1.131)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	3.026*** (1.173)	3.026*** (1.173)
Constant	-5.050*** (0.520)	-5.050*** (0.520)
Observations	2,034	2,034
Log Likelihood	-1,118.280	-1,118.280
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,294.560	2,294.560

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 31: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Self-Reported General Election Turnout		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	-0.136* (0.076)	-0.047 (0.056)	-0.078* (0.044)
Democratic Partisan	1.153*** (0.323)	0.502 (0.327)	0.747*** (0.239)
Republican Partisan	0.953*** (0.303)	0.697** (0.315)	0.908*** (0.217)
External Efficacy	0.071 (0.063)	0.216*** (0.060)	0.140*** (0.043)
Education Level	0.265** (0.106)	0.386*** (0.096)	0.348*** (0.073)
Income Level	0.337*** (0.086)	0.387*** (0.073)	0.356*** (0.056)
Female Voter	0.415** (0.186)	0.543*** (0.169)	0.459*** (0.132)
Congressional Approval	-0.461** (0.195)	-0.545*** (0.185)	-0.465*** (0.129)
Presidential Approval	-0.144 (0.247)	0.239 (0.235)	0.017 (0.125)
Political Knowledge	0.578 (0.371)	1.596*** (0.394)	1.096*** (0.265)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.019 (0.138)	0.058 (0.184)	0.045 (0.115)
Both Parties Contacted	-1.698*** (0.506)	0.704 (0.588)	-0.025 (0.412)
GOP Party Contact	1.905** (0.892)	-0.924 (0.861)	-0.066 (0.819)
Democratic Party Contact	0.849 (1.198)	0.759 (0.912)	0.811 (0.799)
Civic Voting Duty	0.233*** (0.037)	0.206*** (0.030)	0.212*** (0.024)
Age	0.020*** (0.005)	0.012*** (0.004)	0.015*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.702*** (0.134)	0.637*** (0.117)	0.661*** (0.087)
Contested House Race	-0.126 (0.252)	0.064 (0.384)	-0.048 (0.229)
Contested Senate Race	0.277 (0.183)	-0.126 (0.170)	0.009 (0.116)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.266 (0.264)	0.259 (0.206)	0.119 (0.183)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.503*** (0.116)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	1.996*** (0.656)	0.120 (0.762)	0.657 (0.537)
Both Party Contact × Republican	2.771*** (0.703)	-0.914 (0.642)	0.168 (0.471)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-3.006*** (1.135)	1.663 (1.185)	-0.040 (0.963)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-1.327 (1.024)	0.780 (0.929)	0.167 (0.873)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	-1.008 (1.248)	0.094 (1.015)	-0.479 (0.837)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.331 (1.418)	-1.976** (0.995)	-1.546* (0.874)
Constant	-4.946*** (0.645)	-5.130*** (0.717)	-5.216*** (0.478)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-613.837	-890.039	-1,538.870
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,281.673	1,834.078	3,133.740

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 32: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Self-Reported General Election Turnout		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	−0.072 (0.160)	0.001 (0.137)	−0.040 (0.109)
Democratic Partisan	1.318*** (0.360)	0.551* (0.328)	0.849*** (0.247)
Republican Partisan	0.905** (0.354)	0.566* (0.330)	0.794*** (0.238)
External Efficacy	0.072 (0.063)	0.223*** (0.060)	0.142*** (0.044)
Education Level	0.270** (0.107)	0.380*** (0.095)	0.350*** (0.073)
Income Level	0.332*** (0.088)	0.397*** (0.072)	0.358*** (0.055)
Female Voter	0.422** (0.190)	0.541*** (0.169)	0.462*** (0.133)
Congressional Approval	−0.459** (0.194)	−0.524*** (0.185)	−0.455*** (0.130)
Presidential Approval	−0.146 (0.242)	0.200 (0.235)	0.043 (0.126)
Political Knowledge	0.571 (0.374)	1.620*** (0.390)	1.110*** (0.265)
Scaled Conservatism	−0.002 (0.139)	0.076 (0.186)	0.060 (0.116)
Both Parties Contacted	−1.690*** (0.495)	0.692 (0.590)	−0.025 (0.412)
GOP Party Contact	1.783* (0.938)	−0.971 (0.864)	−0.099 (0.818)
Democratic Party Contact	0.892 (1.202)	0.769 (0.914)	0.829 (0.800)
Civic Voting Duty	0.231*** (0.036)	0.201*** (0.030)	0.208*** (0.024)
Age	0.021*** (0.005)	0.014*** (0.004)	0.016*** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.686*** (0.134)	0.643*** (0.116)	0.654*** (0.087)
Contested House Race	−0.146 (0.250)	0.047 (0.364)	−0.067 (0.222)
Contested Senate Race	0.278 (0.185)	−0.149 (0.169)	−0.002 (0.116)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	−0.256 (0.260)	0.252 (0.204)	0.127 (0.183)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.502*** (0.115)
Resentment × Democrat	−0.246 (0.223)	−0.302* (0.171)	−0.259* (0.136)
Resentment × Republican	0.008 (0.181)	0.102 (0.156)	0.073 (0.126)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	2.007*** (0.653)	0.188 (0.771)	0.690 (0.538)
Both Party Contact × Republican	2.712*** (0.686)	−0.901 (0.643)	0.150 (0.469)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	−2.821** (1.180)	1.837 (1.211)	0.093 (0.977)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	−1.225 (1.046)	0.815 (0.932)	0.181 (0.871)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	−1.062 (1.249)	0.078 (1.025)	−0.501 (0.835)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.316 (1.422)	−1.979** (0.995)	−1.547* (0.875)
Constant	−4.982*** (0.651)	−5.204*** (0.686)	−5.283*** (0.472)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	−611.950	−881.341	−1,529.719
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,281.900	1,820.683	3,119.437

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 33: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	-0.039 (0.087)	-0.047 (0.070)	-0.028 (0.053)
Democratic Partisan	-0.090 (0.552)	1.327* (0.720)	0.154 (0.409)
Republican Partisan	-1.113** (0.480)	0.987 (0.779)	-0.096 (0.410)
External Efficacy	0.009 (0.064)	-0.029 (0.060)	-0.015 (0.043)
Education Level	0.358** (0.148)	-0.138 (0.116)	-0.015 (0.092)
Income Level	0.025 (0.110)	0.033 (0.075)	0.026 (0.064)
Female Voter	0.017 (0.200)	0.066 (0.177)	0.047 (0.135)
Congressional Approval	-0.343 (0.277)	-0.055 (0.234)	-0.161 (0.180)
Presidential Approval	-0.179 (0.372)	0.933*** (0.253)	0.590*** (0.121)
Political Knowledge	-0.459 (0.410)	0.401 (0.451)	0.117 (0.307)
Scaled Conservatism	0.035 (0.154)	-0.024 (0.150)	0.047 (0.110)
Both Parties Contacted	-0.805 (1.138)	0.748 (0.977)	-0.373 (0.671)
GOP Party Contact	0.401 (1.245)	-10.801*** (0.780)	0.066 (0.986)
Democratic Party Contact	-13.159*** (0.624)	1.809* (1.004)	-0.053 (0.813)
Civic Voting Duty	0.039 (0.046)	0.027 (0.038)	0.033 (0.029)
Age	-0.017** (0.007)	-0.014*** (0.005)	-0.015*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.583*** (0.222)	1.235*** (0.192)	0.991*** (0.149)
Contested House Race	-0.331 (0.267)	0.254 (0.507)	-0.056 (0.257)
Contested Senate Race	0.502** (0.215)	-0.249 (0.166)	0.002 (0.129)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.136 (0.263)	-0.307 (0.269)	-0.048 (0.184)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.189 (0.135)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.250 (1.217)	-0.001 (1.053)	0.716 (0.741)
Both Party Contact × Republican	1.570 (1.207)	-0.244 (1.023)	0.930 (0.699)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-1.615 (1.683)	11.725*** (0.919)	0.401 (1.079)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	1.005 (1.335)	11.902*** (0.862)	1.106 (1.020)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	14.120*** (0.682)	-1.260 (1.050)	0.775 (0.848)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	13.880*** (0.855)	-1.366 (1.181)	0.509 (0.967)
Constant	-4.021*** (1.038)	-7.288*** (1.015)	-5.147*** (0.752)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-477.266	-808.487	-1,317.412
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,008.533	1,670.974	2,690.824

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 34: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	-0.171 (0.347)	-0.218* (0.127)	-0.090 (0.288)
Democratic Partisan	-0.070 (0.573)	1.267* (0.726)	0.152 (0.414)
Republican Partisan	-1.563*** (0.524)	0.763 (0.807)	-0.323 (0.420)
External Efficacy	0.014 (0.065)	-0.025 (0.060)	-0.011 (0.043)
Education Level	0.368** (0.148)	-0.137 (0.116)	-0.010 (0.092)
Income Level	0.032 (0.108)	0.036 (0.076)	0.032 (0.065)
Female Voter	-0.004 (0.202)	0.046 (0.176)	0.029 (0.135)
Congressional Approval	-0.307 (0.280)	-0.016 (0.235)	-0.127 (0.181)
Presidential Approval	-0.247 (0.395)	0.938*** (0.268)	0.639*** (0.122)
Political Knowledge	-0.400 (0.418)	0.436 (0.451)	0.155 (0.312)
Scaled Conservatism	0.088 (0.152)	0.060 (0.154)	0.110 (0.112)
Both Parties Contacted	-0.829 (1.133)	0.742 (0.970)	-0.378 (0.669)
GOP Party Contact	0.416 (1.286)	-10.689*** (0.733)	0.097 (1.012)
Democratic Party Contact	-13.205*** (0.612)	1.822* (1.027)	-0.050 (0.815)
Civic Voting Duty	0.034 (0.046)	0.019 (0.037)	0.026 (0.029)
Age	-0.014** (0.007)	-0.013** (0.005)	-0.014*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.517** (0.220)	1.206*** (0.191)	0.957*** (0.148)
Contested House Race	-0.288 (0.263)	0.249 (0.505)	-0.040 (0.256)
Contested Senate Race	0.507** (0.216)	-0.257 (0.167)	-0.001 (0.130)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.148 (0.268)	-0.314 (0.271)	-0.046 (0.183)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.199 (0.136)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.100 (0.382)	-0.031 (0.158)	-0.148 (0.308)
Resentment × Republican	0.352 (0.364)	0.282* (0.146)	0.194 (0.295)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.223 (1.219)	0.021 (1.048)	0.716 (0.740)
Both Party Contact × Republican	1.495 (1.200)	-0.227 (1.018)	0.931 (0.697)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-1.553 (1.707)	11.628*** (0.880)	0.395 (1.099)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	0.949 (1.363)	11.779*** (0.815)	1.055 (1.042)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	14.127*** (0.671)	-1.289 (1.075)	0.740 (0.850)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	14.003*** (0.851)	-1.351 (1.197)	0.542 (0.968)
Constant	-3.967*** (1.068)	-7.214*** (1.015)	-5.161*** (0.752)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-473.577	-804.525	-1,310.909
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,005.155	1,667.050	2,681.817

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 35: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Sign Activity		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	0.093 (0.070)	0.049 (0.042)	0.076** (0.037)
Democratic Partisan	0.772* (0.428)	0.994* (0.552)	0.639* (0.363)
Republican Partisan	0.310 (0.428)	0.754 (0.604)	0.728** (0.367)
External Efficacy	-0.104* (0.054)	0.084** (0.035)	0.030 (0.030)
Education Level	-0.107 (0.113)	-0.227*** (0.071)	-0.217*** (0.061)
Income Level	0.019 (0.093)	0.002 (0.048)	0.002 (0.044)
Female Voter	-0.106 (0.165)	0.072 (0.103)	0.046 (0.090)
Congressional Approval	-0.143 (0.227)	-0.118 (0.162)	-0.104 (0.133)
Presidential Approval	-0.584*** (0.222)	0.817*** (0.181)	0.370*** (0.093)
Political Knowledge	0.216 (0.383)	0.258 (0.241)	0.251 (0.208)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.342*** (0.122)	-0.066 (0.111)	-0.102 (0.084)
Both Parties Contacted	1.206 (0.890)	0.866 (0.718)	0.833 (0.556)
GOP Party Contact	0.754 (1.060)	-0.463 (1.139)	0.079 (0.841)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.677 (1.031)	1.121 (0.725)	0.568 (0.565)
Civic Voting Duty	-0.009 (0.037)	0.038 (0.024)	0.024 (0.021)
Age	-0.004 (0.006)	-0.008** (0.003)	-0.007** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.700*** (0.175)	0.928*** (0.111)	0.887*** (0.095)
Contested House Race	-0.239 (0.241)	0.127 (0.216)	-0.041 (0.165)
Contested Senate Race	0.184 (0.176)	-0.071 (0.106)	-0.013 (0.085)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.241 (0.209)	-0.045 (0.125)	-0.060 (0.109)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.591*** (0.093)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-1.009 (0.972)	-0.120 (0.739)	-0.205 (0.591)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-1.052 (0.964)	-0.789 (0.745)	-0.748 (0.579)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.555 (1.308)	1.019 (1.242)	0.450 (0.950)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.126 (1.097)	1.113 (1.155)	0.557 (0.864)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.490 (1.099)	-0.467 (0.737)	0.136 (0.588)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.711 (1.190)	-1.156 (0.784)	-0.594 (0.621)
Constant	-3.420*** (0.711)	-4.757*** (0.796)	-4.584*** (0.568)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-708.785	-1,804.844	-2,539.797
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,471.571	3,663.689	5,135.593

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 36: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Sign Activity		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	0.134 (0.150)	0.048 (0.140)	0.180 (0.111)
Democratic Partisan	0.978** (0.443)	1.014* (0.568)	0.762** (0.388)
Republican Partisan	0.061 (0.489)	0.626 (0.624)	0.655* (0.397)
External Efficacy	-0.105* (0.054)	0.087** (0.036)	0.032 (0.030)
Education Level	-0.101 (0.112)	-0.230*** (0.072)	-0.219*** (0.062)
Income Level	0.017 (0.091)	0.006 (0.049)	0.006 (0.044)
Female Voter	-0.126 (0.164)	0.061 (0.103)	0.034 (0.090)
Congressional Approval	-0.089 (0.224)	-0.095 (0.162)	-0.080 (0.132)
Presidential Approval	-0.662*** (0.230)	0.805*** (0.187)	0.400*** (0.093)
Political Knowledge	0.243 (0.387)	0.269 (0.240)	0.264 (0.209)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.291** (0.127)	-0.008 (0.114)	-0.055 (0.087)
Both Parties Contacted	1.190 (0.885)	0.865 (0.716)	0.861 (0.561)
GOP Party Contact	0.690 (1.084)	-0.472 (1.114)	0.006 (0.829)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.670 (1.042)	1.174 (0.730)	0.641 (0.564)
Civic Voting Duty	-0.014 (0.037)	0.031 (0.024)	0.017 (0.021)
Age	-0.001 (0.005)	-0.007** (0.003)	-0.006** (0.003)
Political Interest	0.654*** (0.173)	0.910*** (0.110)	0.865*** (0.095)
Contested House Race	-0.239 (0.239)	0.121 (0.215)	-0.042 (0.165)
Contested Senate Race	0.193 (0.176)	-0.076 (0.107)	-0.016 (0.087)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.239 (0.213)	-0.047 (0.127)	-0.059 (0.110)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			0.586*** (0.094)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.304* (0.179)	-0.169 (0.158)	-0.293** (0.124)
Resentment × Republican	0.114 (0.167)	0.091 (0.148)	-0.008 (0.118)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-1.012 (0.970)	-0.121 (0.739)	-0.239 (0.597)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-1.105 (0.954)	-0.779 (0.744)	-0.774 (0.585)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.445 (1.316)	1.034 (1.222)	0.543 (0.944)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.074 (1.122)	1.119 (1.132)	0.621 (0.856)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.435 (1.109)	-0.545 (0.743)	0.029 (0.587)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.767 (1.214)	-1.190 (0.792)	-0.643 (0.623)
Constant	-3.448*** (0.712)	-4.740*** (0.808)	-4.670*** (0.584)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-702.903	-1,796.372	-2,526.396
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,463.807	3,650.745	5,112.792

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 37: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Campaign Volunteerism, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Campaign Volunteerism		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	-0.144 (0.112)	-0.241*** (0.084)	-0.198*** (0.071)
Democratic Partisan	-1.195** (0.584)	0.205 (0.546)	-0.330 (0.425)
Republican Partisan	-1.006 (0.784)	-0.444 (0.707)	-0.649 (0.479)
External Efficacy	-0.004 (0.088)	0.143** (0.067)	0.103* (0.053)
Education Level	0.489** (0.205)	0.079 (0.181)	0.198 (0.143)
Income Level	0.138 (0.161)	0.050 (0.107)	0.062 (0.091)
Female Voter	-0.296 (0.301)	0.292 (0.208)	0.108 (0.171)
Congressional Approval	0.063 (0.399)	-0.059 (0.301)	0.031 (0.248)
Presidential Approval	-0.151 (0.447)	0.049 (0.403)	-0.114 (0.158)
Political Knowledge	-0.064 (0.716)	1.500** (0.614)	0.962* (0.494)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.046 (0.240)	-0.118 (0.152)	-0.105 (0.124)
Both Parties Contacted	-14.304*** (0.657)	-0.838 (1.147)	-1.538 (1.097)
GOP Party Contact	-14.418*** (0.808)	-11.517*** (0.603)	-11.912*** (0.480)
Democratic Party Contact	-14.027*** (0.683)	-0.218 (1.187)	-0.921 (1.079)
Civic Voting Duty	0.189*** (0.068)	0.076 (0.054)	0.118*** (0.043)
Age	-0.005 (0.009)	0.0003 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.006)
Political Interest	0.703** (0.343)	0.678** (0.290)	0.686*** (0.219)
Contested House Race	-0.358 (0.360)	0.623* (0.370)	0.119 (0.245)
Contested Senate Race	-0.236 (0.270)	0.057 (0.205)	-0.074 (0.162)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.427 (0.456)	-0.120 (0.260)	-0.236 (0.228)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.017 (0.161)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	14.995*** (0.906)	1.133 (1.212)	1.923* (1.149)
Both Party Contact × Republican	14.865*** (0.873)	1.309 (1.265)	1.970* (1.154)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	14.891*** (1.357)	10.567*** (0.964)	11.382*** (0.795)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	14.538*** (0.951)	12.786*** (0.729)	12.758*** (0.573)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	15.762*** (0.789)	0.856 (1.181)	1.826* (1.095)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	14.452*** (1.098)	-0.227 (1.475)	0.936 (1.230)
Constant	-6.620*** (1.610)	-8.288*** (1.104)	-7.316*** (0.973)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-244.799	-508.796	-765.393
Akaike Inf. Crit.	543.598	1,071.592	1,586.786

