**APPENDIX 1**

**STATE DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION SURVEY**

**CRM BUDGETS AND FULL TIME STAFFING**

A survey questionnaire was sent to 28 state Departments of Transportation (DOTs) cultural resource managers in September and October 2021, prior to the passage of the *Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* (infrastructure bill). States selected for receiving the survey represent large and small states, and states from different regions of the country. In addition, these states had regularly responded in the past to National Cooperative Highway Research Program[[1]](#footnote-1) (NCHRP) surveys on historic preservation issues in transportation. The junior author of this paper served as the Principal Investigator for many of these NCHRP surveys and associated studies.

The survey questions on state DOT FY[[2]](#footnote-2) 2022 and FY 2023 funding were as follows:

* What is your current CRM-related budget – FY 2022? How much do you think will go to your CRM contractors (dollar amount and/or percentage)? How much of contracted work will go to archaeological work (dollar amount and/or percentage)?
* With the possible passage of the infrastructure bill currently being considered by Congress, how much do think your CRM- related budget would increase next year (FY 2023) – in dollars or in percentage?

The survey also asked for the number of current internal CRM staff FTEs.

Twenty (20) DOTs responded to the survey questionnaire, and 11 provided responses to the questions on their FY 2022 cultural resource management (CRM) budgets. None of the latter states were able to provide dollar estimates for their FY 2023 budgets resulting from the passage of the infrastructure bill. Four (4) states did provide information on how much more their workloads (internal staff and CRM contractors) might increase as a result of the bill, ranging from 5% to 35%; and one DOT stated they expected no changes in their workload.

The 11 responses to the budgetary questions are provided below. The state DOTs that did not respond to these questions noted their DOTs do not have a separate line item for CRM expenditures but roll CRM costs into overall environmental compliance and project development costs. As a result, they were not in a position to provide an estimate.

Following the protocols of NCHRP studies, state DOT responses were kept anonymous so no specific response may be linked to an individual state DOT.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **FY 2022 Total CRM Budget** | **FY 2022 Budget to Contractors** | **FY 2022 Budget for Archaeology** | **FY 2022 Internal CRM Staff Total Salaries[[3]](#footnote-3)** | **Number of FY 2022 FTEs** |
| 1 | $6,429,845 | $6,000,000 |  | $429,845 | 5 |
| 2 | $3,601,783 | $3,000,000 |  | $601,783 | 7 |
| 3 | $26,289,535 | $25,000,000 |  | $1,289,535 | 15 |
| 4 | $757,907 | $500,000 |  | $257,907 | 3 |
| 5 | $2,750,000 | $2,500,000 | 70% of total CRM budget | $250,000 | 3 |
| 6 | $2,545,659 | $1,600,000 | 60% of total CRM budget | $945,659 | 11 |
| 7 | $1,400,000 | $500,000 | 75% of total CRM budget | $900,000 | 8 |
| 8 | $3,887,752 | $3,200,000 | $3,000,000 | $687,752 | 8 |
| 9 | $2,421,938 | $2,250,000 | $2,000,000 | $171,938 | 2 |
| 10 | $14,500,000 | $13,000,000 | $5,000,000 | $1,500,000 | 19 |
| 11 | $5,275,000 | $4,000,000 |  | $1,275,000 | 12 |

**The 20 state DOTs responding to the survey questionnaire:** Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington State, Wisconsin,

1. <https://www.trb.org/NCHRP/NCHRP.aspx> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The majority of state DOT fiscal years run from July 1st to June 30th of the following year. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. When a DOT did not provide information on internal CRM staff salaries, we multiplied the number of FY 2022 FTEs with the average annual wages and benefits for CRM professionals of $85,969. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)