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 38: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Campaign Volunteerism, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Campaign Volunteerism		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	0.229 (0.198)	-0.453** (0.213)	0.014 (0.230)
Democratic Partisan	-0.878 (0.614)	0.236 (0.533)	-0.259 (0.421)
Republican Partisan	-0.797 (0.825)	-0.414 (0.717)	-0.610 (0.491)
External Efficacy	-0.004 (0.089)	0.141** (0.067)	0.105** (0.053)
Education Level	0.510** (0.210)	0.078 (0.181)	0.201 (0.143)
Income Level	0.121 (0.157)	0.051 (0.107)	0.061 (0.091)
Female Voter	-0.295 (0.305)	0.297 (0.208)	0.103 (0.171)
Congressional Approval	0.108 (0.400)	-0.064 (0.301)	0.038 (0.248)
Presidential Approval	-0.108 (0.469)	0.076 (0.407)	-0.105 (0.157)
Political Knowledge	0.030 (0.738)	1.501** (0.615)	0.978** (0.495)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.012 (0.263)	-0.124 (0.152)	-0.099 (0.131)
Both Parties Contacted	-14.283*** (0.643)	-0.860 (1.163)	-1.520 (1.096)
GOP Party Contact	-14.629*** (0.821)	-11.380*** (0.608)	-12.022*** (0.488)
Democratic Party Contact	-13.899*** (0.646)	-0.324 (1.245)	-0.806 (1.067)
Civic Voting Duty	0.186*** (0.068)	0.079 (0.054)	0.116*** (0.043)
Age	-0.004 (0.009)	0.00003 (0.008)	-0.0004 (0.006)
Political Interest	0.675** (0.342)	0.682** (0.291)	0.677*** (0.218)
Contested House Race	-0.328 (0.368)	0.624* (0.370)	0.122 (0.245)
Contested Senate Race	-0.221 (0.274)	0.058 (0.206)	-0.076 (0.161)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.436 (0.459)	-0.119 (0.260)	-0.235 (0.228)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.017 (0.160)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.550* (0.281)	0.242 (0.242)	-0.255 (0.254)
Resentment × Republican	-0.292 (0.264)	0.177 (0.242)	-0.176 (0.254)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	14.967*** (0.898)	1.157 (1.230)	1.903* (1.148)
Both Party Contact × Republican	14.821*** (0.870)	1.330 (1.278)	1.954* (1.154)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	15.154*** (1.409)	10.435*** (0.959)	11.489*** (0.805)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	14.733*** (0.973)	12.655*** (0.724)	12.862*** (0.579)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	15.586*** (0.786)	0.966 (1.239)	1.702 (1.084)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	14.359*** (1.079)	-0.126 (1.523)	0.828 (1.221)
Constant	-7.052*** (1.689)	-8.324*** (1.097)	-7.408*** (0.973)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
Log Likelihood	-243.425	-508.321	-765.475
Akaike Inf. Crit.	544.850	1,074.642	1,590.950

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 39: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Influencing the Vote		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	−0.006 (0.025)	−0.009 (0.017)	−0.004 (0.015)
Democratic Partisan	0.453*** (0.158)	0.408*** (0.084)	0.401*** (0.082)
Republican Partisan	0.358** (0.142)	0.281*** (0.077)	0.363*** (0.076)
External Efficacy	−0.028 (0.020)	0.048*** (0.016)	0.022* (0.013)
Education Level	0.088** (0.040)	−0.009 (0.030)	0.014 (0.025)
Income Level	0.039 (0.030)	0.050** (0.020)	0.046*** (0.017)
Female Voter	−0.010 (0.062)	0.005 (0.038)	0.006 (0.035)
Congressional Approval	−0.215*** (0.072)	−0.131** (0.057)	−0.161*** (0.043)
Presidential Approval	−0.038 (0.094)	0.219*** (0.051)	0.111*** (0.032)
Political Knowledge	0.526*** (0.129)	0.379*** (0.101)	0.449*** (0.080)
Scaled Conservatism	−0.109* (0.060)	−0.093** (0.044)	−0.083** (0.036)
Both Parties Contacted	0.396 (0.437)	0.195 (0.138)	0.272 (0.171)
GOP Party Contact	0.760 (0.464)	−0.084 (0.144)	0.201 (0.188)
Democratic Party Contact	−0.203 (0.267)	0.551** (0.246)	0.135 (0.220)
Civic Voting Duty	0.075*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.008)	0.050*** (0.007)
Age	0.002 (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.514*** (0.049)	0.452*** (0.030)	0.477*** (0.026)
Contested House Race	−0.108 (0.082)	0.086 (0.064)	−0.003 (0.058)
Contested Senate Race	0.092 (0.061)	−0.027 (0.042)	0.002 (0.033)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	−0.109 (0.094)	−0.005 (0.051)	−0.020 (0.045)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			−0.438*** (0.035)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	−0.223 (0.461)	0.188 (0.172)	0.072 (0.191)
Both Party Contact × Republican	−0.059 (0.465)	−0.092 (0.164)	−0.110 (0.189)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	−0.850 (0.558)	0.276 (0.246)	−0.086 (0.258)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	−0.241 (0.483)	0.612*** (0.172)	0.324 (0.206)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	1.032*** (0.312)	−0.157 (0.250)	0.427* (0.231)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.389 (0.327)	−0.489* (0.280)	−0.032 (0.243)
Constant	−0.413* (0.246)	−0.425*** (0.151)	−0.116 (0.140)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
R ²	0.271	0.196	0.233
Adjusted R ²	0.261	0.191	0.230
Residual Std. Error	1.117 (df = 1982)	0.949 (df = 4359)	1.011 (df = 6367)
F Statistic	28.277*** (df = 26; 1982)	40.942*** (df = 26; 4359)	71.779*** (df = 27; 6367)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 40: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Influencing the Vote		
	2016	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	0.015 (0.076)	0.004 (0.045)	0.016 (0.038)
Democratic Partisan	0.541*** (0.175)	0.422*** (0.085)	0.446*** (0.084)
Republican Partisan	0.277 (0.169)	0.212** (0.083)	0.287*** (0.082)
External Efficacy	-0.027 (0.020)	0.051*** (0.016)	0.024* (0.013)
Education Level	0.091** (0.040)	-0.011 (0.030)	0.015 (0.025)
Income Level	0.038 (0.030)	0.053*** (0.020)	0.048*** (0.017)
Female Voter	-0.011 (0.062)	-0.0002 (0.037)	0.002 (0.035)
Congressional Approval	-0.202*** (0.072)	-0.117** (0.056)	-0.151*** (0.043)
Presidential Approval	-0.046 (0.093)	0.196*** (0.051)	0.129*** (0.032)
Political Knowledge	0.522*** (0.131)	0.383*** (0.099)	0.450*** (0.079)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.086 (0.061)	-0.062 (0.046)	-0.059 (0.037)
Both Parties Contacted	0.388 (0.435)	0.196 (0.139)	0.275 (0.173)
GOP Party Contact	0.739 (0.469)	-0.093 (0.146)	0.189 (0.187)
Democratic Party Contact	-0.191 (0.269)	0.576** (0.248)	0.150 (0.221)
Civic Voting Duty	0.073*** (0.013)	0.034*** (0.008)	0.046*** (0.007)
Age	0.003* (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.496*** (0.048)	0.441*** (0.029)	0.463*** (0.026)
Contested House Race	-0.105 (0.080)	0.086 (0.061)	-0.002 (0.057)
Contested Senate Race	0.098 (0.060)	-0.029 (0.042)	0.002 (0.034)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	-0.102 (0.094)	-0.004 (0.050)	-0.016 (0.045)
2020 Cycle Fixed-Effect			-0.442*** (0.035)
Resentment × Democrat	-0.159* (0.092)	-0.131** (0.054)	-0.143*** (0.045)
Resentment × Republican	0.048 (0.082)	0.057 (0.049)	0.047 (0.042)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	-0.223 (0.461)	0.185 (0.173)	0.063 (0.193)
Both Party Contact × Republican	-0.078 (0.462)	-0.087 (0.165)	-0.114 (0.191)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-0.795 (0.562)	0.305 (0.250)	-0.049 (0.262)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.233 (0.486)	0.617*** (0.173)	0.327 (0.206)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	0.991*** (0.313)	-0.204 (0.251)	0.389* (0.232)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	0.403 (0.330)	-0.505* (0.283)	-0.033 (0.245)
Constant	-0.430* (0.256)	-0.431*** (0.150)	-0.140 (0.141)
Observations	2,009	4,386	6,395
R ²	0.279	0.206	0.242
Adjusted R ²	0.269	0.200	0.238
Residual Std. Error	1.112 (df = 1980)	0.944 (df = 4357)	1.005 (df = 6365)
F Statistic	27.333*** (df = 28; 1980)	40.253*** (df = 28; 4357)	70.003*** (df = 29; 6365)

Table 41: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting Primary Election Turnout, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Primary Election Turnout	
	2016	Pooled
	(1)	(2)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	−0.049 (0.049)	−0.049 (0.049)
Democratic Partisan	0.562* (0.290)	0.562* (0.290)
Republican Partisan	0.608** (0.274)	0.608** (0.274)
External Efficacy	0.038 (0.042)	0.038 (0.042)
Education Level	0.145* (0.082)	0.145* (0.082)
Income Level	0.065 (0.061)	0.065 (0.061)
Female Voter	0.125 (0.128)	0.125 (0.128)
Congressional Approval	−0.299* (0.154)	−0.299* (0.154)
Presidential Approval	0.119 (0.186)	0.119 (0.186)
Political Knowledge	0.895*** (0.255)	0.895*** (0.255)
Scaled Conservatism	−0.068 (0.126)	−0.068 (0.126)
Both Parties Contacted	0.255 (0.843)	0.255 (0.843)
GOP Party Contact	0.767 (0.764)	0.767 (0.764)
Democratic Party Contact	−1.800* (1.031)	−1.800* (1.031)
Civic Voting Duty	0.158*** (0.026)	0.158*** (0.026)
Age	0.016*** (0.004)	0.016*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.603*** (0.106)	0.603*** (0.106)
Contested House Race	−0.347** (0.174)	−0.347** (0.174)
Contested Senate Race	0.144 (0.141)	0.144 (0.141)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.227 (0.227)	0.227 (0.227)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.172 (0.890)	0.172 (0.890)
Both Party Contact × Republican	0.355 (0.896)	0.355 (0.896)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	−1.140 (0.960)	−1.140 (0.960)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	0.085 (0.799)	0.085 (0.799)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	2.684** (1.058)	2.684** (1.058)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	2.454** (1.102)	2.454** (1.102)
Constant	−4.937*** (0.520)	−4.937*** (0.520)
Observations	2,009	2,009
Log Likelihood	−1,107.554	−1,107.554
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,269.107	2,269.107

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 42: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting Primary Election Turnout, ANES Racial Prejudice

	Participation Outcome Variable: Primary Election Turnout	
	2016	Pooled
	(1)	(2)
Racial Stereotype Attitudes	-0.273* (0.147)	-0.273* (0.147)
Democratic Partisan	0.500 (0.306)	0.500 (0.306)
Republican Partisan	0.332 (0.309)	0.332 (0.309)
External Efficacy	0.039 (0.042)	0.039 (0.042)
Education Level	0.148* (0.082)	0.148* (0.082)
Income Level	0.069 (0.062)	0.069 (0.062)
Female Voter	0.126 (0.129)	0.126 (0.129)
Congressional Approval	-0.284* (0.154)	-0.284* (0.154)
Presidential Approval	0.092 (0.188)	0.092 (0.188)
Political Knowledge	0.885*** (0.260)	0.885*** (0.260)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.042 (0.129)	-0.042 (0.129)
Both Parties Contacted	0.252 (0.851)	0.252 (0.851)
GOP Party Contact	0.889 (0.809)	0.889 (0.809)
Democratic Party Contact	-1.883* (1.017)	-1.883* (1.017)
Civic Voting Duty	0.157*** (0.026)	0.157*** (0.026)
Age	0.017*** (0.004)	0.017*** (0.004)
Political Interest	0.586*** (0.107)	0.586*** (0.107)
Contested House Race	-0.345** (0.175)	-0.345** (0.175)
Contested Senate Race	0.152 (0.142)	0.152 (0.142)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.237 (0.226)	0.237 (0.226)
Resentment × Democrat	0.099 (0.170)	0.099 (0.170)
Resentment × Republican	0.316** (0.158)	0.316** (0.158)
Both Party Contact × Democrat	0.166 (0.900)	0.166 (0.900)
Both Party Contact × Republican	0.318 (0.901)	0.318 (0.901)
GOP Party Contact × Democrat	-1.246 (0.997)	-1.246 (0.997)
GOP Party Contact × Republican	-0.059 (0.840)	-0.059 (0.840)
Dem Party Contact × Democrat	2.754*** (1.046)	2.754*** (1.046)
Dem Party Contact × Republican	2.569** (1.090)	2.569** (1.090)
Constant	-4.816*** (0.521)	-4.816*** (0.521)
Observations	2,009	2,009
Log Likelihood	-1,104.395	-1,104.395
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,266.791	2,266.791

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.22 Cooperative Election Study Robustness Checks: (1) Three-Item FIRE Scale Replication, (2) One-Item Scale Replication, (3) Individual Items Replication


In the manuscript, we follow the lead of [Schaffner \(2022\)](#) and leverage the two-items of the Cooperative Election Study that assess the degree of denial of racism in the face of “contemporary conflicts over increasing racial diversity and white identity.” These two items, taken from the “Fear, Institutionalized Racism, and Empathy” question battery developed by [DeSante & Smith \(2020\)](#) are designed to assess the degree to which white Americans deny the structural form of racism in society. As we discussed in the manuscript and earlier in the appendix, we leverage the two survey items measuring level of agreement over whether (1) white people in the US have certain advantages because of the color their skin and (2) racial problems in the US are rare, isolated situations.

However, we are aware that scholars have used more FIRE scale items to measure racial attitudes among white Americans. To that end, [Algara & Hale \(2019, 2020\)](#) add a third survey item measuring the level of agreement to the statement “I am angry racism exists” to construct their latent variable of racial attitudes using a graded scale IRT model prevalent in the measurement of latent racial attitudes (see also [Schaffner, MacWilliams & Nteta, 2018](#); [Schaffner, 2022](#), for a similar latent variable estimation strategy). To that end, we conduct a robustness check using the three-item approach of our manuscript models. Note that only the 2016 CES cross-sectional survey asks all three items (i.e., whites have societal advantages; racial problems are isolated; anger racism exists) while the 2018 and 2020 waves only ask the two-items assessing white societal advantages and the rarity of racial problems. Nevertheless, we add this additional survey component from the “full” FIRE battery available in the CES to construct our latent variable of racial attitudes assessing the denial of racism in society. Note that, consistent with the convention described in the first section of this appendix, we recode the level of agreement to the statement “I am angry racism exists” from a scale of (1) strongly agree to (5) strongly disagree, such that attitudes are on a scale from most to least denial of the existence of racism (i.e., liberal-conservative). Below we articulate the distribution of these racial attitudes in a pooled fashion and by cross-sectional survey wave. As one can see in [Figure 9](#) the distribution of latent racial attitudes with the three-item “full” FIRE scale is identical to the distributions presented with our two-item latent racial attitudes, with Democrats experiencing more liberal (i.e., greater acknowledgement of denial of racism) racial attitudes than Republicans.

In addition to this CES robustness check, we also consider the design used by [Casellas & Wallace \(2020\)](#) to measure white racial attitudes using the single-survey item assessing whether white respondents agree with the notion that “white people in the US have certain advantages because of the color of their skin.” In their work, [Casellas & Wallace \(2020\)](#) find that greater denial of the advantages of whites, or “recognition of the racial advantage of Whites”, were significantly less likely to support collaboration between local police and federal authorities with respect to immigration enforcement. As such, we rerun our models with our measure of racial attitudes to use a single measure assessing the degree to which respondents disagree with the notion that “white people in the US have certain advantages because of the color of their skin.”

Lastly, we consider the empirical strategy considered by [DeSante & Smith \(2020\)](#) by running each of the two-questions used in the manuscript separately as predictors of political participation. We are constrained to only the two-question asked consistently for the 2016, 2018, and 2020 survey

Figure 9: Distribution of Scaled Latent Racial Attitudes




waves that assess (1) white societal advantages and (2) the rarity of racial problems in the United States. In this robustness check, we expect a positive relationship between (1) disagreement of white societal advantages and (2) disagreement that racial problems in the US are rare, isolated situations and our measures of political participation. In the following pages, we demonstrate each of these three robustness checks side-by-side with the original manuscript CES model figures. Note that, like in the manuscript, we interact our measure of racial attitudes with partisanship to post-estimate our quantities of interest, which is the marginal effect of racial resentment on political participation by partisan subgroup.

As Figure 10 shows, using three questions measuring white latent racial attitudes as previously used in the literature rather than the two used in the manuscript does not change the substantive results of our manuscript. Indeed, as the party-specific marginal effects shows for Republican and Democratic partisans, greater latent racial attitudes correlate with a decline in the likelihood of participating in politics for Democrats and an increase in likelihood for Republicans. Figure 11 paints a similar story. If we specify our model with only the “whites have societal advantage” in a


similar fashion as [Casellas & Wallace \(2020\)](#), our results still hold with greater denial of white societal racial advantages correlating with an increase in the likelihood of participating in a given political item while correlating with a decrease in the likelihood of participating in a given political item among Democrats. Turning to [Figure 12](#), we show that these results hold for the white societal advantages question’s marginal effects even when accounting for the racial problems are isolated question as a separate predictor in the same vein as [DeSante & Smith \(2020\)](#). Indeed, in these models we show that denial of white societal advantages correlates with greater likelihood of participation among Republicans and lower likelihood for Democrats. Lastly, we also test our robustness checks with the specification of our OLS models predicting the number of total political participation items. [Figure 13](#) shows that our CES manuscript models successfully replicate across the three-item “full scale” latent attitudes measure model, the single-item white advantage models, and the individual items approach using each survey component as an individual predictor of overall political participation. Indeed, across each of these robustness checks we find that greater denial of racism—independent of how it is measured—correlates with a significant decline in the number of political items undertaken by Democratic partisans while correlating with a significant increase among Republicans. Taken together, these additional robustness checks leveraging various different conceptualizations of white racial attitudes using the CES data largely confirm the main substantive findings of partisan asymmetry in the relationship between white racial attitudes and political participation. In a similar fashion as the ANES robustness check models, we report full tabular results of each CES robustness check model by cross-sectional wave and pooled analysis.

Figure 10: Relationship Between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation among Partisans


(a) CES Full Scale Robustness Check (Republicans)




(b) CES Manuscript Models (Republicans)




(c) CES Full Scale Robustness Check (Independents)



(d) CES Manuscript Models (Independents)



(e) CES Full Scale Robustness Check (Democrats)



(f) CES Manuscript Models (Democrats)






Figure 11: Relationship Between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation among Partisans


(a) CES White Advantage Robustness Check (Republicans)




(b) CES Manuscript Models (Republicans)




(c) CES White Advantage Robustness Check (Independents)



(d) CES Manuscript Models (Independents)



(e) CES White Advantage Robustness Check (Democrats)



(f) CES Manuscript Models (Democrats)






Figure 12: Relationship Between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation among Partisans


(a) CES Individual Items Robustness Check (Republicans)




(b) CES Manuscript Models (Republicans)




(c) CES Individual Items Robustness Check (Independents)



(d) CES Manuscript Models (Independents)



(e) CES Individual Items Robustness Check (Democrats)



(f) CES Manuscript Models (Democrats)




Figure 13: Relationship between Racial Attitudes & Political Participation Index Across Samples




Table 43: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.111*** (0.033)	-0.060** (0.025)	-0.060** (0.030)	-0.082*** (0.017)
Democratic Partisan	0.340*** (0.077)	0.361*** (0.067)	0.578*** (0.071)	0.409*** (0.043)
Republican Partisan	0.556*** (0.070)	0.435*** (0.070)	0.436*** (0.070)	0.491*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.024 (0.015)	0.073*** (0.014)	0.148*** (0.016)	0.077*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.007 (0.007)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.181*** (0.041)	0.143*** (0.035)	0.172*** (0.040)	0.160*** (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.199** (0.092)	0.183** (0.089)	0.151** (0.076)	0.192*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.185** (0.092)	0.083* (0.050)	0.120* (0.069)	0.160*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.335*** (0.070)	0.056 (0.046)	-0.059 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.210*** (0.104)	1.416*** (0.100)	1.358*** (0.121)	1.268*** (0.063)
Presidential Approval	-0.014 (0.027)	-0.041 (0.028)	0.004 (0.031)	0.027*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.202*** (0.027)	0.173*** (0.026)	0.147*** (0.025)	0.158*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.070* (0.038)	-0.041 (0.033)	0.035 (0.031)	0.024 (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.029 (0.021)	0.062*** (0.021)	0.044** (0.018)	0.039*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.320*** (0.031)	0.358*** (0.026)	0.281*** (0.030)	0.335*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.141*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.697*** (0.037)
Constant	-4.157*** (0.211)	-4.687*** (0.173)	-3.948*** (0.184)	-4.581*** (0.113)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-16,867.060	-15,351.530	-15,657.690	-48,130.790
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,768.120	30,737.070	31,349.380	96,299.580

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 44: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.070 (0.070)	-0.051 (0.060)	-0.059 (0.063)	-0.052 (0.038)
Democratic Partisan	0.285*** (0.080)	0.294*** (0.066)	0.472*** (0.073)	0.336*** (0.044)
Republican Partisan	0.487*** (0.077)	0.359*** (0.073)	0.315*** (0.078)	0.409*** (0.044)
Education Level	0.022 (0.015)	0.070*** (0.014)	0.143*** (0.016)	0.073*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.006 (0.007)	0.031*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.180*** (0.041)	0.144*** (0.035)	0.167*** (0.040)	0.159*** (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.199** (0.092)	0.186** (0.087)	0.156** (0.076)	0.193*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.184** (0.092)	0.085* (0.050)	0.121* (0.068)	0.160*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.339*** (0.070)	0.055 (0.046)	-0.061 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.165*** (0.104)	1.367*** (0.100)	1.308*** (0.119)	1.216*** (0.062)
Presidential Approval	-0.008 (0.027)	-0.039 (0.028)	0.007 (0.031)	0.032*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.188*** (0.027)	0.161*** (0.027)	0.138*** (0.025)	0.146*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.078** (0.039)	-0.020 (0.034)	0.061** (0.030)	0.042** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.028 (0.021)	0.064*** (0.022)	0.045** (0.018)	0.039*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.303*** (0.031)	0.341*** (0.026)	0.255*** (0.031)	0.316*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.143*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.680*** (0.038)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.214*** (0.078)	-0.197*** (0.068)	-0.244*** (0.071)	-0.228*** (0.042)
Attitudes × Republican	0.102 (0.081)	0.108 (0.066)	0.158** (0.068)	0.108*** (0.042)
Constant	-4.053*** (0.213)	-4.577*** (0.172)	-3.825*** (0.183)	-4.465*** (0.112)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-16,843.970	-15,322.570	-15,611.950	-48,034.670
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,725.940	30,683.130	31,261.910	96,111.350

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 45: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.086*** (0.030)	-0.052** (0.022)	-0.053** (0.024)	-0.063*** (0.015)
Democratic Partisan	0.644*** (0.075)	0.581*** (0.070)	0.896*** (0.057)	0.664*** (0.041)
Republican Partisan	0.704*** (0.069)	0.503*** (0.075)	0.411*** (0.058)	0.576*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.037** (0.015)	0.101*** (0.012)	0.127*** (0.012)	0.089*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.022*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.020*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.096*** (0.036)	0.053* (0.029)	0.095*** (0.029)	0.073*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.008 (0.123)	0.082 (0.123)	0.107 (0.111)	0.047 (0.074)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.020 (0.158)	0.289*** (0.083)	0.203*** (0.063)	0.176*** (0.054)
Contested Senate Race	0.092 (0.095)	-0.118** (0.057)	0.158*** (0.056)	0.037 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.263*** (0.117)	1.768*** (0.105)	1.502*** (0.097)	1.445*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.043 (0.028)	-0.068*** (0.025)	-0.005 (0.023)	0.064*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.131*** (0.023)	0.090*** (0.022)	0.072*** (0.018)	0.054*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.030 (0.039)	-0.115*** (0.036)	-0.126*** (0.027)	-0.064*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.095*** (0.022)	0.025 (0.023)	0.061*** (0.017)	0.064*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.030*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.355*** (0.035)	0.405*** (0.028)	0.350*** (0.027)	0.391*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.015 (0.071)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.636*** (0.055)
Constant	-5.556*** (0.206)	-6.117*** (0.216)	-5.368*** (0.184)	-5.928*** (0.119)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-16,409.440	-16,914.810	-19,470.790	-53,088.250
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,852.870	33,863.620	38,975.590	106,214.500

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 46: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.059 (0.070)	-0.064 (0.060)	-0.084* (0.050)	-0.046 (0.035)
Democratic Partisan	0.569*** (0.077)	0.485*** (0.072)	0.756*** (0.059)	0.561*** (0.042)
Republican Partisan	0.620*** (0.073)	0.413*** (0.080)	0.267*** (0.063)	0.479*** (0.043)
Education Level	0.035** (0.015)	0.097*** (0.012)	0.122*** (0.012)	0.085*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.021*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.094*** (0.036)	0.052* (0.029)	0.089*** (0.029)	0.071*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.008 (0.124)	0.083 (0.123)	0.112 (0.112)	0.048 (0.075)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.017 (0.159)	0.291*** (0.083)	0.201*** (0.062)	0.176*** (0.055)
Contested Senate Race	0.095 (0.095)	-0.120** (0.057)	0.154*** (0.056)	0.036 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.214*** (0.116)	1.713*** (0.104)	1.449*** (0.098)	1.389*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.052* (0.028)	-0.066*** (0.025)	-0.0004 (0.023)	0.069*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.116*** (0.023)	0.079*** (0.022)	0.064*** (0.018)	0.042*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.021 (0.039)	-0.090** (0.038)	-0.094*** (0.027)	-0.041* (0.021)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.092*** (0.022)	0.027 (0.023)	0.061*** (0.017)	0.064*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.031*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.334*** (0.034)	0.382*** (0.028)	0.319*** (0.027)	0.367*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.021 (0.072)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.621*** (0.056)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.216*** (0.077)	-0.194*** (0.064)	-0.230*** (0.057)	-0.236*** (0.040)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.124 (0.076)	0.139** (0.067)	0.200*** (0.056)	0.129*** (0.039)
Constant	-5.432*** (0.208)	-5.983*** (0.219)	-5.223*** (0.183)	-5.786*** (0.120)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-16,380.720	-16,874.340	-19,403.910	-52,955.030
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,799.430	33,786.670	38,845.820	105,952.100

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 47: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.067 (0.046)	-0.048 (0.030)	0.030 (0.040)	-0.018 (0.021)
Democratic Partisan	0.121 (0.117)	0.087 (0.096)	-0.020 (0.104)	0.089 (0.060)
Republican Partisan	0.030 (0.092)	-0.044 (0.093)	-0.244** (0.115)	-0.065 (0.060)
Education Level	0.174*** (0.022)	0.193*** (0.017)	0.217*** (0.021)	0.194*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.062*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.059*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.231*** (0.058)	-0.021 (0.040)	-0.130*** (0.045)	-0.121*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.135 (0.090)	0.050 (0.082)	-0.015 (0.125)	-0.067 (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.139* (0.077)	-0.016 (0.054)	0.138** (0.070)	0.071** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.072 (0.060)	0.011 (0.049)	0.158*** (0.050)	0.086*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.353** (0.176)	0.783*** (0.176)	1.049*** (0.180)	0.625*** (0.115)
Presidential Approval	-0.189*** (0.044)	-0.030 (0.040)	-0.182*** (0.042)	-0.071*** (0.011)
Congressional Approval	-0.267*** (0.036)	-0.093*** (0.031)	-0.051* (0.028)	-0.116*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.044 (0.056)	-0.174*** (0.029)	-0.230*** (0.032)	-0.150*** (0.022)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.217*** (0.028)	0.070*** (0.025)	0.092*** (0.023)	0.120*** (0.015)
Age	-0.013*** (0.002)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.690*** (0.077)	0.781*** (0.049)	0.684*** (0.069)	0.724*** (0.037)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.049 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.413*** (0.037)
Constant	-4.055*** (0.382)	-5.792*** (0.268)	-5.761*** (0.387)	-5.215*** (0.208)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-9,619.707	-11,042.830	-9,400.072	-30,209.600
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,273.420	22,119.660	18,834.140	60,457.210

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 48: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.161 (0.108)	-0.131 (0.088)	-0.130 (0.084)	-0.122** (0.053)
Democratic Partisan	0.092 (0.118)	0.049 (0.099)	-0.045 (0.107)	0.055 (0.061)
Republican Partisan	-0.032 (0.106)	-0.111 (0.096)	-0.366*** (0.132)	-0.131** (0.066)
Education Level	0.174*** (0.022)	0.192*** (0.017)	0.216*** (0.021)	0.193*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.062*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.058*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.231*** (0.058)	-0.022 (0.040)	-0.131*** (0.045)	-0.122*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.134 (0.090)	0.049 (0.082)	-0.013 (0.125)	-0.066 (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.137* (0.077)	-0.016 (0.054)	0.137** (0.070)	0.070** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.072 (0.060)	0.010 (0.049)	0.156*** (0.050)	0.085*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.340* (0.177)	0.757*** (0.175)	1.043*** (0.180)	0.607*** (0.114)
Presidential Approval	-0.186*** (0.045)	-0.035 (0.041)	-0.200*** (0.045)	-0.070*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.271*** (0.036)	-0.097*** (0.032)	-0.051* (0.028)	-0.119*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.052 (0.056)	-0.161*** (0.029)	-0.214*** (0.032)	-0.137*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.216*** (0.028)	0.071*** (0.025)	0.093*** (0.023)	0.120*** (0.015)
Age	-0.013*** (0.002)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.684*** (0.078)	0.769*** (0.049)	0.675*** (0.069)	0.717*** (0.038)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.052 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.419*** (0.037)
Attitudes \times Democrat	0.054 (0.114)	0.006 (0.092)	0.129 (0.095)	0.059 (0.057)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.173 (0.121)	0.154 (0.099)	0.221** (0.089)	0.170*** (0.059)
Constant	-4.000*** (0.389)	-5.696*** (0.264)	-5.643*** (0.393)	-5.152*** (0.207)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-9,616.294	-11,036.840	-9,395.691	-30,197.690
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,270.590	22,111.680	18,829.380	60,437.390

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 49: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.062* (0.037)	-0.072** (0.028)	-0.065** (0.025)	-0.019 (0.017)
Democratic Partisan	0.381*** (0.103)	0.572*** (0.089)	0.913*** (0.083)	0.457*** (0.051)
Republican Partisan	0.513*** (0.088)	0.392*** (0.085)	0.292*** (0.085)	0.533*** (0.053)
Education Level	0.008 (0.017)	0.046*** (0.014)	0.043*** (0.013)	0.027*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.027*** (0.008)	0.028*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.030*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.099** (0.048)	-0.034 (0.037)	0.034 (0.034)	-0.031 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.018 (0.070)	0.218*** (0.080)	0.114 (0.084)	0.094** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.029 (0.072)	-0.044 (0.060)	0.002 (0.049)	0.003 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.144*** (0.055)	0.085 (0.052)	0.005 (0.044)	0.076*** (0.026)
Political Knowledge	0.517*** (0.141)	0.810*** (0.150)	1.056*** (0.131)	0.709*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	0.008 (0.037)	-0.184*** (0.032)	-0.390*** (0.026)	-0.051*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.029 (0.029)	-0.026 (0.026)	-0.042** (0.020)	-0.045*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.146*** (0.056)	-0.219*** (0.028)	-0.290*** (0.030)	-0.177*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.149*** (0.025)	0.053** (0.023)	0.035** (0.016)	0.076*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.638*** (0.058)	0.632*** (0.044)	0.662*** (0.041)	0.667*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.014 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.221*** (0.029)
Constant	-4.560*** (0.274)	-4.846*** (0.298)	-4.359*** (0.236)	-4.945*** (0.152)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-12,891.710	-13,726.860	-16,366.580	-43,213.030
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,817.420	27,487.720	32,767.150	86,464.060

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 50: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.019 (0.102)	-0.183** (0.083)	-0.213*** (0.077)	0.018 (0.051)
Democratic Partisan	0.200* (0.114)	0.438*** (0.091)	0.684*** (0.086)	0.268*** (0.054)
Republican Partisan	0.351*** (0.099)	0.240*** (0.090)	-0.018 (0.099)	0.376*** (0.057)
Education Level	0.004 (0.017)	0.042*** (0.014)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.021** (0.009)
Income Level	0.025*** (0.008)	0.027*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.029*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.108** (0.048)	-0.038 (0.037)	0.023 (0.034)	-0.039 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.018 (0.069)	0.220*** (0.080)	0.116 (0.082)	0.096** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.024 (0.072)	-0.043 (0.060)	-0.003 (0.049)	0.002 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.149*** (0.056)	0.083 (0.052)	-0.002 (0.045)	0.075*** (0.027)
Political Knowledge	0.413*** (0.144)	0.735*** (0.150)	0.970*** (0.131)	0.615*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	0.019 (0.040)	-0.193*** (0.034)	-0.413*** (0.029)	-0.043*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.063** (0.029)	-0.038 (0.026)	-0.052*** (0.020)	-0.063*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.126** (0.055)	-0.183*** (0.028)	-0.239*** (0.030)	-0.142*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.146*** (0.025)	0.056** (0.023)	0.035** (0.016)	0.076*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.597*** (0.058)	0.600*** (0.044)	0.610*** (0.041)	0.626*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.010 (0.038)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.191*** (0.029)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.454*** (0.108)	-0.150* (0.087)	-0.226*** (0.082)	-0.376*** (0.054)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.210* (0.113)	0.281*** (0.090)	0.411*** (0.087)	0.186*** (0.056)
Constant	-4.263*** (0.277)	-4.602*** (0.294)	-3.981*** (0.242)	-4.691*** (0.150)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-12,807.870	-13,676.960	-16,254.350	-42,979.420
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,653.740	27,391.920	32,546.710	86,000.830

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 51: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.102* (0.059)	-0.199*** (0.043)	-0.062 (0.047)	-0.110*** (0.029)
Democratic Partisan	0.319* (0.170)	0.409** (0.183)	0.796*** (0.124)	0.474*** (0.090)
Republican Partisan	0.085 (0.167)	-0.097 (0.159)	0.140 (0.122)	0.079 (0.092)
Education Level	0.212*** (0.027)	0.214*** (0.024)	0.289*** (0.022)	0.235*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.022* (0.013)	0.010 (0.009)	0.035*** (0.008)	0.023*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.190** (0.074)	0.009 (0.058)	0.042 (0.055)	-0.049 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.085 (0.105)	0.271*** (0.082)	0.093 (0.117)	0.044 (0.068)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.051 (0.095)	-0.055 (0.069)	-0.094 (0.076)	-0.034 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.046 (0.081)	0.067 (0.060)	0.017 (0.054)	0.053 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.702*** (0.210)	1.518*** (0.240)	1.199*** (0.214)	0.997*** (0.142)
Presidential Approval	-0.149*** (0.054)	-0.068 (0.059)	-0.227*** (0.049)	-0.059*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.258*** (0.051)	-0.187*** (0.046)	-0.136*** (0.035)	-0.192*** (0.028)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.209* (0.121)	-0.232*** (0.039)	-0.393*** (0.038)	-0.272*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.262*** (0.033)	0.064* (0.034)	0.119*** (0.025)	0.145*** (0.018)
Age	-0.011*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.003** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.758*** (0.122)	1.016*** (0.090)	0.940*** (0.084)	0.906*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.208*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.198*** (0.050)
Constant	-5.706*** (0.623)	-8.695*** (0.477)	-8.171*** (0.508)	-7.406*** (0.361)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-5,966.560	-6,149.610	-6,756.708	-18,991.240
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,967.120	12,333.220	13,547.420	38,020.470

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 52: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.103 (0.160)	-0.416*** (0.135)	-0.628*** (0.125)	-0.237*** (0.084)
Democratic Partisan	0.215 (0.183)	0.336* (0.200)	0.766*** (0.128)	0.371*** (0.093)
Republican Partisan	0.030 (0.176)	-0.248 (0.169)	-0.237 (0.151)	-0.085 (0.099)
Education Level	0.210*** (0.027)	0.211*** (0.024)	0.285*** (0.022)	0.231*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.021 (0.013)	0.010 (0.009)	0.035*** (0.008)	0.023*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.199*** (0.074)	0.004 (0.058)	0.035 (0.056)	-0.055 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.085 (0.105)	0.272*** (0.081)	0.096 (0.118)	0.045 (0.067)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.049 (0.096)	-0.055 (0.070)	-0.098 (0.075)	-0.036 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.049 (0.081)	0.065 (0.060)	0.010 (0.054)	0.050 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.637*** (0.210)	1.452*** (0.240)	1.145*** (0.213)	0.933*** (0.142)
Presidential Approval	-0.165*** (0.057)	-0.083 (0.066)	-0.284*** (0.056)	-0.052*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.276*** (0.051)	-0.194*** (0.047)	-0.138*** (0.035)	-0.202*** (0.028)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.209* (0.120)	-0.205*** (0.040)	-0.343*** (0.038)	-0.246*** (0.031)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.261*** (0.033)	0.066* (0.034)	0.119*** (0.025)	0.144*** (0.018)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.002* (0.001)
Political Interest	0.733*** (0.124)	0.985*** (0.090)	0.898*** (0.084)	0.877*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.206*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.224*** (0.051)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.370** (0.181)	0.041 (0.145)	0.393*** (0.132)	-0.032 (0.091)
Attitudes × Republican	-0.024 (0.187)	0.428*** (0.145)	0.875*** (0.143)	0.351*** (0.096)
Constant	-5.471*** (0.631)	-8.473*** (0.481)	-7.799*** (0.511)	-7.212*** (0.358)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-5,951.321	-6,133.689	-6,728.080	-18,953.970
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,940.640	12,305.380	13,494.160	37,949.950

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 53: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.184*** (0.035)	-0.307*** (0.040)	-0.133*** (0.025)	-0.130*** (0.018)
Democratic Partisan	0.255*** (0.086)	0.322*** (0.116)	0.915*** (0.066)	0.521*** (0.047)
Republican Partisan	0.360*** (0.080)	-0.266** (0.132)	0.182*** (0.065)	0.346*** (0.049)
Education Level	0.119*** (0.016)	0.214*** (0.019)	0.178*** (0.012)	0.157*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.062*** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.007)	0.073*** (0.005)	0.059*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.169*** (0.044)	0.111** (0.052)	0.017 (0.033)	-0.023 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.024 (0.075)	-0.110 (0.098)	0.059 (0.086)	-0.009 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.121* (0.070)	0.059 (0.064)	0.053 (0.046)	0.081*** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.016 (0.044)	-0.049 (0.057)	0.059* (0.032)	0.035 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	1.105*** (0.128)	1.128*** (0.187)	1.428*** (0.115)	1.280*** (0.079)
Presidential Approval	-0.132*** (0.033)	0.145*** (0.053)	-0.278*** (0.026)	0.036*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.097*** (0.029)	-0.049 (0.046)	0.079*** (0.018)	0.114*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.155*** (0.054)	-0.439*** (0.037)	-0.478*** (0.034)	-0.390*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.136*** (0.023)	-0.034 (0.031)	0.056*** (0.015)	0.070*** (0.012)
Age	0.008*** (0.002)	-0.018*** (0.002)	0.014*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.964*** (0.062)	0.986*** (0.056)	0.947*** (0.045)	0.970*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.611*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.388*** (0.025)
Constant	-7.152*** (0.343)	-7.283*** (0.367)	-7.248*** (0.248)	-7.764*** (0.189)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-13,569.680	-7,430.877	-17,216.900	-38,946.400
Akaike Inf. Crit.	27,173.370	14,895.750	34,467.810	77,930.810

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 54: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.003 (0.099)	-0.415*** (0.114)	-0.251*** (0.062)	-0.066 (0.053)
Democratic Partisan	-0.094 (0.090)	0.220 (0.145)	0.620*** (0.068)	0.221*** (0.049)
Republican Partisan	0.112 (0.087)	-0.451*** (0.150)	-0.156** (0.072)	0.064 (0.051)
Education Level	0.114*** (0.016)	0.211*** (0.019)	0.171*** (0.012)	0.150*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.007)	0.073*** (0.005)	0.058*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.189*** (0.044)	0.106** (0.052)	0.002 (0.034)	-0.038 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.028 (0.075)	-0.112 (0.097)	0.062 (0.087)	-0.006 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.113 (0.069)	0.060 (0.064)	0.047 (0.045)	0.078** (0.030)
Contested Senate Race	0.028 (0.045)	-0.052 (0.057)	0.049 (0.032)	0.032 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	0.950*** (0.132)	1.050*** (0.187)	1.325*** (0.115)	1.151*** (0.080)
Presidential Approval	-0.133*** (0.035)	0.175*** (0.059)	-0.275*** (0.027)	0.051*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.048 (0.031)	-0.059 (0.046)	0.066*** (0.018)	0.086*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.133** (0.054)	-0.413*** (0.037)	-0.412*** (0.033)	-0.345*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.129*** (0.023)	-0.031 (0.031)	0.055*** (0.016)	0.068*** (0.012)
Age	0.009*** (0.002)	-0.017*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.897*** (0.062)	0.957*** (0.056)	0.884*** (0.045)	0.905*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.636*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.342*** (0.025)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.800*** (0.104)	-0.061 (0.123)	-0.349*** (0.068)	-0.564*** (0.056)
Attitudes × Republican	0.295*** (0.108)	0.550*** (0.143)	0.471*** (0.068)	0.343*** (0.057)
Constant	-6.660*** (0.349)	-7.198*** (0.379)	-6.915*** (0.248)	-7.382*** (0.190)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
Log Likelihood	-13,313.520	-7,396.724	-17,025.220	-38,434.970
Akaike Inf. Crit.	26,665.040	14,831.450	34,088.440	76,911.950

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 55: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	0.136* (0.070)	0.098 (0.060)	0.048 (0.058)	0.119*** (0.039)
Democratic Partisan	0.441** (0.185)	0.073 (0.279)	0.551*** (0.163)	0.343*** (0.116)
Republican Partisan	0.020 (0.155)	-0.284 (0.199)	0.084 (0.131)	-0.007 (0.108)
Education Level	0.154*** (0.037)	0.165*** (0.028)	0.178*** (0.029)	0.167*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.059*** (0.016)	0.016 (0.012)	0.040*** (0.010)	0.040*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.801*** (0.087)	-0.744*** (0.100)	-0.693*** (0.074)	-0.755*** (0.054)
Contested House Race	-0.202 (0.157)	0.057 (0.186)	-0.044 (0.187)	-0.109 (0.104)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.225* (0.133)	0.096 (0.099)	0.126 (0.112)	0.140** (0.068)
Contested Senate Race	-0.067 (0.109)	0.189** (0.091)	-0.117 (0.087)	-0.006 (0.050)
Political Knowledge	-0.194 (0.249)	0.235 (0.219)	0.341 (0.268)	-0.045 (0.163)
Presidential Approval	-0.228*** (0.081)	-0.058 (0.085)	-0.377*** (0.071)	-0.138*** (0.021)
Congressional Approval	-0.579*** (0.058)	-0.272*** (0.060)	-0.160*** (0.051)	-0.329*** (0.035)
Scaled Conservatism	0.170** (0.086)	-0.001 (0.065)	-0.223*** (0.083)	-0.026 (0.049)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.232*** (0.047)	0.105** (0.042)	0.038 (0.030)	0.109*** (0.024)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.023*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.003)	0.014*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.435*** (0.089)	0.523*** (0.087)	0.337*** (0.076)	0.428*** (0.048)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.369*** (0.076)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.224*** (0.057)
Constant	-3.587*** (0.452)	-6.293*** (0.601)	-4.751*** (0.522)	-4.627*** (0.334)
Observations	29,380	29,644	32,434	91,458
Log Likelihood	-4,528.651	-4,423.029	-5,350.732	-14,434.020
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,091.302	8,880.059	10,735.460	28,906.040

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 56: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.076 (0.165)	-0.008 (0.183)	-0.282* (0.146)	-0.092 (0.097)
Democratic Partisan	0.463*** (0.173)	0.116 (0.261)	0.599*** (0.135)	0.383*** (0.108)
Republican Partisan	0.047 (0.168)	-0.235 (0.190)	0.122 (0.152)	0.046 (0.115)
Education Level	0.157*** (0.037)	0.168*** (0.028)	0.186*** (0.030)	0.172*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.060*** (0.016)	0.017 (0.012)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.041*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.796*** (0.087)	-0.739*** (0.100)	-0.682*** (0.073)	-0.748*** (0.054)
Contested House Race	-0.200 (0.157)	0.056 (0.185)	-0.037 (0.187)	-0.108 (0.104)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.224* (0.133)	0.093 (0.099)	0.129 (0.112)	0.139** (0.067)
Contested Senate Race	-0.067 (0.108)	0.191** (0.092)	-0.113 (0.087)	-0.003 (0.050)
Political Knowledge	-0.129 (0.243)	0.295 (0.218)	0.442* (0.264)	0.046 (0.160)
Presidential Approval	-0.224*** (0.078)	-0.060 (0.081)	-0.370*** (0.062)	-0.144*** (0.021)
Congressional Approval	-0.562*** (0.058)	-0.262*** (0.059)	-0.154*** (0.051)	-0.316*** (0.034)
Scaled Conservatism	0.170* (0.088)	-0.027 (0.067)	-0.239*** (0.079)	-0.052 (0.049)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.234*** (0.047)	0.105** (0.043)	0.041 (0.030)	0.111*** (0.024)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.022*** (0.003)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.461*** (0.091)	0.546*** (0.087)	0.382*** (0.077)	0.462*** (0.048)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.376*** (0.076)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.201*** (0.057)
Attitudes × Democrat	0.405** (0.181)	0.311* (0.179)	0.683*** (0.162)	0.483*** (0.103)
Attitudes × Republican	0.052 (0.180)	0.005 (0.194)	0.156 (0.157)	0.050 (0.106)
Constant	-3.760*** (0.450)	-6.420*** (0.564)	-4.941*** (0.506)	-4.808*** (0.327)
Observations	29,380	29,644	32,434	91,458
Log Likelihood	-4,519.409	-4,418.074	-5,325.070	-14,392.740
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,076.819	8,874.149	10,688.140	28,827.470

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 57: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.104*** (0.018)	-0.081*** (0.012)	-0.066*** (0.015)	-0.073*** (0.009)
Democratic Partisan	0.306*** (0.041)	0.319*** (0.044)	0.626*** (0.033)	0.389*** (0.023)
Republican Partisan	0.376*** (0.039)	0.212*** (0.038)	0.211*** (0.035)	0.314*** (0.023)
Education Level	0.075*** (0.009)	0.105*** (0.007)	0.136*** (0.007)	0.106*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.033*** (0.004)	0.027*** (0.003)	0.041*** (0.003)	0.034*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.068*** (0.023)	-0.007 (0.018)	0.010 (0.019)	-0.024* (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.023 (0.047)	0.092* (0.051)	0.068 (0.053)	0.052 (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.098** (0.044)	0.064* (0.033)	0.086*** (0.028)	0.088*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.122*** (0.035)	0.008 (0.025)	0.047* (0.024)	0.061*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.735*** (0.056)	0.883*** (0.050)	0.963*** (0.054)	0.826*** (0.034)
Presidential Approval	-0.057*** (0.017)	-0.050*** (0.015)	-0.138*** (0.013)	-0.005 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.028* (0.014)	0.023* (0.013)	0.030*** (0.011)	0.016** (0.008)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.050* (0.026)	-0.164*** (0.015)	-0.201*** (0.016)	-0.134*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.112*** (0.012)	0.039*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.009)	0.072*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.378*** (0.018)	0.371*** (0.014)	0.389*** (0.015)	0.393*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.190*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.313*** (0.019)
Constant	-1.522*** (0.106)	-1.826*** (0.092)	-1.682*** (0.099)	-1.910*** (0.059)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
R ²	0.182	0.199	0.231	0.214
Adjusted R ²	0.182	0.199	0.230	0.214
Residual Std. Error	1.255 (df = 29442)	1.192 (df = 29668)	1.224 (df = 32492)	1.228 (df = 91634)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 58: Full Scale CES Robustness Check: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Racial Attitudes	-0.045 (0.041)	-0.097*** (0.033)	-0.143*** (0.033)	-0.061*** (0.022)
Democratic Partisan	0.221*** (0.041)	0.238*** (0.044)	0.483*** (0.034)	0.283*** (0.024)
Republican Partisan	0.274*** (0.041)	0.124*** (0.041)	0.043 (0.039)	0.207*** (0.025)
Education Level	0.072*** (0.009)	0.101*** (0.007)	0.130*** (0.007)	0.101*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.031*** (0.004)	0.026*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.033*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.071*** (0.022)	-0.007 (0.018)	0.004 (0.019)	-0.027** (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.022 (0.046)	0.094* (0.050)	0.074 (0.053)	0.053* (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.095** (0.044)	0.065** (0.033)	0.085*** (0.027)	0.088*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.126*** (0.035)	0.007 (0.025)	0.042* (0.024)	0.060*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.669*** (0.056)	0.828*** (0.050)	0.907*** (0.052)	0.761*** (0.034)
Presidential Approval	-0.046*** (0.017)	-0.050*** (0.015)	-0.137*** (0.014)	-0.0003 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.005 (0.014)	0.011 (0.013)	0.022* (0.011)	0.001 (0.008)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.038 (0.026)	-0.139*** (0.016)	-0.165*** (0.015)	-0.107*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.109*** (0.011)	0.040*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.009)	0.072*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.354*** (0.018)	0.352*** (0.014)	0.358*** (0.015)	0.368*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.186*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.291*** (0.019)
Attitudes × Democrat	-0.321*** (0.044)	-0.188*** (0.037)	-0.185*** (0.036)	-0.260*** (0.025)
Attitudes × Republican	0.155*** (0.047)	0.146*** (0.036)	0.269*** (0.037)	0.162*** (0.025)
Constant	-1.366*** (0.104)	-1.691*** (0.092)	-1.511*** (0.099)	-1.752*** (0.058)
Observations	29,459	29,685	32,509	91,653
R ²	0.193	0.206	0.241	0.223
Adjusted R ²	0.192	0.205	0.241	0.223
Residual Std. Error	1.247 (df = 29440)	1.187 (df = 29666)	1.216 (df = 32490)	1.221 (df = 91632)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 59: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	−0.023 (0.019)	−0.007 (0.016)	0.006 (0.019)	−0.013 (0.010)
Democratic Partisan	0.347*** (0.076)	0.362*** (0.066)	0.600*** (0.070)	0.435*** (0.042)
Republican Partisan	0.562*** (0.070)	0.424*** (0.069)	0.429*** (0.069)	0.475*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.025 (0.015)	0.072*** (0.013)	0.153*** (0.016)	0.079*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.006 (0.007)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.195*** (0.040)	0.164*** (0.034)	0.178*** (0.039)	0.175*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.202** (0.091)	0.191** (0.086)	0.156** (0.073)	0.198*** (0.058)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.189** (0.092)	0.083* (0.048)	0.116* (0.067)	0.158*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.338*** (0.070)	0.056 (0.044)	−0.062 (0.047)	0.125*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	1.219*** (0.104)	1.424*** (0.099)	1.376*** (0.119)	1.283*** (0.063)
Presidential Approval	−0.034 (0.028)	−0.033 (0.027)	0.028 (0.032)	0.029*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.214*** (0.026)	0.189*** (0.026)	0.141*** (0.024)	0.165*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.046 (0.038)	−0.046 (0.032)	0.026 (0.031)	0.006 (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.027 (0.021)	0.065*** (0.021)	0.039** (0.018)	0.037*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.321*** (0.031)	0.357*** (0.025)	0.281*** (0.030)	0.334*** (0.016)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.128*** (0.041)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.678*** (0.037)
Constant	−4.101*** (0.215)	−4.764*** (0.179)	−4.059*** (0.199)	−4.599*** (0.119)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	−16,922.030	−15,867.750	−16,080.770	−49,140.300
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,878.070	31,769.500	32,195.540	98,318.610

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 60: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	−0.041 (0.040)	−0.023 (0.038)	−0.054 (0.038)	−0.037 (0.022)
Democratic Partisan	0.524*** (0.153)	0.581*** (0.131)	0.731*** (0.126)	0.636*** (0.079)
Republican Partisan	0.190 (0.182)	0.053 (0.152)	−0.114 (0.159)	0.058 (0.099)
Education Level	0.024 (0.015)	0.069*** (0.013)	0.150*** (0.016)	0.077*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.005 (0.007)	0.031*** (0.006)	0.038*** (0.007)	0.024*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.196*** (0.041)	0.157*** (0.034)	0.171*** (0.040)	0.171*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.201** (0.092)	0.192** (0.085)	0.164** (0.072)	0.198*** (0.058)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.189** (0.092)	0.089* (0.048)	0.112* (0.067)	0.160*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.340*** (0.070)	0.059 (0.044)	−0.068 (0.047)	0.126*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	1.182*** (0.105)	1.380*** (0.098)	1.318*** (0.117)	1.236*** (0.063)
Presidential Approval	−0.025 (0.028)	−0.024 (0.027)	0.024 (0.031)	0.031*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.202*** (0.027)	0.173*** (0.026)	0.130*** (0.024)	0.153*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.057 (0.038)	−0.021 (0.033)	0.056* (0.030)	0.028 (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.027 (0.021)	0.065*** (0.021)	0.040** (0.018)	0.037*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.001)	0.023*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.306*** (0.031)	0.337*** (0.026)	0.260*** (0.030)	0.314*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.123*** (0.041)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.657*** (0.037)
Advantages × Democrat	−0.086* (0.046)	−0.111** (0.043)	−0.103** (0.043)	−0.108*** (0.025)
Advantages × Republican	0.100** (0.048)	0.098** (0.042)	0.148*** (0.041)	0.112*** (0.026)
Constant	−3.967*** (0.238)	−4.606*** (0.214)	−3.741*** (0.222)	−4.401*** (0.131)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	−16,895.370	−15,835.240	−16,038.120	−49,030.790
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,828.740	31,708.470	32,114.240	98,103.590

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 61: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.001 (0.018)	-0.017 (0.015)	-0.0004 (0.015)	-0.004 (0.009)
Democratic Partisan	0.654*** (0.075)	0.572*** (0.068)	0.914*** (0.057)	0.693*** (0.041)
Republican Partisan	0.709*** (0.068)	0.501*** (0.074)	0.420*** (0.058)	0.566*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.039** (0.015)	0.096*** (0.012)	0.129*** (0.012)	0.090*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.022*** (0.007)	0.017*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.020*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.105*** (0.036)	0.064** (0.029)	0.103*** (0.029)	0.083*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.005 (0.122)	0.072 (0.121)	0.108 (0.108)	0.045 (0.073)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.022 (0.158)	0.282*** (0.083)	0.200*** (0.061)	0.174*** (0.054)
Contested Senate Race	0.092 (0.095)	-0.122** (0.057)	0.157*** (0.055)	0.035 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.278*** (0.117)	1.783*** (0.102)	1.503*** (0.097)	1.463*** (0.064)
Presidential Approval	0.018 (0.028)	-0.060** (0.025)	0.016 (0.023)	0.066*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.142*** (0.022)	0.099*** (0.023)	0.069*** (0.018)	0.058*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.057 (0.038)	-0.117*** (0.036)	-0.135*** (0.027)	-0.081*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.091*** (0.022)	0.028 (0.023)	0.058*** (0.017)	0.062*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.030*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.357*** (0.034)	0.406*** (0.028)	0.347*** (0.027)	0.390*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.003 (0.071)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.625*** (0.055)
Constant	-5.548*** (0.207)	-6.133*** (0.223)	-5.437*** (0.189)	-5.957*** (0.121)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-16,460.210	-17,423.550	-19,953.190	-54,142.100
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,954.420	34,881.100	39,940.380	108,322.200

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 62: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	−0.009 (0.043)	0.005 (0.036)	−0.081** (0.033)	−0.021 (0.021)
Democratic Partisan	0.898*** (0.146)	0.944*** (0.114)	1.013*** (0.116)	0.965*** (0.075)
Republican Partisan	0.347** (0.167)	0.210 (0.158)	−0.238* (0.136)	0.118 (0.085)
Education Level	0.038** (0.015)	0.093*** (0.012)	0.125*** (0.012)	0.087*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.021*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.104*** (0.036)	0.055* (0.029)	0.094*** (0.029)	0.077*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.005 (0.122)	0.073 (0.121)	0.114 (0.110)	0.046 (0.074)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.020 (0.158)	0.291*** (0.083)	0.194*** (0.061)	0.176*** (0.054)
Contested Senate Race	0.094 (0.095)	−0.119** (0.057)	0.149*** (0.055)	0.035 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.233*** (0.117)	1.730*** (0.101)	1.436*** (0.098)	1.404*** (0.064)
Presidential Approval	0.031 (0.029)	−0.043* (0.025)	0.014 (0.024)	0.069*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.127*** (0.023)	0.082*** (0.022)	0.059*** (0.018)	0.046*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	−0.044 (0.039)	−0.088** (0.037)	−0.095*** (0.027)	−0.054*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.090*** (0.022)	0.028 (0.023)	0.060*** (0.017)	0.062*** (0.013)
Age	0.021*** (0.002)	0.031*** (0.001)	0.028*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.338*** (0.034)	0.379*** (0.028)	0.319*** (0.027)	0.363*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				−0.0001 (0.071)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.601*** (0.055)
Attitudes × <i>Democrat</i>	−0.117** (0.046)	−0.179*** (0.040)	−0.106*** (0.039)	−0.149*** (0.025)
Attitudes × <i>Republican</i>	0.094** (0.045)	0.070* (0.041)	0.179*** (0.035)	0.116*** (0.023)
Constant	−5.418*** (0.229)	−6.079*** (0.246)	−5.033*** (0.209)	−5.741*** (0.134)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	−16,426.340	−17,375.570	−19,890.250	−53,974.300
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,890.680	34,789.140	39,818.500	107,990.600

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 63: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.059** (0.026)	-0.119*** (0.021)	-0.003 (0.027)	-0.054*** (0.014)
Democratic Partisan	0.116 (0.116)	0.060 (0.094)	-0.017 (0.102)	0.056 (0.059)
Republican Partisan	0.031 (0.092)	-0.041 (0.091)	-0.241** (0.114)	-0.046 (0.059)
Education Level	0.172*** (0.022)	0.184*** (0.017)	0.222*** (0.021)	0.192*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.055*** (0.007)	0.058*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.221*** (0.058)	-0.026 (0.039)	-0.136*** (0.044)	-0.124*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.139 (0.090)	0.047 (0.081)	-0.032 (0.122)	-0.073 (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.139* (0.077)	-0.030 (0.052)	0.129* (0.069)	0.063* (0.035)
Contested Senate Race	0.072 (0.060)	0.001 (0.047)	0.148*** (0.050)	0.081*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.352** (0.176)	0.749*** (0.169)	1.067*** (0.177)	0.609*** (0.114)
Presidential Approval	-0.179*** (0.044)	-0.073* (0.039)	-0.198*** (0.047)	-0.075*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.264*** (0.036)	-0.097*** (0.031)	-0.046* (0.027)	-0.115*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.046 (0.057)	-0.144*** (0.030)	-0.221*** (0.031)	-0.126*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.216*** (0.028)	0.078*** (0.025)	0.094*** (0.023)	0.123*** (0.015)
Age	-0.012*** (0.002)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.689*** (0.076)	0.762*** (0.047)	0.686*** (0.068)	0.719*** (0.036)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.066* (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.412*** (0.037)
Constant	-3.919*** (0.387)	-5.210*** (0.283)	-5.746*** (0.395)	-5.017*** (0.213)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-9,649.687	-11,328.710	-9,582.560	-30,714.850
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,333.370	22,691.420	19,199.120	61,467.710

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 64: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.077 (0.059)	-0.140** (0.056)	-0.035 (0.051)	-0.070** (0.029)
Democratic Partisan	0.240 (0.225)	0.032 (0.171)	0.020 (0.174)	0.114 (0.113)
Republican Partisan	-0.316 (0.258)	-0.157 (0.222)	-0.520** (0.219)	-0.246* (0.128)
Education Level	0.171*** (0.022)	0.184*** (0.017)	0.221*** (0.021)	0.191*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.060*** (0.010)	0.058*** (0.006)	0.055*** (0.007)	0.058*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.223*** (0.058)	-0.027 (0.038)	-0.142*** (0.044)	-0.127*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.140 (0.091)	0.046 (0.081)	-0.030 (0.122)	-0.073 (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.137* (0.077)	-0.029 (0.052)	0.125* (0.069)	0.063* (0.035)
Contested Senate Race	0.073 (0.060)	0.002 (0.047)	0.144*** (0.050)	0.080*** (0.029)
Political Knowledge	0.315* (0.177)	0.742*** (0.168)	1.041*** (0.176)	0.587*** (0.114)
Presidential Approval	-0.179*** (0.045)	-0.074* (0.041)	-0.203*** (0.049)	-0.074*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.275*** (0.036)	-0.099*** (0.031)	-0.049* (0.027)	-0.119*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.055 (0.056)	-0.140*** (0.030)	-0.208*** (0.031)	-0.117*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.216*** (0.028)	0.078*** (0.025)	0.095*** (0.023)	0.122*** (0.015)
Age	-0.012*** (0.002)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.673*** (0.078)	0.758*** (0.048)	0.673*** (0.069)	0.707*** (0.037)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.064 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.422*** (0.037)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.079 (0.068)	0.004 (0.061)	-0.048 (0.065)	-0.043 (0.036)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.096 (0.068)	0.034 (0.059)	0.074 (0.054)	0.055 (0.034)
Constant	-3.741*** (0.437)	-5.122*** (0.345)	-5.551*** (0.427)	-4.898*** (0.233)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-9,640.242	-11,328.060	-9,579.388	-30,706.860
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,318.480	22,694.120	19,196.780	61,455.720

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 65: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.012 (0.021)	-0.025 (0.018)	-0.002 (0.017)	0.025** (0.010)
Democratic Partisan	0.390*** (0.103)	0.576*** (0.088)	0.920*** (0.081)	0.494*** (0.051)
Republican Partisan	0.511*** (0.088)	0.419*** (0.083)	0.306*** (0.084)	0.525*** (0.052)
Education Level	0.010 (0.017)	0.042*** (0.014)	0.045*** (0.013)	0.030*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.027*** (0.008)	0.028*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.030*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.091* (0.048)	-0.027 (0.036)	0.034 (0.033)	-0.030 (0.023)
Contested House Race	0.017 (0.070)	0.222*** (0.080)	0.129 (0.082)	0.100** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.033 (0.072)	-0.039 (0.059)	-0.004 (0.049)	0.003 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.143*** (0.055)	0.088* (0.051)	0.011 (0.044)	0.079*** (0.026)
Political Knowledge	0.537*** (0.141)	0.789*** (0.145)	1.039*** (0.131)	0.721*** (0.084)
Presidential Approval	-0.015 (0.037)	-0.167*** (0.032)	-0.357*** (0.026)	-0.048*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.020 (0.029)	-0.024 (0.026)	-0.043** (0.020)	-0.045*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.171*** (0.055)	-0.227*** (0.028)	-0.299*** (0.030)	-0.197*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.147*** (0.025)	0.056** (0.023)	0.031* (0.016)	0.073*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.637*** (0.058)	0.626*** (0.043)	0.664*** (0.040)	0.662*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.026 (0.038)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.215*** (0.030)
Constant	-4.572*** (0.287)	-4.820*** (0.290)	-4.486*** (0.241)	-5.044*** (0.156)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-12,923.230	-14,121.240	-16,739.530	-43,991.610
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,880.470	28,276.490	33,513.060	88,021.230

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 66: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.045 (0.057)	-0.115** (0.051)	-0.065 (0.044)	0.045 (0.030)
Democratic Partisan	1.108*** (0.215)	0.745*** (0.175)	1.382*** (0.153)	1.111*** (0.107)
Republican Partisan	-0.105 (0.237)	-0.451** (0.211)	-0.625*** (0.187)	-0.066 (0.119)
Education Level	0.006 (0.017)	0.038*** (0.014)	0.037*** (0.013)	0.024*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.024*** (0.008)	0.027*** (0.006)	0.036*** (0.005)	0.029*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.098** (0.048)	-0.044 (0.037)	0.014 (0.033)	-0.044* (0.023)
Contested House Race	0.014 (0.071)	0.224*** (0.081)	0.132 (0.082)	0.100** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.027 (0.072)	-0.029 (0.059)	-0.020 (0.049)	0.004 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.148*** (0.055)	0.092* (0.051)	-0.004 (0.045)	0.077*** (0.026)
Political Knowledge	0.433*** (0.144)	0.709*** (0.146)	0.918*** (0.133)	0.619*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	-0.00003 (0.040)	-0.162*** (0.034)	-0.380*** (0.030)	-0.044*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.055* (0.029)	-0.046* (0.026)	-0.059*** (0.020)	-0.064*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.145*** (0.055)	-0.181*** (0.028)	-0.239*** (0.029)	-0.157*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.147*** (0.025)	0.056** (0.023)	0.033** (0.016)	0.072*** (0.012)
Age	-0.009*** (0.002)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.594*** (0.058)	0.583*** (0.043)	0.609*** (0.040)	0.613*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.035 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.168*** (0.030)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.347*** (0.064)	-0.143** (0.059)	-0.322*** (0.055)	-0.332*** (0.035)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.150** (0.063)	0.233*** (0.057)	0.228*** (0.049)	0.141*** (0.032)
Constant	-4.385*** (0.343)	-4.280*** (0.317)	-3.896*** (0.277)	-4.785*** (0.180)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-12,799.740	-14,039.570	-16,590.820	-43,632.990
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,637.490	28,117.130	33,219.640	87,307.980

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 67: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.137*** (0.033)	-0.203*** (0.033)	-0.200*** (0.034)	-0.153*** (0.020)
Democratic Partisan	0.304* (0.169)	0.383** (0.176)	0.739*** (0.122)	0.402*** (0.089)
Republican Partisan	0.094 (0.167)	-0.109 (0.157)	0.109 (0.120)	0.119 (0.091)
Education Level	0.206*** (0.027)	0.206*** (0.024)	0.281*** (0.022)	0.227*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.021 (0.013)	0.010 (0.009)	0.032*** (0.008)	0.022*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.177** (0.075)	0.023 (0.056)	0.043 (0.054)	-0.042 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.088 (0.104)	0.288*** (0.083)	0.097 (0.114)	0.047 (0.067)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.052 (0.095)	-0.041 (0.069)	-0.087 (0.072)	-0.027 (0.041)
Contested Senate Race	0.044 (0.080)	0.058 (0.059)	0.025 (0.053)	0.053 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.681*** (0.213)	1.489*** (0.234)	1.098*** (0.207)	0.935*** (0.141)
Presidential Approval	-0.115** (0.052)	-0.124** (0.059)	-0.341*** (0.050)	-0.067*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.257*** (0.053)	-0.165*** (0.045)	-0.120*** (0.034)	-0.185*** (0.027)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.183 (0.120)	-0.216*** (0.039)	-0.354*** (0.038)	-0.237*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.263*** (0.033)	0.069** (0.034)	0.121*** (0.025)	0.148*** (0.018)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.758*** (0.122)	0.997*** (0.087)	0.954*** (0.082)	0.907*** (0.061)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.187*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.201*** (0.050)
Constant	-5.402*** (0.635)	-8.010*** (0.500)	-7.315*** (0.541)	-6.955*** (0.373)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-5,970.830	-6,277.340	-6,868.111	-19,242.730
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,975.660	12,588.680	13,770.220	38,523.460

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 68: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.094 (0.090)	-0.139 (0.100)	-0.422*** (0.084)	-0.152*** (0.055)
Democratic Partisan	0.728** (0.331)	0.804** (0.324)	0.429** (0.213)	0.667*** (0.179)
Republican Partisan	-0.313 (0.389)	-0.290 (0.378)	-0.961*** (0.315)	-0.304 (0.211)
Education Level	0.203*** (0.027)	0.203*** (0.024)	0.279*** (0.022)	0.224*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.019 (0.013)	0.009 (0.009)	0.032*** (0.008)	0.021*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.185** (0.074)	0.011 (0.056)	0.032 (0.053)	-0.052 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.089 (0.104)	0.289*** (0.083)	0.099 (0.115)	0.047 (0.067)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.047 (0.096)	-0.035 (0.069)	-0.097 (0.072)	-0.027 (0.041)
Contested Senate Race	0.045 (0.081)	0.060 (0.059)	0.016 (0.053)	0.051 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.610*** (0.214)	1.429*** (0.233)	1.044*** (0.208)	0.874*** (0.141)
Presidential Approval	-0.123** (0.056)	-0.095 (0.064)	-0.383*** (0.054)	-0.063*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.278*** (0.052)	-0.182*** (0.045)	-0.125*** (0.034)	-0.195*** (0.027)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.171 (0.119)	-0.194*** (0.039)	-0.323*** (0.039)	-0.219*** (0.031)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.263*** (0.033)	0.069** (0.034)	0.123*** (0.025)	0.147*** (0.018)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	0.007*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.725*** (0.123)	0.964*** (0.088)	0.924*** (0.081)	0.874*** (0.061)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.196*** (0.053)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.234*** (0.051)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.229** (0.102)	-0.230** (0.107)	0.076 (0.090)	-0.168*** (0.061)
Advantages × Republican	0.104 (0.107)	0.036 (0.107)	0.329*** (0.101)	0.111* (0.063)
Constant	-5.250*** (0.676)	-8.043*** (0.557)	-6.439*** (0.535)	-6.743*** (0.386)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-5,949.445	-6,267.871	-6,856.454	-19,209.560
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,936.890	12,573.740	13,750.910	38,461.110

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 69: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.074*** (0.020)	-0.308*** (0.033)	-0.072*** (0.016)	-0.078*** (0.011)
Democratic Partisan	0.261*** (0.085)	0.283** (0.114)	0.914*** (0.065)	0.518*** (0.046)
Republican Partisan	0.370*** (0.080)	-0.257** (0.130)	0.188*** (0.064)	0.352*** (0.049)
Education Level	0.119*** (0.016)	0.199*** (0.018)	0.180*** (0.012)	0.156*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.061*** (0.007)	0.023*** (0.007)	0.072*** (0.005)	0.058*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.147*** (0.044)	0.132*** (0.051)	0.024 (0.033)	-0.012 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.025 (0.074)	-0.095 (0.100)	0.072 (0.086)	-0.004 (0.054)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.128* (0.070)	0.068 (0.063)	0.047 (0.046)	0.083*** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.017 (0.044)	-0.065 (0.056)	0.054* (0.032)	0.031 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	1.111*** (0.128)	1.093*** (0.185)	1.470*** (0.114)	1.290*** (0.079)
Presidential Approval	-0.148*** (0.033)	0.066 (0.053)	-0.274*** (0.026)	0.033*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.109*** (0.029)	-0.019 (0.044)	0.086*** (0.018)	0.121*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.183*** (0.054)	-0.424*** (0.036)	-0.487*** (0.033)	-0.395*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.134*** (0.023)	-0.032 (0.031)	0.051*** (0.015)	0.067*** (0.012)
Age	0.008*** (0.002)	-0.016*** (0.001)	0.015*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.968*** (0.061)	0.957*** (0.054)	0.955*** (0.045)	0.970*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.625*** (0.035)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.370*** (0.025)
Constant	-6.964*** (0.348)	-6.216*** (0.392)	-7.199*** (0.250)	-7.584*** (0.192)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-13,617.570	-7,537.099	-17,598.370	-39,525.120
Akaike Inf. Crit.	27,269.140	15,108.200	35,230.740	79,088.250

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 70: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.016 (0.057)	-0.247*** (0.074)	-0.167*** (0.039)	-0.047 (0.031)
Democratic Partisan	1.186*** (0.196)	0.569*** (0.200)	1.386*** (0.121)	1.301*** (0.101)
Republican Partisan	-0.334 (0.218)	-0.559** (0.272)	-1.034*** (0.160)	-0.447*** (0.117)
Education Level	0.114*** (0.016)	0.197*** (0.018)	0.173*** (0.012)	0.150*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.059*** (0.007)	0.023*** (0.007)	0.072*** (0.005)	0.057*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.161*** (0.044)	0.122** (0.050)	-0.002 (0.034)	-0.031 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.027 (0.075)	-0.096 (0.100)	0.076 (0.087)	-0.002 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.121* (0.069)	0.073 (0.063)	0.027 (0.045)	0.079*** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.024 (0.044)	-0.064 (0.056)	0.034 (0.032)	0.025 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	0.987*** (0.131)	1.044*** (0.185)	1.325*** (0.113)	1.163*** (0.079)
Presidential Approval	-0.142*** (0.035)	0.114** (0.055)	-0.272*** (0.029)	0.037*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.071** (0.030)	-0.039 (0.044)	0.065*** (0.018)	0.095*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.156*** (0.054)	-0.410*** (0.036)	-0.405*** (0.032)	-0.350*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.131*** (0.023)	-0.032 (0.031)	0.053*** (0.015)	0.067*** (0.012)
Age	0.010*** (0.002)	-0.016*** (0.001)	0.017*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.913*** (0.062)	0.937*** (0.054)	0.887*** (0.045)	0.907*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.655*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.310*** (0.025)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.466*** (0.067)	-0.174** (0.084)	-0.360*** (0.048)	-0.437*** (0.037)
Advantages × Republican	0.172*** (0.062)	0.083 (0.090)	0.317*** (0.043)	0.193*** (0.033)
Constant	-6.767*** (0.393)	-6.322*** (0.426)	-6.546*** (0.277)	-7.273*** (0.219)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
Log Likelihood	-13,396.390	-7,526.565	-17,349.800	-38,995.620
Akaike Inf. Crit.	26,830.780	15,091.130	34,737.590	78,033.240

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 71: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.124*** (0.043)	-0.236*** (0.039)	-0.298*** (0.045)	-0.171*** (0.026)
Democratic Partisan	0.404** (0.182)	-0.033 (0.269)	0.402** (0.159)	0.130 (0.115)
Republican Partisan	0.021 (0.154)	-0.321 (0.196)	0.041 (0.129)	0.052 (0.106)
Education Level	0.144*** (0.038)	0.147*** (0.027)	0.170*** (0.029)	0.152*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.058*** (0.016)	0.012 (0.012)	0.038*** (0.010)	0.037*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.825*** (0.086)	-0.752*** (0.095)	-0.719*** (0.070)	-0.780*** (0.052)
Contested House Race	-0.217 (0.154)	0.025 (0.178)	-0.032 (0.182)	-0.123 (0.104)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.211 (0.133)	0.109 (0.096)	0.135 (0.109)	0.142** (0.065)
Contested Senate Race	-0.065 (0.108)	0.180** (0.091)	-0.090 (0.085)	0.002 (0.049)
Political Knowledge	-0.269 (0.255)	0.233 (0.213)	0.195 (0.264)	-0.151 (0.166)
Presidential Approval	-0.136* (0.076)	-0.213** (0.086)	-0.567*** (0.083)	-0.157*** (0.022)
Congressional Approval	-0.605*** (0.059)	-0.280*** (0.059)	-0.129*** (0.045)	-0.336*** (0.035)
Scaled Conservatism	0.268*** (0.085)	0.086 (0.063)	-0.115 (0.082)	0.118** (0.047)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.237*** (0.047)	0.120*** (0.041)	0.057* (0.030)	0.123*** (0.023)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.021*** (0.003)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.427*** (0.089)	0.513*** (0.084)	0.367*** (0.075)	0.439*** (0.046)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.289*** (0.073)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.171*** (0.058)
Constant	-3.275*** (0.472)	-4.911*** (0.676)	-3.396*** (0.591)	-3.920*** (0.376)
Observations	29,467	30,582	33,203	93,252
Log Likelihood	-4,544.775	-4,553.411	-5,437.878	-14,703.200
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,123.550	9,140.823	10,909.750	29,444.410

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 72: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.081 (0.099)	-0.357*** (0.105)	-0.251*** (0.087)	-0.143** (0.058)
Democratic Partisan	0.704* (0.381)	-0.506 (0.377)	0.108 (0.272)	0.077 (0.227)
Republican Partisan	-0.035 (0.411)	-0.676 (0.454)	0.471 (0.343)	0.280 (0.252)
Education Level	0.142*** (0.037)	0.149*** (0.027)	0.174*** (0.029)	0.153*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.058*** (0.016)	0.012 (0.012)	0.038*** (0.010)	0.038*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.826*** (0.086)	-0.750*** (0.095)	-0.710*** (0.070)	-0.777*** (0.052)
Contested House Race	-0.221 (0.154)	0.025 (0.178)	-0.031 (0.183)	-0.122 (0.104)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.211 (0.133)	0.108 (0.096)	0.143 (0.108)	0.142** (0.065)
Contested Senate Race	-0.065 (0.109)	0.180** (0.091)	-0.081 (0.085)	0.003 (0.049)
Political Knowledge	-0.303 (0.255)	0.252 (0.213)	0.259 (0.263)	-0.123 (0.165)
Presidential Approval	-0.135* (0.079)	-0.230*** (0.088)	-0.531*** (0.077)	-0.157*** (0.022)
Congressional Approval	-0.613*** (0.059)	-0.274*** (0.058)	-0.123*** (0.045)	-0.332*** (0.035)
Scaled Conservatism	0.268*** (0.084)	0.081 (0.063)	-0.151* (0.080)	0.103** (0.048)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.238*** (0.047)	0.121*** (0.041)	0.055* (0.031)	0.123*** (0.023)
Age	0.008** (0.003)	0.021*** (0.003)	0.011*** (0.003)	0.013*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.413*** (0.090)	0.523*** (0.085)	0.396*** (0.076)	0.451*** (0.047)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.289*** (0.073)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.162*** (0.058)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.132 (0.116)	0.196** (0.100)	0.184* (0.100)	0.046 (0.066)
Advantages × Republican	0.010 (0.111)	0.115 (0.109)	-0.112 (0.095)	-0.066 (0.064)
Constant	-3.307*** (0.535)	-4.575*** (0.827)	-3.797*** (0.597)	-4.083*** (0.403)
Observations	29,467	30,582	33,203	93,252
Log Likelihood	-4,542.228	-4,551.010	-5,424.495	-14,696.230
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,122.456	9,140.021	10,886.990	29,434.460

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 73: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.037*** (0.010)	-0.063*** (0.008)	-0.034*** (0.009)	-0.037*** (0.005)
Democratic Partisan	0.311*** (0.041)	0.308*** (0.043)	0.630*** (0.033)	0.394*** (0.023)
Republican Partisan	0.380*** (0.038)	0.210*** (0.037)	0.212*** (0.035)	0.312*** (0.023)
Education Level	0.075*** (0.009)	0.099*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.007)	0.105*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.032*** (0.004)	0.026*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.033*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.055** (0.023)	0.006 (0.018)	0.013 (0.019)	-0.015 (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.022 (0.047)	0.095* (0.051)	0.073 (0.052)	0.054* (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.101** (0.044)	0.063* (0.033)	0.083*** (0.027)	0.086*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.123*** (0.035)	0.003 (0.025)	0.047** (0.024)	0.059*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.740*** (0.056)	0.872*** (0.048)	0.958*** (0.053)	0.824*** (0.033)
Presidential Approval	-0.067*** (0.017)	-0.061*** (0.014)	-0.134*** (0.014)	-0.006 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.037*** (0.014)	0.033*** (0.012)	0.032*** (0.011)	0.020*** (0.007)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.066*** (0.026)	-0.159*** (0.015)	-0.201*** (0.016)	-0.137*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.109*** (0.012)	0.043*** (0.012)	0.052*** (0.009)	0.071*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.380*** (0.018)	0.368*** (0.013)	0.389*** (0.015)	0.392*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.193*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.301*** (0.019)
Constant	-1.431*** (0.108)	-1.635*** (0.096)	-1.627*** (0.103)	-1.823*** (0.060)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
R ²	0.181	0.198	0.231	0.214
Adjusted R ²	0.181	0.198	0.231	0.214
Residual Std. Error	1.255 (df = 29531)	1.190 (df = 30607)	1.223 (df = 33262)	1.227 (df = 93432)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 74: One-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.018 (0.023)	-0.055** (0.022)	-0.086*** (0.020)	-0.030** (0.013)
Democratic Partisan	0.776*** (0.090)	0.627*** (0.083)	0.867*** (0.069)	0.794*** (0.049)
Republican Partisan	-0.021 (0.102)	-0.095 (0.094)	-0.395*** (0.083)	-0.086 (0.055)
Education Level	0.073*** (0.009)	0.096*** (0.007)	0.133*** (0.007)	0.101*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.030*** (0.004)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.039*** (0.003)	0.032*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.056** (0.023)	-0.002 (0.018)	0.003 (0.019)	-0.022* (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.019 (0.046)	0.096* (0.050)	0.079 (0.052)	0.053* (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.099** (0.044)	0.070** (0.032)	0.077*** (0.026)	0.089*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.125*** (0.035)	0.006 (0.024)	0.038 (0.024)	0.059*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.675*** (0.056)	0.823*** (0.048)	0.879*** (0.052)	0.759*** (0.033)
Presidential Approval	-0.054*** (0.017)	-0.047*** (0.014)	-0.132*** (0.014)	-0.003 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.015 (0.014)	0.016 (0.012)	0.020* (0.011)	0.006 (0.007)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.051** (0.026)	-0.132*** (0.015)	-0.160*** (0.015)	-0.109*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.109*** (0.011)	0.043*** (0.011)	0.053*** (0.009)	0.070*** (0.007)
Age	0.008*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.356*** (0.017)	0.345*** (0.014)	0.359*** (0.015)	0.364*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.199*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.271*** (0.019)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.201*** (0.026)	-0.152*** (0.024)	-0.166*** (0.023)	-0.194*** (0.015)
Advantages × Republican	0.103*** (0.027)	0.078*** (0.024)	0.163*** (0.022)	0.102*** (0.015)
Constant	-1.350*** (0.125)	-1.548*** (0.110)	-1.295*** (0.116)	-1.666*** (0.070)
Observations	29,548	30,624	33,279	93,451
R ²	0.194	0.206	0.243	0.225
Adjusted R ²	0.194	0.205	0.243	0.225
Residual Std. Error	1.245 (df = 29529)	1.185 (df = 30605)	1.214 (df = 33260)	1.218 (df = 93430)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 75: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	−0.013 (0.020)	0.0005 (0.016)	0.007 (0.020)	−0.002 (0.011)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	−0.045** (0.021)	−0.034** (0.017)	−0.027 (0.021)	−0.044*** (0.012)
Democratic Partisan	0.348*** (0.076)	0.366*** (0.067)	0.588*** (0.071)	0.423*** (0.043)
Republican Partisan	0.559*** (0.070)	0.436*** (0.070)	0.438*** (0.070)	0.488*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.026* (0.015)	0.074*** (0.014)	0.149*** (0.016)	0.078*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.007 (0.007)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.184*** (0.040)	0.145*** (0.034)	0.175*** (0.040)	0.163*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.203** (0.092)	0.182** (0.089)	0.150** (0.076)	0.194*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.188** (0.092)	0.083* (0.050)	0.121* (0.068)	0.161*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.335*** (0.070)	0.056 (0.046)	−0.059 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.216*** (0.105)	1.419*** (0.101)	1.363*** (0.120)	1.274*** (0.063)
Presidential Approval	−0.027 (0.028)	−0.037 (0.029)	0.014 (0.033)	0.024** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.203*** (0.026)	0.175*** (0.026)	0.145*** (0.025)	0.159*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.060 (0.038)	−0.046 (0.033)	0.027 (0.031)	0.014 (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.029 (0.021)	0.062*** (0.021)	0.044** (0.018)	0.039*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.323*** (0.031)	0.360*** (0.026)	0.282*** (0.030)	0.337*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.132*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.696*** (0.037)
Constant	−3.998*** (0.213)	−4.637*** (0.196)	−3.944*** (0.206)	−4.482*** (0.119)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	−16,885.540	−15,352.940	−15,660.220	−48,152.750
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,807.080	30,741.890	31,356.440	96,345.510

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Table 76: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White General Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated General Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.076* (0.044)	-0.016 (0.043)	-0.091** (0.045)	-0.057** (0.026)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.839*** (0.159)	0.731*** (0.151)	0.937*** (0.136)	0.863*** (0.086)
Democratic Partisan	0.303 (0.192)	-0.027 (0.167)	-0.151 (0.175)	0.088 (0.105)
Republican Partisan	0.081* (0.047)	-0.029 (0.050)	0.046 (0.048)	0.037 (0.029)
Education Level	0.023 (0.015)	0.070*** (0.014)	0.145*** (0.016)	0.075*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.006 (0.007)	0.031*** (0.006)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.024*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.181*** (0.040)	0.140*** (0.034)	0.165*** (0.040)	0.157*** (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.201** (0.092)	0.184** (0.087)	0.160** (0.075)	0.194*** (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.187** (0.092)	0.090* (0.050)	0.119* (0.068)	0.163*** (0.038)
Contested Senate Race	0.340*** (0.070)	0.056 (0.046)	-0.065 (0.047)	0.127*** (0.031)
Political Knowledge	1.151*** (0.104)	1.352*** (0.100)	1.288*** (0.118)	1.201*** (0.062)
Presidential Approval	-0.018 (0.027)	-0.031 (0.029)	0.009 (0.032)	0.026*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.180*** (0.026)	0.154*** (0.026)	0.131*** (0.024)	0.140*** (0.016)
Scaled Conservatism	0.070* (0.038)	-0.015 (0.034)	0.062** (0.030)	0.040* (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.029 (0.021)	0.063*** (0.021)	0.045** (0.018)	0.039*** (0.012)
Age	0.017*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.001)	0.022*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.305*** (0.031)	0.334*** (0.026)	0.250*** (0.031)	0.311*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.119*** (0.042)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.654*** (0.038)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.010 (0.052)	-0.077 (0.049)	-0.00004 (0.053)	-0.038 (0.030)
Advantages × Republican	0.137*** (0.051)	0.086* (0.048)	0.169*** (0.050)	0.129*** (0.030)
Isolated × Democrat	-0.228*** (0.057)	-0.121** (0.058)	-0.221*** (0.058)	-0.196*** (0.036)
Isolated × Republican	-0.094* (0.053)	0.043 (0.053)	-0.019 (0.051)	-0.035 (0.032)
Constant	-3.979*** (0.235)	-4.432*** (0.231)	-3.643*** (0.235)	-4.318*** (0.132)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,839.290	-15,309.620	-15,596.460	-47,999.770
Akaike Inf. Crit.	33,722.570	30,663.240	31,236.920	96,047.530

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 77: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.013 (0.019)	-0.013 (0.015)	-0.002 (0.015)	0.006 (0.009)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	-0.054*** (0.017)	-0.014 (0.015)	-0.016 (0.016)	-0.038*** (0.010)
Democratic Partisan	0.651*** (0.075)	0.584*** (0.070)	0.904*** (0.058)	0.679*** (0.041)
Republican Partisan	0.706*** (0.069)	0.504*** (0.075)	0.412*** (0.058)	0.572*** (0.041)
Education Level	0.040*** (0.015)	0.100*** (0.012)	0.128*** (0.012)	0.090*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.022*** (0.007)	0.016*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.020*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.094*** (0.036)	0.058** (0.029)	0.099*** (0.029)	0.075*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.007 (0.122)	0.083 (0.123)	0.106 (0.111)	0.047 (0.074)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.022 (0.158)	0.288*** (0.083)	0.203*** (0.063)	0.177*** (0.054)
Contested Senate Race	0.090 (0.095)	-0.118** (0.057)	0.158*** (0.056)	0.037 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.274*** (0.117)	1.766*** (0.105)	1.506*** (0.097)	1.453*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.026 (0.028)	-0.067*** (0.025)	0.003 (0.024)	0.062*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.131*** (0.023)	0.093*** (0.022)	0.071*** (0.018)	0.055*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.041 (0.039)	-0.119*** (0.036)	-0.134*** (0.027)	-0.074*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.094*** (0.022)	0.025 (0.023)	0.060*** (0.017)	0.064*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.030*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.359*** (0.034)	0.406*** (0.028)	0.351*** (0.027)	0.393*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.007 (0.071)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.637*** (0.055)
Constant	-5.432*** (0.208)	-6.072*** (0.233)	-5.364*** (0.195)	-5.866*** (0.123)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,422.170	-16,916.810	-19,474.120	-53,106.100
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,880.340	33,869.630	38,984.240	106,252.200

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 78: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Primary Election Turnout

	Participation Outcome Variable: Validated Primary Election Turnout			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.018 (0.051)	0.020 (0.042)	-0.122*** (0.043)	-0.027 (0.026)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	1.071*** (0.158)	1.038*** (0.131)	1.180*** (0.120)	1.103*** (0.081)
Democratic Partisan	0.374** (0.177)	0.085 (0.179)	-0.299** (0.144)	0.107 (0.094)
Republican Partisan	0.007 (0.057)	-0.043 (0.048)	0.050 (0.042)	0.005 (0.031)
Education Level	0.038** (0.015)	0.096*** (0.012)	0.123*** (0.012)	0.086*** (0.008)
Income Level	0.021*** (0.007)	0.015*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.004)
Female Voter	0.092** (0.036)	0.050* (0.029)	0.087*** (0.029)	0.068*** (0.020)
Contested House Race	0.006 (0.123)	0.083 (0.123)	0.113 (0.112)	0.047 (0.075)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.018 (0.158)	0.296*** (0.083)	0.198*** (0.062)	0.178*** (0.055)
Contested Senate Race	0.094 (0.095)	-0.117** (0.057)	0.151*** (0.056)	0.036 (0.038)
Political Knowledge	1.209*** (0.117)	1.693*** (0.104)	1.425*** (0.098)	1.376*** (0.065)
Presidential Approval	0.039 (0.029)	-0.053** (0.026)	0.0002 (0.024)	0.065*** (0.010)
Congressional Approval	0.110*** (0.022)	0.073*** (0.022)	0.059*** (0.018)	0.038*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.028 (0.039)	-0.084** (0.038)	-0.089*** (0.027)	-0.043** (0.021)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.093*** (0.022)	0.026 (0.023)	0.061*** (0.017)	0.063*** (0.013)
Age	0.020*** (0.002)	0.031*** (0.001)	0.028*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.336*** (0.034)	0.373*** (0.028)	0.313*** (0.027)	0.360*** (0.017)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.002 (0.072)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.596*** (0.056)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.071 (0.054)	-0.162*** (0.046)	-0.006 (0.051)	-0.100*** (0.031)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.104** (0.053)	0.047 (0.046)	0.202*** (0.045)	0.119*** (0.029)
Constant	-0.134** (0.063)	-0.073 (0.057)	-0.203*** (0.051)	-0.133*** (0.036)
pid3Republican:racial_problems_isolated	-0.027 (0.061)	0.073 (0.053)	-0.016 (0.045)	-0.003 (0.034)
Constant	-5.347*** (0.236)	-5.930*** (0.269)	-4.962*** (0.217)	-5.651*** (0.139)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-16,382.750	-16,857.390	-19,387.400	-52,913.110
Akaike Inf. Crit.	32,809.510	33,758.780	38,818.800	105,874.200

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 79: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.073*** (0.027)	-0.121*** (0.022)	-0.018 (0.029)	-0.073*** (0.015)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.066** (0.028)	0.018 (0.023)	0.048 (0.029)	0.064*** (0.016)
Democratic Partisan	0.119 (0.116)	0.055 (0.096)	-0.021 (0.104)	0.072 (0.060)
Republican Partisan	0.037 (0.092)	-0.051 (0.093)	-0.244** (0.116)	-0.059 (0.060)
Education Level	0.171*** (0.022)	0.184*** (0.017)	0.216*** (0.021)	0.189*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.060*** (0.010)	0.057*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.057*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.206*** (0.057)	-0.013 (0.039)	-0.126*** (0.045)	-0.107*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.135 (0.090)	0.055 (0.081)	-0.016 (0.125)	-0.065 (0.059)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.142* (0.077)	-0.018 (0.053)	0.138** (0.070)	0.070** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.076 (0.060)	0.009 (0.049)	0.159*** (0.050)	0.087*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.356** (0.176)	0.760*** (0.176)	1.048*** (0.181)	0.611*** (0.115)
Presidential Approval	-0.190*** (0.044)	-0.075* (0.041)	-0.177*** (0.048)	-0.069*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.248*** (0.035)	-0.086*** (0.031)	-0.050* (0.028)	-0.109*** (0.019)
Scaled Conservatism	0.027 (0.057)	-0.152*** (0.029)	-0.231*** (0.032)	-0.144*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.215*** (0.028)	0.074*** (0.025)	0.092*** (0.023)	0.121*** (0.015)
Age	-0.012*** (0.002)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	-0.008*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.690*** (0.077)	0.780*** (0.049)	0.682*** (0.069)	0.725*** (0.037)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.061 (0.041)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.422*** (0.037)
Constant	-4.083*** (0.384)	-5.366*** (0.291)	-5.851*** (0.414)	-5.199*** (0.212)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-9,620.498	-11,023.120	-9,397.446	-30,189.570
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,277.000	22,082.240	18,830.890	60,419.130

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 80: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Meeting Attendance

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Meeting Attendance			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.063 (0.070)	-0.132* (0.075)	0.079 (0.056)	-0.051 (0.039)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.017 (0.243)	-0.013 (0.180)	-0.194 (0.199)	-0.047 (0.124)
Democratic Partisan	-0.279 (0.283)	-0.307 (0.227)	-0.645*** (0.248)	-0.330** (0.143)
Republican Partisan	0.0002 (0.080)	-0.028 (0.089)	-0.142** (0.068)	-0.019 (0.049)
Education Level	0.171*** (0.022)	0.184*** (0.017)	0.216*** (0.021)	0.189*** (0.012)
Income Level	0.058*** (0.010)	0.057*** (0.006)	0.056*** (0.007)	0.057*** (0.005)
Female Voter	-0.201*** (0.057)	-0.015 (0.039)	-0.126*** (0.045)	-0.107*** (0.030)
Contested House Race	-0.134 (0.092)	0.054 (0.081)	-0.016 (0.125)	-0.064 (0.060)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.142* (0.078)	-0.017 (0.053)	0.136* (0.070)	0.071** (0.036)
Contested Senate Race	0.076 (0.060)	0.010 (0.049)	0.154*** (0.051)	0.087*** (0.030)
Political Knowledge	0.364** (0.178)	0.746*** (0.175)	1.051*** (0.179)	0.615*** (0.114)
Presidential Approval	-0.191*** (0.046)	-0.080* (0.043)	-0.180*** (0.050)	-0.066*** (0.012)
Congressional Approval	-0.243*** (0.034)	-0.089*** (0.031)	-0.051* (0.028)	-0.108*** (0.018)
Scaled Conservatism	0.027 (0.057)	-0.144*** (0.030)	-0.220*** (0.032)	-0.139*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.214*** (0.028)	0.075*** (0.025)	0.092*** (0.023)	0.120*** (0.015)
Age	-0.012*** (0.002)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.007*** (0.002)	-0.008*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.681*** (0.078)	0.773*** (0.050)	0.675*** (0.070)	0.718*** (0.038)
2018 Fixed Effect				0.065 (0.040)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.421*** (0.037)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.145* (0.081)	-0.005 (0.078)	-0.233*** (0.075)	-0.115** (0.047)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.085 (0.078)	0.025 (0.078)	-0.059 (0.067)	0.026 (0.043)
Constant	0.171* (0.094)	0.025 (0.092)	0.296*** (0.081)	0.161*** (0.058)
pid3Republican:racial_problems_isolated	0.010 (0.087)	0.062 (0.094)	0.194** (0.076)	0.065 (0.051)
Constant	-3.933*** (0.433)	-5.162*** (0.325)	-5.601*** (0.467)	-5.049*** (0.234)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-9,600.971	-11,020.720	-9,387.799	-30,168.320
Akaike Inf. Crit.	19,245.940	22,085.430	18,819.600	60,384.630

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 81: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.013 (0.022)	-0.017 (0.018)	-0.004 (0.018)	0.025** (0.011)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.0005 (0.024)	-0.017 (0.020)	-0.003 (0.017)	0.009 (0.011)
Democratic Partisan	0.389*** (0.103)	0.576*** (0.090)	0.926*** (0.084)	0.493*** (0.051)
Republican Partisan	0.512*** (0.088)	0.393*** (0.085)	0.296*** (0.085)	0.518*** (0.053)
Education Level	0.010 (0.018)	0.045*** (0.014)	0.043*** (0.013)	0.030*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.026*** (0.008)	0.028*** (0.006)	0.037*** (0.005)	0.030*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.090* (0.048)	-0.026 (0.037)	0.042 (0.034)	-0.024 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.020 (0.070)	0.219*** (0.079)	0.113 (0.084)	0.096** (0.047)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.033 (0.072)	-0.045 (0.060)	0.002 (0.049)	0.004 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.144*** (0.055)	0.084 (0.052)	0.005 (0.044)	0.076*** (0.026)
Political Knowledge	0.534*** (0.141)	0.809*** (0.150)	1.062*** (0.130)	0.727*** (0.085)
Presidential Approval	-0.015 (0.037)	-0.180*** (0.032)	-0.370*** (0.027)	-0.047*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.020 (0.028)	-0.021 (0.026)	-0.043** (0.020)	-0.044*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.173*** (0.055)	-0.225*** (0.028)	-0.304*** (0.030)	-0.200*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.146*** (0.025)	0.053** (0.023)	0.034** (0.016)	0.074*** (0.013)
Age	-0.010*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.639*** (0.058)	0.635*** (0.044)	0.661*** (0.041)	0.666*** (0.027)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.024 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.215*** (0.030)
Constant	-4.583*** (0.288)	-4.803*** (0.301)	-4.422*** (0.250)	-5.078*** (0.158)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-12,901.090	-13,730.560	-16,372.910	-43,217.210
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,838.190	27,497.120	32,781.820	86,474.410

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 82: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Sign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Putting Up Political Sign			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.035 (0.063)	-0.121** (0.059)	-0.016 (0.052)	0.038 (0.035)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	1.064*** (0.237)	0.797*** (0.189)	1.340*** (0.173)	1.124*** (0.119)
Democratic Partisan	-0.019 (0.267)	-0.682*** (0.236)	-0.944*** (0.223)	-0.169 (0.136)
Republican Partisan	0.033 (0.070)	-0.029 (0.069)	-0.109* (0.064)	0.011 (0.041)
Education Level	0.006 (0.017)	0.041*** (0.014)	0.034*** (0.013)	0.024*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.023*** (0.008)	0.026*** (0.006)	0.036*** (0.005)	0.028*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.092* (0.048)	-0.043 (0.037)	0.023 (0.034)	-0.036 (0.024)
Contested House Race	0.017 (0.071)	0.220*** (0.081)	0.116 (0.083)	0.096** (0.048)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.028 (0.072)	-0.034 (0.060)	-0.014 (0.050)	0.004 (0.033)
Contested Senate Race	0.149*** (0.056)	0.087* (0.052)	-0.011 (0.045)	0.073*** (0.027)
Political Knowledge	0.448*** (0.145)	0.702*** (0.151)	0.934*** (0.131)	0.616*** (0.086)
Presidential Approval	-0.004 (0.040)	-0.183*** (0.036)	-0.402*** (0.031)	-0.042*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	-0.046 (0.029)	-0.046* (0.026)	-0.060*** (0.020)	-0.065*** (0.013)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.155*** (0.055)	-0.171*** (0.028)	-0.237*** (0.029)	-0.159*** (0.020)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.145*** (0.025)	0.054** (0.023)	0.034** (0.016)	0.073*** (0.013)
Age	-0.009*** (0.002)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.599*** (0.058)	0.584*** (0.044)	0.595*** (0.041)	0.612*** (0.028)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.036 (0.039)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.156*** (0.030)
Attitudes \times Democrat	-0.356*** (0.073)	-0.107 (0.070)	-0.339*** (0.065)	-0.324*** (0.042)
Attitudes \times Republican	0.166** (0.069)	0.242*** (0.065)	0.156*** (0.057)	0.143*** (0.037)
Constant	0.029 (0.083)	-0.085 (0.078)	0.023 (0.069)	-0.022 (0.049)
pid3Republican:racial_problems_isolated	-0.048 (0.076)	0.056 (0.072)	0.174** (0.068)	0.028 (0.043)
Constant	-4.485*** (0.358)	-4.112*** (0.324)	-3.627*** (0.309)	-4.779*** (0.186)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-12,774.150	-13,637.160	-16,209.170	-42,847.660
Akaike Inf. Crit.	25,592.310	27,318.320	32,462.350	85,743.320

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 83: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.179*** (0.036)	-0.198*** (0.035)	-0.239*** (0.036)	-0.189*** (0.022)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.173*** (0.041)	-0.037 (0.035)	0.106*** (0.037)	0.103*** (0.024)
Democratic Partisan	0.309* (0.170)	0.368** (0.180)	0.740*** (0.123)	0.430*** (0.092)
Republican Partisan	0.104 (0.166)	-0.102 (0.159)	0.132 (0.123)	0.104 (0.092)
Education Level	0.204*** (0.027)	0.201*** (0.024)	0.280*** (0.022)	0.224*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.018 (0.013)	0.008 (0.009)	0.032*** (0.008)	0.020*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.138* (0.073)	0.025 (0.057)	0.051 (0.056)	-0.023 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.081 (0.104)	0.277*** (0.081)	0.092 (0.117)	0.048 (0.067)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.059 (0.094)	-0.055 (0.070)	-0.091 (0.076)	-0.034 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.053 (0.080)	0.066 (0.060)	0.022 (0.053)	0.056 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.728*** (0.210)	1.486*** (0.240)	1.178*** (0.215)	0.974*** (0.140)
Presidential Approval	-0.139*** (0.052)	-0.133** (0.060)	-0.291*** (0.052)	-0.057*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.210*** (0.049)	-0.176*** (0.046)	-0.116*** (0.034)	-0.177*** (0.027)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.240** (0.120)	-0.213*** (0.039)	-0.373*** (0.038)	-0.260*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.259*** (0.034)	0.067** (0.034)	0.119*** (0.025)	0.147*** (0.018)
Age	-0.009*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	-0.0002 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.757*** (0.122)	1.019*** (0.090)	0.946*** (0.084)	0.910*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.187*** (0.054)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.214*** (0.050)
Constant	-5.870*** (0.623)	-7.886*** (0.501)	-7.694*** (0.557)	-7.220*** (0.362)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-5,937.622	-6,132.051	-6,725.123	-18,932.920
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,911.240	12,300.100	13,486.250	37,905.840

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 84: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Campaign Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Campaign Volunteerism			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.238* (0.122)	-0.019 (0.129)	-0.205** (0.090)	-0.153* (0.079)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.864** (0.415)	0.487 (0.310)	0.248 (0.232)	0.570*** (0.194)
Democratic Partisan	0.251 (0.436)	-0.866** (0.368)	-1.535*** (0.344)	-0.455** (0.231)
Republican Partisan	0.380*** (0.144)	-0.365** (0.153)	-0.287*** (0.094)	0.024 (0.092)
Education Level	0.202*** (0.027)	0.198*** (0.024)	0.277*** (0.022)	0.221*** (0.014)
Income Level	0.015 (0.014)	0.007 (0.009)	0.031*** (0.008)	0.019*** (0.006)
Female Voter	-0.142* (0.073)	0.013 (0.057)	0.040 (0.056)	-0.029 (0.040)
Contested House Race	-0.081 (0.103)	0.278*** (0.081)	0.094 (0.117)	0.049 (0.067)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.057 (0.095)	-0.051 (0.070)	-0.100 (0.075)	-0.035 (0.042)
Contested Senate Race	0.058 (0.080)	0.067 (0.060)	0.010 (0.053)	0.053 (0.035)
Political Knowledge	0.714*** (0.212)	1.412*** (0.238)	1.114*** (0.214)	0.932*** (0.139)
Presidential Approval	-0.159*** (0.057)	-0.118* (0.067)	-0.345*** (0.059)	-0.050*** (0.016)
Congressional Approval	-0.213*** (0.048)	-0.195*** (0.046)	-0.122*** (0.034)	-0.183*** (0.026)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.253** (0.121)	-0.184*** (0.040)	-0.327*** (0.039)	-0.243*** (0.030)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.259*** (0.033)	0.067** (0.034)	0.120*** (0.025)	0.145*** (0.018)
Age	-0.009*** (0.002)	0.007*** (0.002)	0.0003 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)
Political Interest	0.733*** (0.123)	0.977*** (0.091)	0.901*** (0.083)	0.877*** (0.062)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.192*** (0.054)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.242*** (0.051)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.166 (0.129)	-0.357*** (0.137)	-0.219** (0.108)	-0.250*** (0.085)
Advantages × Republican	0.256* (0.134)	-0.084 (0.139)	0.059 (0.114)	0.094 (0.085)
Isolated × Democrat	-0.114 (0.158)	0.301* (0.164)	0.370*** (0.111)	0.137 (0.098)
Isolated × Republican	-0.345** (0.154)	0.394** (0.159)	0.484*** (0.106)	0.071 (0.095)
Constant	-6.104*** (0.646)	-7.455*** (0.564)	-6.431*** (0.581)	-6.945*** (0.371)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-5,901.821	-6,112.757	-6,701.987	-18,889.080
Akaike Inf. Crit.	11,847.640	12,269.510	13,447.980	37,826.160

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 85: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.064*** (0.021)	-0.295*** (0.036)	-0.069*** (0.017)	-0.078*** (0.012)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	-0.048** (0.020)	-0.041 (0.035)	-0.007 (0.017)	0.001 (0.013)
Democratic Partisan	0.261*** (0.086)	0.280** (0.116)	0.918*** (0.066)	0.521*** (0.047)
Republican Partisan	0.367*** (0.080)	-0.262** (0.131)	0.183*** (0.065)	0.351*** (0.049)
Education Level	0.119*** (0.016)	0.198*** (0.019)	0.177*** (0.012)	0.154*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.062*** (0.007)	0.023*** (0.007)	0.072*** (0.005)	0.058*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.155*** (0.044)	0.132** (0.051)	0.028 (0.034)	-0.009 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.024 (0.075)	-0.103 (0.099)	0.058 (0.085)	-0.009 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.125* (0.070)	0.063 (0.064)	0.053 (0.046)	0.082*** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.016 (0.044)	-0.049 (0.057)	0.061* (0.032)	0.037 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	1.107*** (0.128)	1.083*** (0.188)	1.425*** (0.115)	1.273*** (0.080)
Presidential Approval	-0.140*** (0.033)	0.061 (0.055)	-0.278*** (0.027)	0.034*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.099*** (0.029)	-0.032 (0.045)	0.084*** (0.018)	0.120*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.166*** (0.054)	-0.418*** (0.037)	-0.487*** (0.034)	-0.395*** (0.023)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.135*** (0.023)	-0.032 (0.031)	0.055*** (0.015)	0.069*** (0.012)
Age	0.008*** (0.002)	-0.016*** (0.001)	0.014*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.971*** (0.062)	0.983*** (0.056)	0.950*** (0.045)	0.974*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.613*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.374*** (0.025)
Constant	-6.865*** (0.353)	-6.174*** (0.401)	-7.094*** (0.259)	-7.581*** (0.197)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-13,589.570	-7,392.370	-17,224.760	-38,963.880
Akaike Inf. Crit.	27,215.130	14,820.740	34,485.510	77,967.770

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 86: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Political Donor Activity

	Participation Outcome Variable: Political Donation Activity			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.064 (0.061)	-0.196** (0.084)	-0.177*** (0.051)	-0.085** (0.036)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	1.559*** (0.220)	0.544** (0.212)	1.509*** (0.135)	1.521*** (0.112)
Democratic Partisan	-0.313 (0.249)	-1.170*** (0.301)	-1.281*** (0.176)	-0.630*** (0.131)
Republican Partisan	0.094 (0.068)	-0.164* (0.095)	-0.002 (0.054)	0.058 (0.042)
Education Level	0.114*** (0.015)	0.195*** (0.019)	0.168*** (0.012)	0.147*** (0.009)
Income Level	0.060*** (0.007)	0.022*** (0.007)	0.072*** (0.005)	0.057*** (0.004)
Female Voter	-0.175*** (0.044)	0.119** (0.051)	-0.0003 (0.034)	-0.033 (0.025)
Contested House Race	0.025 (0.075)	-0.106 (0.098)	0.064 (0.087)	-0.007 (0.055)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.115* (0.069)	0.066 (0.064)	0.033 (0.045)	0.077** (0.031)
Contested Senate Race	0.026 (0.045)	-0.050 (0.057)	0.041 (0.032)	0.030 (0.023)
Political Knowledge	0.929*** (0.131)	0.989*** (0.187)	1.260*** (0.114)	1.097*** (0.081)
Presidential Approval	-0.136*** (0.035)	0.112* (0.060)	-0.281*** (0.030)	0.040*** (0.009)
Congressional Approval	0.043 (0.030)	-0.057 (0.045)	0.062*** (0.018)	0.083*** (0.015)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.138** (0.055)	-0.390*** (0.037)	-0.396*** (0.033)	-0.339*** (0.024)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.133*** (0.023)	-0.029 (0.030)	0.056*** (0.016)	0.069*** (0.012)
Age	0.010*** (0.002)	-0.016*** (0.001)	0.016*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)
Political Interest	0.907*** (0.062)	0.950*** (0.056)	0.867*** (0.045)	0.896*** (0.032)
2018 Fixed Effect				-1.664*** (0.036)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.275*** (0.026)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.350*** (0.073)	-0.179* (0.096)	-0.242*** (0.060)	-0.324*** (0.044)
Advantages × Republican	0.211*** (0.066)	0.011 (0.103)	0.293*** (0.055)	0.203*** (0.040)
Isolated × Democrat	-0.308*** (0.076)	0.001 (0.107)	-0.203*** (0.061)	-0.246*** (0.047)
Isolated × Republican	-0.064 (0.073)	0.308*** (0.114)	0.094 (0.058)	0.040 (0.046)
Constant	-6.719*** (0.402)	-6.026*** (0.457)	-6.314*** (0.292)	-7.156*** (0.228)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
Log Likelihood	-13,335.030	-7,364.038	-16,948.730	-38,343.810
Akaike Inf. Crit.	26,714.060	14,772.080	33,941.460	76,735.620

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 87: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.197*** (0.048)	-0.253*** (0.041)	-0.339*** (0.049)	-0.242*** (0.031)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.330*** (0.049)	0.144*** (0.045)	0.146*** (0.047)	0.249*** (0.034)
Democratic Partisan	0.403** (0.182)	-0.023 (0.276)	0.429*** (0.157)	0.215* (0.117)
Republican Partisan	0.030 (0.155)	-0.312 (0.200)	0.042 (0.131)	0.014 (0.108)
Education Level	0.140*** (0.037)	0.144*** (0.028)	0.165*** (0.029)	0.149*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.053*** (0.016)	0.014 (0.012)	0.035*** (0.010)	0.035*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.733*** (0.087)	-0.730*** (0.099)	-0.690*** (0.073)	-0.725*** (0.053)
Contested House Race	-0.202 (0.154)	0.071 (0.183)	-0.038 (0.187)	-0.103 (0.101)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.233* (0.130)	0.088 (0.098)	0.140 (0.110)	0.140** (0.068)
Contested Senate Race	-0.054 (0.107)	0.187** (0.091)	-0.104 (0.086)	0.001 (0.049)
Political Knowledge	-0.198 (0.256)	0.212 (0.215)	0.307 (0.267)	-0.085 (0.162)
Presidential Approval	-0.180** (0.077)	-0.150* (0.087)	-0.509*** (0.077)	-0.127*** (0.020)
Congressional Approval	-0.504*** (0.052)	-0.260*** (0.058)	-0.117** (0.046)	-0.304*** (0.032)
Scaled Conservatism	0.158* (0.090)	0.069 (0.064)	-0.140* (0.084)	0.046 (0.048)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.229*** (0.047)	0.121*** (0.042)	0.045 (0.031)	0.117*** (0.024)
Age	0.010*** (0.003)	0.023*** (0.003)	0.014*** (0.003)	0.015*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.419*** (0.089)	0.521*** (0.087)	0.352*** (0.076)	0.429*** (0.047)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.283*** (0.075)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.216*** (0.058)
Constant	-4.261*** (0.460)	-5.628*** (0.624)	-3.941*** (0.562)	-4.696*** (0.316)
Observations	29,404	29,644	32,434	91,482
Log Likelihood	-4,466.417	-4,386.293	-5,283.023	-14,286.860
Akaike Inf. Crit.	8,968.834	8,808.587	10,602.050	28,613.710

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 88: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive Logistic Regression Models Predicting White Candidate Emergence

	Participation Outcome Variable: Running for Political Office			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	0.004 (0.097)	-0.372** (0.163)	-0.039 (0.080)	-0.089 (0.075)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	-0.564 (0.390)	-0.751** (0.346)	-0.361 (0.304)	-0.612*** (0.231)
Democratic Partisan	0.054 (0.419)	-0.396 (0.361)	0.453 (0.381)	0.307 (0.260)
Republican Partisan	-0.055 (0.079)	0.164 (0.192)	-0.216** (0.093)	-0.011 (0.081)
Education Level	0.151*** (0.037)	0.146*** (0.028)	0.173*** (0.029)	0.156*** (0.019)
Income Level	0.050*** (0.017)	0.015 (0.012)	0.036*** (0.010)	0.036*** (0.008)
Female Voter	-0.719*** (0.087)	-0.722*** (0.098)	-0.671*** (0.073)	-0.710*** (0.053)
Contested House Race	-0.214 (0.153)	0.075 (0.179)	-0.039 (0.189)	-0.104 (0.100)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.235* (0.126)	0.086 (0.099)	0.147 (0.111)	0.140** (0.067)
Contested Senate Race	-0.060 (0.105)	0.190** (0.091)	-0.097 (0.086)	0.003 (0.049)
Political Knowledge	-0.030 (0.261)	0.301 (0.215)	0.455* (0.264)	0.105 (0.159)
Presidential Approval	-0.190** (0.079)	-0.143* (0.082)	-0.447*** (0.065)	-0.117*** (0.020)
Congressional Approval	-0.432*** (0.052)	-0.241*** (0.057)	-0.108** (0.046)	-0.264*** (0.030)
Scaled Conservatism	0.117 (0.094)	0.043 (0.064)	-0.185** (0.079)	-0.009 (0.048)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.235*** (0.049)	0.121*** (0.042)	0.045 (0.031)	0.118*** (0.024)
Age	0.011*** (0.003)	0.023*** (0.003)	0.014*** (0.003)	0.016*** (0.002)
Political Interest	0.428*** (0.093)	0.544*** (0.087)	0.405*** (0.078)	0.464*** (0.049)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.255*** (0.075)
2020 Fixed Effect				-0.119** (0.057)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.420*** (0.122)	0.102 (0.159)	-0.281** (0.115)	-0.262*** (0.085)
Advantages × Republican	-0.064 (0.112)	0.143 (0.170)	-0.329*** (0.095)	-0.125 (0.081)
Isolated × Democrat	0.753*** (0.095)	0.218 (0.188)	0.676*** (0.119)	0.619*** (0.084)
Isolated × Republican	0.099 (0.089)	-0.109 (0.200)	0.273*** (0.104)	0.078 (0.085)
Constant	-4.226*** (0.548)	-5.574*** (0.616)	-4.366*** (0.584)	-4.957*** (0.347)
Observations	29,404	29,644	32,434	91,482
Log Likelihood	-4,383.142	-4,374.354	-5,247.917	-14,154.270
Akaike Inf. Crit.	8,810.283	8,792.707	10,539.830	28,356.530

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 89: Separate-Item White Advantage CES Robustness Check: Additive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.036*** (0.011)	-0.059*** (0.009)	-0.037*** (0.009)	-0.036*** (0.006)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	-0.004 (0.011)	-0.015* (0.008)	-0.001 (0.010)	-0.004 (0.006)
Democratic Partisan	0.312*** (0.041)	0.310*** (0.044)	0.627*** (0.034)	0.391*** (0.024)
Republican Partisan	0.380*** (0.038)	0.210*** (0.038)	0.211*** (0.035)	0.314*** (0.023)
Education Level	0.075*** (0.009)	0.100*** (0.007)	0.135*** (0.007)	0.105*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.032*** (0.004)	0.026*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.033*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.055** (0.023)	0.002 (0.018)	0.016 (0.019)	-0.016 (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.024 (0.047)	0.095* (0.051)	0.068 (0.053)	0.053* (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.101** (0.044)	0.062* (0.033)	0.087*** (0.028)	0.088*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.123*** (0.035)	0.006 (0.025)	0.048** (0.024)	0.061*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.738*** (0.056)	0.870*** (0.050)	0.957*** (0.054)	0.821*** (0.034)
Presidential Approval	-0.066*** (0.017)	-0.065*** (0.015)	-0.139*** (0.014)	-0.006 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.035** (0.014)	0.029** (0.013)	0.033*** (0.012)	0.019** (0.008)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.065** (0.026)	-0.160*** (0.015)	-0.204*** (0.016)	-0.138*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.110*** (0.012)	0.041*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.009)	0.071*** (0.007)
Age	0.007*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.381*** (0.018)	0.372*** (0.014)	0.390*** (0.015)	0.394*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.190*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.306*** (0.019)
Constant	-1.424*** (0.109)	-1.601*** (0.102)	-1.595*** (0.107)	-1.817*** (0.060)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
R ²	0.181	0.200	0.230	0.214
Adjusted R ²	0.180	0.199	0.230	0.214
Residual Std. Error	1.256 (df = 29465)	1.191 (df = 29667)	1.225 (df = 32491)	1.228 (df = 91657)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 90: Separate-ItemWhite Advantage CES Robustness Check: Interactive OLS Regression Models Predicting White Democratic Participation

	Participation Outcome Variable: Summated Participation Scale			
	2016	2018	2020	Pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deny White Advantages	-0.030 (0.025)	-0.047* (0.025)	-0.076*** (0.024)	-0.033** (0.014)
Believe Racial Problems Isolated	0.836*** (0.094)	0.681*** (0.093)	0.917*** (0.074)	0.853*** (0.054)
Democratic Partisan	0.032 (0.109)	-0.232** (0.105)	-0.536*** (0.093)	-0.147** (0.061)
Republican Partisan	0.037 (0.026)	-0.038 (0.027)	-0.025 (0.025)	0.004 (0.016)
Education Level	0.072*** (0.009)	0.096*** (0.007)	0.129*** (0.007)	0.100*** (0.005)
Income Level	0.030*** (0.004)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.039*** (0.003)	0.032*** (0.002)
Female Voter	-0.055** (0.023)	-0.005 (0.018)	0.005 (0.019)	-0.021* (0.013)
Contested House Race	0.021 (0.046)	0.097* (0.049)	0.074 (0.054)	0.052 (0.032)
Contested Gubernatorial Race	0.100** (0.044)	0.069** (0.033)	0.081*** (0.027)	0.089*** (0.018)
Contested Senate Race	0.126*** (0.035)	0.008 (0.025)	0.039 (0.024)	0.060*** (0.015)
Political Knowledge	0.672*** (0.056)	0.805*** (0.049)	0.872*** (0.052)	0.744*** (0.033)
Presidential Approval	-0.054*** (0.017)	-0.054*** (0.015)	-0.137*** (0.014)	-0.003 (0.005)
Congressional Approval	0.014 (0.014)	0.010 (0.012)	0.020* (0.011)	0.003 (0.007)
Scaled Conservatism	-0.054** (0.026)	-0.128*** (0.016)	-0.159*** (0.015)	-0.108*** (0.011)
Cong. Delegation Approval	0.109*** (0.011)	0.041*** (0.012)	0.055*** (0.009)	0.071*** (0.007)
Age	0.008*** (0.001)	0.012*** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.0004)
Political Interest	0.356*** (0.017)	0.345*** (0.014)	0.352*** (0.015)	0.363*** (0.009)
2018 Fixed Effect				-0.200*** (0.022)
2020 Fixed Effect				0.263*** (0.019)
Advantages × Democrat	-0.187*** (0.028)	-0.135*** (0.028)	-0.141*** (0.029)	-0.176*** (0.017)
Advantages × Republican	0.116*** (0.029)	0.067** (0.027)	0.134*** (0.026)	0.098*** (0.016)
Isolated × Democrat	-0.043 (0.030)	-0.054 (0.033)	-0.062** (0.029)	-0.054*** (0.020)
Isolated × Republican	-0.036 (0.028)	0.061** (0.029)	0.076*** (0.027)	0.024 (0.018)
Constant	-1.399*** (0.127)	-1.422*** (0.120)	-1.202*** (0.125)	-1.637*** (0.072)
Observations	29,483	29,685	32,509	91,677
R ²	0.194	0.209	0.244	0.226
Adjusted R ²	0.194	0.208	0.243	0.226
Residual Std. Error	1.246 (df = 29461)	1.185 (df = 29663)	1.214 (df = 32487)	1.219 (df = 91653)

District-clustered robust standard errors reported.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

A.23 Appendix References

References

- Aldrich, John H & Richard D McKelvey. 1977. "A Method of Scaling with Applications to the 1968 and 1972 Presidential Elections." *American Political Science Review* 71(1):111–130.
- Algara, Carlos & Isaac Hale. 2019. "The Distorting Effects of Racial Animus on Proximity Voting in the 2016 Elections." *Electoral Studies* 58(January):58–69.
- Algara, Carlos & Isaac Hale. 2020. "Racial attitudes & political cross-pressures in nationalized elections: The case of the Republican coalition in the Trump era." *Electoral Studies* 68.
- Casellas, Jason P. & Sophia Jordán Wallace. 2020. "Sanctuary Cities: Public Attitudes Toward Enforcement Collaboration Between Local Police and Federal Immigration Authorities." *Urban Affairs Review* 56(1):32–64.
- DeSante, Christopher D. & Candis Watts Smith. 2020. "Fear, Institutionalized Racism, and Empathy: The Underlying Dimensions of Whites' Racial Attitudes." *PS: Political Science & Politics* pp. 1–7.
- Green, Jon & Sean McElwee. 2018. "The Differential Effects of Economic Conditions and Racial Attitudes in the Election of Donald Trump." *Perspectives on Politics* pp. 1–22.
URL: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1537592718003365/type/journal_article
- Hare, Christopher, David A. Armstrong, Ryan Bakker, Royce Carroll & Keith T. Poole. 2015. "Using Bayesian Aldrich-McKelvey Scaling to Study Citizens' Ideological Preferences and Perceptions." *American Journal of Political Science* 59(3):759–774.
- Kinder, Donald R & David O Sears. 1981. "Prejudice and Politics: Symbolic Racism and Threats to the "Good Life"." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 40(3):414–431.
- Kinder, Donald R & Lynn M Sanders. 1996. *Divided by Color: Racial Politics and Democratic Ideals*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Palfrey, Thomas R. & Keith T. Poole. 1987. "The Relationship between Information, Ideology, and Voting Behavior." *American Journal of Political Science* 31(3):511–530.
- Piston, Spencer. 2010. "How Explicit Racial Prejudice Hurt Obama in the 2008 Election." *Political Behavior* 32(4):431–451.
- Ramey, Adam. 2015. "Weighing the Alternatives: Preferences, Parties, and Constituency in Roll-Call Voting." *Journal of Politics* 77(2):421–432.
- Rosenstone, Steven J. & John Mark Hansen. 1993. *Mobilization, participation, and democracy in America*. Longman Publishing Group.
- Schaffner, Brian F. 2022. "The Heightened Importance of Racism and Sexism in the 2018 US Midterm Elections." *British Journal of Political Science* 52(1):492–500.

Schaffner, Brian F., Matthew MacWilliams & Tatishe Nteta. 2018. "Understanding White Polarization in the 2016 Vote for President: The Sobering Role of Racism and Sexism." *Political Science Quarterly* 133(1):9–34.